

R. E. OWEN, GOVERNMENT PRINTER,
WELLINGTON, NEW ZEALAND—1960

PSYCHIATRIC SERVICES
IN
PUBLIC HOSPITALS
IN
NEW ZEALAND



BOARD OF HEALTH
(under Health Act 1956)

WM
27
KN4
NEW
1960

STACK

REPORT SERIES: No. 1

Published March 1960



FOREWORD

Office of the Minister of Health,
WELLINGTON,
January 1960.

It is expected that hospital boards throughout the country and indeed all those interested in psychiatry will study this report because much may be gained from it that will serve as a guide to improving the psychiatric services of the country.

Certain standards of accommodation and staffing are suggested. Some ways are indicated in which improvement in the recruitment and training of necessary staff can be effected. It is quite clear that it will be many years before there can be adequate provision for the needs of the community in the field of psychiatry. This is not a problem peculiar to New Zealand but is a world-wide problem.

As a means towards the training of necessary staff, the report recommends the establishment of facilities for post-graduate training in psychiatry.

The report stresses the need for the integration of the psychiatric services provided on the one hand by the Mental Hygiene Division, and on the other by psychiatrists employed by public hospitals. Each has very important functions and neither is likely to supersede the other. It is believed that by this integration there will be a better understanding of psychiatry. The possibility of exchange of staff will, in its turn, aid recruitment of staff and will lead to better understanding between staffs and avoid duplication of services.

H. P. R. Mason
Minister of Health.

CONTENTS

| | | | | | | |
|---------|-----|--|---|---|---|---|
| SECTION | I | Introduction | - | - | - | - |
| | II | Extent of Inquiries | - | - | - | - |
| | III | Summary of Evidence and Submissions | - | - | - | - |
| | IV | Dr Ironside's Personal Submissions | - | - | - | - |
| | V | The Committee's General Comments | - | - | - | - |
| | VI | The Committee's Comments and Recommendations on Submissions and Evidence | - | - | - | - |
| | VII | Summary of Conclusions and Recommendations | - | - | - | - |

APPENDICES

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| A | Statistical Summary Showing the Extent of the Present Psychiatric Services Provided in Public Hospitals | - | - |
| B | Reference Works Consulted by Members | - | - |

PSYCHIATRIC SERVICES IN PUBLIC HOSPITALS

The Board of Health has forwarded to me the report of a Committee constituted by it for the purpose of investigating and reporting upon the psychiatric services in public hospitals.

It was quite clear to the Board when considering the report of the Committee that a matter of the utmost importance was the procurement of adequate staff to implement the recommendations made.

The Board is in agreement with recommendations 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 9, and 11. It agrees that homes for "elderly confused" did not come within the terms of reference otherwise it has no comment to make in regard to recommendation 10. In regard to recommendation 12, it suggests that should proven elements of the Worthing experiment be adopted in New Zealand, that the Auckland and Sunnyside Mental Hospitals would appear to be suitable centres for the inauguration of a similar study.

The Board has given much consideration to recommendations 7 and 8 and, as these aspects are undoubtedly the key to the problem, it had these suggestions to make.

1. Means of Implementing a Staff Recruiting Programme to Meet Staff Shortages in Mental Hospitals and Public Hospitals

The Board suggests that bursaries should be made available to suitable graduates after two years' internship to enable them to study for two years overseas to qualify as psychiatrists, and on return to be required to serve for a period of three years in either a departmental mental hospital or a public hospital.

The Board considers that the bursaries should be sufficiently generous to permit the holders to live without financial worry and should include travelling expenses.

It is further suggested that the terms of the present bursaries available to Mental Hygiene Division officers be brought into line with new proposals.

For the special bursaries recommended there should be a central selection body set up consisting of the Director of the Division of Mental Hygiene and two other responsible practising members of the medical profession.

The Board hopes that the Otago Medical School would encourage medical practitioners to specialise in psychiatry and also felt that the Mental Hygiene Division should more widely publicise the opportunities presenting in psychiatry.

2. Consideration of the Establishment of a University Chair in Psychiatry in New Zealand for the Purpose of Providing Adequate Training for Psychiatrists

The Board considers that there is a great need for a chair in psychiatry to be established at a university, and recommends the establishment of a chair at a large centre where there is a mental hospital situated in the

metropolitan area or where there is a suitable geographical r
between a large mental hospital and a public hospital.

Should a chair in psychiatry be established it would be hope
University of New Zealand might establish a diploma in psy
medicine.

The Board envisages that those who would come under the
of such a chair would be practising psychiatrists in mental
hospitals, psychiatrists in training for both these services,
psychiatrists, and general practitioners who are interested in t

3. **Establishment of Relative Parity in Salaries and Conditions o
ment for Psychiatrists Whether Employed by the Mental
Division or by the Hospital Boards.**

It is the opinion of the Board that, in the past, the Mental
Division has been understaffed, overworked, and has had a v
type of patient to look after, and there has been a tendency f
be lost for these reasons.

Even under these difficulties the Division has endeavoured t
clinics in public hospitals and the Board believes that they
given every encouragement to carry on with this work.

The Board places great emphasis on the necessity for the est
of parity of status and conditions and suggests that the parity
between the two services should at least be maintained in t

H. P. R. Mason

Minister of

REPORT

I. INTRODUCTION

At its meeting on 6 December 1957 the Board of Health set up the following as a Committee, to inquire into the psychiatric services in public hospitals:

Mr P. V. E. Stainton, O.B.E. (Chairman); Chairman, Taranaki Hospital Board; Member of Executive of Hospital Boards Association of New Zealand.

Dr R. G. T. Lewis, M.B., CH.B.; Director of Mental Hygiene Division, Department of Health.

Dr C. A. Taylor, M.B., CH.B., M.R.C.P., F.R.A.C.P.; Director, Division of Hospitals, Department of Health.

Dr W. Ironside, M.D., CH.B., D.P.M. (R.C.P., R.C.S.); Senior Psychiatric Physician and Senior Lecturer in Charge of Psychiatry Department, Otago Hospital Board.

Mr W. E. Bate, O.B.E., L.L.M.; Chairman, Hawke's Bay Hospital Board, Mayor of Hastings, President of Hospital Boards Association of New Zealand.

The order of reference was as follows:

- (i) The extent and deficiencies of the existing inpatient and outpatient psychiatric services in New Zealand Public Hospitals:
- (ii) The provision which should be made in public hospitals for the diagnosis and treatment of psychiatric illness
 - (a) For clinical facilities;
 - (b) Staff establishments:
- (iii) The need or otherwise for a type of institution intermediate between the public hospital and the mental hospital:
- (iv) The allocation of responsibility of hospital boards and the Mental Hygiene Division of the Department of Health for staffing psychiatric services in public hospitals:
- (v) Such other matters as appear to come within this field.

The chairman had difficulty in arranging meetings to the convenience of all members. The Committee first met on 21 March 1958 and subsequently on 9 May 1958, 3 October 1958, 12 December 1958, and 10 March 1959.

II. EXTENT OF INQUIRIES

- (i) The Committee invited and considered:
 - (a) Replies to a questionnaire sent out to medical superintendents of all public hospitals as regards the present service given.
 - (b) Replies to a questionnaire sent out to medical superintendents of all mental hospitals as to the extent of the service they provided in public hospitals.

- (c) Submissions by the Medical Superintendents Association of New Zealand and interviewed the secretary of the association, Archer, M.B., CH.B., F.R.C.S., Medical Superintendent of the North Hospital.
 - (d) Submissions by the New Zealand Branch of the British Medical Association and interviewed their representatives Dr J. M. D.S.O., M.D., F.R.C.P., M.R.C.P., F.R.A.C.P., and Dr D. G. M. M.D., D.P.M. (The Royal Australasian College of Physicians in the B.M.A. submission.)
 - (e) Submissions by the Paediatric Society of New Zealand in child psychiatry and interviewed Dr Alice M. Bush, M.R.C.P., M.R.A.C.P., D.C.H (R.C.P., R.C.S.), a representative of the Society.
 - (f) Submissions by the Advisory Committee on the Care of the Mentally Sick.
 - (g) Submissions by the Australasian Association of Psychiatrists (New Zealand Branch).
 - (h) Submissions by the South Canterbury Hospital Board and interviewed Dr J. Campbell McKenzie, M.B., CH.B., and Dr S. C. Haast, M.B., CH.B. The South Canterbury Hospital Board was invited to make submissions as this Board put forward a remit at the last Boards Association Conference advocating the provision of psychiatric services in public hospitals.
 - (i) Submissions by Dr W. Ironside, Senior Psychiatric Physician, Senior Lecturer in Charge of Psychiatry Department, Otago Hospital Board, and a member of this Committee.
 - (j) In addition, Dr Lewis and Dr Ironside personally analysed the admissions and outpatient attendances at psychiatric units at four main centres and considered details respecting the nature of psychiatric cases at the child health and psychological services in Auckland.
- (ii) The Committee also considered submissions submitted in the following capacity by:
- (a) Dr C. Graham Riley, M.B., CH.B., M.R.C.P., F.R.A.C.P., Christchurch.
 - (b) Dr D. W. Rowntree, M.B., CH.B., D.P.M. (R.C.P., R.C.S.)
 - (c) Dr I. M. McGregor, M.R.C.S., L.R.C.P., D.P.H., Medical Superintendent of Silverstream Hospital, Wellington.

The Hospital Boards Association felt that as two members of it were members of this Committee there was no need for it to receive further submissions.

In addition to the above evidence and submissions, members of the Committee have considered certain articles on psychiatric services.

(iii) In all, some 200 pages of typewritten evidence and forms have been considered.

The Committee was particularly impressed with:

- (a) The amount of time expended and care taken in preparing the British Medical Association's submissions and was assured that this paper expressed the combined opinion of the Divisions in New Zealand.
- (b) The opinions expressed by the Medical Superintendents of both public and mental hospitals.

III. SUMMARY OF EVIDENCE AND SUBMISSIONS

- (A) Item (i) of Order of Reference – "The extent and deficiencies of the existing inpatient and outpatient psychiatric services in New Zealand Public Hospitals."

All submissions expressed, with some varying qualifications, the opinion that the existing inpatient and outpatient psychiatric services in public hospitals were inadequate to meet the demand of many categories of mental illness in our communities.

- (B) Item (ii) of Order of Reference – "The provision which should be made in public hospitals for the diagnosis and treatment of psychiatric illness (a) for clinical facilities, (b) staff establishments."

(a) *The South Canterbury Hospital Board* advocated obtaining six highly qualified and experienced consultants from overseas, for placement strategically throughout the country, to act as specialist consultants to groups of hospital boards. These specialists should be employed either full or part time by hospital boards. These appointments would only be a beginning and each would have to build up a team of trained personnel to establish clinics and expand the scheme.

(b) *The Medical Superintendents of Mental Hospitals* recommended psychiatric inpatient facilities at Christchurch, Hamilton, Napier, Palmerston North, Timaru, and Invercargill, in addition to those at Auckland, Wellington, and Dunedin, to serve as base hospitals on a regional basis. These units would be required to give physical treatments in selected cases and full psychiatric treatment for early and short-term inpatient cases.

The outpatient clinics already existing should be extended to service groups of hospitals with no facilities.

Child psychiatric clinics are needed in addition to the present child health clinics; to start with one in the South Island and two in the North Island.

Three suggestions were made as to medical staffing:

- (1) Part-time psychiatrists seconded for duty from the Mental Hygiene Division for both inpatient and outpatient services, which would be an extension of the services already being given by the Division to many hospital boards. The Division considered that if it was adequately staffed medically it could meet most of the reasonable psychiatric needs of public hospitals.

(2) The appointment of full-time salaried psychiatrists of the larger hospitals and salaried psychiatrists to serve of smaller hospitals.

(3) Part-time psychiatric services to public hospitals by practising psychiatrists.

It was felt that public interest would be better served by (2). The nursing staff of psychiatric wards should be mentally trained.

(c) *The Medical Superintendents of Public Hospitals* submitted in the larger hospitals at least the provision of an adequate number of beds for psychiatric cases and the establishment of a psychiatric unit is desirable. Facilities should be made available for regular out-patient clinics.

They considered that medical staffing could be provided by full-time psychiatrists employed by hospital boards, by part-time psychiatrists in private practice, or by Mental Hygiene Division officers on a part-time basis depending on the size of the units and the location of the hospitals. Ancillary nursing staff should be mental hospital trained and seconded as necessary.

(d) *The New Zealand Branch of the British Medical Association* considered that separate psychiatric wards with equal provision for male and female should be established in the main centres at least. One bed per 100,000 of population to be served were considered necessary for immediate needs, excluding senile patients. Some beds were considered necessary for the observation and treatment of child psychiatric patients.

The association was divided on the need for outpatient services when there are consultants in private practice in the district.

As regards medical staffing, the association advocated similar appointments in the same way as other specialties. It was considered that a 30-bed unit would require a senior part-time psychiatrist, an assistant part-time psychiatrist, and a full-time registrar with at least two years general medical experience. General trained nurses with psychiatric training, should be in charge of the wards. The extension of female nursing to male wards was supported. Lachlan, giving evidence on behalf of the British Medical Association, expressed the view that there was no objection to a Mental Hygiene Division psychiatrist being head of the psychiatry department in public hospitals.

(e) *The Australasian Association of Psychiatrists (New Zealand Branch)* submitted that there should be 20 to 30 beds in psychiatric wards in public hospitals in the main centres for the treatment of selected cases. A continuous outpatient service should be provided by a public hospital department of psychiatry in the main centres. In the smaller centres an expansion of clinic facilities on the therapeutic side was needed in addition to consultation and follow-up. Separate child psychiatric clinics were considered necessary.

The association advanced that the psychiatrist in charge of beds should be of specialist status and employed for a minimum of five sessions weekly. Some of the senior nursing staff should have psychiatric training.

There is a need for outpatient clinics, staffed by trained psychiatrists, clinic psychologists (non-medical), and psychiatric social workers employed by hospital boards and supplemented by Mental Hygiene Division personnel functioning as at present.

(f) *The Paediatric Society* recommended separate psychiatric ward provision for children in the four main centres. As a guide, four to six beds are needed at Auckland for immediate needs. Child guidance outpatient clinics on the basis of 1 to 100,000 of population are required. These clinics should be attached to the general hospitals.

The society also recommended that a child psychiatrist should be on the staff of the public hospitals in the four main centres. Child psychiatrists from the Mental Hygiene Division could be employed if available. Child psychiatrists are required to work at the child health clinics of the Department of Health and at smaller hospitals.

(C) Item (iii) of Order of Reference – “The need or otherwise for a type of institution intermediate between the public hospital and the mental hospital.”

The consensus of opinion was not to press for an institution intermediate between the public hospital and mental hospital. However, the Advisory Committee on the Care of the Aged suggested that accommodation for the “aged confused” be provided in existing mental hospital grounds. This in a modified form was supported by the medical superintendents of public hospitals.

Some medical superintendents of mental hospitals advocated the provision in the North Island of a unit similar to Hanmer for psychoneurosis cases. The British Medical Association representatives were opposed to this, but stated that if such an institution was established it should be administered by a hospital board.

The Australasian Association of Psychiatrists stated that there was no need for any intermediate institution but pointed out that if the idea of such a move envisaged the removal of parts of mental hospitals from the provisions of the Mental Health Act it might prolong the attachment of a stigma to the remaining parts of a mental hospital rather than remove it.

(D) Item (iv) of Order of Reference – “The allocation of responsibility of hospital boards and the Mental Hygiene Division of the Department of Health for staffing psychiatric services in public hospitals.”

The consensus of opinion of those giving evidence was that the provision of facilities for patients diagnosed as requiring only “short stay” therapy should be an accepted function of the general hospital service. The mental hospital staff should continue to have consultative contact with public hospital staff.

The medical superintendents of mental hospitals claimed their mental hospitals were adequately staffed medically though they would like to and could supply most of the reasonable psychiatric services in public hospitals, but whatever course was adopted Mental Hygiene Division staff should play a large part in public hospital psychiatric services.

The British Medical Association was unanimous that psychiatrists should be appointed by and be responsible to hospital boards in the same manner as other specialists, but expressed a desire that the Mental Hygiene Division psychiatrists continue to visit public hospitals to assist patients who may come under their future care in public hospitals, and to supervise those patients who have been discharged from a mental hospital on probation. The closest cooperation between the two types of staff was considered to be essential.

The Australasian Association of Psychiatrists stated that a Hospital Board should be wholly responsible for the service. Psychiatric ancillary personnel from the Mental Hygiene Division should be employed to contribute to the service as at present.

(E) Item (v) of Order of Reference – “Such other matters as may arise to come within this field.”

The Advisory Council on the Care of the Aged stressed that day care units should be provided in every public hospital and the aged and confused patients therein should have the assistance of domiciliary care by psychiatrists and social workers to reduce the need for hospital treatment.

The Medical Superintendents of Public Hospitals advocated the integration between general and mental hospital services as physical and mental health are complementary in the prevention of disease.

The Paediatric Society advocated the employment of a team of trained child psychiatrists in the team at the main child health centres of the Department of Health.

The British Medical Association stressed:

- (i) The need for well trained psychiatric social workers, the importance of psychiatric social workers, and the need for psychiatric persons being more important than the build up of psychiatric outpatient clinics and additional beds:
- (ii) The need for protective legislation for both patients, psychiatrists, and medical superintendents, where patients have to be temporarily detained in a public hospital for observation:
- (iii) The allocation of more time in the medical curriculum for training in psychiatry and the need to give adequate attention, treatment, and nursing care of mental cases in public hospitals:
- (iv) The need for the provision of “short stay” beds in public hospitals to give temporary relief to relatives who are caring for psychiatric patients in their own homes; these beds should be in addition to the recommended 14 per 100,000 of population.

IV. DR IRONSIDE'S PERSONAL SUBMISSIONS

Dr Ironside submitted a memorandum on the problem as he saw it from his point of view. The following is a summary of this submission prepared by Dr Ironside:

"It is generally agreed that the incidence of psychiatric disorders in countries such as Britain and the U.S.A. is about 40 per cent of the total sick population. The incidence in New Zealand is unlikely to differ much. Only a fraction of such patients would ever be suitable for mental hospital treatment.

Especially since 1945 there has been a rapid development of general hospital psychiatry in many countries, especially Britain, Canada, and the U.S.A. The trend is to treat those patients who are not suitable for mental hospital. But seemingly any psychiatric disorder can be treated in general hospital provided certain social factors in the patient's environment can be controlled. The emphasis in general hospital psychiatry is on outpatient treatment and well established units provide a continuous outpatient service. A growing trend is to provide domiciliary treatment also. In Britain particularly many mental hospitals have adopted general hospital concepts. The latest development of this is "The Worthing Experiment", which has resulted in a spectacular reduction of admissions to the local mental hospital. These current trends have prompted psychiatric authorities to predict the early disappearance of mental hospitals as now known and to advocate a stringent revision of any new mental hospital building.

In contrast to what is happening overseas, there has been but slight growth of general hospital psychiatry in New Zealand. All organisations and individuals submitting evidence to the Committee agreed that New Zealand general hospital psychiatry was inadequate. This was confirmed by returns from hospital boards which revealed that with a few exceptions general hospital psychiatry is virtually non-existent in the Dominion.

Standards for the staffing of general hospital psychiatry units have been outlined by the American Psychiatric Association. Apart from personnel work-load ratio, these standards emphasise that qualifications for personnel should be above certain level and that in psychiatric practice, team work, headed by the psychiatrist with clinical psychologist and psychiatric social worker, is essential. Eight per cent to 10 per cent general hospital beds is recommended for psychiatric casualties, and any hospital with more than 150 beds should have its own psychiatric unit.

Adopting the formula of the American Psychiatric Association, if only 5 per cent (260) of the 5,206 beds of New Zealand's Class I hospitals were set aside for psychiatric patients and an associated outpatient service established, 39 psychiatrists, 65 psychiatric social workers, and 26 clinical psychologists, all whole time and engaged only in clinical work, would be required. To achieve this would be a long-term goal.

The immediate aim in developing psychiatry in general hospitals is to establish psychiatric teams in Auckland, Wellington, and Christchurch and make some additions to the Dunedin Hospital unit.

The next step would be to establish teams in the other eight hospitals. The third step would be the expansion of these units so they could service part-time clinics in the remoter areas, and domiciliary care.

Coordinated training programmes to ensure a flow of psychiatric clinical psychologists, and psychiatric social workers will be essential for the full establishment and continuation of general hospital psychiatric services. Negotiation with the University of New Zealand is in progress.

Adequate general hospital psychiatric services will have a beneficial effect on mental hospital practice. A large reduction in mental hospital admissions can be anticipated. Discharge rates especially of chronic patients will increase. The overall result will be a considerable reduction of the need for mental hospital beds. Therefore, all new hospital bed construction should cease forthwith.

The entire establishment, e.g., material facilities, advertising and public relations, etc., and administration of general hospital psychiatric services should be solely invested in hospital boards. Hospital boards will seek close links with the Mental Hygiene Division, but this should be a matter of arrangement between boards and the Division."

V. THE COMMITTEE'S GENERAL COMMENT

The term "mental illness", for the purposes of this report, is taken to mean all forms of mental illness, not only the obvious such as psychoses, but also the less obvious such as the neuroses, personality disorders, and psychosomatic affections. It is also used to cover the illnesses consequent upon disease of the brain from any cause.

Over the last 30 years or so, by progressive medical knowledge and the result of study of the human mind, it has become possible to understand in a more scientific way the nature of the various particular mental illnesses from which these patients suffer and apply appropriate treatment. With this knowledge it is now possible, in a substantial proportion of cases, to effect a recovery, and the New Zealand Mental Hygiene Division, in the face of shortages of psychiatrists over these years has introduced various measures with much success.

This progress has brought about a considerable modification in the attitude of the public towards the mental patient as evidenced by an increased willingness to enter a mental hospital for treatment as a "voluntary boarder". This new attitude is dominant in the minds of the public and has come forward to give evidence.

The medical evidence accepts the fact that there will always be a certain number of mental patients who, for environmental circumstances do not permit them to live at home, and who require outpatient treatment plus those patients who will not submit to outpatient essential care and treatment and who will therefore have to be confined and detained in mental hospital. There will also be those cases that require a period of treatment longer than can reasonably be given in an outpatient hospital psychiatric unit and can only be treated in a mental hospital.

However, this medical evidence does also indicate that a large proportion of those suffering from mental disorder of a transient type or of minor degree could be diagnosed and treated like all other types of illness either by private medical practitioners and practising psychiatrists or at psychiatric units in public hospitals without being subject to the due process of the law. Patients in this group should not need to be sent to a mental hospital, but should be properly diagnosed and then treated by all known treatment measures either as short-term inpatients or as outpatients.

The cases referred to in the preceding paragraph, which cannot be appropriately treated without admission to mental hospital, should be enabled by relaxation of the law to enter mental hospital with the least possible legal formalities. It should be appreciated that there are some legal formalities associated with treatment in general hospitals.

Education of the public mind is of first importance before schemes which may have been a success overseas are likely to be a success in this country.

A trend was referred to in the presidential address to the American Psychiatric Association in May 1958 by the president Dr H. C. Solomon when he predicted the early disappearance of the mental hospital as we know it today, urged an end to all mental hospital buildings, and advocated further development of psychiatric services based on general hospitals or small independent treatment units.

The Hon. Walter S. McClay, Senior Commissioner of the Board of Control, England, warns that a demand for example for 1,000 more mental hospital beds should be reduced immediately to 200. The Committee feels that this trend is established overseas. If adequate services are provided in public hospitals in New Zealand, it may well mean that future building for the Mental Hygiene Division would be confined to the relief of present overcrowding and to the replacement of beds which for one reason or another have become substandard to modern requirements. It is felt that if corresponding emphasis were made upon the development of psychiatric medicine based on the general hospital then the shortages of staff in mental hospitals would not be so apparent as under the present system.

There are several advantages likely to accrue from the development of this policy.

- (a) The first of these advantages would appear to be that the psychiatrist, working in a public hospital in closer association with all other sections of his medical colleagues and as part of the general hospital unit, is likely to be able to put forward his best work.
- (b) Secondly, the treatment in public hospitals associated with outpatient clinics enables so much more work to be done on the basis of domiciliary care. There can be little doubt that some patients who can be treated in their own homes among their own relations and in their own environment will react more swiftly to treatment than if they are under the necessity of being removed to a distant institution. The virtues of domiciliary treatment of persons suffering from mental illness are equally valid whether treatment is carried out by psychiatrists of the Mental Hygiene Division, the public hospital, or by the psychiatrist in private practice.

(c) A third advantage worthy of mention is that these proposals avoid or at any rate greatly reduce the resistance which is particularly if they are sufferers themselves, exhibit towards treatment especially if committal to a mental institution is involved.

The Committee has given some consideration to the recommendations of the Royal Commission in England on the Law relating to Mental and Mental Deficiency 1954/57 in which were proposed sweeping reforms so that mental illnesses can be treated with the same methods as other illnesses. Among the recommendations (page 43) are these:

Para. 3. Procedures which involve the patient's detention should not be used unless the use of compulsion is positively justified to provide treatment which the patient needs but is unwilling to accept or to protect him from exploitation or neglect, or for the protection of others.

Para. 4. The circumstances in which compulsory powers may be used and the procedures which should be followed should be laid down in law, but the law should not draw rigid distinctions between different groups of patients by the 'designation' of hospitals or in other ways.

The general trend overseas is to ameliorate the rigidity of the law applying to mental illness and the Committee recommends that care should be given to implementing in New Zealand similar proposals such as those made by the Royal Commission and minimising the extent to which the law is assumed by a statutory committee of the affairs and fortunes of patients suffering from mental illness. It is interesting to observe that a similar trend in New Zealand is illustrated by the provisions of the Mental Health Act of 1954 and 1957 dealing with the establishment of hostels for intellectually handicapped children whose homes are in the country. These hostels are freed from the principal legal formalities which apply to other mental defectives.

At the present time it is difficult to make an accurate assessment of the size of one community of the number of people who may be suffering from mental illnesses of some sort or another, other than those who are in hospital, but the Committee is convinced that much less than the amount of attention necessary to cope with this problem is being given.

Many social problems which are now dealt with by the Commission are domestic unhappiness which never reaches the light of day, many maladjustments and inefficiencies are related to this very problem.

The fact that at present and hitherto there has been inadequate and insufficient staff for giving attention to these cases has tended to keep them in the background and now renders it difficult to assess the magnitude of the problem. This at least is certain, that in the evidence given to us by well qualified witnesses, the public hospital services and other respects are wholly inadequate to give proper treatment of cases requiring attention.

In the course of the Committee's inquiries in respect to systems of care there emerged a consistent pattern of evidence that an appreciable proportion of the population of English speaking countries is in need of

treatment of one form or another. In the memorandum presented by Dr Ironside he said: "There is a general agreement at least among the medical professions of the English speaking countries that about 40 per cent of the total sick population suffer from mental disorders".

In an article *Provision For the Mentally Ill*, by Dr Alexander Kennedy, M.D., F.R.C.P., Professor of Psychiatry, University of Edinburgh, recently published, it is written "In 1948, the National Health Service had to take over both the hospitals with more good ideas than money to implement them and those that had hardly changed since the day they were built. It was not until they started work that most regional boards realised that nearly half the hospital beds were occupied by psychiatric patients".

It appears that of the recognised total sick population (overseas) there is general agreement that something between 40 and 50 per cent suffer from some type of mental illness with or without physical illness and are in need of psychotherapy of one type or another.

The Committee noted the incidence of mental illness as assessed from studies overseas and has taken cognisance of this in the formulation of its recommendations.

This assessment shows the urgent need for much greater awareness on the part of the whole medical profession to the importance of recognising the psychiatric needs of the community.

VI. THE COMMITTEE'S COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS AND EVIDENCE

Item (i) of Order of Reference - "The extent and deficiencies of the existing inpatient and outpatient psychiatric services in New Zealand public hospitals."

Having referred to the problem in a general way, it is then necessary to consider what services are actually provided by the public hospitals in New Zealand. The British Medical Association in adverting to this subject said in their submissions:

"Psychiatric services in public hospitals are provided at the present time in the main by psychiatrists from the Mental Hygiene Division, the exceptions being Auckland, Dunedin, and Napier. Service is available at Auckland, Hamilton, Rotorua, Tauranga, Palmerston North, Napier, Hastings, Wellington, Nelson, Christchurch, Hokitika, Greymouth, Timaru, Oamaru, Dunedin, Balclutha, and Invercargill. Having regard to the staff available, reasonable coverage of the country is provided. The lesser centres are served in the main only by fortnightly or monthly visits of brief duration. Of the main centres Auckland and Dunedin have the fullest facilities. Elsewhere visiting psychiatrists undertake ward rounds (two or three times a week in Christchurch and Wellington) and conduct outpatient clinics. They are also available for consultation on inpatients. The outpatient clinics serve patients referred by general practitioners,

Pensions, and other Departments, and for follow-ups of patients on probation or after discharge from mental hospitals. Little treatment is carried out, the service being mainly diagnostic and advisory. The deficiencies in this service are related to insufficient attendance by psychiatrists in most centres, lack of beds for holding purpose observation and investigation, lack of beds for short-term treatment of suitable cases, lack of trained staff, and lack of continuity in treatment available at present, often carried out by different psychiatrists in the same hospital.

Facilities in general at present fall far short of a reasonable minimum.

The Committee is of the opinion that this is a fair statement of the present position. It becomes evident therefore that over a large part of the country psychiatric services in public hospitals are intermittent, limited by force of circumstances to diagnostic and advisory services, and that only a minimal therapeutic service is available. As the British Medical Association has pointed out, treatment to the community is deficient in most areas by reason of insufficient attendance by psychiatrists, lack of beds set apart for the treatment of psychiatric patients, lack of trained staff, and continuity of treatment.

Item (ii) of Order of Reference – “The provision which should be made in public hospitals for the diagnosis and treatment of psychiatric illness (a) for clinical facilities, (b) staff establishment.”

The Committee has given consideration to attempting to determine the respects in which the present service is deficient and to determine the measures which ought reasonably to be considered with a view to remedying these criticisms.

(1) Psychiatric Units in Public Hospitals

In certain public hospitals, having regard to centres of population, location and availability of staff, there should be established psychiatric departments modelled very largely on a pattern such as that existing in Wellington, or Dunedin. The principal characteristics of these departments which would have equal application to other suitable areas, would be the provision of beds for inpatients of both sexes in a self-contained psychiatric unit under the attention of a psychiatrist with suitably trained staff and a regular and more frequent outpatient service.

As an indication of the size of the psychiatric units envisaged, the Committee is of the opinion that in the first instance the number of beds set apart for psychiatric patients should be a minimum of 14 per cent of the regional population to be served by each unit (as recommended by the British Medical Association).

For psychiatric departments operating outside metropolitan area and in provincial regional areas, it is considered that at first, according to the need, one part-time psychiatrist (or preferably one whole-time psychiatrist) would staff the department and visit other parts of the regional area as required.

Having regard to the degree of supervision needed it is considered that a part-time psychiatrist would need to be on at least five sessions per week which probably represents a higher sessional allowance for this type of case than for the normal run of medical and surgical cases.

In each department, whether metropolitan or provincial, it would be necessary to conduct an outpatients' service. This the Committee considers to be of a first importance. The question as to whether or not in any particular hospital the sessional allowance previously mentioned would cover the outpatients' attendances, would depend on circumstances and on demand.

In attention to persons suffering from mental illnesses, the outpatients' department assumes an increased importance in that it links in with a very desirable measure of domiciliary treatment. It obviously has the effect, too, of keeping out of hospital many who, if neglected, would ultimately find their way there. It also assists in accelerating discharge from hospitals and mental institutions and in rehabilitation.

In some hospitals a certain amount of structural adaptation to the existing outpatients' department would be involved.

On the subject of staffing, in addition to the psychiatrist, the desirable team set-up, particularly in the metropolitan areas, would include also a psychiatric social worker and a psychologist. It is scarcely practicable, and in any case uneconomic, for the psychiatrist to be under the necessity of attempting psychological investigations for which he may not be fitted and to have to indulge in time-absorbing social work. Nevertheless, these two ancillary lines of staffing are of distinct importance in the proper treatment and rehabilitation of the patient and must be provided for if possible.

(2) *Child Psychiatric Clinics*

The attention of the Committee was drawn to the needs of the emotionally disturbed child and it does appear that some anti-social behaviour on the part of children could be related to some type of mental illness, not often recognised as such.

The child presents a special problem and the Paediatric Society recommended that separate beds be established for children suffering from psychiatric illnesses and that outpatient clinics be available under the supervision of adequately trained child psychiatrists, psychologists, social workers, and play therapists. A certain amount of recognition of this problem has already been evident in that child health clinics have been established in six centres in New Zealand, but in some respects their staffing and administration falls short of what is recommended to the Committee by the Paediatric Society. It may well be though, that by reason of lack of trained personnel, it will not be possible for some time to provide special child psychiatric clinics at public hospitals, or to provide specially trained child psychiatrists who can devote their attention to this type of establishment. Wherever possible, further efforts should be made to understand and provide for the psychiatric needs of children who require skilled attention.

(3) *Specific recommendations arising from (1) and (2) above*

(a) *Clinical Facilities*. It is recommended that:

(i) *For Auckland, Wellington, Christchurch, and Dunedin*: bed provision be brought up to a minimum standard of 14 beds per 100,000 of the population to be served (exclusive of psychiatric beds).

(ii) *For Other Centres*: Psychiatric units should be established when the necessary staff becomes available, in the first instance at the following hospitals:

| | |
|---------------|-------------------|
| Waikato. | Palmerston North. |
| Napier. | Timaru. |
| New Plymouth. | Invercargill. |

These units should be of such a size as to allow other hospitals in the district to use the base facilities, with a minimum of 14 beds per 100,000 of the regional population to be served.

On this basis the approximate psychiatric bed provision would need to be made in the various centres on population basis in the hospital district as at 31 March 1958 would be:

| | | | | |
|------------------|---|---|---|---------|
| Auckland | - | - | - | 64 beds |
| Christchurch | - | - | - | 35 beds |
| Wellington | - | - | - | 33 beds |
| Dunedin | - | - | - | 17 beds |
| Waikato | - | - | - | 30 beds |
| Palmerston North | - | - | - | 12 beds |
| Southland | - | - | - | 12 beds |
| Napier | - | - | - | 9 beds |
| Timaru | - | - | - | 7 beds |
| New Plymouth | - | - | - | 7 beds |

These figures are based on the population of the hospital district in which the unit is established. Where, as would be the case in most instances, the unit would also serve the contiguous hospital districts, additional provision to the extent of 14 beds per 100,000 of population of the additional areas to be served by the unit would need to be made. It is realised that the psychiatric beds in the general wards probably have transferred to them some of the patients who are now receiving attention in the general wards of the hospital. This would mean that there would be a reduction in demand for general beds so that it may be that some beds now taken up by medical and/or surgical cases could be set aside for psychiatric cases. Consequently, it may not be necessary to build further psychiatric beds in every centre to the full extent of 14 beds per 100,000 of population to be served.

(iii) *Necessary Outpatient Facilities* to be provided in each hospital district where psychiatric units as they are established.

(b) *Staff Establishments*: It is recommended that for:

(i) *Main Centre Units*

Medical { 1 senior psychiatrist – part time on five-tenths basis.
1 assistant psychiatrist – part time on five-tenths basis.
1 psychiatrist registrar – whole time.

or the equivalent staff on a whole-time basis should be able to cover a 30-bed unit and outpatient service.

It is appreciated that some additional staff is required at Dunedin to cover teaching duties.

Nursing: That the requisite nursing staff be employed with, preferably, psychiatric registration certificates.

Psychiatric Social Workers: As per demand.

Psychologists: One as available for each unit on a part-time visiting consultant basis.

(ii) *Provincial Regional Units*: One whole-time or part-time senior psychiatrist with ancillary staff available to conduct outpatient and consultative clinics at contiguous hospital outpatient departments as well as at their respective base units.

(c) *Child Psychiatric Units*: It is recommended:

(i) *At Main Centres*: That additional and specific bed accommodation be provided for children suffering from mental illness to the order of three beds per 100,000 of population, together with outpatient facilities.

(ii) *At Other Centres*: The child psychiatric service to be incorporated within the adult service at the hospitals mentioned in (3) (a) (ii) above (page 18).

(iii) *Specialist Child Psychiatrists* should preferably staff these units in main centres on a part-time basis (additional to the psychiatrists for adult patients).

If accepted, these suggestions imply and would demand the recruitment of a minimum of 10 part-time psychiatrists and four whole-time registrars, additional to the present psychiatrists employed by hospital boards, and at least 40 psychiatric trained nurses and psychiatric social workers, and in addition part-time consulting psychologists.

Item (iii) of Order of Reference – “The need or otherwise for a type of institution intermediate between the public hospital and the mental hospital.”

After considering all the submissions on this subject, the Committee is of the opinion that there is no need for any institution intermediate between the public hospital and the mental hospital except for the special hospitals for inpatient neuroses cases, such as Queen Mary Hospital at Hamner. There may be a case for the provision of special units in the environs of mental hospitals for elderly confused patients.

The Committee has already made recommendations as to the placement of psychiatric units within public hospitals. It is felt that if psychiatric treatment is required the treatment should be afforded in a public hospital, psychiatric unit or in a mental hospital, except in cases which can more appropriately be treated in a special psychiatric hospital.

Item (iv) of Order of Reference – “The allocation of responsibilities to hospital boards and the Mental Hygiene Division of the Department of Health for staffing psychiatric services in public hospitals.”

For some years past, the Mental Hygiene Division has seconded consultants to hospital boards for the treatment of both inpatients and outpatients and these have acted as consultants to the hospital staff. This has been a valuable service, especially in provincial areas where but for this there would have been no psychiatric services available to the community in those areas. It is in every way desirable that this happy and profitable relationship should continue, but at this point your Committee is faced with a difficult problem; one that concerns the difficulty of fitting into the proposed expanded public hospital psychiatric service, of a sufficient number of psychiatrists, whether whole time or part time, and the time recruitment of sufficient psychiatrists to maintain an adequate service for patients in our mental hospitals.

It is estimated that a period of several years must elapse before public hospitals are able, even if they so wish, to staff these proposed units to meet the needs of their patients independently of the Mental Hygiene Division. Therefore many hospitals in this country for some time to come will have to continue to depend upon the Mental Hygiene Division staff for the limited service they can afford.

While the Committee supports the idea of psychiatric units in public hospitals, it is conscious of the fact that even if the Division were relieved of much responsibility in this respect, the present staff of the Division is such that this relief would merely enable them to devote reasonably to give more time to patients under its immediate control.

In considering the allocation of responsibility between public hospitals and mental hospital staff in the operation of these psychiatric units, the Committee was mindful of the need to provide the service which would be most beneficial to all persons suffering from mental illness in New Zealand, as previously stated, officers of the Mental Hygiene Division have endeavoured to keep in close contact with overall trends in psychiatric medicine by treating patients in general hospitals as well as in mental hospitals under their direct control in mental hospitals. It must also be remembered that a case being treated in the first instance at a public hospital psychiatric unit may not respond or may later relapse and exhibit symptoms which demand therapy in a mental hospital. The reverse may also apply, a patient discharged from mental hospital may later be treated in a public hospital unit.

It seems to your Committee, therefore, that it is neither practicable nor desirable either in the interest of patients or in the broad

psychiatric medicine to divorce the two services. It is considered essential that the officers of the Mental Hygiene Division should continue to share in the work of public hospital psychiatric units, and the Committee is also of the opinion that psychiatrists employed by hospital boards could, with advantage, share in the work of the Mental Hygiene Division.

The question then is how can this desirable integration of the two services best be accomplished to give a comprehensive service to patients as one unit. The key to any future development of psychiatric services in this country is staff, medical and ancillary, both in mental hospitals and general hospitals. Although public hospitals may recruit their own medical staff, this does not imply any departure from the principle already recommended of integrating the services of Mental Hygiene Division psychiatrists with the general hospital service. However, it is obvious that little can be achieved until a far greater number of psychiatrists are available to work in the New Zealand psychiatric field. It is therefore imperative that conditions of employment in the Mental Hygiene Division and the public hospital service be such as to jointly attract New Zealand graduates for training.

To summarise, the implementation of all of the foregoing recommendations must depend on the provision of additional qualified personnel. Unless more psychiatrists become available, either through training in our own medical school or by importation from abroad, the present serious deficiencies in these services must remain and even become more serious than they are at present. Doubtless there are several measures which could be adopted with a view to encouraging more graduates to specialise in psychiatry, such as the award of more special bursaries and more provision for overseas training and other measures. Collaboration between the medical school on the one hand and the Division of Mental Hygiene on the other could very well assist in this urgent problem.

It is recommended that this matter be referred to the University of Otago and the Director-General of Health for study and for the formulation of proposals which may assist in this matter.

Item (v) of Order of Reference – “Such other matters as appear to come within this field.”

(a) *Appreciation of the Needs of Those Suffering from Mental Illness:* Believing that there is an insufficient appreciation throughout the country and even in the medical profession of the true needs of the psychiatric patient, living in his own home or a patient of a public hospital, and of the magnitude of this problem, the Committee recommends that much more should be done through the British Medical Association, by popular broadcasts and by any other means available to bring home firstly to the profession and secondly to the community generally a more realistic knowledge of the needs of those suffering from mental illness.

It is hoped that newly formed mental health associations will help to nurture this awareness as such organisations as Alcoholics Anonymous, the Intellectually Handicapped Childrens' Parents Association, and the Tuberculosis Association have done in their spheres. Much help can be given by

the Department of Health and the British Medical Association. Unfortunately there is still a stigma attachable to mental illness and a reluctance to accept any mental basis for illness.

On the other hand, care must be taken not to allow the community to become psychiatrically over-conscious and find itself in the predicament regarding simple psychological problems as indicative of illness.

(b) *Psychiatric Social Workers:* There is also a marked need for more trained psychiatric social workers. It appears that the course in social work at the Victoria University of Wellington aims at training social workers rather than psychiatric social workers. This deficiency is recognised as the object of the university is merely to produce a social worker. To turn such a student into an adequately trained psychiatric social worker one further year's training is required, but at the present time there is to be no educational facilities available for such a purpose in New Zealand. This type of training in Britain is associated with selected universities under the organisation and administration of the Association of Psychiatric Social Workers. It is very desirable that this type of training be provided through one or other of our university colleges assisted by using the psychiatric department of a metropolitan hospital and/or a mental hospital in the neighbourhood of the university.

(c) *Clinical Psychologist:* To complete the team of specialists referred to there is the clinical psychologist. The Committee understands that in one of our university colleges a course of training in clinical psychology has recently been undertaken.

(d) *The Worthing Experiment:* In the course of our inquiries into the Worthing experiment, an English scheme, was explained to us and we were interested and attracted by what it has set out to accomplish. The experiment is a two-year pilot scheme which commenced on 1 January 1961. The mental hospital of the area, Graylingwell Hospital, is responsible for conducting the scheme. The object is to test the possibilities of providing an outpatient and domiciliary treatment service for psychiatric patients with a view to cutting down on the necessity for admission to mental hospitals. The headquarters of the service are housed in a 20-bed unit which includes a treatment centre and day hospital. No patients are resident. Care and treatment is also given to patients in their home by way of a domiciliary nursing, and social worker visiting service. Through this unit all patients from the area are screened to determine the necessity for admission to the mental hospital. The unit is staffed by the Graylingwell Hospital but the staff are resident in Worthing itself. This experiment seems to be a success and preliminary reports show a marked decline, almost 60 per cent, in admissions from the area to Graylingwell Mental Hospital. The catchment area of the experiment has a population of 160,000. Important prerequisites for success are good public relations with full co-operation by the community of mental illness as just another type of illness, nothing else, cooperation from the patients, full support from the medical practitioners of the area, and full support and understanding in the community. The Committee feels that the Board of Health will wish to observe this interesting experiment under its observation with a view to a

New Zealand such elements of the experiment as may appear to be suited to the needs of this country.

(e) *Care of Senile Patients*: The Committee draws attention to the fact that it has regarded senile patients as falling completely outside its inquiry, except to the extent that such patients, in the ordinary course, if confused mentally, come under the attention of the public hospital psychiatric unit.

VII. SUMMARY OF CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

(1) It is obvious that there are serious deficiencies in the psychiatric services available in New Zealand, as indicated in this report.

(2) As a first step, subject to staff being available on an equitable basis, it is recommended that immediate steps be taken to establish psychiatric beds in Auckland, Wellington, Christchurch, and Dunedin of a minimum of 14 beds per 100,000 of population of the area to be served.

(3) It is recommended that psychiatric inpatient units should be established in certain other centres, again subject to availability of staff, to cover their own and contiguous hospital districts. These units should be of a minimum of 14 beds per 100,000 of the regional population to be served.

In the first instance the Committee suggests that provision for base psychiatric units be included in the hospital development plans of Waikato, Napier, New Plymouth, Palmerston North, Timaru, and Invercargill hospitals.

(4) In the above centres a psychiatric outpatient service should be established concurrently with the inpatient unit, as one unit, to relieve pressure on both public hospital psychiatric beds and mental hospital beds by providing outpatient treatment, and ultimately domiciliary care, in appropriate cases.

(5) Staffing establishment of these psychiatric units is recommended in Section VI of this report (pages 15-16).

(6) In the four centres specified in (2) above separate child psychiatric units providing both inpatient and outpatient facilities should be established as child psychiatrists become available. In other centres it is envisaged that separate child psychiatric units will not be possible for some time to come.

(7) In order to obtain the necessary staff to administer the services recommended a vigorous staff recruitment programme will need to be added to the staff recruitment scheme of the Mental Hygiene Division.

(8) A close integration of mental hospital and public hospital staff within the public hospital psychiatric units is essential. The administration of the units should be a function of the hospital boards, but the Mental Hygiene Division staff should play a full part in the operation of the service on secondment to the hospital board either on a full-time or part-time basis. Similarly, public hospital psychiatrists should take part in the activities of the Mental Hygiene Division.

(9) There is a definite need for a more realistic understanding by the public and the medical profession itself of the needs of persons suffering from mental illness. A dissemination of knowledge of the various types of

mental illness should lead to an early and more accurate diagnosis of illness by general medical practitioners. Such a general understanding, if felt, may even prevent the onset of mental illness and contribute to the maintenance of good mental health. Some suggestions in that regard are made in Section VI (pages 16-17).

(10) As the Committee recommends the establishment of public psychiatric units, it is considered that, except perhaps for psychiatric hospitals, such as Queen Mary Hospital at Hanmer, there is no institution intermediate between the public hospital and the mental hospital. There may, however, be a case for the provision of homes for the "mildly confused", but the care of senile patients has not been taken into account within the Committee's order of reference.

(11) If at all practicable, and the Committee does not feel constrained to make firm recommendations in this respect, the compulsory legislation should be over-riding the normal personal rights of the mentally ill should be made while still preserving essential safeguards.

(12) Reference is made to the Worthing experiment on the treatment of mental illness in Section VI (pages 22-23). It is suggested that the Board of Health might well keep this experiment under observation with a view to the adoption of such proven elements of it as may be applicable to the needs of this country.

P. V. E. STANTON, Chairman.
W. E. BATE, Member.
W. IRONSIDE, Member.
R. G. T. LEWIS, Member.
C. A. TAYLOR, Member.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A. Statistical Summary Showing the Extent of the Present Psychiatric Services Provided in Public Hospitals

| Hospital Area and Population | Psychiatric Inpatient Beds | Number of Inpatients Discharged | | Number of Outpatient Clinics per Month | Outpatient Attendances, 1957 | | Number of Psy- chiatrists Employed | Number of Hours of Psychiatric Attention Each Month |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|---|---------------------------------|--------------------------|---|--|
| | | To Home | To Mental Hospital | | Number of Patients | Number of Attendances | | |
| Northland.. (83,000) | .. | .. | .. | 1 $\frac{1}{2}$ | * | * | 1 | .. |
| Auckland .. (450,510) | 24 | 315 | 32 | 20 | 408 | 580 | 5 | 124 |
| Waikato .. (204,000) | .. | * | * | 8 | 167 | 174 | 1 | * |
| Tauranga .. (34,000) | .. | * | * | 1 | 10 | 14 | 1 | * |
| Taumarunui (14,810) | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. |
| Cook .. (34,310) | .. | 15 | 7 | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. |
| Waioira .. (11,850) | .. | 15 | * | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. |
| Waipawa .. (13,340) | .. | 12 | 3 | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. |
| Dannevirke (13,000) | .. | 10 | 5 | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. |
| New Plymouth (51,000) | .. | 94 | 14 | 8 | 120 | 432 | * | * |
| Patea .. (6,800) | .. | .. | 4 | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. |
| Nelson .. (46,710) | .. | 6 | 10 | 4 | 176 | 176 | 1 | 14 |
| Wanganui (60,000) | .. | * | 6† | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. |
| Palmerston North (86,372) | .. | 75 | 30 | 4 | 203 | 276 | 1 | 14 |
| Wairarapa† (40,000) | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. |
| Wellington (232,900) | 15 | 283 | 162 | 12 | 239 | 452 | 3 | 40 |
| Marlborough (26,000) | .. | 21 | 7 | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. |
| Inangahua.. (3,500) | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. |
| Grey .. (20,000) | .. | 40 | 30 | 2 | 150 | * | 1 | 7 |
| North Canterbury (250,000) | 3 | 11 | 15 | 8 | 177 | 334 | 1 | 12 |
| Ashburton (21,000) | .. | * | * | 1 | 45 | 67 | 1 | 4 |
| South Canterbury (55,000) | .. | * | * | 1 | * | * | 1 | 3 $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| Waikato .. (20,000) | .. | 1 | 7 | 1 | 44 | 102 | 1 | 3 $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| Otago .. (120,000) | 25 | 273 | 130 | 56 | 525 | 3,883 | 5 | 224 |
| Southland (86,000) | 10 | * | * | 1 $\frac{1}{2}$ | * | * | 1 | 11 |

*No information.

†Approximately.

‡Return not completed.

APPENDIX B. Reference Works Consulted by Membe

- HALLIDAY, J. L. 1948: Psychosocial Medicine. A Study of the Sic
Heinemann, London.
- MAYER-GROSS, W. 1948: *Eugenics Review* 40:140.
- MARTIN, F. M.; BROTHERSTON, J. H. F.; CHAVE, S. P. W. 1957: *Brit
Soc. Med.* 11:196.
- CARSTAIRS, G. M.; BROWN, G. W. 1958: *J. Ment. Sci.* 104:72.
- WIX, R. 1949: *National Education* 31:156.
- IRNSIDE, W. 1957: *Proc. Univ. Otago Med. Sch.* 35:9.
——— 1958: *Proc. Univ. Otago Med. Sch.* 36:6.
- PEMBERTON, J. 1951: *Lancet* 1:224.
- ZWERLING, I.; TITCHENER, J.; GOTTSCHALK, L.; LEVINE, M.; CULBER
COHEN, S. F.; SILVER, H. 1955: *Am. J. Psychiat.* 112:270.
- MESTITZ, P. 1957: *Brit. Med. J.* 2:1108.
- ZILBOORG, G. 1941: "History of Medical Psychology", p. 121. W. V
and Co., New York.
- MACLAY, W. S. 1958: The Academic Lectures delivered to the First
Mental Hospital Institute, pub. Mental Health Division, Department o
Health and Welfare, Ottawa, Ontario.
- GAYLE, R. F. 1955: Unpublished address. The late Professor Gay
President of the American Psychiatric Association.
- BENNETT, A. E.; HARGROVE, E. A.; ENGLE, B. (Editors) 1956: "The
Psychiatry in General Hospitals", p. XIV. University of Califor
Berkeley and Los Angeles.
- Report of the Board of Control for 1956 quoted in *Brit. Med. J.* (1957)
- BRILL, H.; PATTON, R. E. 1957: *Am. J. Psychiat.* 114:509.
- SHERRET, D. 1958: *Brit. Med. J.* 1:994.
Am. J. Psychiat. (1957) 114:376.
- CARSE, J.; PANTON, N. E.; WATT, A. 1958: *Lancet* 1:39.
- SOLOMON, H. C. 1958: *Am. J. Psychiat.* 115:1.
- Review of the Mental Health Services Aspects of the National Heal
(1957). Royal Medico-Psychological Association.
- Report (1954-1957) Royal Commission on the Law Relating to Mer
and Mental Deficiency.
- IRNSIDE, W. 1956: *N.Z. Med. J.* 55:223.
- MACLEOD, J. A.; HIRT, J. V. 1957: *Am. J. Orthopsychiat.* 27:735.
- BRUNNER-ORNE, M. 1958: *Quart. J. Studies on Alcohol* 108:17.
- JENSEN, R. A.; ENGSTROM, D. 1957: *Am. J. Psychiat.* 113:728.
- CAMERON, D. E. 1956: "The Practice of Psychiatry in General Hospital
University of California Press, Berkeley and Los Angeles.
- MOLL, A. E. 1957: *Am. J. Psychiat.* 113:722.
- "Standards for Hospitals and Clinics", 1956 Edition. American
Association Mental Hospital Service.

Appendix to the Annual Report of the Department of Health New Zealand, for the years ended 31 March 1957 and 1958.

GARRETT, F. N.; LOWE, C. R.; MCKEOWN, T. 1957: *Brit. J. prev. Soc. Med.* 11:165.

MCKEOWN, T. 1958: *Lancet* 1:701.

ATKIN, I: *B.M. Journal*, 31 January 1959, p. 293.

The Psychiatric Hospital as a Centre for Preventive Work on Mental Health—World Health Organisation Technical Report Series, No. 134.

The Community Mental Hospital: World Health Organisation Technical Report Series—Extract from 3rd Report of the Expert Committee on Mental Health.

MAYER-GROSS, W.; CROSS, K. W.; HARRINGTON, J. A.; SCREENIVASON UNA. 1958: *Lancet* 1:1265.

SON, W.;

7. Norton

Canadian
National

le was a

Practice of
nia Press,

) 2:1188.

h Service

tal Illness

", p. 134.

Psychiatric

BY AUTHORITY:
R. E. OWEN, GOVERNMENT PRINTER, WELLINGTON, NEW ZEALAND—1960

18034—60 A