ABUSE IN CARE ROYAL COMMISSION OF INQUIRY FAITH-BASED REDRESS INQUIRY HEARING

In the matter of The Royal Commission of Inquiry into Historical Abuse in

The Inquiries Act 2013

Dr Andrew Erueti Ms Sandra Alofivae Ms Julia Steenson

Judge Coral Shaw (Chair)

Counsel: Mr Simon Mount QC, Ms Hanne Janes, Ms Katherine

Anderson, Mr Winston McCarthy, Mr Tom Powell, Ms Lauren Eastlake, Ms Emma Powell, Ms Jane Glover, Mr Michael Thomas and Ms Echo Haronga for the Royal

Commission

Mrs Fiona Guy Kidd QC and Ms India Shores for the

State Care and in the Care of Faith-based Institutions

Anglican Church

Ms Sally McKechnie, Mr Alex Winsley and Mr Harrison

Cunningham for the Catholic Church

Ms Sonja Cooper, Dr Christopher Longhurst and Ms Kate

Whiting for SNAP

Venue: Level 2

Under

Royal Commission:

Abuse in Care Royal Commission of Inquiry

414 Khyber Pass Road

AUCKLAND

Date: 23 March 2021

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

INDEX

THOMAS PATRICK MICHAEL DOYLE (Affirmed)				
Questioning by Mr Mount	491			
Questioning by Ms McKechnie	539			
Questioning by Commissioners	560			
PETER HAMILTON HORIDE (Affirmed)				
Questioning by Ms McKechnie	563			
Questioning by Ms Glover	582			

1		Hearing opens with waiata and karakia tīmatanga by Ngāti Whātua Ōrākei
2		IR: Ata mārie ki a tātou katoa. Good morning Mr Mount.
3	MR	MOUNT: Good morning Madam Chair. Tēnā koutou katoa. Today's first witness is Dr Tom
4		Doyle who is joining us by a link from the United States and we're all very hopeful that link
5		can be made to work.
6	CHA	IR: Good morning. Could you tell me — I'm Coral Shaw, I'm the Chair of the Royal
7		Commission, could you tell me how you'd like to be addressed?
8	A.	Yes, call me Tom.
9	Q.	I will call you Tom, thank you.
10	A.	Thank you.
11	Q.	Shall we start — if you wouldn't mind taking the affirmation please, Tom.
12		THOMAS PATRICK MICHAEL DOYLE (Affirmed)
13	CHA	IR: That small exchange that we've just had I realise that there is very slight delay. So, I
14		think that's a lesson for us all just to wait for a moment after a question is asked or a
15		question is given before we move on. Have you discovered that already Mr Mount?
16	MR	MOUNT: Yes.
17	CHA	JR: There's a lesson for us all, hopefully we'll remember. Thank you. So, I'll leave you now
18		in the capable hands of Mr Mount, Tom, thank you.
19	QUE	STIONING BY MR MOUNT: Good afternoon Dr Doyle, Tom can you see me and hear
20		me?
21	A.	I can see you and he can hear you perfectly, unlike last night.
22	Q.	Some formalities first. Is your full name Thomas Patrick Doyle?
23	A.	That's right, actually Michael is thrown in there too, I've got two middle names.
24	Q.	We, or at least some of us have with us a statement that you have prepared for the Royal
25		Commission which is some 355 paragraphs long. Do you have the same statement with
26		you?
27	A.	I can bring it up if you'll hold on just a second, I have two screens here, so I'm going to put
28		it on the other screen [inaudible]. I now have it, I have it in front of me.
29	Q.	Can you confirm for us that the statement is true and correct to the best of your knowledge
30		and belief?
31	A.	Yes, I can.
32	Q.	I don't think you can see very much of our room at the moment, but we have a stenographer
33		typing everything that is said, and we have sign language interpreters also interpreting

everything said, so we need to keep an eye on speed.

34

- 1 A. Very well. I'll follow your lead.
- 2 Q. In terms of housekeeping, our plan will be to take a break after about an hour and a half and
- then go for another hour and a half or two hours after that before what we will call a lunch
- 4 break but will be a bit later in the day for you.
- 5 A. No problem.
- 6 Q. I think it's about 4 o'clock in the afternoon for you on the East Coast of the United States?
- 7 A. It is, 4.09 actually.
- 8 Q. As I say, you probably can't see our room, but we have a room of people in Auckland,
- New Zealand gathered to hear your evidence and we are also live streamed to an audience
- of many people, including very importantly a large number of survivors who have been
- watching our evidence, so thank you for joining us.
- 12 A. Thank you.
- 13 Q. A couple more formalities. Can you confirm that you have received and read a copy of the
- 14 Code of Conduct For Expert Witnesses which applies in New Zealand?
- 15 A. Yes, I can, I have received it and I have read it and I concur with all of it.
- 16 Q. Thank you. We will begin with a very brief summary of your expertise for those who don't
- know you. And looking at paragraph 19 of your statement we see that you were ordained a
- 18 Catholic priest in 1970; is that correct?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- 20 **Q.** I take it you are no longer a Catholic priest?
- A. Well, I'm not an active Catholic priest, I still once you are you are forever, but I am not
- 22 active right now.
- 23 **O.** You have a number of degrees and postgraduate qualifications, can you tell us about those
- 24 briefly?
- 25 A. Briefly, yeah, I can. I have masters degrees in philosophy which means I specialised in
- social philosophy, theology, political science, [inaudible] studies, canon law, church
- administration and I have a doctorate in canon law and I am also a licensed and certified
- addictions therapist and a licensed commercial pilot. Anything else? I'm a scuba diver too,
- which is why I hope you had invited me over there.
- Q. We are very sorry you couldn't be here in person, no doubt.
- A. I know, I finally got my first disease shot the other day, so I'm half ready.
- Very good. Maybe looking at your paragraph 28, we can see you've been involved in the
- topic of clergy abuse for coming up to 40 years?
- 34 A. That's correct.

- In a moment we'll tell the story in more detail, but can you give us a thumbnail sketch of the different ways in which you have encountered and worked in the area of clergy abuse?
- Yes, I can, and I'll do it chronologically. As you know I was at one time the canon lawyer 3 A. for the Vatican Embassy in the United States, that's 1984, actually 82 when I first 4 encountered this particular issue. So, my first, very first tasks related to this were 5 administrative, acting on behalf of my boss the Vatican ambassador completing 6 correspondence, keeping a file. It went from there to actually being, I guess what you call, 7 middleman between us and the diocese where the first big case actually took place in 8 Louisiana. Following that, thumbnail, I became involved without really knowing it, but I 9 was gradually getting more and more involved with challenging the way the institutional 10 Church had been dealing with the issue of sexual abuse of minors by the clerics. I didn't 11 even know I was doing that at the time because I was not aware of cover up of any of this. 12 So that's what I did; over the years, and I've been steadily involved with this issue since 13
- 15 **CHAIR:** Sorry, Tom, sorry to interrupt you, you're just going a little bit fast for our people. So, if you wouldn't mind just taking the odd breath every now and again, just slows you down.
- 17 A. Sure.

23

24

2.5

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

- 18 **O.** Thank you, sorry to interrupt.
- 19 A. If I go out of first gear in second just let me know.

then, as an expert witness in court.

- 20 **Q.** We will, thank you.
- A. All right, I think maybe the easiest way would be for me to just outline the different ways that I've been involved.

QUESTIONING BY MR MOUNT CONTINUED: Yes.

A. I have been, first and most important, I've been directly involved with the victims and survivors as a support person, as a, I guess, a spiritual guide, as a pastoral person. I don't know if there's any proper title, but I've been with them to help them to listen as well as to their families. I have worked as an expert witness and a consultant in civil cases and criminal cases in the United States, Canada, Ireland, the United Kingdom, Australia and Israel, and also Belgium and Quebec, which is not actually a separate country, but it sort of is. I may have left a couple out, so I've done that. I've done a massive amount of academic research into the fundamentals of the systemic dimensions of this issue.

I was trained, as you know, prior, formally in canon law, but my area of specialty and interest was medieval law, so I've gone into that area and found it extremely enlightening. I've done actually some defence work with perpetrators, accused priests who

have felt left out in the cold, so I've done that, on a consultant basis. I do not actually represent them in court, but I've been as a consultant or a friend, and that's based on my fundamental belief that due process, everyone is entitled to it, the victims, the perpetrators.

I have been involved as a, whatever, a guest, I guess, in approximately 30 documentaries from several different countries in the world, talked to the media, I've written a number of scholarly articles, and by scholarly I guess I mean with a lot of footnotes. I've written a couple of books on this issue. Again, the most important thing I've done have been to be with survivors themselves. Does that help? [inaudible] It's a thumbnail.

- 10 **Q.** I think you gave evidence in the Australian Royal Commission into child sexual abuse.
- 11 A. That's correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 12 **Q.** Which brought you down to this part of the world. Just thinking about New Zealand, can
 13 you confirm that you've received and had a chance to read the witness statements filed by
 14 the, or upon behalf of the Catholic Church for our Royal Commission hearing at the
 15 moment?
- A. I can confirm that I've received them all, but I can confirm that I have read all except for, his last name begins with an H, I don't have it in front of me.
- 18 **O.** Horide I think.
- 19 A. Horide yes, I was going to say Horide but that would be an embarrassment. I just got the
 20 link to these documents this morning, so I have managed to get through everything but his.
- Q. Have you also read the New Zealand Church's document A Path to Healing?
- 22 A. Yes, I have.

Q.

34

Williams?

- 23 **O.** I think you visited New Zealand in the 1980s and met with a Cardinal?
- That's correct, I visited New Zealand, I think it was 1988 when I visited New Zealand or 24 A. 2.5 87, I'm not exactly sure, but yes, I was bought over to that part of the world to speak at the annual convention of the Canon Law Society of New Zealand and Australia because they 26 thought I had expertise in the new Code of Canon Law which was published in 83, but I 27 was also asked to address this particular issue of sexual abuse of children, which I did. I 28 29 was in New Zealand — the convention took place in Adelaide and then I flew to New Zealand and remained there, I believe I was in New Zealand for a week. I had a 30 wonderful time. It got to the point where I was ready to call home and say just send my 31 stuff over, I'm staying, because of the beautiful topography and the wonderful people. But 32 I had to come home. So, I did visit and I met, I believe at the request of Cardinal — 33

- A. Cardinal Williams, I met with him and I also recall meeting with a couple of priests who
 were on his staff in the Archdiocese of Wellington. I believe was one was a Father Dolan,
 Monsignor Dolan and I think I remember having dinner with him, I believe he's the one,
 but I did meet with Cardinal Williams for a significant amount of time. And he was very
 gracious, and he asked me a lot of questions which I tried to answer intelligently with as
 much information as I had available to me at that time.
- If we talk about the scope of your evidence for a moment, is it correct that you can provide an international context for our Commissioners' understanding of the response to abuse in the New Zealand Catholic Church, so international context?
- A. I believe so. I have had experience, I guess just because of my reputation, or my notoriety, take your pick, I've had experience with this issue in a number of European countries, South American countries, Sub-Saharan African countries and even the Far East, Australia and some experience in New Zealand. Prior to the Commission I've had experience with [inaudible] in New Zealand. In fact, I wrote the report in 2004 which I made available to the Commission.
- 16 **Q.** Although you have read it, I take it you are not here to provide a direct critique of the New Zealand Path to Healing process?
- No, I don't believe so. I've read it and that I wasn't quite sure what my mandate was to A. 18 start off with anyway, so I have tried to concentrate on the area that I think is of most 19 importance, which is the systemic dimension of sexual abuse of anybody by Catholic 20 clergy. One part is the abuse itself, the concern for the abusers who were generally either 21 clerics, non-ordained brothers or sisters, or lay employees or volunteers. But that I 22 believe — I would [inaudible] characterise that as one-third of the issue, two-thirds are the 23 systemic dimension and the context in which this has been handled by the institutional 24 2.5 Church and also the causality that has fed into making this whole phenomenon possible.
- Q. Finally, in terms of New Zealand, you don't need to go into the details, but have you had some contact with survivors of abuse from New Zealand and their advocates over the years?
- Yes, I have. I believe my first contact would go back to the beginning of the millennium,
 2001, 2002 and then since then I've had regular contact, even prior to my involvement with
 the Commission with their advocates on a regular basis, sharing information, receiving
 information and so on.
- We'll move on now to the story of how you began your work with clergy abuse. So, we'll turn the clock back to 1982, I think, when you were working in the Vatican Embassy in

Washington DC?

- 2 A. That's correct. You want me to you ask the questions.
- 3 Q. Tell us how you became first involved in this topic?

A. I was a staff member, I was the staff canon lawyer and my main task at the Embassy was managing the process whereby candidates for the office of bishop were being vetted and, as you know, we have a huge country, we have almost 200 dioceses and archdioceses so that part consumed a lot of time.

But I also had to be ready and available to do whatever tasks the ambassador, the nuncio gave me, that was the story with all of us. And he tasked me one day, we had received a couple of, oh I'll cut to the chase. In 1982 I had to provide information and act as a go-between on accusations of sexual abuse of minors made by — perpetrated by two bishops. One was retired, and one was an auxiliary bishop. This was on the job training because I knew what this issue was before that time, I had encountered it first-hand in my religious community before I was ordained, but on this particular issue on one instance I found a priest in the Archdiocese of Washington who was expected by all to do the [inaudible] investigation in one case and in the other the bishop unfortunately was reported to us by the FBI, twice. And they told us after the second time that there won't be a third time, we're giving you a courtesy on the first two. There was a third time but before anybody could do anything, the All Mighty intervened and the priest died, the bishop died.

My next contact was 1984 which is the one that really got me deeply involved in this and that was the notorious case of the priest in the Diocese of Lafayette, Louisiana which is in one of our southern states, a priest had been reported several times for being very sexually active and he'd been transferred around several times until I believe it was a father and an uncle of a couple of victims went to the rectory where he lived, one of them had a shotgun in hand and they were greeted by the pastor and they said "We want to talk to Gauthe". The pastor was smart enough to tell them that he's not there because had he been there they would have shot him.

So, they reported this to the bishop and that got their attention. That's when they made a settlement with six of the families, notified the Vatican Embassy and that's when I became involved as again the scribe, so to speak, to keep the file and I would be more involved with helping them with information in Louisiana, because I was connected first with a man named Michael Peterson who was a priest and a psychiatrist. I, through our friendship, asked him to help dealing with this Father Gauthe.

So that situation exploded, it was poorly handled, but it still remains probably the

classic example of how not to do everything. And then after that the criminal charges were filed and the media got a hold of it, so it became not just nationwide, but it became known around the world. There was a photograph of the accused priest in his prison cell, jail cell that was printed in Newsweek magazine which has an international scope. That's how I became involved.

What was the attitude of church leaders towards the victims or survivors in that case that you first encountered?

A. That particular case that I first encountered, the attitude toward the victims who were all prepubescent boys, the ones we knew about, because this priest was a true paedophile.

That word is usually used to describe anybody who sexually abuses minors, but in fact a true paedophile only is sexually interested in prepubescent boys or girls.

The Church, as I recall, very clearly, they seemed to be disinterested in the victims. They were asked and they were sort of manipulated into engaging a psychologist who met with several of the victims to assess their needs and the damage that had been done, which was massive, but their primary interest at the time was preventing a trial on the civil side and civil cases because they did not want all of the information coming out into the public, they couldn't very well prevent a trial on the criminal cases, but they were primarily concerned about the welfare of the diocese, the institutional dimension and the reputation of the bishop and the others. I did meet a couple of the youthful, prepubescent young boys and it's haunted me to this day to see the faces of these young boys when they were almost like ghosts, you know, there was an emptiness in them and I'll never forget that. And the father of one told me "I used to hug my son and kiss him goodnight before they went to sleep", he said "now I can't go near him". And to hear that and listen to the parents was and remains one of the most heart-breaking experiences of my life.

- Q. In your statement you talk about preparing a manual I think about 100 pages long sometime after this. Tell us about that manual.
- A. Certainly. That manual was actually conceived by the three of us, there was myself,
 Dr Peterson who became very much involved in this issue because he ran a clinic where
 bishops would send priests who had serious problems with drug abuse, alcohol abuse or
 psychosexual problems, so he knew a lot about this issue, but he also knew where the
 bodies were buried.

The third individual ironically was an attorney who the diocese had hired to represent the accused priest on the criminal charges, and he came to Washington and insisted on meeting with me because he said to me "You know the papal ambassador and

you need to get this information to him", namely that there were several priests known who were still roaming the dioceses who were known to be sexual abusers. He was very upset about that for two reasons, because it was making it very difficult for him to create the deal he wanted to create for his client, which was not freedom but incarceration at a mental health facility to kind of find out what made him tick.

Secondly, he said "I'm a father of two teenage boys and pre-teen girl and I worry about them." We were consulting on this, constantly talking about it getting information and I was feeding this to my boss, the papal ambassador, and it was suggested to me by a bishop, because I was dealing with bishops all the time, "Why don't you put together some kind of a position paper with instructions on how we can deal with this issue if it hits us, because many of us have never had to deal with this." [Inaudible]. And so, we put our heads together and we put together what we called the "manual", it has a three line title, but we called it manual, and it has several sections and it was in question and answer form. There was a section on criminal law, civil law, canon law, pastoral practice, medical information, namely the effect on victims and the information on the psychosexual disorders that fueled the perpetrator.

Dr Peterson was an expert in this particular area, so he selected several articles that he attached to the manual. Our goal was to simply offer it to the United States Conference of Catholic Bishops in hopes that they would circulate it and use it as perhaps an aid to help them in dealing with the issue. Keep in mind, this was 1984/85. I had no clue, no clue to the extent that this issue, nor did anyone else at that time. We also put together a three-part action programme that included an office at the bishops conference that would co-ordinate investigations, if a bishop reported a case we had put together a process whereby the bishop could call this office and then we would engage volunteer expert psychologists, lawyers, media people to go to the diocese and help the bishop deal with the issue. We also recommended that the bishops put together a research commission of people, that would be made up of not of bishops but of actual experts in various areas so that any information what was needed would be state-of-the-art of this issue.

And the third part of that was a section on actually dealing with the issue firsthand. And we capitalised on the issue of the first and most important thing is the contact with the victims, that has to be as immediate as is possible after a report. So, we put this together with the encouragement and the support of the Papal Nuncio and of three, at least three or four highly placed churchmen in the United States and I'll tell you their names and you'll be surprised. The one I worked most closely with was Cardinal Law. The second one

I worked very closely with was Cardinal [inaudible], both of them are deceased. [Inaudible] I had known for years as a close friend. The fourth was Cardinal Krol, now deceased, former Archbishop of Philadelphia. They encouraged us to do this, they read the draft, gave it to the Papal Nuncio and he was going to give it to the Secretary General to the bishops with the suggestion that they circulate it and give serious consideration to the action plans. He was told by the Secretary General they didn't need it, they knew everything that was in it and so we gave it back. So, it never got off the ground, in that regard.

We did have a meeting with Cardinal Law, we were supposed to have a meeting with Cardinal Law, this was May of 1985, to discuss the action plan and he was going to continue to support it and [inaudible] this committee we wanted to have founded. He couldn't come to the meeting, so he sent the Secretary who at the time was Auxiliary Bishop Levada who became the Cardinal and the director of the Congregation for the Doctrine of the Faith after Cardinal Ratzinger became Pope. He met with us. He and Cardinal Law had approved of our plan, approved of the manual and they had a budget in there of \$3 million that would fund the thing and that was peanuts compared to what, you know, it actually would have cost.

So, we were pretty happy with that outcome thinking we're going to — something's going to happen. At that time the only way we knew how to deal with this from our perspective was in an administrative way because we were not in daily contact with victims. Two weeks later I was at my sister's home in Montreal and I received a phone call from Bishop Levada telling me the whole plan has been shelved, it's been cancelled. He didn't tell me why, but he said that another commission, a regular Commission of the Bishops Conference is going to take this up and it wouldn't look good if we came in there with our plan and our programme, it wouldn't look good if we were trying to do something counter to this other [inaudible]. I was stunned, I did find out subsequently there was no other commission planned, nothing, there was nothing. They simply did not want to use any of this information and there was nothing in it that was threatening, I'll tell you that.

- Q. Although the manual was shelved and the plan, as you say; do you know how widely distributed the document was, did it reach people outside of the US?
- A. It did. First off, the bishops were going to have a meeting in June of 1985 [inaudible] by bishops I mean we have in the United States approximately 400 bishops, but of those 400 at least well over 200 or 300 are active in the sense that they're active auxiliaries or ordinates.

They meet twice a year. Even though they said they weren't interested, we had one bishop that we thought was sympathetic and we asked him to take about 15 copies with him to the media and circulate them to people you think would be open to listening to this, and then maybe we could lobby a little bit. But we never did that, he took the copies, but he never did anything.

2.5

 They had one full day of discussion on sexual abuse of minors in executive session, but the reports I received back from Cardinal Krol and my own boss, the Apostolic Nuncio, the day was — two-thirds of it was a waste, they said the only part that was productive was the report from the psychologist [inaudible].

However, we decided on our own to mail a copy of the report to every bishop in the United States. I believe we also sent it to several bishops in Canada and to some in Mexico. By then it was out there, the media had gotten copies of it, so it was used as a foundation for a lot of questioning. At one press conference held by the bishops the media were there, and they were waiting, "What about this? You've got this plan, why aren't you using it?" And the man running the meeting was the General Counsel for the Bishops said "We don't need it because we know everything that's in it and we already have an action plan and protocols in place." Then the reporter says naturally "Can you give us a copy?" "Well, they're just not written down." So, you take that for what it's worth.

And then he made the outlandish accusation that Father Peterson, Mr Moutant and I had constructed this whole programme to make money off of the sexual abuse of children [inaudible] charge the bishops who work for them, which was totally outlandish, and it was stunning. And my own boss, the Nuncio was as stunned about that as I was. So that told me from the beginning something was going on underneath [inaudible], that it was another agenda and that's called a cover-up and that's exactly what was happening. You know, I didn't know the extent of the contribution/retribution in Europe, but I know it found its way to Ireland, it found its way to England [inaudible] continent of European countries [inaudible].

- **Q.** I think by the following year, 1986, you left from the embassy in Washington DC. Tell us about the circumstances of your departure?
- 30 A. Well, it's pretty simple. I was making too much noise I think pushing this issue too much
 31 and one day I was simply told that "We're going to need your office and your suite of
 32 rooms for a new man coming in", which in effect said, "You're fired, you're going out the
 33 back door", and that's what happened. And I know that I believe I was becoming a thorn
 34 in their side because I wouldn't let I was told by other staff members to let it go because

- if you don't it's going to ruin your career, and I remember saying to myself what career? So that was how I ended up going through the next phase of my life.
- The next phase of my questions is about the Church and understanding its governance, the structure and so on. Your paragraph 128, for those who have the statement, answers —
- 5 A. 12 what?

- **Q.** 128 on page 45, answers the question what is the Church. So, can you tell us what is the Catholic Church?
 - A. Hold on I'm almost there. It's on my screen so I have to scroll up. As I said in my report, there are two separate definitions of the Church. One is the one that's the foundation for canon law which is the institutional Church, it's a governmental structure that is hierarchical in nature as it is described, but in fact it's monarchical in practice. I don't say that word in a derogatory manner, it describes a political system, monarchy is an accepted governmental system and the Church was in fact an absolute monarchy and still is.

Then there is the definition that was given to us, given back to the Church by Luman Gentium in the second Vatican council, referring to it as the people of God where the basis is not ordination but baptism. This is the definition that I believe is much more active, because it includes everyone who is a believer. Canon law gives certain stipulations, you have to do this, you have to do that, you have to do the other thing, to be a member of the institutional Church, things you have to believe, things you have to do. Well, not everybody does that, but they have Christ in their heart and they want to be part of a praying, loving community.

So that would be my understanding of the meaning of the church is primarily the body of Christ. It never took on the structure of a governmental system anyway until Constantine gave it official recognition in the 4th century, that's when it came out of the shadows and was ordinanced.

Now I do mention in my report that it's the largest religious denomination in the world, it is obviously the oldest Christian denomination in the world, and it's also the largest corporation in the world. And it is the only religious denomination that has standing in the community of nations, it has the representative at the United Nations and it has a diplomatic corps and the Government of the Holy See, which is the word for that dimension of the Church, exchanges ambassadors with approximately 140 — 133 different countries in the world and there are only, I think, 196 countries in the world, there are 159, I'm not sure, but it has a diplomatic experience.

Q. You talk about the four strata or four levels of hierarchy in the Church. What are they?

A. The four strata of the Church looking at it from an institutional dimension, keep in mind that it is hierarchical in governmental structure; consequently, it has built-in aristocracy. The largest strata in the Church is — I'm looking for some notes here [inaudible]. Anyway, the largest strata is the lay people. There are approximately 1.15 billion members of the Roman Catholic Church. The largest strata is the lay people.

2.5

Q.

Α.

Then you move up to the next fundamental level, there are two basic levels, for lay people, the next group is the clergy. This is defined in canon law as the clerical culture, the clerical strata of divine foundations, divine order, it was founded by God. It doesn't say that about the laity, but it does say that about the clergy, [inaudible]. Then you have three strata in the clerical world. You have the deacons and priests, and there are maybe I think 53,000 deacons in the world and about 414,000 priests in the world. So that's the second strata.

The next strata upwards would be the bishops and I would include they're all bishops, however in that strata the more important and influential ones would be the ones who serve as what we call ordinaries or heads of dioceses. Cardinal Dew is an ordinate, he's the head of the Diocese of Wellington, the others are auxiliary bishops, but they have an administrative job somewhere. The next strata would be the cardinals and I think as of today there are about 130 some cardinals, 133 maybe.

The next strata would be the cardinals which are personally appointed named by

the Pope. That's the only body in the church that actually does anything that comes close to a democratic act and they only do it once and that's when they elect the Pope. Then the top level, of course, is the papacy and he's not part of the strata. For the first time in a long time we've got two popes, but only one is the boss. So those are the four strata. When you talk about clergy abuse as a title, what is the word "clergy" referring to there? The word clergy there refers to two things; one, those whose men who are set apart and are part of this section of the church that's called the clerical section, the clergy, the clerical culture. And in order to be ordained to one of holy order, one of the Holy Orders, you must be a cleric. Those are deacon, priest and bishop. Cardinals are not ordained, they're appointed by the Pope. You don't have to be ordained to be a cardinal, you can be a layman. But nowadays after Pope John XXIII, if you are not the bishop when you're appointed a cardinal, you have a choice of either being consecrated a bishop or getting a waiver from the Pope to not be consecrated a bishop. That's the clergy. The clergy constitute 0.000384% of the institution of the Catholic Church and that's where all the power is [inaudible]. And in effect the power actually is with approximately 3,000 bishops

who are in positions of power, including the Pope. And of these 3,000 bishops, 100% of them are celibate and, as far as we know, none of them are parents or have raised children or have been involved in a stable, intimate relationship. That has a profound bearing on the Church's response to the sexual abuse of children.

Q. Tell us more about the power and responsibility of bishops over their diocese?

Α.

Okay. There are two seats of power in the Church, the papacy, which is absolute and according to canon law the Pope answers to no human power. The only one that can fire the Pope is God. The other seat of power is the power of the ordinary of diocese, of the diocesan bishop. In his diocese the bishop is considered to be the representative of Christ, he is not a vicar of the Pope. And so he has, according to canon law, all the power needed to govern this diocese and, according to the church's structure, the three basic governmental offices of executive, judge and legislature are not separated as they are in a number of democratic countries but they're joined in the office of the papacy and in the office of the diocesan bishop.

Consequently, those powers rest with the bishop and the Pope, but we're talking about the bishop. [Inaudible] if all power rests with the bishop there are no checks and balances in the institutional [inaudible] as there are in countries where they have an absolute separation of powers. In the United States, my own country, for example, we have a radical separation of powers where one is not in charge of everything. As you know, we have a way of firing a president if he doesn't do his job. The Supreme Court Justices are appointed by the President, they stay in their place for life, but their job is to review legislation to make sure it's coherent with our constitution.

In the diocese the bishop is the chief judge, chief administrator and executive, and he's also the sole legislature. He alone can make laws or rules to for the diocese, he appoints and delegates the handling of judicial issues called a judicial vicar. As far as executive work is concerned, generally bishops don't micro-manage totally and they have other people in the diocese who they delegate to bring out the various tasks of administering the diocese. So the bishop has — he is the authority, he could run everything if he wanted to, everything, he could insist on right down to the minutest detail of life in the parish, but I don't know anybody who would do that, but he could.

So, the bishop has, I would say, with the exception of any restraints given him by canon law or by the Pope, he has almost total power, executive, legislative and judicial in his diocese as well as pastoral power. He's considered to be the chief pastor of the diocese and the chief teacher. So as you can see, the bishop has vast power in the diocese, which

has good points but it has a lot of bad points as well.

A.

Q. Two-part question. Tell us about the religious institutes, including religious orders and what authority does a bishop have over religious orders within his diocese?

Okay. Religious institutes are groupings of men and/or women who come together to lead usually a community life, they want to become more closely involved in the life of the institutional Church, they come together and they live a common life — most of them do, not all —and they live by a specific rule. Most of them take some sort of — they are engaged and connected to the Church by some sort of a bond, either a promise, a vow or some form of commitment. The Church has had religious orders as they're commonly known. They go back to, I believe, the 5th century or the 6th century when St Benedict founded the first monasteries in Italy. That was the beginning. Now they're formally called now institutes of consecrated life. Consecrated life means you dedicate your life to the work of God by working for the Church. There are more types of religious orders than I know of, I mean I can't give you the numbers. There used to be a saying that only God thought he knew how many orders of religious women there were, but he wasn't even sure because there are a lot of orders of religious women. I don't have say that pejoratively, it's a wonderful tribute, but the fact is there are a lot.

So these groups, for example, would be the Marists Brothers and Fathers. Religious institutes, religious orders are made up of ordained and not ordained. Some are all only ordained, only priests. Some are both; they have priests and what we call brothers who are not ordained but full members. They all take vows of some sort, either simple vows or solemn vows for life, they do a variety of tasks in the church, teaching, [inaudible], missionary work, a variety of jobs, that's why most of them, many of them acknowledge -- one order, for example, the Trinitarians were founded to rescue captives and slaves, to liberate them. But that's no longer a major deal, so I think they primarily do teaching, at least in my country.

So religious communities are very much a part of the Church, a major part.

They're not in the hierarchy unless the members are priests. If the members are priests, then they're clergy and they're automatically in the hierarchical structure. Religious women make up a significant number of institutes of consecrated life.

The religious communities generally, unless they're what they call contemplative, those are monks, they just go in a monastery, or they're nuns who just go in a monastery and all they do is pray and they kind of keep themselves, take care of themselves, they don't do outward work, they don't do pastoral work, parish work, they stay in — they're what I

2.5

	commonly call they're maximum security religious, they're in their monastery, period.
	Some examples are Trappist monks which were an offshoot of the Benedictines, the
	Camaldolese which are hermits, they have hermitages around the world and each monk
	lives in a little hub and they spend most of their time in there. So the Trappists,
	Camaldolese, the Carthusians are another holy contemplative order, then we have the
	others, Marists, Dominicans, Jesuits, Franciscans and I could go down the line.
Q.	What authority or responsibility does a bishop have for the various orders that may be
	working within his diocese and perhaps also if we include schools within this question too?
A.	Sure. The bishop is the bishop of the diocese, he's in charge of everything that happens in
	that diocese that is what's called — that involves what we call the care of souls, that's a
	technical term. That's the broadest understanding of pastoral care. The bishop has direct
	authority over every endeavour that involves the care of souls, whether it's formal or
	informal, whether it's large or small, this includes schools, parishes, hospitals, chaplaincies,
	it doesn't matter what it is. If a couple of priests meet with a small group of people
	informally in the park every Saturday to discuss theology, the bishop has the authority over
	that. He has that because he is the chief bishop and [inaudible] of the diocese.

Now this distinction is very well explained by Cardinal Dew in his presentation which I read, and it's also explained by Father Duckworth in his. So I'm not quite sure what the misunderstanding is, but the bishop of the diocese have direct authority over what happens in the schools, he has the authority to remove a religious community because a religious community cannot be started, you can't just move into a diocese and set up a community of men or women without the bishop's permission. You can't start a school without the bishop's permission, or a parish.

Parishes are generally entrusted to a religious order by the bishop, in other words he needs priests, so he says to the order "I'm going to entrust a parish to you" and the order then provides the bishop with a list of suggested names for pastor and assistant pastor. The bishop, if he approves them, makes the actual appointment. The bishop also has the authority to visit schools, whether they're run by religious orders or not, orphanages and similar institutions, what's called visitation which is an ecclesiastical term for inspection. So he has a lot of authority over what religious do.

He doesn't have control over their internal life, that's up to their own superiors to make sure that their subjects keep their vows, follow the constitutions, lead the life of a religious. That's up to their own superiors. The bishop doesn't get involved in what time they get up for prayers, when they wear their habits, how much money they can have for

their vacations, he doesn't get involved in that. But he is concerned about anything they do
that's connected with his people, that's everybody in his diocese, whether they're permanent
residents, just passing through, visiting for a day, they're under the bishop's authority and
his responsibility. Is that clear?

Yes. Can you explain for us the nature of the priesthood next and in particular the degree of respect that a priest would expect to receive within the Church?

A.

Certainly. I won't go through the whole history of the priesthood because that would take us a long time. A priest is one of Holy Orders, he's one of — Holy Orders is a sacrament that has three degrees. To be a priest you must be ordained by a bishop. A priest is given certain sacramental powers and he has the power to celebrate the Eucharist, which is the centre, the core of life of the Catholic Church. And when he celebrates the Eucharist in the name of Christ, he changes the [inaudible]. He also has authority over the other sacraments. A priest alone can hear confessions, a priest alone can give the sacrament of the sick it used to be called [inaudible]. Only a bishop or a priest can administer the sacrament of confirmation. A priest isn't required for the sacrament of matrimony as one of the ministers, but he is required by church law as the official witness. So he's going to [inaudible]. A priest cannot ordain other priests, only a bishop can do that.

So a priest has immense powers and he has been presented through the centuries as a representative of God, as taking the place of God on earth, as having vast power because he can forgive sins in the name of God, he can bring the presence of Christ physically under [inaudible] of bread and wine and on to the altar. He is taught by the Church to have immense power, that he represents God, and that of course, you grab that and it's like a football, you run with it and it becomes conflated in the Catholic Church where the priest — in some areas they believe he is a piece of God, a part of God. And there was some teaching in theology that arose out of the 16th — 17th and 16th centuries, 17th mostly, in the French schools of spirituality, that claimed that when a man became a priest he was what they call ontologically changed. That meant his very being, this is Thomistic philosophy and Aristotelean philosophy. If it sounds like plain English to you I apologise but I'll try to explain it.

Onto is the Greek word for being, ens, E-N-S, is the Latin word for being. Ontological means it's something that's connected with your very being, whatever makes you tick, what's in there, inside of you. And Aristotle tried to explain it using his own philosophical categories and St Thomas jumped on this and tried to continue using Aristotle's categories and his own concepts of theology.

2.5

So this teaching arose in this era that a priest was actually — he became a part of Christ at ordination. You can imagine how this goes over when you start telling people in a parish that priests are part of Christ, they're part of God. They have massive powers. The aura that surrounds a priest, even today in many areas is awesome. He's exists on a higher plane. Most Catholic priests are celibate which is looked upon by many as kind of a mysterious power, that you're celibate, you don't have to have sex, you don't have to have intimacy, that takes tremendous power to live that way, to be that. Priests, there's an aura of mystery that sounds them, and so they are looked upon in many ways as super human, the teaching of the Church was that a celibate life is superior to the married state, if you want to talk about people who aren't married having sex, they don't count, but the married, because they have sex, so there's [inaudible].

That particular teaching does not necessarily go over well with a lot of people including priests. When we were taught that, we were exposed to that [inaudible] I remember I got very upset at the way it was presented, because we all had parents and one guy said "Wait a minute, you're telling me that just because my parents had sex and I came into the picture that they're lesser than I am going to be when I'm ordained?" He said, "That's insanity, it doesn't make sense."

So that's part of the aura that surrounds a priest. There's been a massive amount written, there is what used to be called the privilege of the forum that began in the early medieval period and only ended with the promulgations of the 1983 Code of Canon Law, where clergy had the privilege of not going before the civil courts if they committed a crime. They were dealt with by the ecclesiastical authorities. This was strongly protected by the hierarchy that, you know, we'll take care of our own problems. That existed until the promulgation of the new Code of Canon Law.

The old code contained penalties. If a person brought a priest before a civil court without the bishop's permission, you risked ex-communication. So, all of that fed into this unrealistic and highly stultified vision or understanding of what a priest actually was. I can tell you, I was ordained in 1970. When I walked out of that church three hours after I walked into it I was still the same person. I don't recall anything going on inside of me that had any impact on me. I still had the same likes and dislikes, the same frailties, the same sins as anybody else. I won't tell you who said this, but a bishop who was very close to me one time, I asked him, what does this ontological change really mean? And he said "Tom, that's nothing more than theological gibberish." I believe him because I've never yet seen an explanation that's adequate. But it is used to continue to shore up this idea that

1	priests are better and staying on a higher plane and deserve automatic deference and respect
2	and obedience by everybody who's on the lower level which is the 3 million or the
3	1 million lay people.

- 4 Q. What is the concept of clerical narcissism that you talk about in your report?
- A. The concept of clerical narcissism is a concept that, a couple of psychologists and
 I developed it, but we were not we took that from a lot of different research sources.
 The clerical narcissist of course a narcissist is someone that considers himself above others, better than others, you're enamoured of your own image, Narcist, Narciss was paralysed because he couldn't stop looking at himself.

Clerical narcissism is something that is both institutionalised and personalised and it goes with the clerical state, the clerical culture, because you're taught in the seminary that you're going to be above others who are these lower people that have sex, you're going to be above them, you're going to have all these special powers.

Q. Just keep an eye on speed.

2.5

A.

Okay. You have all of these very special powers that no-one else will have. You'll be able to do what no women can do but only a tiny minority of men can do and that's celebrate Mass, bring Jesus present on the altar. You're entitled to great deference. Now believe me, having gone through the formation, I can tell you that that is — that does rub off, you do begin to feel that you're someone special. I had the good fortune to be brought up in a family where they didn't believe that at all, my parents didn't believe anything special about me after I was ordained. I have relatives, because I'm Irish with a huge Irish family, who insisted on calling me Father, which I insisted they don't and they insisted they do. My father, my own father would have — they never called me father, I would have been stunned had they done that.

But that concept of the priest being better than others is deeply embedded in the Catholic culture. You have all these magical powers. So it does tend to lead towards narcissism in one or the other degree, where the priest really believes these things they say about him. And you believe you're above others and that you deserve special deference, you deserve special consideration. And this, of course, has a direct impact on the systemic dimension or causality of sexual abuse of minors by clerics.

- Q. Can you explain for us the concept of clericalism and how that is developed in the system of seminaries?
- A. Clericalism is a pejorative ism like sexism or racism. It's a philosophy, about clerics that it's self-created and sustained that because they are clerics and will be ordained or are

2.5

Q.

A.

ordained, they are superior to lay people. There's a special deference simply because they are clerics and deserve privileges because they are clerics. There was a time, at least in countries I've lived in, which are two, Canada and United States, there was a time when it was not unusual if you were a priest in a restaurant somebody else would pick up the tab for your dinner, things of that nature. You would be given extreme deference in different things. I was a seminarian, we had to wear a Roman collar and I was picked off to be a bus driver, Dominicans we had our own bus, it was a used Greyhound bus and I was chosen to be a driver which meant I had to get a special licence.

The day I went down to get my driver's licence to drive this bus should have involved about an hour and a half to two hour road test where I would have had to drive this monster into downtown Chicago to navigate without killing anyone. But all I did was go out and start the bus up and drove it from one end of the parking lot to the other, and then the gentleman said "Father, you don't have time to waste on this, you're already a good driver, that's clericalism. He had no idea. But that's the way it works in a nutshell.

Unfortunately, clericalism has had a profound impact on the systemic causality of sexual abuse of minors. Just the very fact that on the hierarchy of values the victims are sort of on a lower — they are on a lower level and the institution and the welfare of the clerics are at the top of the level, at the top of the list. That is clericalism. And it's very powerful to the Church actually becoming and being and living as the body of Christ. The body of Christ is based on baptism not ordination.

- In your report you talk about the idea from some that the problem of abuse in the Church is about a few bad apples. You say no, the problem is the barrel itself. How does the structure and the systems that you've talked about, how does that relate to the phenomenon of abuse by people within the church?
- The clerical structure, the hierarchical structure directly relates to the phenomenon of abuse. That's the barrel. As you know, we all know now, that it's not a few bad apples. The Australians found out there were more reports of sexual abuse by Catholic clerics and brothers than all the other faith groups combined. Same thing in the United States.

The institutional Church, the clerical structure, the hierarchical structure of the clerical world enables sexual abuse, it enables sexual abusers where not even [inaudible] and it does it in this way. First off, a child [inaudible] with some of these things that I've mentioned, this reputation, this [inaudible] persona that father can never be questioned, can never be disagreed with. And it is a great honour to be singled out for any kind of thank you by father. If father comes to our house for dinner we're special. If he wants me to be

an altar boy and go with him for a drive in the country or a weekend at his cabin we're special, we do that, our parents allow that to happen without questioning. That's the only profession on the globe that I know of where that could be allowed. So that's one thing. I could go on about this, but I will say that that's the first issue, the access to victims.

2.5

The persona, the reputation of the priest allows him to become enmeshed with families, entrusted and that leads to the grooming process, the seduction process of the youthful victims who often don't even know it's happening. They have been raised to believe that priests don't sin, priests don't have sexual feelings at all. So they don't even think about these things, they don't think at all about being abused.

So the priest gradually — and this is most cases, not every one — goes through this process of grooming, singling the victim out, giving them gifts and the parents, of course, are in total approval because this is a great honour. There was a time when it was a great honour to have a kid in the family who would be ordained a priest. That's not the case anymore in many countries. But that was the case at one time. Some families still fear that. I've had parents say that my son said to me he wanted to be a priest, I'd send him to a psychiatrist first, then I'd isolate him on a desert island, second. So that's the first dimension. The seduction and grooming.

The next [inaudible] where a man or a woman, a religious woman, in no other profession would be able to accomplish that. When the actual sexual abuse takes place, in most instances, every instance I know, the victim has been stunned. When you ask them how did you react, "I don't know, it was like I was hit by a bolt of lightning." You know, they never even conceived, someone couldn't believe it actually happened. They think it was a hallucination. One boy thought it was a dream until he found his underwear filled with blood, he'd been raped by a priest, 10 years old. So that's the second stage.

And that stage is where the priest often times uses his power to say to the child "Do not tell anyone because that would be a sin, you don't want to hurt the Church, you don't want to hurt me. And if you do tell anyone, God will be very unhappy and bad things might happen." And that has been carried to extremes. One priest told one of his victims that if he told anyone God would get even with him by going after his parents. That week his father was in an accident and was paralysed. The priest told the kid "You must have told someone because look what God did." That is beyond abominable.

So they use that. That is also where the other leadership part of the Church comes in, the bishops, the representatives of the bishops, who initially would try to dissuade the victims, if they even came forward, of recording or disclosing to anyone besides them,

"Don't tell anyone, we'll take care of this". And those attempts to keep the victims and their families quiet escalated if they sensed that the victims were not going to buy this, you know, "You're going to take care of the problem, we're not buying this." It would escalate to threats of ex-communication. I've seen all of this. Any examples I've given you I've seen many times over. That's the second level where this culture gets involved.

Then there is the interaction with the perpetrator himself. Very often if the report is made the bishop will ask, or the superior will ask the priest you've "Been accused of this", "I didn't do that, it's not true." They will believe the word of the priest automatically and not do any further investigation. Or they will, because the fact that he's a priest and we have to keep the reputation of the priesthood immaculate, so they transfer the man to another parish or another assignment. That power that goes with the clerical state also involves sometimes interaction with the media telling them, you know, "If you're contacted don't publish anything about this." And then of course the next level of influence or attempted influence was in the law enforcement judicial area.

- CHAIR: Mr Mount, just slow it down again, please. Sorry, just asking you to slow down a little bit. Just to say that it's the reception is okay, but sometimes a little bit difficult to decipher, it gets distorted so our stenographer's having trouble understanding without a little bit of time. So just another imperative to keep you slowing down. Thank you.
- 19 A. What I can do during the break is I have a microphone here that I've used for podcasts and for when I've been interviewed for depositions. I'll bring that in and plug it in.
- QUESTIONING BY MR MOUNT CONTINUED: We'll give that a go, Tom, thank you, yes. I think the connection is also a little bit poor, but it's worth a try.
- A. Okay, let me move this thing closer, because there's a mic in the screen. I apologise for occasionally speeding up. I'm trying to be conscious, I really am.
 - **Q.** You're doing very well, thank you.

A. So I mentioned that the clerical aura surrounding the church and priests extends also to law enforcement and to the judiciary in many countries, and I've heard this and seen it where evidence will be given to a prosecuting attorney, a district attorney by Police where they actually caught a priest — this is just an example — involving sexual interaction with a minor. And the policeman would be furious and frustrated after being told we don't have enough evidence to move forward on this. Anything similar would have involved an indictment, it would have gone into the criminal system.

If any of you saw the movie Spotlight which was the story of The Boston Globe's exposure of abuse in Boston, the beginning scene where the priest is — he's in the police

station and two monsignors come to take him off. And the young officer who arrested him said "This will all be taken care of at the arraignment" and the desk sergeant says, "There won't be any arraignment, there won't be anything else." Then you see the priest being led away. This was the way it was handled in the past and in the immediate past. That is all a result of the enabling by the institution.

Q.

Α.

I want to take it to another level. Most of my experience when children have said something to their parents, if they have said something — and the majority do not — the parents would not believe them or would punish them because they had said something derogatory about a priest which was considered to be seriously sinful. That, of course, the child, in the victim's mind, that meant that the parents were in league with the church and so they're helpless and they're isolated. "Even my own parents won't listen to what happened to me." And that's the power.

I've seen instances where parents would choose the priest over their own children, because they had been so brainwashed into believing all of this nonsense about the super powers of the priest and the sacredness of the Church being more important than the emotional, physical and psychological welfare of their child. And I'm here to tell you, after being involved in this as long as I have, there is no position of authority in the Catholic Church or the Government that is so important that it can justify the spiritual and physical ruination of one child, not one. I'm convinced of that as sure as I'm [inaudible].

What is the concept of religious duress that you talk about in your report?

Religious duress is a term that another attorney and I cooked up in 1993. He actually came up with [inaudible] who had victims going back to 1989. Religious duress is the kind of internal fear or pressure that people experience based on the — what they believe, their beliefs about the power of the Church and churchmen. It has a related category and ecclesiastical canon law and that category is called reverential fear, where you fear someone not because they're going to hurt you, but you fear a lunatic coming at you with an axe, but you fear someone because of your connection to them, you fear your parents because you fear hurting them. You don't want to hurt a priest or a bishop or the Church because of your respect for that entity in spite of what happened to you.

So religious duress is this intense internal duress or pressure that victims feel, and other people feel, but I'm just going to talk about victims, that influences their decisions to either do or not do something. Often times religious duress is the fear that they live with that if they disclose, bad things will happen to them because of their beliefs about the Church, about priests and about bishops, so they don't disclose.

 Q.

A.

Parents are inflicted with the same condition, so to speak. When they fear reporting to either law enforcement or attorneys, the fear is put into them by church authorities. "If you do this you're going to hurt the Church" and they see that as an immense sin, because the main business of the church is getting people from this life to the next. So we've got ways on this side.

So that's basically what religious duress is in a nutshell, it's explained in more detail in the report. Now I'd like to mention that this concept, we've used this in the United States in the civil courts to try to illustrate that some people were incapable of coming forward and reporting, consequently they're immune from the statute of limitations. The first attempts were unsuccessful because the defence would say well this is a concept that has been demonstrated scientifically. You can't demonstrate it scientifically, but we finally made it in the Supreme Court of Canada, which issued a decision last year that actually used an article, two articles that I wrote and one that I wrote with Dr Mary-Ann Bankerd psychiatrist, basically about religious duress and clerical narcissism. And the judge who wrote the report concluded that as part of the [inaudible]. It also was used in a decision in Great Britain in a High Court decision, so we're making some headway on that.

The point that's important about it is not winning cases, it's understanding, it's the Church itself, the cleric's understanding what this is and what damage it can do. You can use it, the power of the priesthood, of the clerical, to bring about a lot of good but you can also use it to bring about a tremendous amount of bad, and this is one of the worst, the violation of children.

- We are going to have a break in a moment, but just on this issue of victims, survivors disclosing their abuse, what is the relevance of secrecy within the Church?
- Catholic Church, traditionally, is dependent on secrecy. It prevents the outsiders, the vast majority of Catholics and others, from knowing what goes on on the inside, not only good things that go on, but the bad things, especially covering the bad things. There are two levels of secrecy. One would be cultural secrecy, that's the simple secrecy that is believed to be absolutely necessary, especially by clerics where they keep it alive. The other is official secrecy, there are different levels, there is secrecy attached to certain offices in the Church. I was a judge in a tribunal, I was obliged to maintain absolute secrecy on the issues that I was exposed to in the cases before me.

So there are different levels of secrecy. The top level is called pontifical secrecy, it used to be called the secret of the holy office. Pontifical secrecy covers a number of matters and it covers — it used to cover all matters involving the processing of cases of

1		sexual abuse of minors. Everything is covered —
2	Q.	I don't like to interrupt you, Tom, but we will come back to pontifical secrecy when we
3		look at the Church response.
4	A.	Okay.
5	Q.	So I think perhaps, Madam Chair, this might be a good moment to have that adjournment
6		for 15 minutes or so, Tom. So we'll have a short break now, if that's okay with you and
7		we'll come back in about quarter of an hour, Madam Chair?
8	CHA	IR: Yes, that's fine, we'll come back in 15 minutes.
9		Adjournment from 10.40 am to 10.57 am
10	CHA	IR: Welcome back, Tom.
11	QUE	STIONING BY MR MOUNT CONTINUED: Tom, can you still see me and hear me
12		okay?
13	A.	I can see you and hear you perfectly.
14	Q.	Excellent.
15	A.	I was not able to locate the mic, I'll look at the lunch break, I'll look a little deeper.
16	Q.	Thank you. We were talking about features of the Church that may enable abuse to occur.
17		Is there any relevance in mandatory celibacy?
18	A.	There is a lot of relevance in mandatory celibacy that has direct relationship with sexual
19		abuse. I mentioned one earlier in that it feeds into this mystical aura of a priest that they're
20		somehow more powerful and have this other-worldly aura about you, you don't need sex or
21		intimacy or anything like that. The younger the individual is the more that that is
22		pronounced.
23		Secondly, in preparation for celibacy, to assume celibacy, seminaries, I'm not sure
24		what they're doing now, but I know for ages, the only preparation seminarians received to
25		assume a life of celibacy, which means there will be no sexual activity in thought, word or
26		deed with yourself or anyone else or anything else ever on the assumption that this is
27		something that could be controlled by the will. So all seminarians were taught was that if
28		you violate your obligation of celibacy or your chastity it's a sin and if you have taken
29		religious vows, as I did, it's a double sin because you've also — you're a consecrated person
30		and you've committed a sacrilege against a consecrated person. So we have all this
31		information pumped into you about human sexuality, much of it is erroneous and harmful

Then the idea of celibacy, the preparation for celibacy, the nurturing of it, the preparation of the individual to accept and live the life of celibacy is what is important and

and toxic.

32

33

34

Q.

also the importance that is attached to the clerical subculture, that somehow this removes us even further and that, you know, a priest, if a priest denies that he ever had sex with a child, it's believed because he's a celibate. I'm just right now dealing with a case where an attorney is trying to convince me that a religious woman, who was the accused in this particular case, could not possibly have done this because she's a consecrated woman. You know, I'm trying to be polite with this man [inaudible] it's lunacy. So celibacy then has a direct place.

Now it's totally erroneous to say that because a man has taken a vow of celibacy and tries to live up to it that he automatically is diverted from attraction to age-appropriate or gender-appropriate people and is attracted to children. That's simply not true. You don't catch paedophilia, you don't catch any of the sexual disorders because you are deprived of the ordinary [inaudible]. But the problem is that the mandatory celibacy has an effect on your psychological and on your maturation process because you are deprived, you're told you shouldn't have intimate relationships, not necessarily sexual intimate relationships, but intimate relationships.

Many seminaries teach you that you do not develop what we used to call particular friendships. That was code for homosexual relationships, I didn't know it at the time, but it's code for gay relationships [inaudible]. That seemed to be, in many instances, fraught with the [inaudible] that celibacy only applies to marriage with women or in relationships with women and which, of course, is not true, but that was an attempt at rationalising some sort of sexual or intimate encounter with somebody else.

There have been a number of good studies, good articles written about the impact of celibacy on the whole issue of sexual abuse. We could discuss this ad nauseam, but you told me to keep things short and sweet, so I'll do my best.

- From your knowledge, do you have any comment on the likely extent of secret or concealed sexual lives of clergy around the world in the Catholic Church?
- A. Well, I can speak to that from two dimensions. One my own personal knowledge, experience and the other is reported experiences. I know for a fact from my own life that there were priests that I would come in contact with who were members of my own religious order or diocesan priest or of another religious community that were either involved in a stable I'm not sure what stable means in this context, but it was more than a hit and miss relationship with another person. Many times, that other person is a member of the same gender, it's a gay relationship. In many instances it is not, it is a heterosexual gender-appropriate, whatever that means, relationship.

2.5

A.

But there are significant numbers of celibate, supposedly celibate men priests, who are engaged in relationships, either one night stands, if you want to call them that, just simply to satisfy a sexual need. It's not idle rumour to state that in the Vatican in Rome there have been a number of times when there have been raids on institutions or houses of prostitution, whatever you want to call them, [inaudible] men, with men, men with women, and the men were clerics of some sort. I can't give you numbers, but I can tell you this; to say and claim that 99% of the Catholic priesthood live their celibacy is a fairytale, it's simply not true.

My colleague, the late Richard Sipe had done probably the only ethnographic study ever done on this and his data showed by ethnographic I mean he based this on interviews, in-depth interviews with the people. His conclusion was that at any given time, sometimes 50% or less than 50% of the priests are actually practising celibacy. Now that was not received well by the bishops in the United States and others because they tried to keep alive the myth that everybody's practising celibacy; everybody isn't practising celibacy, that's a fact. That starts with the fact; cardinals, bishops, archbishops, priests, deacons, all the way down.

- Q. Tom, we'll keep an eye on speed and move to a new topic; the impact of clergy abuse.

 What are the effects on victims or survivors that you have seen or studied?
 - My experience again is two-fold. One from my studies, two, from reports that I have read, and the, I don't know, I can't even count the number of cases that I've been an expert witness on where I've had to read in-depth reports. And third, and I believe the most important for me, has been my own one-on-one experiences with victims. I think first, when victims often times are first sexually assaulted they're stunned, they're paralysed, because they cannot process what has happened to them. The damage that is done ordinarily to a young person who's sexually violated by an adult it impacts ability to trust, it impacts seriously the ability to enter into intimate relationship with others, it impacts seriously on the ability to relate in a normal fashion to members of your own gender. As I mentioned earlier, young boys afraid to let their father's touch them because the one man they trusted more than their fathers, the priest, raped them, and so they don't want a male near them. These are the younger ones.

You get up the line and there's the issue of trust, trusting adults. People that you thought you could depend on and trust implicitly and you're taught to trust the church, the bishops and the priests without qualification and without question and you have been betrayed by one act of sexual abuse and that's a profound betrayal. Most people need

significant, deep therapy to be able to move through the various types of trauma that they experienced from being sexually abused. The sexual abuse of a Catholic child by a Catholic priest is a different kind and it's characterised by a psychologist who has treated many priests and victims, a Jewish psychologist named Leslie Lothstein as soul murder and as different and worse than other types of sexual abuse because the priest is portrayed as representing God. Many victims believe "What did I do wrong that God is doing this to me?" They believe they're being punished and that is the belief that many of them cannot shake, it stays with them.

Victims of sexual abuse by anyone, but especially by priests, if they are abused by priests or brothers, experience a profound spiritual damage. I've had victims tell me "I never even knew I had a spiritual dimension until it was taken away from me." This is manifested by depression, by anger, by an inability to be around clerics. I've known victims who have become physically sick when they see a priest, or someone dressed like a priest. [Inaudible] they were unable to go into a church, unable to attend a church service. One man said to me "I can't even walk my daughter down the aisle at her wedding because if I do I'm afraid I will have a complete breakdown." This is a result of sexual abuse that he had encountered, had been perpetrated on him when he was 9 years old and he was maybe 42 when he said this to me. That is not an isolated example.

The worst of the effects I believe is the spiritual damage for a Catholic. It makes it impossible for many to go to family events that are centred around the Church, weddings, funerals. I've spoken to a number of men and women who were not able to go to a parent's funeral, not because they were angry at the church, but because the internal, the PTSD, the post-traumatic stress that they would experience going into the place where they were violated was so bad that they feared having a meltdown, and in a number of instances that actually happened. I've been present and seen individuals melt down in the presence of priests. I was at a wedding one time where this one young woman just freaked out and she ran out of the church and I just, by instinct because I knew who she was, not really well, ran out to see what was wrong and then she told me why she did this, because she was experiencing once again the experience of rape she endured at the hands of the clergy.

People have psychological damage, emotional damage, inability to trust, not uncommon alcohol and drug abuse to kill the pain, a number of victims end up incarnated in one way or another, end up addicted in one way or another. A number of victims have died because they've overdosed, or they've committed suicide or unintentionally overdosed, they died because of that. And I'm not talking about a handful, I'm talking about a

significant number. In my experience with this is not just in the US but around to a number of other countries that I've been directly involved in. So that's a horrific response.

One of the dumbest things I've ever heard a bishop say in my life came out of a bishop who was being deposed, it's a court procedure we have, and asked about what he would do about the number of youthful young boys who had been sexually abused by one of his priests and he said, and I quote "little boys heal".

Q. Say that again sorry Tom, little boys?

2.5

A.

This bishop said in reference to the little boys that were raped by this priest "little boys heal". That is insane. But that showed the level of understanding that this man had of the after effects of being violated, sexually violated by anyone, much less a priest. I've never had it happen to me, but I will say, it's happened in my family, and I won't say who, but a close member of my family was raped by two young men at a party when she was 14. That had a profound effect on her for years and it only took a massive amount of therapy because she could even rejoin the human race. It involved sex abuse that she incurred, it involved drug abuse, it involved rehabilitation, jail and prison. It profoundly impacted my family in a way that we will never recover from. I know that. I've seen it. I saw the impact on the parents of that girl. It will never change, it will never be over.

So the impact of sexual abuse on victims — the institutional Church, by that I mean basically the bishops, use a string of euphemistic words to mask or use, or codify sexual abuse, improper touches, boundary violations, excessive love, misplaced love, things of this nature that try to downplay and minimise what really is happening. And I'm here to tell you from my experience, what really is happening is profound sexual violation. Very often it amounts to forced penetration, either vaginal or oral or anal by the perpetrating priest, it often times that on a number of occasions where the victim is tied in and can't get freed up from his bond, that's what's happening. But we try to mask over it to make it look like it's something casual. "I put my arm around him and he reacted." I've had many priests say this. "I just rubbed against him when we were playing basketball" and then sooner or later the truth comes out. And the truth is sickening and sordid and it's toxic, it's violent. And that's the reality of sexual abuse in the Catholic Church. You have to accept that and the men and women, especially the men at the top have to understand and accept that is what we are talking about.

- **Q.** Are victims of this abuse more vulnerable to prolonged abuse?
- A. They are. Victims of abuse are very often more vulnerable to prolonged abuse because of some of the things we spoke about earlier, the control that the priest has, the religious

2.5

duress that we spoke about. The victim immediately, once they become enmeshed with a cleric, something happens between them and it's called a traumatic bond. That is a term that was originated by a Canadian psychiatrist named Donald Dutton who worked primarily with spouses who were abused and tried to answer the question why do profoundly abused spouses not want to leave the abuser, namely, usually, wives won't leave their husbands who abuse them. Then we get into the interaction of a priest with a victim and the more — their victims are afraid that the priest has a hold on them. Often times they threaten them, "If you say anything you'll be punished. God will punish you, he'll punish your families. I'll tell them that you came on to me." And believe it or not there are bishops who believe that 10, 12, 13 year old boys seduce priests. That's another dimension of insanity that I cannot internalise.

But, back on track. This trauma bond is, you know, we look at a bondage between two people who are — they flirt with each other, they like each other, they love each other. This is a similar bond but it's toxic, it's deadly. It holds someone in his grip so that the other party can harm them and they're afraid to separate, afraid to go. And some actually have felt some form of affection for the perpetrator who they had a lot of affection for beforehand and this affection continues, and they're confused about the sexual abuse. This doesn't mean it's okay, it doesn't mean it's the victim's fault, but those are psychological dynamics that most hierarchy don't understand and need to understand if we're going to understand the full panoply of effects of sexual abuse by clerics.

So one comparison, many of us have heard and remember the Stockholm Syndrome, the people who were kidnapped in a robbery and became emotionally attached to their kidnapers. There have been some professional articles written about the relationship of the psychological dynamic of the Stockholm Syndrome with sexual abuse, where the sexual abuse is repeated and repeated and repeated and the bond sometimes totally stops when the abuser departs out of fear of being caught or discovered, or when something happens and the abused person is able to escape and get out of the situation. But many of them have said "I didn't know where to go, I didn't know how to tell anybody, I didn't know the words to use, I was afraid to tell my parents, I was convinced no-one would believe me, and I'd be punished." Those are examples that are common.

- Q. What are the healing needs of victims, survivors who have experienced what you have described and how might those needs be addressed?
- A. Well, I think on a the first level you often consider when bishops or religious superiors offer to help victims they often times focus on psychological help and they will arrange for

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

Q.

Α.

them to have psychological counselling. That's fine, but unfortunately the counsellors who deal with the victims on that level need to have an understanding of sexual abuse of young people by adults of the unique dynamics and dimensions of that. They also need to have an understanding of sexual abuse of children, minors by priests. There aren't that many that have developed this expertise. A growing number are, but there are not that many that have but that's crucial. I've talked to a number of victims that said "I went to this counsellor a number of times, it was a waste. He kept asking about my relationship with my mother, with my father", because he didn't know how to get into what had really happened, even though he knew it. That's crucial. It takes a great deal of therapy with most victims to help them to get to a point where they can live a productive life, but there still are the flashbacks, the PTSD that surfaces its ugly head when least expected.

There are often times physiological damage done, especially if there's forced penetration on a young person, a girl or a boy. That demands the attention of a physician obviously that's trained in dealing with those types of issues, and that physician also must, absolutely must have the sensitivity in dealing with this victim that that little boy or little girl, or maybe not so little boy or not so little girl, is not just a slab of meat sitting on a table, but is a human that has been profoundly, profoundly violated. And so that part is essential and that's the part that has many times been overlooked by those who are trying to help victims and certainly by the ecclesiastical leadership. We don't want to think of that because the abuse itself is masked over with these silly euphemisms, "I just kissed him, we fondled, I touched him, oh we had mutual masturbation", which is pretty traumatic for a little kid, or not just a little child but a teenager, doing it with a priest, it's very traumatic. But if it amounts to actual rape, that's where you have physical damage done and it sometimes depends on the age and the manner in which it was perpetrated, the damage can be lifelong. [Inaudible] if you want me to with the spiritual or if you want — I was going to ask, yes, how can spiritual damage be addressed in your view? I can only tell you what I've done in my attempts. There has been not a great deal of decent writing about the spiritual damage from sexual abuse by clerics, there's not a great deal been done. I have not seen anything come out of the institutional church or the Vatican. The closest I've seen was when Pope Benedict sent his letter to the people of Ireland in 2010, he suggested that the spiritual damage done could be solved by returning to the Church, the institutional Church. That's not the way you deal with it, that's asking the victims to go back to the scene of the crime and risk [inaudible] the trigger and risk more PTSD. I've only meant, in my 36 years, I would say a handful of the victims who actually

continue to want to be part of the institutional Church.

So what I've done as far as dealing with abuse and of spiritual damage is first listen and listen as long as it takes. That's pastoral care often times is listening and absorbing the anger that's going to come out. I've been involved in more transformation, transference than you can imagine with victims [inaudible] I'm a priest, was an active priest, they've never seen me in outfits, maybe pictures, but the transference was there and I've been the recipient of some [inaudible]. One woman said "When I look at you I want to vomit." It doesn't really help my feelings, but I know that others who have gone through this and I have to endure that. That's part of helping them heal. I am a target, I'm a punching bag. Other priests, very, very small number that have tried to offer pastoral care to victims have encountered the same reaction.

Once I've developed some form of trust and we can have an interplay, a dialogue, I then begin or try to begin, if I think it's going to help and if they think it's going to help, we unpack some of the toxic thinking that got them to be where they are. And we begin with the toxic thinking about the nature of the priesthood and priests where we try to get them to the point, not where I'm — I'm not instructing or lecturing but I can reflect on who and what I've been with them.

And I will say this publicly. Another part of my background that has been extremely helpful to me in communicating with victims, I am a recovering alcoholic. I have 29 years of sobriety. That has opened the door with so many victims, because they've seen I'm a human and they know that I have been in the toilet, I'm been in the bottom of the barrel, I've been many times where they've been, before I got sober with despondency and depression.

But, so we try to unpack their notions about the principle, and then once that is done, and that takes some time, I try to move to the next level, their toxic thinking about the nature of the institutional Church, [inaudible] it cannot condemn you to hell, it cannot, you know, it cannot protect you from sexual urges, the whole concept of sexual sin, we have to get into that. So many of them are burdened if they've experienced, as younger people, some form of involuntary pleasure they don't know, they're not taught involuntary sexual pleasure is exactly what it says. It's not wrong, it's not sinful. Many of them have experienced that and they think it's morally sinful because that's the way they were taught and that goes with them. I try to unpack some of that, the misunderstandings about human sexuality, that they're not a sinner, they're not bad, they're not evil.

I'll cut to the chase on this. We go through then trying to refocus what the church

is and what bishops are, because they see bishops all dressed up in their outfits and they think that a priest is God, the bishop is above God because they're removed. They're told they're the pillars of the Church, they're the most sacred members of the Church and so on. And they believe these things and they believe it when a bishop tells them "Don't you tell anyone" and so on and so forth.

2.5

The final level often times is their understanding of the higher power. Many, many, most of the victims I've met when they've finally felt free enough and liberated enough to admit it will say "I cannot believe in God, I don't believe in God" and I will recount one instance.

I was at a press conference after a trial, a civil trial. The victim was at the press conference, he was 52 years old and the jury had found in his favour and awarded him, I can't remember how much. A couple of reporters said to him "Do you still believe in God?" And he said, and I quote "How could I possibly believe in a God that would allow one of his priests to come down night after night and anally rape me." That never left me. That never left me. And that is the image. So we have to try to unpack that.

And I've literally told people that's not the right — you need to have a new God if you're going to have one. Fire that one, get rid of him." And then I go into what we do in AA. We don't have a religion in Alcoholics Anonymous and I've been a steady part of that church, if you want to call it that, for 29 years. We talk about a higher power of your understanding, which I think is more theologically correct than any of the churches that say "You have to believe that God is what I say he is. He looks like what I say he looks like." That I'm taking someone else's word. So many, nay victims feel liberated when they get to that point and feel that they can say "I don't want that God, I don't need that God, he's hurt me too much." And he's [inaudible] and he's protecting them.

So those are the basic steps I think. Helping a person to understand, and as a recovering alcoholic and having been through the 12 steps, and I can talk more about spirituality from that perspective, because that's what AA is based on, spirituality. I never really understood it until I hit bottom and got into the programme, I've done lectures and [inaudible] role I had as a seminarian and as a priest, I never understood spirituality. I thought it was something you learned, the way you looked, the way you talked, an affect. And I go to AA meetings and there are people that are in prison, they're bikers, they're everything and they're deeply spiritual. Why? Because they know that inside of them somehow there's a connecting element, there's something connecting him or her to this higher power and that higher power can be what I want it or understand him or her or it to

be. If it's my God it's my God, if it's my wife it's my wife, but it's a power bigger than I am. And so that's crucial.

1 2

2.5

So those are the basic steps I think of — we say in AA a religious person doesn't want to go to hell; a spiritual person's been to hell and doesn't want to go back. I can tell you, having been on the threshold, I understand that, on the threshold of hell, teeter tottering back and forth. Fortunately, I landed on this side and not that side.

So that, in a very brief explanation, is what I've tried to do with victims, and part of my own work with them is also my own experience in my own life. I had to go through a profound religious period of searching, of pain and of loss because of what I had experienced in all of these years in where I was. Everything I had been taught about the institutional Church, bishops and priests I could no longer believe, it went out the window. Something had to come into that hole and that something fortunately was my work in the 12 steps, my life in the 12 steps.

So that basically explains it. I take them, I share my own story, some of it, and I listen to their story and their anger and I accept their ways of expressing many of these things. And I've got to tell you, I've learned more theology from people who probably even spell the word but knew what it was, from their struggles, both in AA and with sexual abuse.

- **Q.** No doubt individual experiences will vary widely, but how long might the period of treatment or recovery from clerical abuse take?
- A. I think you'd have to ask a psychiatrist or psychologist to give you a better handle on that. I think the best option, of course, is residential treatment for six to eight months if not longer.

 Because they're dealing with a variety of disorders, PTSD being one of them, and God knows what else.

As far as the spiritual healing that's a lifetime process, that's a lifetime process. Often times it involves ebbing and flowing, highs and lows. I often recommend that they get someone to function as a sponsor like we have in the addiction world. Every — I call them the As, AA, NA, everybody who has sponsors who we can lean on, who are somebody that can help guide us. And I often suggest this, someone who you can talk to, you can share with, you can open your heart to. Because victims of sexual abuse, more than any of the rest of us, have a profound difficulty trusting. They cannot trust adults, they certainly can't trust priests and bishops. That's a sine qua non, don't even expect that, that they cannot have — they have a hard time trusting adults.

I would say it's a lifetime process. It involves spirituality that perhaps for the first

- time ever in their lives it's a departure from ritual, from externals and from magical thinking into the real depth and the hard nuts and bolts of spirituality.
- We know that many of these cases take years or decades to come to light. Is the label "historic" an accurate label in your view?

2.5

A.

I find the use of the label "historic" to describe cases as repugnant, as insulting and as inaccurate; it's insulting to the victims. The only time when abuse is historic is when both sides of the equation are deceased, then it's part of history. But the scars and the damage and the pain, it remains. Again, my experience has been with so many super adult, older adult victims. As I say, given my age, more mature adult victims. We've seen [inaudible]. The pain and the anger is still there. That's not historic, that's now. The crime might have been committed way back when. But that's not — they need healing often times more than the ones who come forward not long after the actual rape or victimisation has taken place.

I will not use that word "historic" and when I hear it used I [inaudible] way to discourage its use, sometimes I used to use a little stronger language like I just did, because this is a forum right now, a lot of people are watching this, a lot of people are listening to this. And I want to say without equivocation, there is no such thing as a living historic case of sexual abuse.

It should not be treated as numbers or as customers or as something that happened years or decades ago. Many victims, most victims cannot disclose for decades, why? Because of the nature of sexual abuse. And that is worse when its victims of clerics or religious persons, worse because of the fears and the guilt that somehow God is wrapped up in this. And they've got them all wrapped around in that, the fear and guilt. It's different than being raped by the mailman or the garage mechanic or your grandfather.

- **Q.** I want to turn now to the Church's response to the phenomenon of abuse. You're a canon lawyer. What would be the proper response of a bishop to a report of abuse within his diocese?
- Α. The first proper response when they hear about it? Find out where the victim lives, go to the victim himself and extend support, understanding in some form — that's pastoral care. That man or woman should be the most important person in that bishop's world right there. It's not done, it's hardly ever done. The first phone call is generally to the lawyer not to the victim, whether it's an older victim or younger. You consider we're religious personages, we're dealing with souls, with the people guiding people along the religious path developing their spiritual life. What's happened to them is aptly described as soul murder. Go to them. If that had happened from the get-go, you and I would not be talking today.

That's the way this should have been dealt with from the very beginning.

O.

But I think the first response, that's what it definitely should be. Often times unfortunately the first response is I will refer to this to our victims' assistance coordinator who will contact the victim and instruct them what they are to do to contact somebody, meet with the review board; it's all bureaucratic response. What has to happen is a human response, not a bureaucratic response.

The institutional Church is not just us with but with others have been kicking out protocols, policies, procedures, canned apologies, canned explanations, spending a lot of money on public relations firms and all for what end? None of that answers the real question.

The real question is, how do I respond to this man or woman who has been profoundly damaged by the person they trust the most, the link between them and God. It's not the victims' assistant coordinator, it's not some commission, none of that. Don't send them a bunch of paper, don't send them a piece of paper to fill out a form, it's not only counter-productive it's insulting and it further traumatises and revictimises them. If you want to get into canon law I can do that but —

- We don't need to dwell at great length on the canon law, but it may be important to know whether, in the church law, child sexual abuse is a crime and whether there are reporting requirements and whether there is a process under church law for a response to child sexual abuse?
- A. Yes. I can do this slowly and succinctly because I've done it so many times. Let me begin by saying the institutional Church has a history of sexual abuse of minors by clerics that is documented back to the year 98 AD. The first actual laws written in the Church were written at the Synod of Elvira in 309 and there were laws there that spoke directly to sexual abuse of minors and sexual abuse by clerics. There's a steady path or a trail, a documented trail and the documents are in the Church's own receptacles, own archives. From that era, the first century down to the present, indicating that we had known about sexual abuse and unfortunately, we've never been able to get it right.

The Code of Canon Law was first codified, created in 1917. It was revised after Vatican 2 in 1983. The canons in that code are all grounded in or based on prior legislation in the Church that goes back into the middle ages. And if you see a copy of the code, you'll see at the bottom what are called (inaudible) or the sources. They are the footnotes that make reference to where this or that canon came from.

Sexual abuse of a minor, under 16, is mentioned specifically in the code of 1917

and 1983. Sexual abuse of a minor by a cleric is a canonical crime punishable by a number of ecclesiastical punishments. Up until 1983 the maximum was what was called deposition. In other words, you were like permanently suspended, but that could be reversed. In 1983 it was jacked up and the maximum penalty was dismissal from the clerical state, fired as a priest, or bishop or Cardinal. So, the crime is there.

Now there is a section in the penal law code called the preliminary investigation. I equate that in my own country to what a grand jury does, and the bishop receives the report from any source, including unsigned letters, anonymous reports or just tales going around the community. He has an obligation [inaudible] to investigate that report, to see if there's any, even a bit of smoke to that — to the fire that is the accusation. To see if there are any possible proofs.

This is not the trial, this is looking at the possible evidence. If it's a complete figment of someone's imagination, hallucination, that's one thing. But if it isn't, if it's an anonymous letter that has enough specificity about it, it doesn't just say this priest did this at that time, but Father so and so in this parish this time has been doing this and I'm not signing a letter because I'm afraid of the consequences. The bishops usually threw that away because it was unsigned. That's not the way to do it. The commentaries and the codes say even those kinds of communications had to be respected, and we had to be reminded of that once again this past year when the Pope announced — the CDF issued a document called a vade mecum which is a reminder of how to apply these canons. So that says if there's a report there must be an investigation.

This investigation, when it's completed, it has to be documented. The results are given to the bishop and the bishop makes the decision as to the next step. So, the individual, he now decides on whether to deliver an indictment, to either initiate a judicial canonical procedure or a judicial administrative procedure. That was the way it was done up until 2001.

In 2001 another decree came out of the Holy Office, the Congregation For the Doctrine of the Faith, that said that after the initial investigation is done the results are to be sent to the Congregation For the Doctrine of the Faith in Rome. They would decide what happens next. They would either remand the case back to the diocese with instructions to have a trial, or they would send it to another place, a change of venue, or they would have the trial at the congregation itself, or depending on the circumstances, they would recommend to the Holy Father immediate dismissal. There's so much evidence, there's no sense in going through a trial, we recommend that the priest be immediately dismissed. So

that's where it goes now.

Now this preliminary investigation is mandatory, it's mentioned in the code of 1917, in two documents issued since the 1917 code, in 1922 and 1962 each document had the same name, Crimen Sollicitationis, in English means the crime of solicitation, which included the procedures for investigating and prosecuting four kinds of sexual crimes by clerics, including solicitation for sex in a confessional, homosexual relations with another person by a priest, because all priests are men, bestiality and sexual abuse of minors. They had to be processed according to those rules. It was repeated in 62 and again it was revised in 2001 and at that time the requirement that the case be remanded to the Holy See was included.

That's the Church's official canonical response. There's also canons that say anyone, especially those who hold ecclesiastical office who know about the committing of a crime and either [inaudible] the criminal or fail to do something about it share in the liability for that crime. I would translate that into English — not doing anything. Listening to a complaint and not doing anything.

These procedures I just outlined were hardly ever used, not only in the United States but in other countries. I have reviewed probably a couple thousand cases and I've only seen evidence that the required canonical procedures were used approximately ten times; five times in the United States, twice in Canada and the other three in South American countries, that's it.

- Q. Our connection was a little tricky there. How many cases have you reviewed did you say?
- 22 A. I've probably the reviewed the files of a couple thousand. And these are cases where I've
 23 been an expert witness where you review the case of the accused himself. Many times I've
 24 had to review the cases of files of all the other accused priests in the diocese to establish
 25 what our lawyers call pattern and practise. Plus, other cases for other reasons. But I have
 26 reviewed a lot.
- **Q.** There was a body you mentioned, the CDF or Congregation For the Doctrine of the Faith.
 28 Can you explain that body briefly for the Commissioners please?
- A. I can't explain it, I can tell you about it. It used to be called the Inquisition. The Inquisition
 was a court system that was founded in the Church to deal with heresy and we all know the
 dark story of that. The Inquisition actually only went out of business at the end of the
 maybe 19th century, beginning of the 20th century. And its name was changed to the
 Congregation For the Holy Office and the primary duty is protecting the integrity of
 Catholic doctrine. So, they would decide who was a heretic, who wasn't a heretic, what

books to condemn, what books not to condemn.

Q.

But they also had authority given to them over certain other issues, and one of them was the sexual violation of children by priests. This Congregation For the Doctrine of the Faith now is, or what used to be called the Holy Office was the department where cases were submitted for laicisation. Now the only laicisations they do are punitive ones involving sex abuse.

But that is the congregation, probably the most powerful congregation in the holy — in the Vatican. They have a court system, they have their own rules of procedure, they have their own personnel and that's where these cases end up. That's where appeals, if a case is tried on a local level, on a tribunal level with a tribunal process, and it is appealed, the appeal must go to the Congregation of the Doctrine of the Faith, the appeal is then reconsidered on the appellate level.

That in a nutshell — it's had three names, the first was the Inquisition and the last person executed by the Inquisition I believe was in either Mexico or Spain in 1830 something. Things do move on, it's no longer considered socially acceptable to burn heretics, you just condemn them to hell, then began the Congregation of the Holy Office, and the Holy Office was the congregation, that was it. They then were changed by Pope Paul VI after the second Vatican Council for the Congregation For the Doctrine of the Faith.

These changes took place to remove the office from the dark cloud that hung over, certainly from the Inquisition, and then from the Congregation For the Holy Office, it did not have a good reputation, because, you know, they condemned a lot of people for what they called heresy, and they continue to do that. And you didn't even know you were being adjudicated until you got a letter telling you that you had been condemned. So that's the CDF in a nutshell.

- You have told us what the response of the church should be, both at a canon law level and also on a human level. Can we talk about what the response of the institutional church has been in fact to cases of clergy abuse in your experience?
- A. Yes, I can sum it up by saying that the institutional Church in the papacy down to the local level, has failed implicitly in its response to the worldwide phenomenon of sexual abuse of minors by clerics, failed miserably in every country where it has surfaced, and it exists in every country where there is an established clergy of any kind. The only country on this earth that I know of that has not had any problems, the two countries that have not had any problems with sexual abuse, maybe three that I know of, are Antarctica, because there's

2.5

nobody down there but penguins and American military and some Russian military; Bhutan, which is at the top of the world and is wholly, I believe, Buddhist; and Nepal. So, it's surfaced in every other country. And the response of the institution has been terrible, because the institution has responded with a variety of [inaudible], denial that it even exists, minimisation, a few bad apples but everybody's really basically good, look at all the good that priests do. Forget the good that priests do, look at the damage that's done with just one or two victims. As they say in the bible, you save one life you save the world, you destroy one world and maybe you destroy a chunk of the world as well, the Catholic world. So, they've failed, denial, minimisation.

One of the very unfortunate side effects has been the evaluation of the victims and the reporters, their families, by demonising them, by making them feel guilty, by isolating them and by often times even allowing the community to get on this bandwagon to condemn these terrible people who are making these awful accusations about Father, you know, while we're trying to kick up another money to pay for Father's defence costs in our little parish.

In one case I knew of two kids in the same family were violated by the priest, the mother went and reported it, she lived in a small town in Ireland, and she and her husband had what they call a provision store, we call them a 7-Eleven in the US, I'm not sure what you call them over there, but you know what I'm talking about. They were run out of business by the townspeople because they were looked upon as the enemy because they had said this terrible thing about a priest, even though everybody knew it was true.

So, you have minimisation, you have demonisation or devaluation of the victims, shifting the blame to the culture, you know, people don't go to confession enough, people don't believe in the Church's teaching on birth control. I could go down a list as long as both of our arms combined of nonsensical reasons that have been cooked up to explain this. The bottom line is, somebody from our [inaudible], one of our men or one of our women has done a terrible, terrible thing and we have to respond accordingly. And our first response has to be to the victim not to cover the tracks, not to protect the institution, not to worry about our reputation, but to take care of that person and that's not the way it's been done.

If it had been done that way and we fumbled all over the place with dealing with, you know, helping the victim, helping the perpetrator, if we at least knew enough to go on and listen and give them a shoulder to cry on then we'd be two-thirds of the way there, but we're not there yet.

Q. What sort of attitudes towards victims have you seen from the church?

A. Their general attitude has been they're a nuisance, to they're an enemy, to they're the disease that hurts the Church, they say bad things about priests and they're not true, or they won't let it go, they can't move on and heal. It's like saying to somebody, you know, we just amputated your legs, don't worry about it they'll grow back. I said that a little too quickly, I'm sorry. It's like telling someone who's had their legs amputated, wait a while they're grow back. It doesn't work that way.

So the attitude in general has been — and now because the victims have taken charge of their own destiny. Up until our era the institutional church was in charge and ran the response to sexual abuse by clergy, and it surfaced a number of times throughout history. This time the institutional Church, the bishops and the Pope are on the defensive, they're not driving the bus, the victims are driving the bus. And they're making headway and they're insisting on doing it the right way. Because never before has it been in the courts as much as it is now. And [inaudible] governed entity had actually investigated the Catholic Church for these kinds of crimes, not only in New Zealand, in Australia, in the US, but it's going on in other countries, Ireland, England, Scotland.

So the attitude to the victims have not been good, to sum it up. Pope Jean Paul II ignored the victims, he knew in detail what was going on, at least by February 1985, and I know that because I composed the report that was signed by my former boss and sent to him where he read it personally, and it was graphic. He did nothing but sympathise with the bishops until 1993 when he issued his first public statement that basically said it's the fault of the American materialism and the sensationalism of the media, and the only best way to cure it is by prayer and American needs much prayer as it moves its soul. When I read that letter I wanted to tear my hair out.

- **Q.** What attitudes have you seen towards lawyers acting for victims or survivors, and how fair are those attitudes in your view?
- A. For the most part I can go back again and say that I've encountered two classes of lawyers who've worked and represented victims. One class are the ones who've gotten involved with one or two cases and then got out of it and said "I never want to go near that again" because they've never dealt with litigating against the Roman Catholic Church and they've never encountered somebody on the other side of the table quite like the Church, and it's very common for attorneys to say "If their lips are moving presume they're lying", because they've encountered so much mendacity and so much roadblocking by the institutional Church refusing to give documents over to tell the truth and so on.

33 Q.34

So you have that batch of lawyers. Then you have another significant number of attorneys who are the ones who did for the victims what the clerics should have done when they met them. They listened, they offered sympathy, they offered understanding, and they believed — they went above and beyond what they have should have done as lawyers. One Jewish attorney said to me, he said "I knew I had to be a Rabbi for this kid and I don't know how to be a Rabbi, I'm a lawyer." So I said "What did you do?" And he told me, I said "You did the right things, you listened, you let him sit there and talk to you and to get it all out." That's what a lot of the attorneys have done, in my experience, both here and in some other countries.

Then there's a number of them, now there's a whole new batch coming out because we have a new flood of cases in the United States because of the changes in laws, in the reporting laws in several states after they expanded to allow victims who were time barred to come into the courts. Let me add that the only opposition to changing this legislation in the United States, most of it has come from the Catholic bishops and some from the insurance industry and some from the Boy Scouts, but mostly in the Catholic bishops who have injected and spent in — I believe there was one number I saw, and I think it was connected to New York State, \$10 million hiring lobbyists to prevent change in legislation.

Go back on track, lawyers. So I've seen that with the lawyers representing the victims. I've seen many of them where it's transcended being simply a client and me doing a job for a [inaudible] case, which is what we call them over here, to it becoming a cause, where they've taken great risks. They've mortgaged homes, businesses and everything else to finance the case, knowing it was going to take a lot of time before we got anywhere, because the Church has tonnes of money to pay lawyers and they're taking it all on contingency. I understand you don't have this same dynamic in New Zealand, but we do here and they do in a number of other countries. So that's what I was seeing.

I've seen a number of attorneys, who become close friends of mine, I've seen a number of them lose all faith in the Catholic Church and trust in the system, and some lost faith in God because of their involvement.

That's the kinds, that's basically the two classes; the ones who do it once, do it twice, "I'm out of here", they don't want any more to do with it and then others who will go the length and say "I'm committed to helping" and it becomes more than just a couple of occasions, it's a cause.

You talked earlier about spiritual damage potentially requiring a lifetime of response. Have you seen the Catholic institutional Church grapple with spiritual damage, to understand it

and to try to address it?

2.5

Q.

Α.

I've seen — I haven't seen the Church as an institution where the top level has issued guidelines, or said tremendous spiritual damage is done, we need to look and research what this is and how to fix it, but I've seen instances of clerics and bishops and priests and others who are realising this and trying to address it, trying to find ways to address it. I've been building a file of articles, some written by religious women, some by religious men and clerics who try to address this issue of the spiritual damage. Some have done it without having had any contact with victims and some have done it the way I've done it, that's a lived experience and a result of what I have seen.

So I think that area is finally being looked at, not the way it should be by the institution, the [inaudible], the bishops should have that number one and I begin to wonder do they know how to establish any type of criteria, do they know how to even look into spiritual damage. Because spiritual damage is much more profound than not going to church and being angry at the priest. It gets into deep depression and despondency, it's very, very profound and it's very complicated. And it cannot be cured or helped by going back to church, going back to communion, or as one bishop told a young woman I know, "Go and make a good impression and come back to Church" and she got up and as she was walking out of his office she said "Archbishop, you are the one that needs to go to confession, goodbye."

So I have seen some efforts on that regard. And I have to say in all honesty I've seen efforts across the board of clerics searching and trying to do the right thing, of being touched by seeing and their experiences with victims how serious this really is. The institution as a whole isn't there yet, we've still got a long way to go.

- Have you seen any differences between statements by the Church in inquiries such as this one, or in public statements, and the way the church has conducted individual cases, whether in lawsuits or otherwise?
- A. Oh, absolutely see differences. I mean I've read, I can't tell you how many policies and procedures. I've talked to many, many victims who have gone to talk to victim assistance coordinators, or diocesan review boards and said "I felt I was at an inquisition. They didn't believe me, they were cross-examining me and I got up and walked out." That's the response that is not unusual. It's not every place, but it's there.

So there has been a chasm between what should be done according to their own policies and procedures and what actually is done. And one of the thoughts I believe is that the policies and procedures are very often cooked up and the primary input is from and it

should be from the victims. The victims themselves need to be listened to, they need to be respected, they should be on every board, if you're going to have boards, they should be on every administrative [inaudible] should be a counsellor, that's across the board. It would be as if you're going to go into medicine and you want to become a pathologist but you're afraid to go to an autopsy, you don't like the look of blood.

2.5

Q.

That's an honest response, it's not a fanatic exaggeration but it's a fact. That's why there's so much variation. Now it's easy to [inaudible]. I know for a fact, I know it's been confirmed here, that many dioceses have public relations firms that they cook up, they create statements which they're going to make, they create statements for any diocesan officials to make if they get an inquiry, a public relations firm who's very much involved in putting together the meeting of the Catholic bishops that took place in Dallas in 2002. It's unfortunate that any bishop any diocese has to hire the public relations firm to make him look like he's doing what he's supposed to be doing. There's something wrong with that picture.

- **Q.** To what extent has the church institutionally focused on maintaining its own power and stability as part of a response?
- A. I think it's continued to worry primarily about its image, sometimes in more subtle ways than it did before, but I think the worry is still there. I think the insistence that the church can do its own investigations, that it can take care of its own business in this regard (inaudible), it's still concerned about its image. I think the continued demonisation of victims, which still exists, is another evidence. In fact, for example, I was a consultant to the Papal Commission For the Protection of Minors. I quit. The fact that two survivors who were on that Commission quit because they felt there was so much blockage going on by the Vatican Curia that nothing was ever going to happen.

Those are realities. So I think in spite of the concerns, and it's inevitable because the Catholic Church is a hierarchical, governmental entity, the prime movers, the pillars are the bishops. So it's natural that they believe they have to protect the sanctity, because we teach that that's the gateway to heaven. The way you get to heaven is through the sacraments and the gateways, the stakeholders of the sacraments, the men who are the care-takers are the clerics, officials and priests. So there's bound to be, without question, a natural need to protect the image and integrity of the institution.

I think we may be allowed about another 15 minutes before we need to have a break here and you certainly have earned a break. I have two topics. Firstly, some of the developments over the last two years, 2019, 2020 coming from the Vatican, and then

I wanted to talk about the future and what changes might be made. So you can tell from the 15 minutes that we don't have a lot of time to talk about the changes emanating from the Vatican in 2019 and 2020. Is it possible to summarise those and give us your view about how much of a difference they will make?

A.

I can. I can summarise by saying that I think those are moves that both Pope Benedict XVI and Pope Francis have made, have been very positive. Now Benedict, you know, did meet with victims, which just the fact that he did that says a lot. I think he was befuddled by this whole issue that he's led such a sheltered life he had never encountered it face-to-face. You can (inaudible) murder mysteries, you can watch movies, but it's different than meeting a murderer face-to-face.

But he did have the courage to actually laicise two bishops and the bishop -- the last laicisation of a bishop before the year 2008 was in 1807, I believe, when (inaudible) was laicised at his own request by Pope Pius IV, I think, the VI, one of them. He was a French churchman, he was a diplomat, but he was also a (inaudible).

Francis comes along and does what nobody else — he actually apologised for making a mistake. He has also fired bishops who have abused children, he removed Cardinal McCarrick from the College of Cardinals and then he had him removed from the clerical state. The last time a cardinal was laicised from my own research was Cesare Borgia whose father made him a bishop and a cardinal and then de-cardinaled him because he didn't want to be a priest any more, he wanted to be a warrior.

But Francis did that and he has made moves that I think have gotten the attention of bishops who began to realise this is serious stuff. If he's firing bishops and a cardinal for this, it's big stuff, it's not small, it's major. So that's I think a major move. Now a lot of people that are in my area, I guess, and my side of the fence disagree. But I find -- I still have a lot of hope for the institutional church, because I do fundamentally believe in the spirit of Christ. So I think it may not look like it's been there for a long time, but I think that one or two people do the right thing.

Francis is trying, he responded to that nightmare in Chile by removing the bishop who he put in place against the objections of -- there was a riot at this bishop's consecration because he had covered up a priest who was sexually abusing children. So Francis has made some turnarounds.

But the problem, I think, is that the institutional church continues to approach this issue from an administrative standpoint, an administrative basis, and I believe that is basically the only way they know how to do it, is issue a decree, put together some

- procedures, issue some orders, [inaudible] problem, it's [inaudible], it's trying to fix a
 hardware problem with software solution. It is not going to work. So I think there is some
 hope, it's going to take a long time [inaudible]. Am my 15 minutes up?
- **Q.** We better talk about the future.
- 5 A. Okay.

- **Q.** In your view, what would be the most important component of a better response by the institutional church to abuse?
- A. My view the most important thing is what I've been saying all along. It is the one-on-one, compassionate contact between the leader of the diocese or the religious order and the victims. It takes time, it takes effort, it's very, very painful, but these should be the most important people in the church at that time. That would be a mammoth move forward, mammoth. Rather than treating them as customers, as people who fell down the church steps and are now suing us.

Soul murder, keep that in mind, their souls have been trampled on, they've been run over with a bulldozer and they need compassionate care and help from the most important person to give it to them. When that has happened, the few times that has happened, it's had a profound impact on the victims. [Inaudible] over the years, when I've spent time with them and believe that I've built some trust, I've said to them, "I want to honestly and sincerely apologise to you, tell you how deeply sorry I am for what we have done to you." I'm still part of that cloth, "for what we have done to you." And I have not had one person yet say to me "Oh, that's already been said to me". Not "I'm sorry for the pain you've experienced, I'm sorry that mistakes were made, I'm sorry that Father did this to you". No, we did it to them, clerics, the whole culture, we did it, all of us. Not just the perpetrator, he's part of a bigger culture, a bigger tsunami, whatever you want to call it, but that I think is the most important thing.

Get away from all the commissions, all the rules, all the regulation, all that stuff, deal compassionately and realistically with the perpetrators and deal compassionately and kindly and understandably with the victims. If you can't, work at getting there, work at getting there. And I'll tell you, in my experience dealing with alcoholics (inaudible), I've had to go to Al-Anon myself to deal with my own anger at people I've been counselling even though I'm one of them and dealing with the denial, the craziness, the lunacy and all that.

So learn how to do it, learn how to do the path of compassion. Unfortunately bishops aren't allowed to be. They have to be administrators, they have to be businessmen,

1	they have to go meetings. I mean one bishop I knew, a good guy, felt he was losing his
2	mind because all he was doing was going from one meeting to another. He said "I feel like
3	buying a robot, and then I just want to get in my car and drive around the diocese."

Q. There are many calls in New Zealand for an independent body to investigate and address claims of abuse. In your view, is the Catholic institutional church capable of dealing with this phenomenon purely internally or is there a role for an independent body? 6

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

I think I totally support the idea of an independent body, and I mean totally independent, A. because that way they can be objective and they can see things for what they are. The institutional church on any level cannot heal the problems it has created. It has created these problems not just because of the bad apples, but because of the culture that has come from the church itself. It's deeply, deeply embedded. And when I talk about research into [inaudible] I'm not talking about why a few priests have done this [inaudible] as deeply as you can into where these ideas about the priests came from, where these ideas about the sacraments came from, where all of this came from that is causing this toxic thinking.

So the institution, no, I don't believe at all. If it could it would have done it by now. Because, for example, in my country the institutional Catholic Church has paid out over \$6 billion because of sexual abuse of children between 2004 and 2019, those are the numbers. That doesn't count lawyers, they've paid out almost \$650 million to their own attorneys to defend themselves. That's a lot of money. It keeps coming out though. You ask yourself where is it coming from?

- You've emphasised the importance of involvement of victims in designing better systems. Q. You may or may not have any insight into this from a US perspective, but is there a role for indigenous populations specifically who have been harmed in that sort of design?
- Yes. I say that because I have had experience, both in Canada and in the United States. A A. priest, for example, the State of Alaska as you know is huge, it's the top of the world, in the top part of Alaska is a diocese called Fairbanks that was founded and is run by Jesuits. In my experience dealing with that a number of the Jesuit priests (inaudible) in a small village, a number of these men were violating, sexually violating not just the native peoples, but these young girls that were called Jesuit volunteers that were products of your own universities who were going up there like peaceful to do work. So that's one example.

And these were people who felt totally helpless. You know, these men come in, they try to first off make us Catholics and then try to Europeanise us, and have no respect for our own cultures, our own customs and our own languages. Same thing has happened in Canada in both the northwest and the northeast, the indigenous people in Canada, in the United States we all them native Americans, the same thing has happened here in -especially on the reservation where they live, where there are schools or parishes and
churches where the clergy have violated these individuals and a lot of stems from an
attitude that they are second class citizens. So there you have it. You had four tiers before
in the church, now you've got five, you've got those that were below even with us.

So yes, there is definitely a role, absolutely there's a role for indigenous people, because the question has to be asked what's different about abuse in our culture. You know, the way its handled, what do we need for healing? What do we need to see happen to the perpetrators? So they're the only ones who can answer. I think in your country the indigenous people should be on every board that's discussing this, that's making a decision, the same thing with us, and it's happening over here.

- Our 15 minutes has expired I'm afraid. Can I thank you very much for your generous contribution to this Inquiry and your time and the benefit of all of your experience. What we will do now, subject to Madam Chair and to your own availability, will be to take a one hour break, so I think that would mean reconvening at about 8.30 pm your time.
- A. Sure. I'm here to help you, believe me. If you want to go until 3 am my time, I'll do it.

 And I'll do it primarily (applause) (inaudible) for the men and women in your country who have been violated. The only reason I do this is for the victims.
- I don't know if our microphones could pick up the applause in the back of the room, but there was, I think by popular acclaim, the idea of 3 am has met with some support, but Madam Chair you may have a view from the institutional perspective.
 - CHAIR: I also have to think about your human rights and we don't want to be accused of torture, but we are extremely grateful for your willingness and, as Mr Mount has said, it was met with acclaim, your generosity was met. Let's take a break and we'll resume again in one hour, thank you very much. I hope you can have some rest and respite in the meantime.
 - A. I'll be fine, thank you very much.

Lunch adjournment from 12.31 pm to 1.36 pm

- 28 **CHAIR:** Welcome back Tom. I won't say good afternoon, this is probably the late evening now.
 29 I understand there a couple of other matters that you'd like to raise with Mr Mount before
 30 he finishes with you. Is that correct?
- 31 A. That's correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

22

23

2425

26

- 32 **Q.** Then I will put you back into his hands. Thank you Mr Mount.
- QUESTIONING BY MR MOUNT CONTINUED: Thank you Madam Chair. Tom can you still see and hear me?

- 1 A. Again, perfectly. Can you hear me?
- Q. We can, thank you very much. I'm going to break all of the rules by asking you a question
 I have no idea what the answer will be. But there were, I think, a couple of things that you
 wanted to add to your evidence from earlier today?
- Yes, that's correct. One was something that my late colleague Dr Sipe, Richard Sipe thought a lot about, talked a lot about, it's this. We spoke about celibacy, mandatory celibacy and you asked me if there's an incident among clergy where they don't follow.
- 8 **Q.** Yes?

23

24

2.5

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

- A. Richard's theory, and I think it's very strong, is the fact that there are a lot of ranking clerics, by that I mean bishops and higher, who are involved in sexual relationships and this has had a negative impact on justice for the victims because there's a fear of exposure, there's that issue, that built-in hypocrisy that causes a significant problem there when that happens. I know, for example, I'll just give you one example. One situation in the United States where there was a lot of sexual abuse and a lot of perpetrators run loose, there were a lot of reports, nothing was happening, until -
 - **Q.** Just keep an eye on the speed I'm sorry Tom.
- 17 A. Okay. There was a situation in the United States in 1993 in Albuquerque, New Mexico
 18 which was almost the epicentre of sexual abuse at that time. A lot of perpetrators were
 19 getting away with it, nothing was happening to reports, then it was discovered that the
 20 Archbishop himself was compromised and had been engaged in relationships with a couple
 21 of young girls. They were age-appropriate so it wasn't illegal but a lot of the priests knew
 22 this, so there was like a kind of a reverse double blackmail, if you want to call it that.

But that is a problem where you have that level of that going on upper levels and of course when that's going on up there and someone else knows about it, there's certainly going to be a hesitation about presentation about applying the rules at a lower level, because of the fear that somebody else knows about it.

The other thing I wanted to bring up, we mentioned at the very beginning the issue of the bishops' authority and religious orders and religious orders' relationships with bishops. That came up, has come up several times apart from this. I have seen -- I read Cardinal Dew's testimony and Father Duckworth's testimony and in their testimony they have it correct, the bishop has significant authority over religious orders in his diocese over what they do and the religious orders in the diocese by the same token are answerable to the bishop in all matters involving any form of pastoral work, even if the order owns the school, owns the land, populates it with its own men, they are still answerable to the bishop.

- Is that clear? 1
- 2 Q. Yes.
- Okay. That's it. 3 Α.
- O. Tom again, thank you very much, and Madam Chair. 4
- CHAIR: Thank you. I'll now invite Ms McKechnie who is counsel for the Catholic Church or Te 5 Tautoko to ask you some questions. Thank you Ms McKechnie. 6
- A. By the way my screen just went black. 7
- QUESTIONING BY MS McKECHNIE: That's an excellent start then, Tom. I'll start with can 8 you hear and see me? 9
- I can see you, okay. You've got a blue --10 A.
- Q. Blue jacket on, yes. 11
- We're on the same page then. Α. 12
- Perhaps a better start than I thought. My name's Sally, Tom, I'm going to be asking you Q. 13 some questions on behalf of the Catholic Church entities in the Commission. 14
- Okay. 15 A.

21

22

23

24 2.5

27

31

I've only been granted about an hour to ask questions, Tom, so two things I'm just going to 0. 16 say in introduction. First, if you are able to give me concise answers please, because I do 17 have a number of questions to ask you that I want to get through in the next hour. So 18 please short, sharp answers would be very helpful. 19

> And secondly, your evidence talks about a huge range of issues and much of those issues will be discussed later in the Royal Commission's work. So today I'm going to focus my questions on the redress parts of your brief because we don't have very long, so most of my questions are going to focus on that.

When the Commission asked you to give evidence, have they talked to you about what redress means in New Zealand, what we mean when we talk about redress?

- No, and I was going to ask you if you would mind explaining that or defining it to me A. 26 because I know what the word means. We don't use that over here in the States, we don't use that word in connection with this. But I've seen it used prolifically in documentation 28 29 literature from both New Zealand and your little brother to the north, Australia. So I guess if you give me an idea what you mean by it it would make it a lot easier for me to give you 30 straight answers.
- Tom, I'll just take some direction from the Chair. I'm conscious it's in your terms of Q. 32 reference, is this appropriate for me to do this? 33
- **CHAIR:** Yes, I think you give your understanding of that, perfectly fine. 34

1	QUES	STIONING BY MS McKECHNIE CONTINUED: So, Tom, I'll tell you what the Catholic
2		Church thinks redress is about, that's probably the appropriate thing for me to do. It's about
3		responding to survivors or complainants when they approach the church with a disclosure.
4		So that full response part, pastoral, legal, financial, counselling, that whole process is what
5		we in New Zealand mean as redress. It is not so much about what happens to the
6		respondent and the disciplinary processes, but there is a bit of overlap where a number of
7		survivors want to know what happens in that process. But when we talk about redress in
8		New Zealand, and what I want to ask questions about today, is that responding to the
9		complainants and the survivors rather than those disciplinary processes for the clerics and
10		religious. Is that clear?
11	A.	That's very clear, yeah, I understand now exactly what you're saying. As I said, they've
12		used that word over here with that definition because it does encapsulate a very crucial part
13		of this whole process.
14	Q.	One of the things I want to explore with you this afternoon, Tom, is how the American
15		response to redress is different to New Zealand and if there are some lessons in
16		New Zealand we can learn from that American experience.
17		Before I get to those questions, I just wanted to ask some initial questions about
18		the canon law. You are the first canon lawyer to give evidence to the Commission, and am
19		I right that, like civil law, there are differences of opinion between canon lawyers and
20		differences of emphasis?
21	A.	Yes, there are.
22	Q.	And the role of the canon lawyer within the church, am I right, that's in order to advise
23		senior members of the church about their canon law responsibilities?
24	A.	That's one of the roles. The other roles, there are a number of officers or positions that

- 24
- require a canon law degree: to be a judge, an ecclesiastical tribunal, to hold a number of 25
- judicial positions, one must have a canon law degree because it is a complicated legal 26
- system, significantly different than the legal systems of democratically-based countries. 27
- Q. So, would it be the same as in our civil process, in order to become a judge, you must have 28 a law degree, in order to become a canon judge you must have a canon qualification? 29
- That's right, exactly. A. 30
- Q. So, in the context of providing advice, Tom, when a canon lawyer gives advice to a client, 31
- to a senior cleric, it's for the client ultimately to decide what to do with that advice, isn't it? 32
- 33 A. Correct.

And canon law applies most directly to priests and clerics? Q. 34

- A. Parts of it apply directly to priests and clerics. Parts of it apply directly to non-ordained religious and parts of it apply directly to lay people. If you take a look at the code, it's got a broad spectrum of issues that it deals with, it has an entire book on procedures, it has an entire book on this section, that's what we call the books in the code. One on crimes and penalties, one on the sacraments, religious life, the clerics. So, it does I'd say primarily it deals with, in a number of dimensions, with clerics, bishops and priests. But not certainly not to the exclusion of the other people in the church.
- Would I be right to characterise it as setting the framework for life in the church, setting out those structures and procedures that you've just talked about?
- 10 A. Yes. It is a source of understanding the church's structures, the obligations of various office 11 holders, the way to get an office, how do you attain an office, the requirements for office, 12 office of pastor, office of bishop, office of tribunal judge, yeah, it has all of that, 13 requirements for receiving —
- O. So, in the context of redress that I described to you before, canon law isn't particularly directly relevant, is it, it doesn't set out how a bishop or a priest should respond to a survivor?
- It shouldn't. There is a possibility, there's a canon that talks about recompense in certain types of cases. But that's not I've never seen that applied. So, I would say that redress as you understand it is not directly involved in canon law.
- Turning now to the evidence that you've given in your expertise from the United States,

 Tom, you say very responsibly in your brief at paragraph 34 that your submissions are

 based on your experience in the United States and in several other countries. So, I'd just

 like to talk a little bit about the US so the Commission have a sense for context about the

 differences. Can you remind me please, how many bishops are there in the American

 Bishops Conference?
- A. There are approximately 197 dioceses, archdioceses in the United States. So, each diocese has a bishop or an archbishop. There are probably around 400 bishops or members of the Bishops Conference, and that includes ordinaries, the diocesan bishops, and auxiliary bishops and retired bishops are also members, can be members. But active, you've got let's say 187–97 dioceses and archdioceses. That only is the Latin rite churches. Then we have several dioceses of the Eastern rites in the United States as well, they are a small minority but they're here.
- 33 Q. I think we'll stick to the Roman Catholic examples. Tom, it's probably most —
- 34 A. Good.

- O. — relevant to what we're talking about here. So that's obviously very different to the six 1 dioceses, one of which is an archdiocese, we have in New Zealand. In America, are 2 typically the archdioceses the largest of the dioceses in their group of dioceses? Is that the 3 way it's arranged in the States? 4
- Well, it's arranged all over in terms of what they call ecclesiastical provinces, which would 5 A. be an archdiocese and several suffragan dioceses, that's called a province. And the Holy 6 See names, creates the dioceses and decides which will be the metropolitan archdioceses. 7 Now it could be the largest in size, it could be the most historic, it could be the only 8 available one when they created it back in, let's say, the 19th century and then other cities in 9 the area become quite, you know, much bigger. So, it all depends, there's a lot of 10 possibilities.
- O. And a lot of variation clearly between different arrangements? 12
- That's right, exactly. 13 A.
- So, you set out in your brief, Tom, your exposure to New Zealand and that you were here in 14 Q. the late 1980s and met with the then Cardinal. And you've obviously had some 15 engagement with the SNAP Survivor Network and other survivor groups here. Have you 16 met any of the current New Zealand bishops or Cardinal Dew? 17
- No, I haven't been back since 1988 I think or '89. So I haven't met any of them, that I A. 18 know of, that I can remember. 19
- Well they can't remember either, Tom, so it's probably safe to say that you haven't met 20 Q. 21 them.
- Yes. 22 A.

- There's not really much in your brief about New Zealand specifically, is there? 0. 23
- No. I was actually not asked to do a comparison or to give critiques of any of the, you 24 A. 2.5 know, about — I mean they knew ahead of time that I'd only been there once and certainly to give a good critique of everything that's going on there, all the details, I'd have to be 26 there for quite a while to make something intelligent. But, New Zealand is part of the 27 global — the New Zealand Catholic Church is part of the universal church, and believe me, 28 29 essentially there's not that much difference.
- Well what I want to explore with you now, Tom, is some of the things that might be Q. 30 different in the redress context for the Commission. One of the things you talked about 31 when Mr Mount asked you questions was about schools and the responsibility that the 32 bishop in America has for his schools. Now, in New Zealand we have quite a different 33 structure and I was wondering if you were familiar with the legislation in 1975 which goes 34

- by the title the Private Schools Conditional Integration Act. Are you aware of that legislation?
- A. I've never heard of that, but I've read some information on the schools that are set up and the ownership of the properties and so on.
- In short, Tom, it means that in New Zealand now, and for many decades, all the employees in Catholic schools, whether they are religious or not, are employees of the State and the State pays, appoints and pays for all of the staff. I was wondering what your views would be on the impact of that on the responsibility of the bishop for those schools?

Q.

A. If that school or any of those schools are called Catholic schools, that's a technical word which means they are under the overall authority of the local bishop. If he has — if they're just there and they have no connection to the Catholic Church the answer would be no, he has nothing to do with them. But as is the case in a number of other countries, similar to what you have there, the State pays salaries, for example in Germany the State pays salaries to priests. That doesn't remove them from the authority of the bishops.

So, if there is a connection between the dioceses and the schools, and if the bishop has anything to do with appointment of principals, if the people in the schools, let's say, are brothers, or nuns, or if they purport to be a Catholic school, yes, he does have authority over that [inaudible].

The mistake a lot of people make is thinking that ownership, money and ownership of property or buildings, determines the applicability of church law and church regulations in certain situations. It does not. For example, if in the Diocese of Wellington, the Jesuit order owns five parishes — owns them, they have title to the land, the buildings — that doesn't mean that they're removed from the authority of the bishop as far as his responsibility for any and all pastoral work.

- Well there are, in fact, no Jesuits in New Zealand at all, Tom, but if I could just go back a little bit to your answer, you talked there about: if the bishop has roles in appointing the principal, if there are religious priests, brothers or sisters teaching in the school, or if the school is called a Catholic school. Now I want to ask about that last one, because our schools are Catholic in name, but these are State, lay employees. So, for example, chemistry teacher, might be Jewish, might be Anglican, who is employed in a Catholic school, in your opinion is the bishop responsible in canon law for that lay, let's say, Anglican chemistry teacher?
- 33 A. Well, let me just say that that's a difficult question to answer, because I'd have to take a look at the actual documentation of the relationship of the local bishop to that school. Now

it calls itself a Catholic school, my first question is why?

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

26

27

28

29

30

- 2 **Q.** So, would it be right to say, Tom, the best place to look then is our specific legislation, would you agree?
- A. I would the legislation and also the any documentation, anything that makes that speaks about why that is a Catholic school, what that means in New Zealand. Are they under some anything that has to do with the care of souls, which is a technical term, which is any dealings with lay people that's under the aegis of the Roman Catholic Church in any way. Even if the church owns a restaurant, that counts, that's under the authority of the bishop.
- O. So, looking at one of the other differences, Tom, are you familiar with New Zealand's universalised healthcare and our Accident Compensation regime, and its relevance that those things may have to redress?
- 13 A. No, I wasn't informed that I needed to familiarise myself with either of these two issues.
- 14 **Q.** Thank you. I want to turn to some of the specific issues that you discuss in your brief and in your answers to Mr Mount's questions. In your evidence, there is some, but not much, discussion about male and female religious. I wanted to ask you to describe the importance of the congregation of the Institutes of Consecrated Life and the Societies of Apostolic Life with regards to the religious. Are you able to explain to the Commission?
 - A. Yes, the Institutes of Consecrated Life is a generic term that refers to a number of different specific types of religious institutes, religious orders, congregations, societies and both there are men and women that fall under those categories. There are a number of things that differentiate them, whether they take public vows or private vows, whether they don't take vows and make promises, and all of these have canonical differences and I don't think there's it's necessary to go into them right now.

But the whole issue of consecrated life, religious orders, religious communities, they're part of the institutional Church, they fall under the authority of the local bishops insofar as they're engaged in any type of pastoral or ministry with the lay people, whether they're teaching chemistry, whether they're having discussion groups, if there's a relationship, connection between members of one of the institutes, male or female, with people in the diocese, that comes under the authority of the bishop.

- You've talked quite a lot about that in your evidence, Tom. What are the limits of that responsibility?
- 33 A. The bishop has authority over any activity, any action that involves the care of souls. Now that means the spiritual and moral welfare of the people in the diocese. It's not

1 (inaudible) — I'm sorry, go ahead.

- Q. There are some quite significant limits, though, aren't there? So, for example, the bishop can't remove a member of a congregation from ministry or from their own congregation, can they?
- Yes, he can. The bishop has the authority if a member of a congregation is out of line, if he 5 A. has reason to do it, he can ask the superiors to remove the individual from the diocese. If 6 the superiors refuse to do so, the next step is the bishop goes to the Holy See and gets 7 faculties to do the removal himself. The bishop also has a right to inspect schools, 8 orphanages and other similar institutions, it's called visitation, which means inspection, in 9 his dioceses. So, the limits — basically, you can look at the structure, the administrative 10 dimensions of it, but the issue is the people. If a priest and a religious order priest sexually 11 abuses a kid, a child who goes to a public school and he does it in a park, that falls under 12 the authority because that little child is part of that diocese, whether he's Catholic or not, 13 and the priest is under the authority of the bishop as far as his connections or interaction 14 with the lay people are concerned. 15
- 16 **Q.** So, in the context of redress, and money that might be paid in redress, the bishop can't direct a congregation about financial matters like that, can he?
- A. Let me make sure I understand what you're saying. Can the bishop order a religious superior, let's say, to make financial redress to a victim? Is that —
- Q. Yes, that's a decision for the religious superior, not for the bishop, isn't it?
- A. I believe that would be, because that would be would fall under [inaudible] the
 congregation, so not so much the local superior but the provincial superior who would be
 the equivalent of the major superior, which means administratively he's [inaudible] because
 he's in charge of several communities.

So, that has happened in our country a number of times, when you have a mixture and they're working out settlement agreements, the terms of settlements agreements, and I'm talking about large numbers where there are religious orders and diocesan all mixed, and so it can get kind of legally confusing depending on your legal system. But we had a huge one in California where the settlement was for \$660 million.

- 30 **Q.** So, approximately how many superior generals are there of congregations in the States?
- A. Superiors general of congregations of men or women?
- 32 **Q.** Both.

2.5

26

27

28

- A. I don't know. I'd have to look it up and count it, there's a lot of them, let me just say that.
- Wait a minute, time out. You said superiors general?

- 1 **Q.** Yes?
- Okay, that's different. The only superiors general that would be in the United States, there 2 Α. may be a few who are superiors general of what we call pontifical right institutes which are 3 directly under the Holy See, and that means the Holy See has the authority over the internal 4 work of the institute, their constitutions, things of that nature. Most of the superiors general 5 of those are in — they live and they work in Rome. There are a few smaller international 6 communities that I believe have their superiors general live in the United States. Then you 7 have institutions that are what they call diocesan right, they're founded in a diocese, they're 8 sponsored by a bishop and they've never been elevated to pontifical right. Those would be 9 the instances where the superior general of that community, let's say they have houses in a 10 number of dioceses, the superior general would live in that country. I don't know how 11 many of those there are in the United States. 12
- You talked a bit in your evidence about clericalism and I anticipate we will return to that
 later in the life of the Commission, but briefly now, you'll be aware of the strong direction
 that Pope Francis has given against clericalism, particularly in the context of sexual abuse.
 Do you agree that that's a positive development?
- Yes. I think he's spoken out very strongly several times. But I also want to say that one of 17 A. the things I learned early on when I began working on the inside, in the system, is there is 18 an overall fallacy in Roman Catholicism that if an authority figure makes a statement, that 19 becomes reality. It's not that way. The Pope has spoken out several times about clericalism 20 and the hope is that that will be internalised on a number of levels by individuals, where the 21 evidence of clericalism will gradually fade because it's a cultural issue, it's deeply rooted 22 and just a few, you know, brilliant things said by the Pope will not make it go away 23 overnight by any means. 24
- 25 **Q.** So, we've already discussed the fact that you don't have specific New Zealand experience, 26 but just to be particularly clear on this point, are you familiar with Cardinal Dew's 27 comments and approaches to this specific issue of clericalism? I assume that you're not?
- A. No, I'm not. But I can only assume they'd be in line with headquarters.
- 29 **Q.** I want to ask you some questions now about formation.
- 30 A. Sure.
- You talk quite a lot in your evidence about American formation and you're very critical of it. What do you know about formation in New Zealand?
- A. Now are you talking about seminary formation?
- Yes, well we'll start there, we'll talk about religious formation in a minute but I had taken

- your evidence to be about seminary formation, so yes, I'll ask that question first, what do 1 2 you know about it here, Tom?
- I don't know a great deal, all I've seen is, I've read up about the structure of the two 3 A. 4 seminaries, the academic structure, the courses and so on, what goes on in the seminaries. I understand that they have a significant number of seminarians I think from outside the 5 country. 6

My issue with the seminaries goes beyond what the curriculum is, that's not what I'm interested in at all. Our seminaries, or yours, or the Canadians or anything else. I'm talking about something much more fundamental that I'm concerned about in the seminaries, in all of them; that they simply — they may be working on it and some places may not be. I haven't taken a poll of all the seminaries in the world, it's just impractical and it's really not necessary.

- Q. So, just to be clear then, you're not aware of the particular approach to issues such as 13 preparation for celibacy that are approached in the New Zealand formation training? 14
- No, all I would say is that I certainly would hope that [inaudible] that what's going on in a 15 A. number of other places, they are working at making it up-to-date and realistic as opposed to 16 the way we would frame when I was in seminary, which was a long time ago. I did not 17 intend to make any criticisms of the seminaries in New Zealand or any comments on them 18 at all, it wasn't part of the mandate. But since you brought it up —
 - We're going to stick to the succinct answers, if you don't mind. Q.

7

8

9

10

11

12

19

- **CHAIR:** I do think Tom should be allowed you've asked a question and he wants to follow-up, 21 and if you can do so shortly, Tom. 22
- 23 A. Sure. The things that I'm concerned about in seminary training, whether it's in New Zealand, Australia, United States, Canada, France, it doesn't matter, are: one, the 24 2.5 instruction or the formation seminarians are being given in realistic pastoral care, how do you really do it, listening to people; two, that this issue of sexual abuse of children is 26 worldwide, are they being instructed, are they being trained or given some formation on the 27 nature of sexual abuse, the impact it has on victims, the multi-faceted impact on victims, 28 29 some kind of approach to offering pastoral care to the victims, because inevitably these young priests go out, they're going to have someone come to them and ask them either 30 myself, my son, my daughter, my mother, father something of that nature, and to be able to 31 deal with that in a compassionate way; aAnd finally, I think it's crucial that the education 32 that young men receive in the seminary for celibacy formation and human sexuality be not 33 doctrinaire or hard-lined Catholic moral theology but much more realistic in keeping with 34

where we are in the world today. 1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

A.

Q. Thank you, Tom, I'm sure the Commission and indeed the church have noted your 2 questions. When we provide evidence to the Commission on those subjects we'll be sure to cover off those issues, Madam Chair, so you can know what the Church's position is on those in New Zealand.

> I'd like to ask you some questions now, Tom, about the redress process in the United States, albeit that you don't call it a redress process. You talked quite a lot in your evidence about lawyers and litigation as part of redress. In the States, is that the way survivors need to take to get money from the church, do they need to sue?

Yes. The original — when this started back in the late '80s, the phenomenon of going to court, no-one did it. People did not go to court to sue the diocese for things like sexual abuse by a priest, it just did not happen. The reason the initial — in the beginning why parents or victims, usually parents, went to court, was because of the way they had been mistreated by ecclesiastical authorities when they approached the diocese and were put off, telephone messages not answered, lied to about that the priest is still working when they were told he was not.

In those instances, a number of these very devout Catholics turned to what they felt would be the only place they would get redress, and the primary thing they were initially interested in was not money, but making sure that the priest did not do this to anyone else, and that has been a universal almost. And that's why the process or the tendency of going to the civil courts, that's where it started, and it started as a reaction to the lack of any redress [inaudible] in those areas from the institutional Church.

- So, this litigation approach, Tom, that would involve lawyers on both sides, lawyers for the O. 23 church and lawyers for the survivors? 24
- 2.5 Α. Yes.
- I was particularly interested in your comments on that, Tom, because it's quite different 26 Q. from the experience in New Zealand. 27
- I understand that. A. 28
- 29 Q. So, I'm just going to give you some of the statistics that we have for the Catholic Church in New Zealand and then I'm going to ask you for your comment on those in the context of 30 this litigation framing that the Americans have. So, in New Zealand we have — the church 31 has information about approximately 1,100 disclosures of harm or abuse, sexual and 32 physical, but here only 13 civil claims appear to have been filed by survivors, out of 1100. 33 Secondly, of those disclosures, only 24% of them does the Church have a lawyer or get 34

legal advice. So, given those numbers are so different between America and New Zealand
I was interested in what comments you might have about that?

2.5

A. The only comment I can make is it was explained to me how different the issue of litigation is, that that is not the place where you normally go to get financial redress in the courts in New Zealand, which is significantly different than the United States. So, the only thing I can say on that is simply that it is different. Our situation, where we are with that, given the fact that you have a diocese where the bishop says "I don't want anybody going to court and I'll give you each \$2,000" after their lives have been ruined, that doesn't work too well, and as a result of those attempts, the victims generally will end up back in the civil courts.

But as I said, and I'll say this again, the primary concern has been to make sure that these priests that do this don't do it to anyone else. I've had more victims than I can count say "They can give me as much money as they want, it doesn't take away what happened to me, it doesn't make me whole again." They understand that, and I do too.

- **Q.** In your extensive work with the victims, Tom, is there a reluctance, or why do you think there's a reluctance for victims to go to the Police to have prosecutions?
 - A. There had been a reluctance at one point because the victims, at least the ones that I've spoken to and heard about, they were of the opinion, based on experience, that nothing will happen. If you go to the Police, nothing will happen because they're in the pocket of the Church. And that has been the case in a number of places. It's not so much today anymore. Where now a number of us [inaudible] to diocese. If you're going to do investigations, get the people in there who know how to do it, get the Police involved, rather than trying to do it yourself, because you're not trained.

So, the reluctance to go to the Police has been more historical, more in the past, of the feeling and conviction of the Police really won't do anything for us, they'll do it or if they do want to do something for us, as has been the case in a number of instances, they can't because then they get to the next level in the county, and you don't have counties I thik in New Zealand, the next level up and the district attorney or the prosecuting attorneys will not move on a case because of deference for the church.

- Q. The role of the Catholic Church in American society more generally is quite different to New Zealand, the sort of religiosity and deference you're talking about in our State institutions; you haven't seen anything to suggest that's the case in New Zealand, that our Police force wouldn't operate in deference to the church?
- A. No. I don't have any information on that, I've not seen anything, I've not been asked to even look into it.

1	Q.	So, just picking up on the comment that you made about investigations and your call to
2		have people come in to do the investigations who know what they're doing, how do you
3		think an investigation should be run in the context of a disclosure of harm?

- 4 A. Could you repeat in the context of disclosure of harm, what exactly —
- If somebody comes forward, we use a phrase in the Commission, Tom, a 'disclosure', if
 someone comes forward with a complaint or a claim or a disclosure of harm to a priest or a
 bishop in terms of the investigation of that allegation or that disclosure, in your
 experience, in your view, what sort of investigation should be undertaken?
- What has been happening over here now is they're referred to the Police. Usually someone 9 A. in the Chancery or in the church's administration will have a communication with the 10 district attorney, which is the legal department that's in charge of that — I'm not — a 11 Crown attorney I think would be a comparable term in New Zealand, I'm not sure, we call 12 them district attorneys, will make a disclosure to him and then they take it from there. If 13 there's going to be a Police investigation, then the Police come in and do the investigation 14 as to whether or not a crime was committed, because in the United States, and I believe so 15 in New Zealand, sexual abuse of a minor is a felony crime and the same thing over here, 16 and so if it's an accusation of that nature, and that's reported then it needs to be [inaudible]. 17
 - Q. I want to ask you some questions, Tom, about situations where the Police aren't involved. So, the first one I want to ask you questions about is where the perpetrator is dead, obviously the police can't do an investigation. So, in your view, what's the best practice way of running such an investigation, where the Police aren't an option?
 - A. Where the police aren't an option and the perpetrator is deceased, I think the first thing I would do would be recommend that you get as much of a story from the alleged victim as you could and anyone that knew the victim, then the usual procedure is to find out if there's any corroborative any sources of corroborative evidence for that, classmates, anything of that nature.

But the most important thing is the credibility of the victim and establishing the credibility of the victim, and that generally is not too difficult if the victim really is a true victim. Now, there have been cases of false alarms where it's not simply been misunderstanding, or it's not just been an identification of the wrong person, but an honest attempt to defraud. And those have been weeded out, so to speak, fairly quickly.

- Q. Who should conduct that sort of investigation, Tom, are we talking -- would you think a trained investigator was the appropriate person to do that?
- 34 A. I would think so.

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

- 1 **Q.** And you'd recommend speaking to other people to seek corroboration of what the victim is telling the organisation?
- A. I would, to get a full picture of what's going on, that would be one part of it, but it would depend on a lot of the circumstances. And I don't think a lot of these issues you can come up with a set of protocols that are going to apply uniformly, when the perpetrator is deceased, as is often the case, that's going to change the tenor of the situation, it's going to change the direction you take. I'll go back to what I said at the beginning, the most important part is the pastoral response to the victim. Maybe the victim doesn't want anything, except to be acknowledged and to be supported.
- I will ask you some questions about the pastoral issues in a moment, but before we leave just this investigation question, the other scenario is where the survivor has been encouraged to go to the Police or supported to go to the Police, but they don't want to, but they want a response from the Church or the church entity. What would you recommend in terms of investigation for those cases?
- I If the survivor does not want to go to the Police but they want to have investigation 15 A. and it was a case where a survivor was violated as a minor that may be out of that person's 16 hands, it's a crime, it's a felony crime. And I would think that even though we may not 17 want the Police involved, they may have to become involved anyway, whether you like it 18 or not. [Inaudible] if the perpetrator's still alive and is still, you know, out in public, if he's 19 deceased it's a whole different thing. I think if you take all that out of the way and say 20 we're just going to have a non-official investigation, I think the best way to do that would 21 be to bring in someone who's trained in doing criminal investigations, which is what this is, 22 it's an investigation into a crime, someone who's trained to do that. I can assure you, we are 23 not trained to do that in the seminary, nor in canon law school, nor in any of the other 24 25 advanced degrees I had was I ever taught how to run an investigation.
- Q. What's your view, Tom, about what threshold of evidential proof should be used in that investigation, what approach should they take?
- A. Well, it's going to involve evaluating the facts of whether it actually took place, presuming [inaudible]the accuser, the victim, which usually is the case. Then the threshold would be, it would have to be set by what's available. You can't say, you know, we can't move on this unless we get two witnesses. That applies only in a very small number of canonical-type investigations. But this is certainly different, and I think the threshold would depend on the case itself, on the facts of the case, when it took place, how it took place, the circumstances. So, I can't give you anything cogent on a uniform threshold because I don't think there is

1	ono
1	one.

- 2 **Q.** You touched briefly, Tom, in your answer then on canonical processes. I had a couple of questions just to clarify the canonical trials evidence that you were talking about earlier.
- Am I right you can't, and there wouldn't be a canonical trial, when the accused is deceased?
- 5 A. You can't try a dead person, no.
- 6 **Q.** And you wouldn't have a trial if there had been a confession or an acknowledgment of offending?
- A. If the accused admits what happened, if he admits to having committed the canonical crime, no, there's no necessity for the trial at that point. What there is a necessity of is assessing what the penalty would be. Now—
- If you've had a criminal process and a prosecution and a conviction, in that situation you wouldn't then have a canonical trial, would you?
- Not necessarily. If there's a conviction and the individual did not confess, and he was 13 A. convicted, then you might still have to have a canonical trial. But all of these investigations 14 now have to be sent to the Congregation for the Doctrine of Faith. They make the decision 15 as to what the process will be. So, you don't have to worry about that, nor does anybody 16 else in New Zealand. That goes over to the [inaudible], they look at the facts and they 17 determine if there will be a canonical process, a tribunal process, an administrative 18 dismissal, if they want to have a trial they'll remit it back and say have a canonical trial 19 either in the diocese where it happens or with a change of venue. 20
- 21 Q. And you're aware we've had very few canonical trials in New Zealand?
- 22 A. I'm aware of that and I'm aware of the fact that there have been very very few canonical 23 trials everywhere.
- Q. I want to ask you some questions now, Tom, about that pastoral aspect of a response to 24 2.5 survivors and that part of the redress process. You've made a number of comments in your evidence that I want to come back to and ask you some more questions about. The first 26 one, you made a comment in your evidence earlier about your view of how important it was 27 for a victim to be engaging with people who have a background in spirituality when they're 28 29 talking about how to respond and recover. I'd be interested in your thoughts, Tom, about how this could be done in the context of an independent process or redress framework, how 30 do you balance those two things? 31
- 32 A. Well, I want to first off do you have a reference to a paragraph in my report where 33 I talked about that?
- 34 Q. You used that phrase in answer to Mr Mount's questions. I don't have the transcript in front

of me yet, but you used the phrase engaging with people with a background in spirituality and how important that was. Shall we start again. Would you agree that's important?

A. That came out — that's not what I said. What I think I was referring to is if you're dealing with the spiritual damage that has been done to a victim, and you engage them with this, that most of the people who have a background in spirituality really have not ventured into this area, or have not had any contact with victims and there's a massive amount of documentation written about spirituality, but spiritual damage is something significantly different and the damage done to a person who has had this experience is very very different than other forms of spiritual damage if you want to call it that.

So, I don't remember saying anything like that. What I was saying is most people, let's say who teach spirituality at seminary, who are experts in it, have no training in the area of the spiritual damage done through sexual abuse.

- Q. So, in order to be appropriately trained, in your view, there would need to be a knowledge of spirituality and then independent training in how to respond, psychological training or counselling training brought together to respond, is that your view of best practice?
- A. If someone were going to try to put together a protocol, some suggestions in how to go about dealing with the spiritual damage done to victims, we don't have time to wait 10 years for a university to cook up a programme on how to do it. But there are ways, and it's fundamental simple pastoral care, listening is the most important. It's not something you learn out of a book. But you have to be, as I said in my response to Mr Mount, allow the person to speak and unpack and describe what they think to be the harm that's been done to them, the effects of this on them. Don't lecture, don't do any of that, just listen and gently guide to help and clarify some issues or, as I said, clarify some of the toxic thinking that a lot of people have about aspects of the Catholic Church.
- CHAIR: Can I just ask as a point of clarification, Tom, it seems to me we may be thinking about two different things here. One, Ms McKechnie's asking you questions about investigation of an allegation or a disclosure, and the process that follows. As I understood your evidence about spiritual damage you were talking about the first response, I think you said by a bishop, who receives such a disclosure in one way or another, was to take an approach of contacting the survivor and speaking on these spiritual matters providing spiritual pastoral care. Am I right in that or have I got that wrong?
- A. No, you're correct. I think there's a difference in what I'm using the term to mean. The initial contact with a victim I would consider to be something dealing with the spiritual dimension because it is a religious issue, it's happened in a church context and most victims

- can't even describe what spirituality means, most anybody can't really describe what it
 means. But what I'm saying there is that the approach of the bishop to the victim is has
 to be one of compassion, of understanding, of sensitivity, and listening, the most important
 thing is listening, and maybe asking questions —
- Do I take it sorry to interrupt you but do I take it that that is -- I think I'm hearing that that is separate from an investigation which may or may not follow?
- 7 A. That's totally separate from an investigation, totally. There may never be an investigation, but the most important thing is the attempt to heal or bring relief to the victim.
- 9 **Q.** Thank you. Sorry to interrupt you Ms McKechnie.
- QUESTIONING BY MS McKECHNIE CONTINUED: I was just going to ask a question
 about that actually, Madam Chair. Picking up on what Chair Shaw asked you, Tom, you
 did give evidence before about your view it was important for the bishop or the
 congregational leader to contact the victim or the survivor. Do you accept in some cases
 that might be very unwelcome by the survivor?
 - A. I know it would be, I know it would be.
- 16 **Q.** So why do you recommend it?

- Because at least you make the effort and, in some instance, if it's successful and you're able 17 to get some approach, you know some rapport with the person, the person may want to see 18 the bishop just to scream and yell at them. Well. that's important as well. But at least the 19 effort is made, and it's seen by the community, that the first thing the bishop did, he didn't 20 call his lawyer right away, he called the victim and went out to see the victim. Now, you're 21 going to have a number of instances, and I know this from my own experience, of anger, of 22 fury because this is, if it's something that was recent, if it's a case that happened many years 23 ago, the scars and the pain are generally still there. So, I think if they — that's the chance 24 2.5 you have to take, the chance the bishop has to take. But it's certainly a better way to do it than just looking at it administratively and saying I'm going to pass this on to one of my 26 subordinates here and they'll take care of it and we'll put it into the administrative — put it 27 into the administrative process and let that work its way through. No, no, not at all. This is 28 29 a human being that's been harmed grievously so at least try to go and do something.
- Q. I'll just ask a couple of questions about timing there, Tom. I realise you're not familiar with the New Zealand Catholic Church's response, so what happens in many cases here where there's been a disclosure is if the survivor wishes it, they meet with the bishop or the congregational leader and an apology is almost always given in person. But that is somewhat later down the path at the request of the survivor, rather than this first stage

- which I think you are encouraging. So, is your recommendation that it be done very early,
 because I think my clients would be concerned they were going to re-traumatise survivors if
 they made that approach un— if it wasn't sought by the survivor?
- A. Well, you obviously have to get the survivor's agreement that I'm going to come over to your home and I'd like to chat for a while because I know something has happened, that's the way tyou do it. But what I'm trying to get through is this is primarily a human problem, not an administrative problem. And that if all if the only approach we do is look at the rules and regulations which are laid out and think those are carved in stone and signed off by God the Father, that's not the case. At least —
- **Q.** So, the thrust of your concern, to summarise, is that you think it's very important for there
 11 to be a personal connection between the bishop or the congregational leader and the victim
 12 if that is something the victim would like?
- 13 A. Yes, I do.

A.

2.5

- **Q.** Mr Mount asked you a couple of questions about an independent organisation or an
 15 independent body to respond to survivors. How would you see that independent body
 16 managing that personal connection with the church that you think is so important? How
 17 could that be done?
 - That is another part of it, that's a whole different issue, I think the connection with the church, the initial connection if there's going to be one, between the leader of the church, the Catholics are taught that the bishops are supposed to be their spiritual father and one of the complaints I've heard constantly is that "When I did meet the bishop, all he wanted to do was talk business, so to speak, about the settlement and things of that nature and I had to make an appointment and his lawyer was sitting there in the room with me." That's what infuriates victims.

Now, I have, as I said, 36 years of experience of this, so I think what I'm getting at is that this is that separate commission, committee, whatever you want to call it, that works independently of the institutional churches but would have to work hand in hand with them I would say. But not populated by the bishop, not directed or run by the bishop, so they can be completely independent and have a less constrained — they wouldn't have to be worried about image and that kind of thing, that's different than the initial pastoral contact by the bishop. His only concern in that regard should be this person, how are they doing, not how they're going to be next week, not whether they're going to sue me or want money, how are they doing today because they just disclosed some horrendous thing that's happened to them. If they don't want to see me I won't go, but they may, and they may well, and you

1 would be surprised how many would welcome that.

rules for that.

- Q. I think we have many instances of that being sought and responded to in New Zealand, 2 Tom. Another one of these tensions that I wanted to explore with you. In your evidence 3 earlier, you were quite critical of the phrase you used: don't send them a form, don't rely on 4 a process. Now, one of the things that has been explored in questions with other people is 5 how survivors know about consistency, how do they know what might happen if they 6 approach the church? So, given your concerns about not being too process-driven, how 7 would you balance consistency and information for survivors on the one hand, and there 8 not being what you refer to as about forms and processes, what would you recommend? 9 I think that was misunderstood. I don't write off at all forms and processes, I know they are 10 A. necessary, they're necessary in any organisation of whatever size, and they're especially 11 necessary in a situation like this so that you simply don't go off the rails and so that there 12 are some checks and balances. What I said, and I'll say it again clearly, is that this entire 13 issue of responding to victims of sexual abuse should not be totally driven by an 14 administrative — a set of administrative processes and that everything follows along that 15 schedule. There has to be something in addition to that, and that has to be the pastoral 16 dimension that's concerned primarily about the person. Now, I can distinctly recall when I 17 was in canon law school a long time ago, one of the revered professors said you know, the 18 law can only go so far, but pastoral care goes beyond the law and we have to understand 19 that and be able to go in that direction and find out how to do it because there are no set 20
- Q. What would you consider to be pastoral best practice in this context, Tom, what would you recommend a church authority does as a pastoral response?
- I just said, the first thing you do is start off on the right foot and see if the victim wants to 24 A. 2.5 speak with you, they may not, they may be very raw, they may be angry, they may not want to do it, play it by ear and see how it's going to go as we go down the path. At the same 26 time, if it's necessary to investigate the accusation and the accused is still alive, that's 27 another process that has to be taken care of. But don't put the cart before the horse. Keep 28 29 in mind the most important person in this equation is the victim and if the victim is furious with the Archbishop when he knocks on his door, you don't write the victim off, you 30 understand why that fury is there. And then you make another approach at some point, or 31 have someone else make an approach that the victim wants to listen to, somehow that report 32 got to the church that this priest did something to that victim, there's a reason that report got 33 there. So that has to be taken into consideration. 34

- You've touched in that answer, Tom, on those two processes: a pastoral response and an investigative response. How does the church best balance those, because we've heard quite a lot of evidence from survivors that investigations are difficult for survivors and are traumatic? So, how would you recommend the church balances a survivor-led approach with the need to investigate and find out what has happened?
- A. I would say that the best thing there is find out what is the best way to involve the victims 6 in that investigation. If it's going to be questioning and interrogation, find out when that 7 should take place and how it should take place and who should do it. We all know, 8 anybody who's been involved in the law in any manner, way, shape or form, the traumatic 9 experiences of women who have been raped, what they're put through when the 10 investigation starts and what they're [inaudible] put through in court. There's a lot of 11 similarities between what victims have been put through and experienced and that dynamic 12 itself right there. That has to be taken into consideration. 13

It has to be, you know, I think much more compassionate, much more human, but get away from the need to, you know, set a bunch of criteria down for this, because you can't, they're going to be different, people are different, victims are different. Some may be totally cooperative and not too traumatised, may have gone through therapy, a lot of healing already. Some may be very raw and not ready for this call. You can't put them all in the same pigeonhole. Am I speaking too fast?

CHAIR: Just a little.

OUESTIONING BY MS McKECHNIE CONTINUED:

- 22 **Q.** She's smiling, Tom, but she does look like she might appreciate you slowing down.
- 23 A. I will —

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- 24 **Q.** I only have two more areas I'd like to ask questions about.
- 25 A. Go ahead.
- Q. The first one is about that variety of survivor in terms of what they're looking for from the
 Church and what they want from a process. So, from your experience, are you able to
 describe the different kinds of things that people you have worked with are seeking from
 the Church?
- A. Yes, I am. The first thing I think, and I'm not sure that it's fair to even try to prioritise this, but I can say one of the things I've heard very often is acknowledgment that this really happened. "Don't tell me it's my imagination, don't tell me he didn't intend to do this, don't tell me he was tired or frustrated and that's why he raped me", forget that, an acknowledgment that something really happened.

The second thing that, or the other — another thing that many victims want is they
want an assurance that this will not happen to anyone else, that this will not — that this
cleric or brother or sister won't do this to anyone else and you're in the leadership position
so it's their responsibility.

The last thing, and I have not even — I can't tell you, I have to tell you that I, I don't recall victims saying they want money.

- In your experience with assisting survivors through this process, is it a fair observation,
 because it's certainly an observation that is going to be made in evidence for the Church
 here, that sometimes what people want changes across that journey for themselves, they
 may want something from the church at the beginning and then as they travel along their
 journey they may want something else or may change their minds. Have you experienced
 that with survivors you've helped?
- 13 A. Oh certainly, yeah, I mean a lot of them want the they want the priest's head on the
 14 plate, they want the bishop's head on a plate, and they want anybody else wearing a black
 15 suit lined up against the wall. That's generally a raw response, but yeah if there's healing
 16 going on, any type of healing in the process, the needs, the wants, the perceptions will
 17 change over time. But I've also encountered a number of victims who that initial
 18 damage and the response that happened from the first time is still there, it's still painful, it's
 19 still raw, it's still raw.
- Q. Given that there is that kind of change with some people and not necessarily with others, how would you recommend the Church responds to that?
- 22 A. How do you mean?

1 2

3

4

5

6

31

32

33

34

- Q. Well, the Church is walking on this redress journey with a survivor, how is it appropriate for the Church to find out and to respond to those changes from what the survivor is wanting?
- A. Well you have to talk to the survivor and that's where you get the information about where they're been and where they're going and what's been happening. That's the whole purpose [inaudible]. You don't ask them to bring in a diary of their feelings every day from the day they were raped until last week, but you have to ask them to talk about their journey, where have you been, what's it been like.

I've done this, and I've had to be very careful because sometimes going back the memories will trigger a very traumatic response, because a lot of these people, as you know, suffer very seriously from a very unique kind of PTSD, it's what a lot of the psychiatrists are referring to. And I mean I would never do this with a survivor unless I first

- spoke with a mental health professional and said "Look, you know, what do you think the best route would be with so and so, given what you know about the person?"
- The last couple of questions I'd like to ask you, Tom, were about comments you made in your evidence. When you've been helping people and you've found that sometimes there's transference from the survivor to you, I think you used the phrase "punching bag", and that you acknowledged that as part of helping them sometimes they get angry with you?
- 7 A. That's right.

Α.

2.5

- Now that's a process, Tom, that the Church sees within its redress processes, people who are trying to help, sometimes survivors get angry with them as part of that process. Have you got any advice from your experience about how to manage that, how to respond to those emotional complexities?
 - My advice would be that people that are involved in that situation where you think where there's a remote possibility of transference, that you don't want that to end up in counter-transference which means you're a survivor and you're jumping all over me and then I get in a fight with you. So now I'm mad at you, you're mad at me, that's counter-transference. I can respond to that because of my training, I'm trained as an addictions therapist where we deal with that issue a lot, that's why. But I think anyone, let's say you were going to do it, I would say I would spend some time with a mental health professional who can help you understand what's going on behind that person, the big danger is taking it personally.

I had to be very aware of that when it's happened to me. And I've had to talk — generally what I've done is speak with, if I had a clinical supervisor at the time, I'd speak to him or her or my AA sponsor or something of that nature, just to make sure that I wasn't going to — the most dangerous thing is that I make a mistake in dealing with that person. So, the bottom line is that we learn, all therapists I think know this, do no harm. So you don't want to do any harm, and if they are angry you have to absorb that, it's very difficult, but I've learned that that's important that I do that, that I learn to absorb and it's worked out positively in many, many instances where, after a while, I've developed a rapport after the anger's been taken care of, or after it's been expressed and cooled down.

These people are angry not so much at me, [inaudible] they're angry at the Church, they're angry at priests, they're just angry and sometimes it's like asking "What are you angry about?" That's not the right question. [Inaudible] but I think it's just listening, trying to stay calm, and I'm sure, as you said, I'm sure it's more than a few instances where it shows up [inaudible] and there's no rule book. I was fortunate to have experience in

- dealing with transference and counter-transference, because it happened in counselling
- 2 relationships. But that's what I would advise, people who are going to be working with
- victims that they get some kind of training of that nature.
- Thank you, Tom, thank you for sharing your American experience with us and those
- observations. I'll hand you back to Mr Mount now.
- 6 A. Thank you, good luck.
- 7 **Q.** Thank you.
- 8 **CHAIR:** Anything from you Mr Mount?
- 9 MR MOUNT: No thank you very much, Madam Chair.
- 10 **CHAIR:** So, it remains for us do you have any questions?
- 11 **COMMISSIONER ALOFIVAE:** Good evening Tom. Can you see me?
- 12 A. I can't see you, I'm sorry.
- 13 **CHAIR:** She will arrive I'm sure.
- 14 A. I can see you, I can't pronounce your name Sandra but I can see you.
- 15 **COMMISSIONER ALOFIVAE:** Thank you very much. Thank you very much for your
- evidence. It's really a point of reflection and maybe clarification just so that I've got it right
- in my mind. I note from your evidence that the Catholic Church in terms of canon law,
- they're not shy on speaking openly and having rules and regulations around sexual abuse of
- a minor and how it should in actual fact be dealt with, correct?
- 20 A. Yes, I mean it's pretty clearly laid out.
- 21 Q. Yes, so they're very categorical which is quite different from some of our other faith
- institutions that we've heard from. And repeatedly, you keep repeating and amending your
- canon law, you keep revising them with the intention that actually globally all of your
- Catholic parishes, or where the faith is, that they would be applying these canon laws with
- some consistency. Is that a fair assumption?
- A. Well, the assumption is like in any legal system, you want everybody to obey the law
- consistently and if you're not sure what it means you go to the appropriate authorities to
- help you apply it. Unfortunately, that's not the way it works anywhere. Now the initial
- comments you made, I don't think there is another denomination that I know of that has a
- complex legal system similar to the Roman Catholic Church and possibly that's because
- ours reaches canon law system as a system is the oldest, continuously functioning legal
- 32 system in the world, it's considered among legal historians and experts as an actual legal
- system. [Inaudible] I don't want to get into that because I could go on all night.
- Thank you, I guess the end point that I'm trying to get to is when we contextualise it to our

- local New Zealand situation and circumstances, there's still a base there are still base

 documents in terms of the canon law and you refer to a number of them like our 2010

 norms that would still be applicable to us here in New Zealand today. Is that a fair

 assumption to make?
- Yes, sure, the canon, the code of canon law is applicable to the entire Church and the canon 5 A. applies to New Zealand and if the New Zealand Bishops Conference wanted to set up 6 special laws for New Zealand only based on your needs, especially considering the native 7 peoples that you have, that could be done. We've done that in the United States in 2002. 8 The bishops got together and they drew up what we call commonly the Dallas Charter that 9 has a set of norms with it. They passed it, it went to Rome and was approved and that 10 became what we call in canon law particular law, which is law for one area or one group of 11 people or one specific issue. 12
- 13 **Q.** Thank you, so we are able to deviate if we wanted to in terms of the Catholic populations here?
- 15 A. Yes, you could, and probably —

34

- 16 **Q.** We probably, sorry, I'll let you finish that.
- A. Well I think that probably a lot of ecclesiastical entities are free to go that route, but it probably would be to the benefit of everybody in the country if you had your own set of rules that would be a companion to the code itself. You've got a small country, you've got six dioceses, I don't mean to [inaudible] it's one of those beautiful places I was ever at in my life, New Zealand, but it's small country compared to the USA.
 - **Q.** Thank you, Tom, that was you've helped me very much, thank you.
- **CHAIR:** So finally, from me, Tom, just a question that expands what you've told us into the areas 23 that we are also having to navigate as a Commission, because our Commission is not 24 2.5 limited just to sexual abuse, we are looking into abuse of all forms, including neglect, which we interpret to be neglect which leads to harm or damage. We have heard, and I 26 don't know if you know this, but the Commissioners meet privately and regularly with 27 individual survivors to take their accounts in a confidential way and we are listening to 28 29 these all the time. And we are hearing and have heard of stories and accounts of children in the care, not just of the Catholic Church but certainly including them, where they might not 30 have been sexually abused but they may well have been very severely physically abused. 31 I'm not talking about normal discipline here, I'm talking about use of weapons, vicious 32 attacks leading to injuries etcetera. 33

We've also heard of the lack of affection, so children placed in orphanages which

are cold, where children don't learn any attachment whatsoever. I don't know if you've given any thought to this, but I'm just wondering whether what you have said today about sexual abuse could apply to those matters as well, severe abuse and indeed harmful neglect.

A.

The answer to that ma'am is yes. I have had experience with what you're speaking about with Catholic institutions run by religious orders of women. I just finished working a case in Australia, in Victoria, where the client within the case had been in the care of the Sisters of Nazareth and the Christian Brothers, and he said he'd also been in the care of the State of Victoria in prison and he said he'd take that any day over what was dished out to him in those two institutions.

So I've had to comment on this, work in this area, in the United States, in Canada, in Quebec and in Australia and it is equally important, especially the physical harm that is done to some of these children, that is beyond comprehension, it's just — I can't even describe it and I've seen it in several places, and it's criminal, it's worse than criminal.

- Q. And it follows from that I think that the very important evidence you've given today about spiritual abuse could equally apply to, or spiritual damage, could apply to abuse arising out of non-sexual but actually really bad criminal physical abuse.
- A. Absolutely. And it's not just it could, it does, it does ma'am, that much I do know from the experience that I've had. I was not asked to comment in my I certainly could have done it, had I been suggested to get into that dimension, yes. The worst I've seen of that has been in Ireland in the industrial homes run by the Christian Brothers which is and I worked at one time as a prison chaplain and I'd go there certainly before I would put myself in one of these places.
- Q. Yes, very sad. Just finally, I just want to acknowledge your evidence, Tom, it's been a privilege to hear from you. What you have done, as I've said before, the Commissioners have spoken to several hundred now survivors as individuals, and what you have done for us today is provide us with an intellectual framework around the stories, accounts and experiences we have heard. And it is tragic, but very real that the experiences that you've had of people in the United States are the same here. And that's the fear of reporting, the sense of being somehow behoven to the church, not to report, the secrecy, and above all the extraordinary damage and the impacts on that work.

But your talk of spiritual damage has been very important for us to hear and to recognise as something that, focusing on redress, we have to look at. So, I want to thank you very much. You should know, and Mr Mount pointed to this before, you can't see the hearing room, but you've got a full house, and I'm sure many people watching and on

- behalf of all of those people can we thank you for your generous time. I appreciate that you have given this time willingly and we really appreciate that. So, thank you so much from all of the Commissioners.
- 4 A. I want to say thank you for inviting me to give my testimony, but much more important is I would like to thank the Commission and those involved for what you're doing and trying to 5 do for the victims, those are the people that are most important. And I think anything that 6 offers an increase of healing, of peace, of peace of mind, of peace of soul is very important 7 and something of this nature is certainly very, very important. So, I, as one who's been 8 involved with this for a long time, I'm grateful to you for what you're doing. And I wish 9 you all, everyone in that room, the very best of luck in this historic moment that you're 10 involved in. 11
- 12 **Q.** Many thank yous and I wish you farewell.
- 13 A. Thank you very much.
- 14 **CHAIR:** I think we'll take the adjournment at this stage.

Adjournment from 3.02 pm to 3.17 pm

16 **CHAIR:** Yes, Ms McKechnie.

- MS McKECHNIE: Good afternoon, Chair. The Commission have asked that Brother Horide for the Marist Brothers gives evidence now, ma'am. He's joined in the witness box by Brother John Hazelman who is the District Leader of the Marist Brothers. Brother Hazelman is going to briefly mihi to acknowledge the Commission. As I indicated in my opening yesterday, he had intended to make an apology but we have subsequently had an indication that you would prefer that he not do that now, so accordingly he will mihi both in English and Samoan and invite survivors to come forward to the Commission, again in English and in Samoan, and then Brother Peter will give his evidence.
- 25 **CHAIR:** Thank you. So good afternoon Brother Horide, I believe you want to be called Peter; is that correct?
- 27 A. Yes.

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

29

Q. Mr Hazelman, thank you for attending in support of Brother Horide.

PETER HAMILTON HORIDE (Affirmed)

- 30 **MS McKECHNIE:** Brother John, would you like to begin with the Chair's approval.
- 31 **CHAIR:** Yes, you were going to do a greeting.
- MR HAZELMAN: Ma le agaga fa'aaloalo lava, oute fa'atulou ma fa'apa'i mālu atu i le pa'ia
- mamalu o ē uma na a'afia ma e o lo'o soifua mai sa a'afia sauāga. Faatulou atu fo'i I tagatā
- nu'u, le mālo, fa'apea suimamalu i le pa'ia o le komisi su'esu'e o Aotearoa Niu Sila i ona

tupu ma ona ilagutu. Tulou, tulouna lava. The briefing I have just stated in Samoan is a respectful acknowledgment of the mana of the survivors and victims, people of Aotearoa New Zealand, the Government of New Zealand and distinguished members of the Royal Commission. No reira, tēnā koutou, tēnā koutou, tēnā koutou katoa.

Before Brother Peter gives his evidence, I invite all those who have been abused by a member of the Marist Brothers Order, and have not yet done so, to please come forward. As District Leader I give my assurance that we will listen. Mo so'osetasi ua fa'amanu'a ina e se sui i le au aiga Felela Malisi ma e le'i fa'ailoa mai sona mafatiaga matou te ofo atu i lenei avanoa taua tatou te feiloa'i ai. O lo'u tulaga ta'ita'i o le alii Felela Malisi ou te ta'utino atu o le a matou fa'alogolo ma le agaga fa'amaualalo ia te oe. Tatalo atu fo'i ia i latou o lo'o iai pea le tigā ma mafatiaga ona o se amio lē pulea a lenei aiga ua tula'i mai, ia liligi mai le lagi manuia mai le Atua mo outou mamalu ae o ola i lona alofa. Nō reira, tēnā koutou katoa.

CHAIR: I'll invite Sandra Alofivae to respond.

COMMISSIONER ALOFIVAE: Fa'atalofa atu i lau susuga Felela John Hazelman, malie matogofie. Fa'afetai i lau susū mai i lagolagoina i le molimau a le Felela Peter Horide, e tusa ai ma taualumaga a le Royal Commission. Fa'afetai tele lava.

CHAIR: Thank you.

- 19 **QUESTIONING BY MS McKECHNIE:** Peter, you were asked to prepare a brief of evidence 20 for the Royal Commission and were asked to cover a number of specific topics. You did 21 that in a brief of evidence dated the 12th of February 2020. Do you have a copy of that in 22 front of you?
- 23 A. I do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. Are you able to confirm as we commence, Peter, that the content of this document remains true and correct to the best of your knowledge?
- 26 A. Yes, to the best of my knowledge. I'm not entirely happy with, say, some of the phrasing or 27 typos and various other things like that.
- Q. I'm sure the Commission will forgive you some typos, Peter. Peter, I'm going to start by asking you to introduce yourself briefly to the Commission, to describe your career as a brother and what you have done as a member of your congregation in your 50 years with the Marist Brothers?
- A. Thank you. As you say, I've been in the Brothers for 50 years, I'm over aged 70. I began in the Brothers in the late 1960s and in my career with the Brothers, after the initial training, I was involved as a teacher mostly at secondary school level in Auckland but also in

- Gisborne and Invercargill, in secondary school subjects like chemistry and biology, mostly
 at year 12 and 13 level, and in religious education. And I've done some other things as well
 in my time with the Brothers, including particularly working as a counsellor in a family
 counselling service, which is not church related but in Auckland with colleagues who knew
 that I was a brother, and in this area of professional standards as well as some financial
 matters.
- 7 Q. So, what is your current role in the context of professional standards, Peter?
- A. I'm the Delegate for Professional Standards. So, the way in which we're organised is that
 we have the District Leader is the person who has the ultimate responsibility in matters of
 professional standards for the Brothers, but he delegates to one brother in the district to
 investigate, to deal with and to lead the work of professional standards for the district, and
 that's me.
- 13 **Q.** We'll discuss the role of delegate quite a lot more later.
- 14 A. Sure.
- Peter, but before we do, just touching on District Leader, John is the present District Leader of the Marist Brothers, how does somebody become the District Leader, how do you choose?
- District Leader is chosen by the Brothers by, you might call it a straw poll. There's a A. 18 process within the order that is international, that the leadership of the Brothers in Rome is 19 part of this process. And so when the Brothers throughout the district are asked to give 20 their comments and their recommendations, including what the characteristics that they 21 might like to see in the next leader, those results are processed outside of New Zealand by 22 what you might call the independent oversight from our Brothers' leadership in Rome and 23 then out of that comes the appointment of the next District Leader, usually with about 24 2.5 almost a year's forewarning for the fortunate person who has that responsibility.
- Q. Have you ever been the District Leader of the Marist Brothers?
- 27 A. No, I haven't.
- I'm going to touch on three main areas in this examination-in-chief, Peter, we only have
 about an hour so we're able to summarise your evidence. You have prepared a much longer
 brief covering other matters at the request of the Commission and for those listening that
 will be available online if they want to read the rest of your evidence.
- 32 **CHAIR:** And I can assure you that we've read them, read the full brief.
- 33 A. Thank you very much, great, thanks.
- 34 **QUESTIONING BY MS McKECHNIE CONTINUED:** Madam Chair has saved me from

- reassuring that she has read the full brief. So Peter I'm going to ask you some questions to
 explain the Marist Brothers, and you are the first lay religious, in fact you are the only lay
 religious who will be giving evidence this week, and then I'm going to ask you some
 questions about the complaints and disclosures that have been made to the Brothers and the
 redress process and how that's changed in the last few decades.
- 6 A. Sure.
- Put to ask some questions first about the Marist Brothers, we've just heard significant evidence for some time from Tom Doyle, and I understand you were present listening to that within the building?
- 10 A. Correct.
- There was quite a lot of discussion about clerics and the like. Can you, in the context of the evidence you heard from Tom, please explain to the Commission as a lay congregation how you are organised and how that is arranged within the church structure?
- Sure, thank you. So, the Marist Brothers are not ordained clergy. We're not clerics. So, a 14 Α. person who joins the Marist Brothers, they know that the trajectory of the different things 15 they might do through their lifetime they will always be a lay member of a religious 16 congregation in the Catholic Church but never a cleric. So, there are different slightly 17 cultural elements, I suppose, to those two lifestyle choices, if you like to put it that way. In 18 the ordained clergy, they would exercise leadership in a number of ministries and 19 sacramental ministry particularly, that is not the area in which the Brothers would be doing 20 too much work at all, other than making contribution in the same way as any other 21 parishioner. So, we, as Brothers, focus on what might be another way of serving in 22 ministry but not an ordained lifestyle. 23
- 24 **Q.** There's two areas of that sort of service that I particularly wanted to discuss with you this
 25 afternoon, Peter. Firstly, the Marist Brothers have had a significant involvement in Māori
 26 education and Māori communities, if we could talk first about that Māori communities
 27 across the history of the Brothers, particularly since 1950, if you could give a thumbnail
 28 sketch for the Commission about the work the Brothers have done in that environment?
- 29 A. Sure. I'm just going to take some of this from my witness statement if I may.
- 30 **Q.** Absolutely.
- 31 A. Sure, thanks.
- 32 **CHAIR:** If you could just refer to the paragraph number.
- 33 A. Yes, sure, sure.
- 34 **Q.** Thank you.

- 1 A. Okay, thanks. So, from paragraph 6 —
- 2 QUESTIONING BY MS McKECHNIE CONTINUED: If you're able to summarise —
- 3 A. Sure.
- 4 Q. those next few paragraphs, —
- 5 A. Yes.

2.5

- 6 Q. Peter, in relation to this that would be very helpful.
- A. Sure, right. So, the Marist Brothers came to Aotearoa New Zealand in the late 1830s and then they started to work amongst the Māori communities, especially in the north. They worked alongside the priests of the Society of Mary. In the mid-1800s with a large influx of European settlers, this initial project of the Marist Brothers alongside the Society of Mary was amended and moved more towards serving the settler communities. This was done in addition to the work with the Māori communities.

The last of the pioneer Brothers who had been linked directly with the initial Catholic Māori Mission in New Zealand, died at Meeanee in Hawke's Bay in 1898. So that's a significant trajectory across the 19th century of the 1800s from 1838 to 1898 in which Brothers had some engagement with the Māori but that was diminishing.

In 1876, Marist Brothers came to New Zealand specifically for teaching and teaching in schools and again, as I've said, this was mostly with the Catholic settler community. So, they began setting up schools in the growing townships. The Marist Brothers taught boys and many different congregations of sisters taught the girls. Māori boys from urban and rural settings did attend some of these new schools, particularly Sacred Heart College which educated some 200 pupils at any one time in the first half of the 20th century.

So that's just a brief introduction to the engagement of the Marist Brothers with the Māori. I'll just go on a bit further about the Marist Brothers in Hato Petera College. So, from paragraph 10.

"After the Second World War, there was an increasing demand for secondary and boarding schools. A heavy emphasis was placed on recruiting Brothers and providing them with an education appropriate to that task.

From 1946 the Brothers became directly involved once more with the Māori Mission. That year, they took over the teaching at St Peter's Māori College in Auckland, run by an order of priests called The Mill Hill Society. The Brothers initially taught in the school while The Mill Hill fathers ran the boarding. By 1972, the college, renamed as Hato Petera College, which is St Peter's in Māori and under the complete control of the Brothers

was the largest and one of the best-known Māori schools in the country. The Brothers at Hato Petera had a commitment to education that involved the whole family. During the summer holidays, groups of Brothers would travel to the different communities where the boys came from and spent time interacting with their parents and wider whānau. There existed a partnership where the boys gained through education and the Brothers learned about themselves and Maori through the culture and spirituality that was shared in these interactions."

- 8 Q. Thank you, Peter. If I could ask you some questions about schools now, because that is very much what the Marist Brothers are known for. You've had — The Marist Brothers 9 have been associated with a significant number of schools, at paragraph 15 you start to talk 10 about this. There's 37, sorry 36, schools at the height of this time?
- Correct. Α. 12

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

11

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Just to explain to the Commission, did the Marist Brothers own those 36 schools? 13 Q.
- No, so by the 1960s there were almost 300 Brothers in New Zealand and there were some 14 Α. also in association with us in New Zealand who were in the Pacific and we had 36 schools 15 spread across New Zealand and those Pacific Island countries that are part of this district. 16

In New Zealand, these schools that we're talking about were predominantly primary schools for boys usually around the ages of 9 through to 12 and they were not owned by the Marist Brothers. They were owned by the bishop or, in some instances, by the parish. There are three schools in New Zealand that are owned by the Marist Brothers and that's still true to this day and those three are Sacred Heart College, St Paul's College and Marsden College and they're all in Auckland.

- O. So, Peter, how did the Marist Brothers come to be operating schools on behalf of the bishop 23 or a parish? 24
- 2.5 A. I suppose the question is partly a reflection on the Catholic culture of that post-war period that we were talking about before. As I said, the majority of them were primary schools, 26 but there were also secondary schools established in most provincial towns and in 27 obviously the large cities like Lower Hutt, Christchurch and so on. The Marist Brothers 28 29 were there by the invitation of the bishop. The bishop had the proprietorship, the Brothers had the management. 30
- When you say proprietorship, are you able to explain to the Commission in an education 31 Q. context what are you talking about, what does that mean? 32
- 33 A. Proprietorship up until 1981 is very clear. As a bishop owns the school, the school is part of the ministry of the church, the school employs all of the staff, that's up to 1981. I heard you 34

- mention in your interaction with Tom that we have the Conditional Schools Integration Act of 1975 which came into effect effectively in 1980 and '81.
- 3 **Q.** I was just about to ask you about that Peter.
- 4 A. Sure.
- 5 Q. So, that's colloquially known as "integration"?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 Q. What was the impact of integration on the schools the Marist Brothers were operating?
- A. The integration really was like I'm just trying to think, it was like a generational event that any brother who would have experienced it would be saying that was a once in a lifetime moment where the school was now a Ministry of Education enterprise, we were now employees of the New Zealand State-integrated school and we therefore had to adjust our management practices, our governance practices, pretty much every detail of how we thought and how we operated in these schools.
- Q. Given those various arrangements and changes, how and who do the Marist Brothers take responsibility for if there are complaints coming from schools?
- A. If a complaint comes from a school, an historic complaint is really what I'm talking about,
 an historic complaint will come, if it's related to sexual abuse it may come through the
 church channels, it may come to the Marist Brothers and then ultimately to me as the
 delegate, it may come through the diocese. How it comes, though, to answer your question,
 the responsibility pre-1981 sits squarely with the Marist Brothers, especially if the offender
 is a Marist brother, historic offender Marist brother, pre-1981 it's our responsibility.
- 22 **Q.** And after 1981?
- A. After 1981, and then subsequently after 1989 with the Tomorrow's Schools legislation
 being introduced and those practices, what we can see is that the governance of the schools
 was placed in the hands of boards of trustees. Those boards of trustees are the ultimate
 authority under the Ministry of Education in terms of responding to all forms of complaints,
 historic and current. So, if there's a continuity, that school is still in existence, there is a
 board of trustees that you can see has a whakapapa back to 1989, that complaint really
 should go to the board of trustees.
- 30 **CHAIR:** Can I just clarify please, Peter, when you say historic, do you mean even those complaints about behaviour that took place pre-1981?
- A. Pre-1981 they come to the Marist Brothers.
- 33 Q. So, by "historic" you don't mean pre-1981?
- A. I do mean pre-1981, historic like the incident?

- 1 **Q.** Yes.
- 2 A. What has been complained about?
- 3 Q. Yes, something happened in 1970, for example.
- 4 A. Yes, comes to the Marist Brothers.
- 5 Q. And anything that happened after '81 or '89?
- 6 A. '81, from 1981 onwards the brother if it's a brother who's an offender obviously we need
- to be engaged with this in some way. The responsibility is not going to be completely left
- or fall between two stalls. The responsibility of the Brothers for the fact that this is a
- brother is clear, and especially when the process starts to get to the point of discipline or
- anything like that. But we shouldn't leave the school governance structure out of the
- equation either, the school governance structure is an important feature of the educational
- landscape for the Catholic Church in New Zealand from 1981 onwards.
- 13 **Q.** Thank you.
- 14 A. Alright.QUESTIONING BY MS McKECHNIE CONTINUED: So, from 1989, any
- teaching Brothers at that point became employees?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 **Q.** We talked about numbers at some point being as many as 300, is that the case now?
- 18 A. No, in New Zealand there are 55 Brothers.
- 19 **Q.** You set this out briefly at paragraph 22 of your evidence, Peter, can you just summarise
- broadly what the make-up of the current Brothers in New Zealand is?
- A. Sure. So, in New Zealand currently the median age is in their 70s, and at a high level I
- 22 would just report that seven Brothers are involved in administration, six Brothers are
- 23 involved in spirituality and volunteer work, four Brothers are involved in teaching part-time
- or providing teaching assistance, four Brothers are involved in youth ministry, and three
- Brothers are teaching full-time. So, there is an older generation that would remember when
- we had larger numbers of Brothers teaching full-time, but there are only three.
- 27 Q. I just have one last set of questions about schools and the Marist Brothers. You were here
- for some of the evidence in the end of last year?
- 29 A. Correct.
- 30 Q. And there was some evidence and some questions about why Brothers as part of their
- teaching career moved between schools?
- 32 A. Mmm-hmm.
- Now, are you able to explain please to the Commission why that was done as part of a
- 34 Brothers' teaching career?

1	A.	I think the whole process is complex and yet, in another sense, it's so much part of the life
2		that I've been part of that you don't think about it so much until you have to explain it. Why
3		the Brothers moved between schools, especially in the 1950s, '60s, '70s could be to do with
4		the needs of the place where he's most able to make a contribution, it's to do with his own
5		professional development, it could be to do with the community make-up of the brother's
6		community and the contribution he might make in leadership or in some way in helping
7		that community to gel. It could be for a reason that is particular to him and understood
8		between him and the leader, such as being closer to his parents for some family reason.
9		And finally, it could be for study, it could be attending university, or he could be going
10		overseas for an overseas course.

- Now Peter you have, and your brothers have, been reflecting on the evidence and on these questions and I'd like to take you to 127 of your brief please?
- 13 A. Sure.

- **Q.** And ask you to read 127 and 128?
 - A. So, this is continuing to talk about the movement of our Brothers, because seeing the history now from my role as the delegate for professional standards, I can see that there are difficulties, especially in the evidence that came forward over the last year and then in December.

"To our deep regret and shame, we now realise that this system was vulnerable to exploitation by abusers and by those who sought to cover up their abuse. The system of moving Brothers regularly around the country meant that it was not unusual for Brothers to spend as few as two or three years in any location.

This system would allow an abuser to move around Aotearoa New Zealand undetected, continuing the abuse and avoiding the actions being reported. It also allowed members of the Brothers' leadership to move a brother subject to complaints rather than to deal directly with the conduct. This meant that abusers were not stopped when they should have been. And people, sadly, were abused when this abuse was avoidable.

The Brothers recognise that this was wrong and that inadequate safeguards were in place to protect against the vulnerabilities of the system. We sincerely apologise for the abuse that was allowed to happen because this system was in place. The Brothers are committed to learn from these past experiences and to ensure that errors like this are not repeated."

Q. Thank you, Peter. You also make a further and more general apology in your evidence.I'm going to leave the history of the Marist Brothers now and start to ask you some

questions about the disclosures of harm that the Marist Brothers have in your records.

Before I do that, I know that you wanted to read this apology so that the survivors and those listening were able to hear a voice from the Marist Brothers acknowledging the wrongs of the past. So, if you could turn to 148 of your evidence please?

5 A. Thank you.

2.5

A.

Q. And read from there and to 151?

Sure, sure. I'd like just to begin as I read this, especially if any of these people are in this room or watching on live stream, I'm aware of the presentation that was brought to the Commission in the period of the 30th of November through to the 4th of December in the Catholic presentations. There was Frances, there was John, there was Mr F, there was Mr G, and there's also a written complaint from Ms K. And we're aware of the offending of such Brothers as Brother Fabian, Brother Giles and Brother Bead. I just want to acknowledge and to mihi all of those people who came forward and to thank them for their courage and this apology applies particularly to those people who we honour and welcome and, as Brother John said, we do wish people to come forward to the Commission. But this is also a more general apology following up from what I've just said about the system that used to allow abuse to occur.

"There are numerous instances where harm has occurred. The actions of our members have caused distress, suffering, and in some cases have had lifelong effects on people's lives. As an individual asked to give evidence to this Inquiry on behalf of the Brothers and as a member of the Catholic Church, I would like to apologise for these actions. I would also like to apologise for the Marist Brothers' shortcomings, failings and mistakes. I apologise to the people present in this room, to those viewing this via live stream, and to those who will watch this later and to those who have passed on.

For some, I acknowledge that hearing my words and even hearing this apology will be distressing. I speak to you with deep humility. For you who have been abused by Brothers, the terrible truth is that you were deeply hurt, and the harm will never be forgotten. For your suffering, your memories, and the consequences this abuse had in your lives, I apologise and I am sorry.

That all of this harm was caused by fellow members of our brotherhood leaves all of us deeply ashamed. It also appalls us. The horrific damage that was done to many young people has caused damage to the Marist Brothers and we accept that. The abuse that occurred and that we now know about to a much fuller extent has diminished us as a congregation.

1	Integral in our belief system is our calling to serve a higher purpose and to seek to
2	bring out the best in everyone we encounter. We are devastated to learn of the dreadful
3	ways in which our members have failed in their calling. This is particularly the case for all
4	of the Brothers who are still working to uphold the Marist Brothers' original aims. There
5	are members who have spoken to us about seeking the forgiveness of survivors. However,
6	we understand that it is unreasonable of us to expect forgiveness from anyone."

- 7 **Q.** Thank you, Peter. Peter, I'm now going to ask you about the records that the Marist Brothers hold about disclosures of physical, sexual abuse?
- 9 A. Mmm-hmm.
- You have a document in front of you which is a section of the briefing paper that was
 prepared by Tautoko and filed, Commissioners, for this hearing. The version you have in
 front of you, Peter, has the information for the Marist Brothers congregation?
- 13 A. Correct.
- MS McKECHNIE: Ma'am we have filed an extensive document in relation to the context of this, but to explain to those listening I will ask Peter a few questions just to contextualisethe information.
- 17 **CHAIR:** Certainly.
- QUESTIONING BY MS McKECHNIE CONTINUED: So, Peter, to explain, can you please briefly outline what sort of records the Marist Brothers hold in relation to disclosures of abuse?
- If an abuse incident or report has come to us, we hold complaints files, we start a 21 Α. complaints file for each new case, so therefore it could be just the first indication of 22 something being brought to us or something being disclosed. So therefore, we hold records 23 of all of those. They begin from the early to mid-1990s. They can be complaints of abuse 24 2.5 in the forms that you just spoke about, whether physical, psychological, sexual, or they can include instances of complaints of harassment, misconduct. They may be of any jurisdiction, 26 they may be outside New Zealand in the Pacific Islands, for instance, there may be some 27 lay teachers who are the respondent, the person identified. They may be cases that are 28 29 referred to by third parties, particularly siblings and parents. They may be anonymous complaints, they may be complaints where the respondent can't be identified but we would 30 accept that it must be or could be one of our members given the school where it occurred. 31
- 32 **Q.** Now that's a very broad approach.
- 33 A. Yes.
- Q. Why did Tautoko and the Marist Brothers decide to take that sort of approach?

1	A.	I think that we wanted to be thorough. This was a once in a lifetime, in a sense, opportunity
2		because each congregation in each diocese would have had its own records. They would
3		have been collecting them under different protocols, they may not have done what I've just
4		described which was our approach, but they may, I wouldn't have known. And this
5		opportunity to gather information of the Catholic Church, which is a rather notional, elusive
6		concept for us in our particular field as the schools where the Brothers taught, or the
7		Brothers had management responsibilities, we brought everything together and we reported
8		everything and we didn't filter.

- 9 **Q.** So, this includes formal complaints where there might have been a NOPS process or an investigation?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 **Q.** And also, less formal complaints that may not have been pursued to that level?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 **Q.** In terms of those records, Peter, how many records do the Marist Brothers hold of all types of disclosures of harm across the spectrum the Royal Commission is looking at?
- A. In this document, the number is 146. That is the number that was gathered and reported to Tautoko. There is always each week, each month, the possibility of a new report, so the number may be more than that now.
- 19 **Q.** Did you assemble the records yourself to be provided to Tautoko for the Marist Brothers?
- 20 A. Yes, I collected those, but the actual data entry into a spreadsheet I got an assistant,
 21 I employed somebody to help me.
- One of the things that the Marist Brothers records hold, Peter, and this is on page 4 of the document in front of you, is how long it is between the alleged event or the harm that was suffered, and the individual reporting that information to the Marist Brothers or to somebody else within the church. Looking at your information, are you able to explain to the Commission approximately how long most claimants take to approach the church?
- A. Yes, we have a really clear pattern that one or two, a very small number of cases are
 reported almost immediately or in a short timeframe up to six months or in the first year or
 two, and then there's a huge spike that starts after about 21 years. There's a few that come
 in before that, but after 21 years you then have very large numbers in that third decade, 21
 years to 30 years, 31 years to 40 years, those are the two highest reporting groups.
- And those numbers, just for the record, are 37 complaints in the 21- to 30-year bracket and 42 complaints where it's taken between 31 and 40 years for somebody to approach the Marist Brothers?

- 1 A. Yes. Just interesting to add, I suppose, that last column is over 50 years.
- 2 **Q.** How many people have taken —
- A. There's 11. I've dealt with some myself, but I didn't realise there's 11.
- 4 **Q.** The records also show, Peter, that for the Marist Brothers there's a particular context where this abuse takes place. Are you able to share with the Commission this is the data on
- page 5 of the document the institutional setting where most of the harm has occurred?
- 7 A. Yes, thank you. So, for the Marist Brothers, 122 are in an education setting and the other
- settings may be unknown, which is the second category, or they could be possibly a parish
- or a residential care or I can imagine possibly the home of the respondent or the brother's
- house.
- 11 **Q.** The last particular piece of information I wanted to ask you about, so you could share with
- the Commission, was whether the respondents were dead or alive at the time of the
- complaint. And to introduce this information before I ask you the questions, this is not
- particular Brothers, it is complaints —
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 **Q.** against Brothers?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 **O.** So, there may be a number of complaints against the same brother?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 **Q.** So, the numbers that I'm going to ask you about now are about the complaints rather than
- 21 the respondents as unique individuals?
- 22 A. Sure.
- 23 **Q.** But looking at the information that your records hold, can I summarise it's approximately
- 50% of the complaints made are against people who are dead at the time of the complaint?
- 25 A. Yes.
- Q. What challenges does that create for the Marist Brothers in responding to allegations where
- 27 the respondent is deceased?
- A. I think there's two parts to answer that question. The first is if this is the first known
- complaint against that deceased brother, I think there's a very high set of challenges. The
- person is not going to be disbelieved, but on the other hand, the challenges of establishing
- what has occurred, that this brother's offending is needing to be reviewed and corroborating
- details being able to be collected isn't so easy, isn't so straightforward.
- So, going to the second scenario, if the brother is known to have offended and in
- fact particularly if during his lifetime we already had some indication of that, but even if it

- was the first case that was heard after he died but there are multiple accusations against that
 brother, we then have corroboration. So, the challenges are the hardest particularly for the
 one where it's the first complaint against that deceased brother. The second is if they are
 deceased but there's some kind of track record. They're still challenges but they're not of
 the same scale.
- I'm now going to ask you some questions about that redress process, Peter, and how those investigations and the like have been done. Commissioners, Peter's evidence sets out the process from paragraph 30 of his evidence to 51 which is the period between 1990 and 2016. There were changes made in 2016, Peter, and I will concentrate my questions on those because that's the period that you have been the delegate?
- 11 A. Mmm-hmm.
- 12 **Q.** That's from paragraph 52 of your evidence?
- 13 A. Mmm-hmm.
- Q. So first I'd like to ask you about the role of the National Office of Professional Standards or NOPS?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 **Q.** And how, if a disclosure of harm comes to you or to NOPS, what role NOPS plays in that?
- Sure. So, the Church's National Office of Professional Standards or NOPS, as I'll continue A. 18 to use the phrase that way when referring to them, they handle all sexual abuse 19 investigations. So, in other words, the responsibility for establishing what occurred and a 20 full, detailed understanding of all aspects of that, that is in the hands of NOPS or their 21 investigators that they appoint, so there's a level of independence from the Brothers. The 22 Brothers are not investigating our own member. So that is a role that's quite clear for any 23 allegation of sexual abuse, no matter who it came to, whether it came to the NOPS office or 24 2.5 to the Brothers or through a diocese.
- Q. What role do the Marist Brothers play in that investigation?
- 27 A. While that investigation is in train our role is mainly as provider of information that we can
 28 validate and we're really the only ones who can in some instances. We can access records
 29 of identifying the respondent is the correct person who has been accused, confirming that
 30 he was there at that time, and all sorts of other details, even which classroom he was
 31 teaching in and things like that.
- Q. If the brother's alive are they interviewed as part of that process?
- 33 A. The Brothers are generally able to be interviewed as part of a process of a NOPS investigation.

- 1 **Q.** Are there any circumstances where that doesn't happen?
- 2 A. There are two instances that I can think of where, and I'm talking about since 2016, where
- there's a health caveat, shall I say, where it would not necessarily be quality information for
- 4 one thing and there'd be other health factors to come into consideration.
- 5 Q. When you say, "not quality information", Peter, can you clarify what you mean?
- 6 A. There's a cognitive score that is used, this is beyond the Marist Brothers, there's a cognitive
- testing and cognitive score that's used for older folk that it's on a scale of 1 to 30, it's a
- little bit of a tricky one unless you get professional advice, and when the person's
- reportedly at a cognitive score of 14 or a cognitive score of 18 or a cognitive score of 23,
- whatever it is, the quality of what they might be able to recall and to process and to speak
- about is going to be variable.
- 12 **Q.** They have a form of dementia?
- 13 A. Well, I'm just saying, I'm just saying that there is a cognitive test and a cognitive score
- which does come into play in what we're talking about.
- 15 **Q.** So NOPS undertakes the investigation through independent investigators?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 **Q.** What's the next step of the process after the investigation?
- A. So that investigation is entirely under the umbrella of NOPS and it is the process that they
- have procedures for. When that comes to its conclusion, the NOPS-appointed investigator
- 20 reports and that report goes to a body known as the Complaints Assessment Committee
- which is, again, within the whole Catholic Church structure for all bodies that are part of
- 22 the scheme, and the Complaints Assessment Committee assess the report that comes to
- them from that investigator through the NOPS office. Then it comes to us.
- Q. When it comes to you, does it come with any comment from the Committee?
- 25 A. Yes, so the Complaints Assessment Committee would write to the church authority, which
- is the diocese or the congregation, would write to our District Leader and report that this
- has been the finding of the Complaints Assessment Committee and it includes
- 28 recommendations.
- 29 **Q.** Recommendations about redress responses?
- A. Doesn't specify the quantum of the redress, that's for the church authority, but it does speak
- about such things as apology, meeting with the complainant, counselling or other service
- that might assist them with what's happening in their lives and that redress should be
- offered in some form such as an ex gratia. That's for the congregation to decide.
- 34 **Q.** So what process do you go through as a congregation when you receive this report and

- recommendations, what happens next?
- 2 A. So, I'm the delegate, the District Leader would pass it to me and my professional standards
- team, my professional standards office and I would then be the, in a sense, the first
- 4 recipient of this within the Marist Brothers structure. So, we can absorb what has been
- 5 provided in the Complaints Assessment Committee's report or letter, we would then consult
- 6 more widely if we choose to, or at least bring into that process potentially the Marist
- Brothers' trust board and we would then move towards meeting with the complainant and
- also bringing to the complainant our apology from the leader of the district. So those are
- 9 the first sort of steps.
- 10 **Q.** And once those steps have happened, just continue to walk through the process, what happens after that?
- 12 A. Sure. The complainant would receive the apology, but the process would continue with
- seeking a way forward. That may not necessarily be one size fits all, it would involve the
- professional standards office group, considering the situation as it's unfolding, possibly
- seeking outside consultation from a psychotherapist, we have a group of consultants that we
- speak with, and just saying what we think the next step is that would be appropriate to
- where we're at. So, it's hard to say what the template is.
- I've been listening today with care to some of the things that Tom was saying. I'm
- not sure that the whole picture has emerged in some of those conversations coming from
- Tom, but it is important to think about what will meet what's most required or most desired
- in each case, and it's quite a difficult and thought-provoking challenge.
- 22 **Q.** I'll ask you some questions in a moment, Peter, about the types of redress.
- 23 A. Sure.
- 24 **Q.** But there is often a financial aspect to the redress offered by the Brothers?
- 25 A. Sure.
- Q. Is there a legal document that the Marist Brothers have signed by survivors as part of that
- 27 process?
- 28 A. Yes, the Marist Brothers for the last 20 years have used pretty much the exact same
- 29 ex gratia deed.
- Q. Commissioners, we have provided a copy of that with Peter's evidence. Does that deed
- require a confidentiality clause, Peter?
- 32 A. It doesn't, it did.
- 33 **Q.** Why was it removed?
- A. It's not within my area of experience of the time being in the role because I've only been in

- the role since 2018, but so therefore I'm going to only answer the question anecdotally. It
- 2 goes back a long time that it was removed, and my understanding is that it was removed
- because it was deemed to be superfluous. If you have a confidentiality clause, in a sense,
- 4 the aim back in the day in the late 1990s would have been to say this protects both sides. It
- wasn't looking like it was doing that either side actually, is what I understand is anecdotally
- 6 why it wasn't needed any longer.
- 7 Q. If we could look at the types of redress that your records show that the Marist Brothers
- have used in the past, this is in responding to all claims?
- 9 A. Mmm-hmm.
- 10 **Q.** There's different categories here in terms of counselling and apologies?
- 11 A. Mmm-hmm.
- 12 **Q.** But particularly can you share with the Commission please the most common level of
- ex gratia payment that the Marist Brothers have paid and something of an explanation as to
- why?
- 15 A. Sure. Are you reading from a paragraph of my witness statement?
- 16 **Q.** It's page 7 of the document, Peter.
- 17 A. That document, sorry, I just wanted to check which one we were reading from.
- 18 **CHAIR:** There are some numbers on page 14, do they relate to this or is that a different matter?
- 19 A. So, the document that you have invited me to comment on are the information gathered by
- Tautoko, whereas what I hear you talking about on page 14 I think is my witness statement.
- I can speak to either.
- 22 QUESTIONING BY MS McKECHNIE CONTINUED: If we could take you to the briefing
- paper, Peter.
- 24 A. Yes, sure.
- 25 **Q.** It has a more granular level.
- 26 **CHAIR:** Yes, thank you, I just wanted to know the difference.
- 27 **QUESTIONING BY MS McKECHNIE CONTINUED:** The information on page 14, ma'am —
- 28 A. Yes.
- 29 Q. is a summary in that first line of the total —
- 30 A. Yes, sure.
- Q. value, but this looks more at the individual payments in terms of that.
- 32 A. Yeah, sure great.
- Q. Ma'am, if you're looking to follow this there is a briefing paper attached to Cardinal Dew's
- brief that captures the information for everyone and we can make sure that you have that by

1	comorrow morning. You currently have it, but we'll take you to the right part of it by
2	tomorrow morning. So, to return to my question, Peter —

- 3 A. Sure.
- Q. are you able to just outline for the Commission the usual levels of ex gratia payments
 insofar as they can be shown statistically and why that level of payment?
- A. Sure. So, compiling the ex gratia payments in this regime, and it's very very useful to see it this way, the first category is \$12,000 or less as the ex gratia payment. So, we have 36 of those and there's a very large bulk of those, because I am pretty familiar with them, that would be \$10,000. And those \$10,000 ex gratia payments were what the Marist Brothers were paying for sexual abuse claims for quite a substantial period in the early 2000s, and there were a large number of cases in the early 2000s. Would you like me to keep going?
- 12 **Q.** Looking at the next category please?
- A. So, the next category is between \$12,000 and \$20,000, there's 10. I would consider those to be mostly, or if not all sexual abuse cases, whereas the previous category included physical abuse cases. In more recent times, the starting figure would have been \$15,000 and so those 10 I would think are probably around the \$15,000 mark and then some that might be the \$20,000 mark. And then lastly, there's listed here one above \$20,000 but in fact I know more recently that there's more than one, okay?
- 19 **Q.** These are made as ex gratia payments, Peter?
- 20 A. Mmm-hmm.

29

30

31

32

- 21 **Q.** Are you able to explain why the Brothers use that mechanism?
- A. Sure. So, we've been careful to think through that what we are trying to achieve here is to offer a token, a symbol, that we regret what harm has been done. We are not offering compensation and we don't use that language. We also don't see it as a claim because aclaim starts to invoke other ideas that we don't associate with what we're trying to do here, we're offering this as part of the Path to Healing. So that journey of healing, we're making this contribution.

I must say that on top of the ex gratia, or supplementary to it, there may be other gestures. Counselling is the obvious one but there are other types of offering that we might put to the people who are part of this journey with us. So that might be travel, it might be some kind of important family experience or something for the family or something for people to feel that that helps them with their healing.

We're getting very close to when I need to wrap up, Peter, so I'm going to ask you two sets of questions that are more in type of reflection.

- 1 A. Mmm-hmm.
- 2 Q. You were here last week for some of the evidence and questions that were asked of the
- 3 Anglican —
- 4 A. Sure.
- 5 **Q.** witnesses in relation to using a matrix.
- 6 A. Mmm-hmm.
- 7 Q. And I know that you've been reflecting on —
- 8 A. Yes
- 9 **Q.** whether the Marist Brothers would find that useful. I'll ask you to share those thoughts with the Commission please?
- Sure, sure. I think the idea of a matrix has a lot to offer. Looking at some of the overseas A. 11 examples that are being implemented in response to commissions similar to this one, there 12 are different ways of approaching this. One is the flat rate which is a bit like the \$10,000 13 that the Marist Brothers had for a little while in our case. That's in Sweden, it's a flat rate of 14 250,000 kroner, which is NZ\$40,000, and that covers who knows what in terms of the type 15 of claim or what that might comprise. But in most other instances, where something is 16 being developed by way of what can be reasonably offered to recipients, there's a banding 17 and I'm aware that what was mentioned last week was the Ministry of Social Development 18 in New Zealand already has something along these lines, and I found that very interesting 19 because our professional standards office team, our situation in terms of just trying to be 20 reasonable, consistent, think through what should we offer and how can we justify it and 21 how can we make some kind of sense of where it's going into the future, I think a banding 22 system has a lot to offer. 23
- Q. Prior to the Royal Commission, Peter, were you and the Marist Brothers aware of the quantums being paid by other Catholic Church entities?
- A. Not all no, other than anecdotally way back 20 years ago, and that's not useful information.
- 27 **Q.** The last area I'm just going to touch on, and this is particularly your reflection in the three years you've been in your current role about the redress process and engagement, I know that you have been reflecting on the evidence that you heard at the end of last year, particularly about the redress elements of that evidence.
- 31 A. Mmm-hmm.
- And you've made an acknowledgment in your brief which I'd like to close on, please, by asking you to read paragraph 58 and 59.
- 34 A. Sure.

- 1 **Q.** This is specifically in relation to the redress process and engagement with the Marist Brothers.
- Sure. So, one of the things that I think was particularly noticeable about some of the 3 A. witness statements at the end of last year was we haven't moved as we should have, there 4 have been delays, people have had really detrimental experiences at our hands because of 5 delays. So, I want to acknowledge that delays have occurred in resolution of these ex gratia 6 and redress processes. And this delay for the complainant will always be difficult. We're 7 trying to work towards minimising any delay in the process and its effect on complainants. 8 So, we have increased the staff in our professional standards office, we have increased the 9 frequency of our meetings to make these deliberations, we have set up a tracking process 10 and we are documenting and tracking and reviewing as we go. Sorry, I've just lost my 11 page. 12
- 13 **Q.** 59, Peter.
- Yeah, I know, 59's gone astray. So, these are inefficiencies that I regret, and I can see 14 Α. they're a sad aspect of what we've been trying to achieve. We recognise, especially after 15 hearing the evidence of the witnesses in December, that our performance is not acceptable. 16 I have no doubt that our lack of promptness has failed these complainants and in fact 17 compounded their difficulties towards resolution. Regrettably, there have been a number of 18 occasions in the past year when this has occurred, some are in front of the Royal 19 Commission but there are others. So, we're committed to working to improve the 20 timeliness of our responses to complainants. 21
- 22 **Q.** Thank you, Peter. I imagine the Commissioner now has some questions for you.
- 23 A. Thank you.
- 24 **CHAIR:** Yes, thank you Ms Naughton. Ms Glover, I beg your pardon..
- QUESTIONING BY MS GLOVER: Peter, my name's Jane Glover. I'd like to start by asking you a few clarificatory questions about some of the things that you've just said. Starting with your qualification about the phrasing and the typographical errors in your witness statement.
- 29 A. Sure.
- Just so that we are all clear, can you describe the process by which this statement was drafted please?
- Yes, so on the 23rd of December I learned that I would be asked to appear at the
 Commission and the set of questions. In order to do justice to those questions and, given
 what time of year we were talking about in December, some of the material is financial,

- some of the material is looking back at our processes at a high level and just trying to say
- what happens and how it works. So, I sought assistance in January to try to make some
- kind of reasonable and coherent response to those questions within the deadline.
- 4 **Q.** So, did you write this statement?
- 5 A. Not every word in this statement is mine, but that's I am the delegate and I also lead the
- office team, so I'll take responsibility.
- 7 **Q.** So, you do stand by what is said in it?
- 8 A. Yes, I know that there's a figure that I'd like to question, I think it doesn't look right, that
- 9 sort of thing, and those statements I am just not sure that I've worded them as I would want
- in terms of that's not quite right or that's not what I'd say.
- 11 **Q.** Would you like to share with the Commission any of those clarifications or corrections?
- 12 A. Sure, okay. There's two that stand out in my mind, okay. One is in that table that the Chair
- was referring to about our payments of fees. I'm aware that there's a figure there for
- counselling and I think there's been a mix-up there about counselling for complainants and
- 15 counselling that might be brother counselling, and I think the whole lot might be in there in
- the last two years.
- 17 **Q.** I see, so is that something that you can clarify —
- 18 A. I can clarify.
- 19 **Q.** and provide the information to the Inquiry?
- 20 A. Sure, yeah. And the other one is that there's a statement there saying that the professional
- standards team have completed the trauma-informed care module of Phoenix Australia.
- We have, but how much we'd be able to say we've completed it when we might be, say,
- 5/7ths complete or something like that.
- Q. I see. Another clarificatory point I think you were going to say, you mentioned two
- situations in which a living brother might not be interviewed by the NOPS investigators?
- 26 A. Sure.
- 27 Q. And you specified one, you said that the brother would not be interviewed by a NOPS
- investigator where there was a cognitive deficit?
- 29 A. Correct.
- 30 **Q.** What was the other instance?
- A. Sorry, the other instance is that there is a brother who we put the kind of hesitation in front
- of NOPS and the investigator on the basis of the brother had just had two major surgeries.
- 33 Q. I see. So that falls within that health caveat that you described?
- A. Purely health, they're both purely health, yes.

- I was also interested in your comment that once the Marist Brothers receives a report from the Complaints Assessment Committee, from the CAC —
- 3 A. Mmm-hmm.
- Q. one of the steps that is sometimes taken is to have an external consultant, a
 psychotherapist involved at that point. What would the role of the psychotherapist be?
- A. Trying to improve our delivery. I'm saying this is only recent, it's a bit like my apology I've just made about lack of timeliness. So, when I was being asked about what our process is, I was speaking in the present tense. If you take me back 12 months we didn't have that relationship.
- 10 **Q.** What does the psychotherapist do now in terms of helping you with the presentation?
- 11 A. We have a small consultation group and we are going to meet and have been meeting
 12 monthly and we will look at any cases that are in front of us as to how do we understand the
 13 needs and what is being asked of us by this complainant, not just the Complaints
 14 Assessment Committee report but knowing what it is that we're trying to achieve in terms
 15 of this journey. And that's not something we're skilled in ourselves.
- O. So, are they giving you advice about what you could potentially provide in terms of redress outcomes?
- 18 A. Not at all, no, they're not contributing to that conversation so much about redress, about the Path to Healing.
- 20 **Q.** So, what are they contributing sorry?
- 21 A. The Path to Healing, the sort of sense of just understanding from the survivor's point of 22 view a lot better than we have been. It's not saying what the redress is going to be.
- 23 **Q.** But it's about identifying the survivor's needs?
- 24 A. Yes, survivor as a person, it's about if we just go back to Tom he was saying go and talk 25 to them and realise that that is — listening is probably one of the most important things.
- 26 That kind of survivor focus is something that perhaps we haven't been delivering and been 27 able to accomplish in quite the way, or quite the sort of focus that we might have.
- Q. So how would a survivor expect things to differ perhaps as a result of the involvement of that psychotherapist?
- A. I would characterise it as raising our game or being there for them more than we have been and thinking about the task that we're on as being less administration, again using Tom's examples, less towards our own administration and more towards this person and who they are as a human being.
- Q. You said in relation to ex gratia payments that you don't like using the language of a claim

- because that introduces certain ideas —
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 **Q.** that you would prefer not to?
- 4 A. Mmm.
- 5 Q. What are those ideas that you would prefer not to have introduced?
- A. So, I guess the idea of a claim is this harm has been caused, this is the recompense, this is in a sense the obligation you have to set right the harm.
- 8 Q. So, the idea that you are seeking to avoid is this idea of obligation, is that right?
- 9 A. Yes, correct.
- 10 **Q.** You've given us some background in what you just said about the various roles that you have held within the Marist Brothers.
- 12 A. Mmm-hmm.
- 13 Q. You've said that you were appointed as the delegate in 2018.
- 14 A. Correct.
- Do you recall when in 2018 that was?
- A. I was appointed on the 1st of December 2017 actually, I've just said 2018 is sort of a bit more easily able to be articulated.
- 18 **Q.** And as well as being a delegate for the Marist Brothers, you've previously been a member 19 of the Professional Standards Committee, is that right?
- 20 A. Correct. I've contributed to conversations in the Professional Standards Committee. My
- 21 involvement in actual cases in my time in the Professional Standards Committee would not
- leave me being familiar with all those cases. Until I came into the role of delegate, I would
- have been unaware of some cases or had only just the name and the brother and of one or
- two kind of skeleton ideas about it.
- 25 Q. So, when were you serving on the Professional Standards Committee?
- A. I was serving on the Professional Standards Committee, I can't remember the years, but in early 2000s.
- 28 Q. So, you've said that's when a lot of claims were coming through?
- 29 A. Yes, correct, yes.
- 30 Q. And then you've also said that the Marist Brothers started using the NOPS process from
- 31 2016 —
- 32 A. Yes.
- \mathbf{Q} onwards. But prior to that time, the Marist Brothers undertook investigations and dealt
- with claims itself?

- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 Q. And you were involved at some level with some of those cases?
- 3 A. Yes, that's a good way to describe it. I was involved at some level with some, correct.
- 4 Q. You've said in your written evidence that the members of the Professional Standards
- 5 Committee were all appointed because of long experience or professional expertise in
- dealing with matters such as complaints of abuse, treating offenders, or organisational
- 7 leadership and management. What of those skill sets did you bring to bear to the
- 8 Professional Standards Committee?
- 9 A. So, I've firstly got a degree, Master's Degree in counselling, so I fit that category. But
- secondly, I'm able to contribute a certain amount of what you might call institutional
- knowledge. When we're talking about the offender, it is helpful to actually have somebody
- who knows about the offender or some of those kinds of in-house aspects to it.
- 13 Q. I think you've also been a trustee of the Marist Trust Board?
- 14 A. That's correct. So, I know that the document was included recently in the document
- package for me, that was the financials for 2018 I believe, or might have been '17, that I'm
- listed there as a trustee on the Trust Board but I am not a trustee currently.
- 17 **Q.** When were you a trustee, over what period?
- 18 A. I've been a trustee for probably I would think 15 years.
- 19 **Q.** One of the survivor witnesses you referred to, John —
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 **Q.** who gave oral evidence at the phase one hearing —
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 **Q.** sent you an e-mail in June last year?
- 24 A. Sure.
- 25 Q. I don't think we need to go to it, but just for the record, the document reference is
- 26 CTH0003317?
- A. Mmm-hmm.
- Q. And John said you yourself, speaking of you, signed the Marist Trust Board financial
- 29 statements —
- 30 A. Yes.
- \mathbf{Q} . in 2019 with a closing equity of \$167 million?
- 32 A. Mmm-hmm.
- 33 **Q.** I would like to have a look at your response to his e-mail?
- 34 A. Sure, could I have that put up please?

1	Q.	Yes, absolutely, it's CTH0009823. So, this is your e-mail of 24 June 2020 and it raises a
2		few separate issues. If we could first orientate Peter by showing the beginning of the
3		e-mail and the date.

- 4 A. Thank you. So, November 22nd, 2020.
- 5 **Q.** Actually, the one that I want to look at I think must be further down the e-mail chain, 24 June 2020?
- 7 A. 24 June, thank you.
- And the context, just to give you some of the background, this is made in the context of discussing an ex gratia payment and I believe at this time an offer of \$20,000 has just been made and rejected?
- 11 A. Sure.
- 12 **Q.** If we can call out the paragraph beginning, "It is plain how hurtful and insulting this process is feeling for you now"?
- 14 A. Yes.
- And the reference there to the ex gratia. You say "It seems very unfair, as I understand the position, that people see significantly larger ex-gratias were given elsewhere under whatever circumstances. Front of mind is Marylands. Many folks know this big example happened, it is on the record. My understanding of the matter is limited. I am not conversant. What we don't know is the rationale behind the ex gratias given. For our part, just to be prudent, we don't compare, and we cannot comment on other organisations and their settlements."

So, at that point you're reiterating what you've just told the Inquiry here, that the Marist Brothers don't compare their financial payments made to survivors of abuse by members of the Marist Brothers with payments made by other organisations within the Catholic Church?

26 A. Correct.

22

23

24

2.5

- O. Then if we can move on to the paragraph beginning, "I note the reference to the Marist organisation holding a diversity of assets with millions at hand." You're saying to John, "This is how you see things, and this is also something for me to understand as a perception. I'll hold to the understanding. I wonder if an over-simplified narrative is part of this. I do 'get' the thrust of the remarks. It is ethical for me to say yes, there are assets."

 What are you referring to here when you say there is an over-simplified narrative?
- A. I'm sorry, I can't recall what I was implying by that, it's not obvious.
- Q. Even reading it now it doesn't mean anything to you?

- 1 A. No.
- 2 Q. Looking then at the paragraph starting, "Another matter for me to understand is how it may
- not be sitting well potentially that I've held another role. Yes, I was a signatory who
- assured the 2018 financial records. I had knowledge to put my name behind the accounts'
- 5 integrity, in accounting terms. The work I do is in Professional Standards, I am not
- working in finance and the roles are different. I do hold some knowledge about financial
- 7 matters, and I do get it, that for you this situation seems to be untidy." In what capacity did
- you sign off the Marist Brothers financial records?
- 9 A. As a trustee, so the records were signed by two trustees and I was one of the two who
- signed. There could have been any two.
- 11 **Q.** Have you ever had input into budgetary matters for the Marist Trust Board such as approval
- redress settlement payments?
- 13 A. Since I've been the delegate of professional standards, yes of course, that's in the last --
- since 2018, yes, I'm in that loop. Back in this period of these prior term when I was not the
- delegate, then I would be sorry, I would like to have continued to see that.
- 16 **Q.** I'm sure it can be brought back up.
- 17 A. When I was not the delegate, did I have any input into those figures, no, of the redress, no.
- I was just wondering when you were asking about budget involvement, I was involved with
- the budget of the Brothers as in the Brothers' vehicles, insurance, healthcare, the Brothers,
- the Brothers as the kind of subset within the Trust Board's accounts.
- 21 Q. Just to be fair to you so I don't misconstrue —
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 O. what you've said. It seems from what you're saying that when you were a delegate and
- you were in that loop —
- 25 A. Yes.
- 26 **Q.** of approving —
- 27 A. Which is now.
- 28 Q. the resettlement processes, you're doing that as a delegate, you're not doing that as a
- 29 trustee?
- 30 A. Correct, that's now, that's now and I'm no longer a trustee, but —
- Q. No, but there was a period of time, I understand from your evidence --
- 32 A. Yes.
- Q. that there would have been an overlap when you're holding —
- 34 A. Correct.

- 1 **Q.** both roles?
- 2 A. That's correct, so like I could comment on a question about my involvement in those
- financial accounts wearing both hats. I could answer up as a trustee, then I could answer up
- as someone with an interest in the area of professional standards, but I think I've reasonably
- 5 clearly answered the questions you've asked me.
- 6 Q. Have you ever held any other roles that are relevant to redress or safeguarding within the
- 7 Marist Brothers?
- 8 A. The current situation is that I do have a safeguarding role. The -- have I held them in the
- past? Yes, in a sense of I have assisted when necessary in the professional standards area.
- For instance, I think I mentioned in my witness statement that two of us went to a week
- long conference in Rome, I was one of those two. So I was involved quite closely in the
- matter of professional standards in terms of an interested participant or a major participant.
- Q. Even separate from your official role within the Professional Standards Committee?
- 14 A. No, that was part of that.
- 15 **Q.** That was part of it?
- 16 A. That was part of it, yes.
- 17 **Q.** Moving on to a slightly different topic then. You've given quite a lot of detail just now
- about the Marist Brothers' history in terms of its establishment in Aotearoa and especially
- in Northland.
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 **Q.** I'd like to ask you a few questions about that topic.
- 22 A. Mmm-hmm.
- 23 **O.** Starting with just a few practical matters.
- 24 A. Sure.
- 25 **Q.** Do the Marist Brothers record the ethnicity of survivors who come forward to report abuse?
- A. I would think the ethnicity can't be guaranteed in the sense of we don't record it, but we'd
- 27 have a very high intuitive level. If it's a survivor coming forward from Hato Petera which
- I mentioned, there's an obvious ethnicity there, there's 90% plus Māori at Hato Petera. If
- 29 it's someone from some of those rural communities where we were working in Northland or
- in Tolaga Bay, again —
- 31 Q. Sorry, can you just for the Inquiry's benefit can you remind us what those communities
- 32 were?
- 33 A. So the Marist Brothers in Northland have been in Panguru, Kaitaia, Moerewa, Kawakawa
- and Kaikohe and on the East Coast at Tolaga Bay.

- 1 **Q.** So you don't ask the survivors —
- 2 A. No.
- 3 **Q.** I see.
- 4 A. Yeah, sure.
- 5 Q. Based on those intuitive assessments then, do you have any sense of the proportion of
- survivors of abuse who are coming forward or have come forward who may be Māori?
- 7 A. My sense can I speak just in terms of myself and without —
- 8 **Q.** To whatever level of knowledge you —
- 9 A. quoting my -- not to be quoted in the sense of this is the final analysis which is separate,
- if it was done really carefully, but my sense is that there are three and I would be able to
- recall them straight away.
- 12 **Q.** In your evidence you describe the colonial or historical relationship with the Marist
- Brothers and Māori as one of genuine significance?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 **Q.** The mission for which the Brothers came to this country?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 Q. And also that initial contact between the Marist Brothers and Māori was happening at a
- 18 pivotal time —
- 19 A. Sure.
- 20 Q. in this nation's history at the same time around about as Te Tiriti o Waitangi was being
- 21 —
- 22 A. Sure.
- 23 **Q.** signed? How then is this history reflected in the way that the Marist Brothers conduct
- their ministry and in particular have any express commitments been made by the Marist
- 25 Brothers to Te Tiriti?
- A. We have a general commitment to Te Tiriti o Waitangi but I wouldn't put it at the same
- level when I look at some other congregations who are miles ahead of us.
- 28 **Q.** What do you mean by it's a general commitment?
- 29 A. If we take each of our areas of interest, I'm looking at professional standards right now and
- I can see that there's a whole journey that we haven't started and that we should undertake
- in Te Tiriti commitment, in professional standards. The same could be said across some of
- the other areas of our work, in our ministries. I think we know where we should be going,
- but we're not getting there as quickly as we should.
- 34 Q. Speaking for you personally, is Māori cultural competency something that you feel you

- have personal expertise in, or is it an area where you need to draw in the expertise of others?
- A. I would draw on expertise of others. Like, for instance, if we have our current documents and policies and we're working on reviewing and developing those, which I think is an important urgent and major task for professional standards and safeguarding, we're going to have to consult and make it far more a part of an intrinsic response to our Te Tiriti commitment.
- Q. To date do you feel that you've had sufficient resources made available to you when you've needed them in this area?
- There's a lot of things that we do as Brothers where we'll come at it a little bit like the 10 A. number 8 wire New Zealand approach. So we don't ask for resources, I think is part of my 11 answer to that. I wouldn't know that I'm complaining about a lack of resources. In fact 12 sometimes, I know there's a Brother who has Māori competence I might say "Can you help 13 us writing this policy." But actually we shouldn't have gone that way, I think maybe we 14 should have consulted with the Māori community. That's the sort of thing, we're I'm saying 15 have I been sufficiently resourced, I could have looked to be more resourced and then that 16 should have been driven by me. 17
- 18 **Q.** Are you speaking hypothetically then when you say you might have gone to a Māori 19 Brother to have input?
- A. No, no I'm not, I'm speaking actually, a Māori Brother has contributed.
- 21 **Q.** Contributed to which redress policies?
- A. To our -- I just can't remember the name of the document, but the document that that we have which is our set of policies that relates to management and response to professional standards matters.
- 25 Q. And how do you think that document has changed as a result of that input?
- A. I think it's not necessarily changed, its nuanced, I think there's another round I think that needs to happen now as I've just said.
- 28 **Q.** You've also talked about the very significant role that the Brothers have had in relation to education, including educating Māori?
- 30 A. Yes.
- 31 Q. Including, as you said, at Hato Petera College?
- 32 A. Sure.
- 33 Q. In your written witness statement you've used this notion of partnership —
- 34 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. to describe the relationship between the Brothers —
- 2 A. Mmm-hmm.
- 3 Q. and the students. So is it fair to characterise that partnership as a relationship based on
- 4 reciprocity and mutual benefit?
- 5 A. I think that that was the whole point of a particular section that I really wanted to put to the
- 6 Commission today which was about that period in which our mostly Pakeha Brothers in the
- 7 1970s, 80s, that sort of period, were very open to engaging with Māori and to being shaped
- by that experience, and they made a contribution back to the Māori community by their
- 9 enthusiasm and passion for Hato Petera College. So yes, I think that we've established
- through experience that there's a lot to be gained by that sort of reciprocity between the
- 11 two.
- 12 **Q.** And at paragraph 14 of your written statement you include a whakataukī?
- 13 A. Sure.
- 14 **Q.** You say "Naku te rourou nau te rourou ka ora ai te iwi."
- 15 A. Sure.
- 16 **Q.** "With my food basket —
- 17 A. And your food basket.
- 18 **Q.** and your food basket the people will thrive".
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. I do have a few questions about this, and I don't raise this to- in a way that seeks to
- embarrass you, but because it potentially raises —it's potentially illustrative of a broader
- issue.
- 23 A. Sure.
- 24 Q. And that's the fact that an expert within this Inquiry advises that you've in fact misquoted --
- 25 A. Correct.
- 26 Q. this well-known whakataukī and also omitted the macrons that give it sense --
- 27 A. Sure.
- 28 Q. and that the framing that you've used emphasises your food basket.
- 29 A. Mmm-hmm.
- Q. First, and sort of respects or honours your own contribution before that of the other parties.
- 31 A. Mmm-hmm.
- 32 **Q.** Is it possible do you think that some Māori survivors might see the Marist Brothers'
- approach generally illustrated by the use of this whakataukī as being perhaps somewhat
- tokenistic and not truly collaborative?

- A. I think so, and perhaps clumsy, obviously, if you are telling me that this has not been applied correctly or isn't 100% spot on.
- 3 Q. Do you think that is a fair -- if that is how some Māori survivors may feel —
- 4 A. Sure.
- 5 **Q.** do you think that's a fair characterisation of tokenism within the Marist Brothers?
- 6 A. Tokenism is a rather strong term, it's got a pejorative tone to it. It's falling short, it's a failure.
- 8 **Q.** From what you've because this whakatauk $\bar{1}$ is all about reciprocity and collaboration.
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 **Q.** The things that we've been talking about.
- 11 A. There's some ironies in there, yes.
- 12 Q. You're probably going to tell me the answer to this, I think, I suspect I know the answer to
- this from what you've said already about your acknowledgment that there needs to be
- further work done in this area, but currently to what extent do tikanga principles, for
- example, feature in the Marist Brothers approach to redress?
- A. I suppose the first thing that springs to mind is if we're engaging with a complainant who is
- Māori or in a context that's got a strong Māori element in it, how would we do that. I hope
- that we won't be the bull in the China shop, but on the other hand to answer your question
- honestly, I wouldn't think that on a day-to-day basis in our engagement with claimants or
- complainants, or any part of the initial process, that our tikanga practices are strong, no, I
- 21 wouldn't.
- 22 **Q.** So thinking about practical —
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. things such as the use of Te Reo —
- 25 A. Yeah, sure.
- 26 **Q.** or meeting on marae, that sort of thing.
- 27 A. Yes, yes, yes.
- 28 **Q.** That's not something that has happened yet I take it?
- 29 A. Yes, yes, no sure. I mean I did —
- 30 **Q.** That's something you'd be open to?
- A. mention those three cases, but I am not familiar with how they were handled. I do know
- the cases from the files but I don't know how they were handled.
- 33 Q. And looking forward, and I take this from the indications that you've been giving that the
- 34 Marist Brothers would be open --

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. — to incorporating these sort of culturally sensitive principles going forwards?
3	A. That's right.
4	MS GLOVER: Madam Chair, I've got one further short topic that I had anticipated getting to this
5	evening, but I'm obviously —
6	CHAIR: And would that bring your questioning to an end?
7	MS GLOVER: No, Madam Chair this witness is scheduled to —
8	CHAIR: That would be tomorrow, so I suggest you finish off now what you're going to do now,
9	then we'll take the adjournment. How long do you anticipate?
10	MS GLOVER: 15 minutes? Or I can come back to this topic in the morning.
11	CHAIR: I think perhaps, we've had a very long day, we started at 9 and I think we need to give al
12	due attention to our witness, so I think we should stop now if that suits you.
13	MS GLOVER: Thank you Madam Chair.
14	CHAIR: Peter, obviously you are asked to return tomorrow and grateful to you for agreeing to do
15	that. So we will come to an end.
16	Hearing closes with waiata and karakia mutunga by Ngāti Whātua Ōrākei
17	Hearing adjourns at 5.04 pm to Wednesday, 24 March 2021 at 10 am
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	