Abuse in Care Royal Commission of Inquiry Contextual Hearing on Thursday, 31 October 2019 at the Rydges Hotel, Auckland

Commission Members:

Sir Anand Satyanand - Chair Commissioner S Alofivae Commissioner A Erueti Commissioner P Gibson Commissioner C Shaw

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

	1	
	1 2	OPENING ADDRESSES
	2	OPENING ADDRESSES
	4	
	5	MR MOUNT: Good morning, Mr Chair, good morning
	6	Commissioners. Today we are expecting three
	7	witnesses, Oliver Sutherland, Kim Workman and Aaron
	8	Smale, I am joined by Ms Spelman and Ms Haronga.
	9	Ms Spelman will lead the first two witnesses today.
10.03	10	CHAIR: Thank you, everyone, welcome to day 3 of the
	11	Royal Commission Contextual Hearing and the
	12	witnesses who will give their testimony today.
	13	Ms Spelman, it is in your hands.
	14	MS SPELMAN: (Opening in Te Reo Maori). May it please
	15	the Commission, just beginning by acknowledging
	16	Ngati Whatua for opening us up this morning and
	17	acknowledging those who have already given evidence
	18	this week, particularly the survivors, and
	19	greetings to all others who have come today for
10.04	20	day 3 of our Contextual Hearing. If I could call
	21	now, Chair, as our first witness, Dr Oliver
	22	Sutherland.
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	***
	27	
	28 29	
	29 30	
	30 31	
	32	
	33	
	34	
	ЪЧ	

- 2 -

- 3 -

	1		
	2		DR OLIVER SUTHERLAND - AFFIRMED
	3		EXAMINED BY MS SPELMAN
	4		
	5		
	6	CHAI	R: Good morning, Dr Sutherland. The Inquiries Act
	7		2013 requires me to ask you as we commence (witness
	8		affirmed).
	9	MS S	PELMAN:
10.05	10	Q.	If I could just first ask you to refer to your written
	11		statement in the folder in front of you. I understand
	12		you have prepared a statement for the Royal Commission
	13		and that there's a signed copy, signed on the last page
	14		of that brief just in the folder in front of you?
	15	A.	Yes.
	16	Q.	If you could confirm the statement is correct to the best
	17		of your knowledge and belief?
	18	A.	It is.
	19	Q.	Thank you. Before we begin with questions,
10.06	20		Dr Sutherland, if you would like to begin by just telling
	21		us a little about who you are and where you're from?
	22	A.	(Opening in Te Reo Maori). I just want to take the
	23		opportunity at the beginning to acknowledge the support
	24		over the years, some them are represented here from the
	25		Polynesian Panther Party, from Nga Tamatoa, from the
	26		Citizens Association for Racial Equality, Auckland
	27		District Maori Council, all of those were at the
	28		forefront of the struggle in the '70s to get justice for
	29		children in the Courts and I want to acknowledge them.
10.07	30		I want to pay my respects to those who were members
	31		of some of those groups and who are not with us today,
	32		who were in the vanguard of the struggle, particularly,
	33		in no particular order, Syd Jackson and Hana Jackson of
	34		Nga Tamatoa, Eruera Nia, Ranginui Walker, Betty Wark,

- 4 -

Agnes Tuisamoa, Eddie McLeod, Helen Kesha, they were all people in the 70s who I drew strength from and we worked together on these issues and it's almost 50 years ago and it's nice to have this opportunity to acknowledge them.

It is 50 years ago and I want to start by thanking 5 6 Jacinda Ardern and the present government for the fact we 7 even have an Inquiry. I recall the 9 years of the past government when they refused and refused to hold a Royal 8 Commission into these events and so I want to thank 9 Jacinda Ardern, I want to thank the present government 10.08 10 11 and I want to thank the Minister Tracey Martin for her 12 efforts on behalf of this Royal Commission. And to you 13 Sir Anand, I want to thank you for the role you've played in the past couple of years. I am sorry to see you going 14 15 but I acknowledge the work you've done and I wish you well in the future, kia ora. 16

The last group I want to acknowledge are the
 survivors network. There are represents of the survivors
 here and I want to pay my respects to them for the
 willingness that they have shown to relive the past and
 to support the future. Kia ora.

Q. Kia ora. Dr Sutherland, I know on the desk before you there's also another document which is the manuscript of the book you've written as a submission to the Royal Commission?

A. Yes, that's correct. My evidence today is a sort of
brief version of the 170 page version which is actually
my full submission and I understand it's been taken as my
full submission. And so I know that you have that,
Anand. There's a signed copy here and it's certainly
what I want to put forward as my submission.

32 Q. Thank you. And if that full submission could be produced 33 as Exhibit 4. Madam Registrar does have copies to be 34 handed up to Commissioners of that, although they have

- 5 -

1 received it in advance as well. 2 Yellow folder produced as Exhibit 4 3 CHAIR: Thank you. 4 MS SPELMAN: I understand, Dr Sutherland, that book will shortly be 5 Ο. 6 available to all once it has been published; is that 7 correct? Yes, I hope so, I hope so. Some of you might get a free 8 Α. 9 copy, not many of you. It's in the hands of a publisher, Roger Steele of Wellington, I hope that will happen 10.10 10 eventually but I wanted it to come to this Commission 11 12 first because this is the place for whom it was 13 originally written. Thank you. 14 Q. You've asked me a bit about my background, shall I get 15 Α. into that? The evidence I'm going to give draws on my 16 17 experience from 1969 when I joined the Nelson Maori 18 Committee, Nelson Race Relations Action Group and then after that in 1973 the Auckland Committee on Racism and 19 Discrimination. 10.10 20 21 There are very extensive archives thank goodness of the work we did back then and that's what my submission 22 23 is based on. During those years, together with particularly my 24 colleague Ross Galbreath who is here and others from 25 ACORD, we were deeply involved in a series of 26 27 investigations into and campaigns against the treatment of children, especially Maori children, by the social 28 29 justice, by the Police, by the Social Welfare system and the health systems. Today my focus is principally going 10.11 30 to be on the judicial and Social Welfare systems and the 31 32 way in which they treated children in the 70s and early 33 80s. 34 From 1970 to 1986, I personally advocated on behalf

- 6 -

1 of scores of children whose cases I drew to the attention 2 of one Cabinet Minister after another. It didn't matter 3 whether they were Labour or National, they weren't really 4 interested. The notes of my interviews with all those 5 children and my correspondence is in the archives in the 6 Auckland City Library. They are what I will draw on in 7 this submission.

8 We also instigated a number of inquiries over that 9 15 year period, Ombudsman inquiries, Inquiry by the Human 10.11 10 Rights Commission, judicial and other official Inquiries 11 and again you have those papers have been given to you 12 and they are part of the evidence that I draw on.

So, what I want to do here is summarise what's in 13 14 this larger document. I want to provide an insight into the bigger picture of what was happening to children when 15 16 they got into the hands of the Police, then the justice, 17 then the Social Welfare or whatever. I want to provide that bigger picture when they were incarcerated and then 18 19 what happened to them when they were incarcerated and how they got out of that. 10.12 20

21 Q. Dr Sutherland, just at this point -

CHAIR: Can I intervene just for a moment to ask you as you speak, if you will keep your eye on the stenographer in front of you, she is working at very high speed. If you would be good enough to just keep an eye on the pace so that she can keep up with you.
A. Yes, I would be glad to do that and I will slow down

29 certainly.

10.13 30 CHAIR: There are also the signers to be involved in 31 that picture. Thank you.

32 MS SPELMAN: Thank you, Chair.

33 Q. Dr Sutherland, just before you begin speaking to us about 34 that judicial processes that you want to outline, I just

- 7 -

wonder if you could tell us a little bit about the formation of ACORD, as I know ACORD features heavily in the work that you're going to go on to describe, how that group came to be established?

It happened in 1972, a meeting of the New Zealand Race 5 Α. 6 Relations Council with the Polynesian Panther party and 7 Sir Jackson challenged the Pakeha who were there to deal with institutional white racism. That was what they saw 8 needed to be challenged, needed to be campaigned against. 9 But they said don't leave it to us. So, there was some 10.14 10 of us who were there, a few Pakeha, and we got ourselves 11 12 together and actually several of us are here today from 13 that time and we formed a group called the Auckland Committee of racism and discrimination and we chose the 14 15 name carefully because we wanted the word racism to be in 16 there right from the beginning because that was the focus 17 of what was to be our campaigns over the years. And from 18 1973 onwards, ACORD pursued those campaigns, all the time 19 being supported by, monitored by, a group of Maori and Pacific Island leaders who kept us on the right tracks. 10.14 20 21 Thank you and we might have to slow you down even a Q. little more just to make sure we're not going too fast 22 23 but thank you for that.

And I believe you were going to begin by telling us about some of the issues with racism in the judicial process in terms of Social Welfare?

27 Α. Yesterday we heard about the pipeline and kids getting into the pipeline and ending up inevitably progressing to 28 29 the end of the pipeline which was probably prison at one time or another. And getting into the pipeline usually 10.15 30 required the Police. I want to draw your attention to a 31 particular study that was done by Ross Hampton, a 32 33 researcher for the Justice Department. He studied 34 thousands of files of the Police, Auckland Police Youth

- 8 -

1 Aid, and what he found out was that there was a marked 2 bias against Maori boys in particular when youth aid 3 officers were deciding who to prosecute or who not to 4 prosecute. And he said that the Police discriminated 5 against Maori boys by sending a disproportionate number 6 of them to Court, thus inflating their crime rate 7 compared with that of non-Maori children.

What he found was through looking at these thousands 8 9 of case histories, was that racial bias and the decision to prosecute, it remained evident, even when class and 10.16 10 the seriousness of offence were taken into account. 11 In other words, the system was bias against Maori boys and 12 13 disproportionate numbers of them were pushed into the system. Girls as well but it wasn't quite as bad, at 14 15 least in his study for girls.

16 After that, then there's the question of being 17 arrested and being bailed, if I am progressing through 18 the judicial system. What we were aware of at the time in the Children's Courts, was that children were often 19 held in Police cells before they got into Court even. 10.16 20 21 There was rarely a parent present, there was never lawyers present, no advocate was present. Social Welfare 22 23 officers might have been present but basically they and the Police persuaded the children to plead guilty. And 24 so, the concept of the child having any rights and the 25 26 concept of the child having access to a lawyer was 27 unheard of, and certainly for State wards.

28 So, in the Nelson Maori Committee we became aware of 29 what was happening in the Nelson Courts and when children 10.17 30 as young as 13 could be held for a day or two or three 31 days in the Police cells and at the mercy of the police 32 officers who were supervising them.

33 So, Magistrates at the time seemed to place great 34 reliance on the advice of social workers and seemed ready

- 9 -

to remand young Maori particularly into prison or into
 Social Welfare custody.

3 So, we saw what was happening and we setup our own Legal Aid Scheme. We didn't have any particular 4 experience of the judicial system but we knew enough to 5 6 know that representation by a lawyer was essential. And 7 so, we established this scheme which aimed at getting free legal representation for every Maori and Pacific 8 defendant in the Nelson Courts and certainly particularly 9 the Children's Courts because you need to know although 10.18 10 we've had a judicial scheme for years and years, there 11 12 was no duty solicitor scheme then, there was nothing. Ιf 13 you are a 13 year old boy in the cells of the Nelson Police Station there was no way you could do that and you 14 15 went straight into Court without any assistance.

And we knew that there were disproportionate numbers 16 17 of Maori that were being sent through the system, so we 18 wrote to the Minister of Justice complaining about, from 19 the statistics, complaining about the disproportionate effect the system was having on Maori. And what we got 10.19 20 21 back was, "Implications that Maori appearing before the Magistrate's Court in New Zealand and getting less than 22 23 justice are not correct. We have the best of British justice for all". 24

25 So, that was how the Ministers and that was how the 26 judicial system saw it. It was the best of British 27 justice for all and it was a totally Pakeha system.

We also wrote to the Minister of Justice about the failure to have any lawyers for the children in Court. And we asked whose job is it to get legal assistance for the children. And Sir Roy Jack wrote back in January JP72 and he said, "While there's no direct responsibility on the Magistrate or the Police or the Child Welfare Officer to obtain legal representation, they are all

- 10 -

concerned that defendants should have every opportunity
 to be legally represented if they wish".

3 So, of course it was therefore up to the child. 4 Dr Sutherland, perhaps particularly when you are reading Q. those quotes if I could ask you to read them a little 5 6 slower for the benefit of the signers and stenographer. 7 I'm sorry, yes. At that stage, we began arguing for a Α. 8 duty solicitor scheme and the government, the Labour Government at the time, Dr Finlay was the Minister of 9 Justice slowly started to work towards it. 10.20 10

But in actual fact, and in our submission, we said that all children should be accompanied by a lawyer when being questioned by the Police and all children on whatever charge should be represented by counsel whenever they appear in Court.

When you're speaking of children, Dr Sutherland, I know 16 Q. 17 we'll come to some detailed statistics later but what sort of age range are we talking about there? 18 Well, the children's Courts were mostly dealing with 19 Α. children from the age of 10 years upwards but as I'll 10.21 20 21 mention shortly, actually younger children than 10 were appearing before the Courts facing criminal charges. So 22 23 that, it was impossible for those children to represent themselves. Maori children even more so. Whakama in the 24 circumstances of the Court. 25

26 The duty solicitor scheme did get off the ground in 27 1974. It didn't go very far, didn't go as far as we 28 wanted and didn't give us the guarantees we wanted for 29 the children, particularly for the children going through 10.21 30 the Courts.

I want to turn now to what happened when they got to Court. The Courts were very intimidating. There was a Judge looking stern and a Policeman in uniform and then there might have been a Social Welfare officer and then

- 11 -

1 there was the poor 13 year old or 12 year old or 10 year 2 old standing there.

3 If they were not bailed and in the case of many 4 State wards they weren't bailed, then they would be remanded in one form of custody or another. Now, what 5 6 sort of custody you got depended where you were in the 7 country. If you were in Auckland, you could be remanded, unless you'd been bailed you could be remanded in Social 8 Welfare custody and you went off to a children's home. 9 Or you could be remanded in Police custody. That was a 10.22 10 bit of a misnomer because Police custody meant Mt Eden 11 12 prison. That was Police custody in Auckland.

13 A child in Nelson who was going to be remanded in custody, those options weren't there. Nelson didn't have 14 15 any prisons or Social Welfare homes and so, the only 16 place to lock them up was the Police cells again back in 17 the Nelson Police cells, no separate sells for children, 18 no separate facilities, and with Police who probably didn't even want to look after them. 19

Others might have gone to a psychiatric hospital or a psychiatric ward and I'll mention some of those later.

There aren't very many statistics for remands in 22 23 Social Welfare custody but in a report that Sir Guy Powles did a little later, he found of 878 children on 24 remand in Social Welfare custody in 1975, 51% were Maori. 25 26 It gets worse for the remands in penal institutions, if 27 we look at Mt Eden in particular. The national figures in 1974 were 269 children, 53% were Maori; and 1975, 320 28 29 children, it was going up, 57% Maori, getting worse. 1977, 356 children remanded to a penal institution. 63% 10.24 30 were Maori. Things were getting increasingly worse. 31

That was the remand situation. In a sense being 32 33 punished already because as I will explain later, it was 34 no easy life in the remand cells in Mt Eden prison.

10.23 20

21

- 12 -

We haven't even got to sentencing. Now we get to sentencing and I've drawn together a lot of statistics and you have them in front of you. They come from the published annual New Zealand justice statistics and we analysed 10 years worth of those statistics from 1967 to 1976.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7 What we found in those 10 years, 116,595 children went through the Courts. That's 116,000 children. Of 8 them 41% were Maori. But when you looked at the 9 sentences that they got, you discovered that those who 10.25 10 got the softer sentences being fined or getting periodic 11 12 detention, they were more predominantly the non-Maori. 13 And the statistics showed absolutely clearly that when 14 you get to the more heavy sentences, which were detention 15 centre, they didn't exist now but they exist the at the 16 time and some of you will remember the detention centres, 17 3 months training at Waikeria or Social Welfare custody 18 or Borstal which was the worse, then the figures for Maori crept right up to nearly 60% of the children sent 19 to Borstal in that 10 years. 10.25 20

21 So, the pattern was similar for boys and girls. Almost in every category, girls were worse, received 22 23 heavier sentencing than boys did. So, it was very clear 24 that Maori children received these heavier sentences and actually, any Maori child before the Court was more than 25 twice as likely to be sent to a penal institution for 26 sentence as a non-Maori child, almost twice as likely. 27 Whereas the non-Maori child was more likely to be fined 28 29 or admonished and discharged.

10.2630Q.Dr Sutherland, in terms of the clear pattern that shows,31I understand that you expressed a view on what that32meant, this is looking at paragraph 8 of your brief, at33the Auckland branch of the Association of Social Workers34in 1976 about what that showed. Would you share that

1 with us?

2 Α. Well, yeah, I spoke to the social workers looking at 3 these figures which nobody could dispute and which were 4 never disputed because they came from the Justice Department's own research. And I said, and I quote, "It 5 6 seemed that Social Welfare officers simply feel because 7 they're unable to control the Maori or other Polynesian child, he should be held in Mt Eden. But surely the 8 social worker's failure to control or perhaps relate to 9 the child is more their problem. A problem of the system 10.27 10 which was a system based on wholly Pakeha concept of 11 12 crime and offending and welfare and punishment".

13 I just want to remind you of the ages of the children that were appearing before the Courts because if 14 15 you look at those 10 years worth of justice statistics, I mentioned there were 116,000 children. Some of them were 16 17 under the age of 8, they were infants but they were 18 brought before the Court facing charges of one sort or another. There were 8 years olds, there were 45 x 9 year 19 olds, 662 x 10 year olds. And then if you look at the 10.28 20 sort of charges that they were facing. They could 21 22 involve burglary, theft, conversion, of course, offences 23 against a person, and particularly vagrancy. And I want 24 to focus a bit more on vagrancy because it came up a bit more in the later cases we investigated. This was, in 25 26 most cases, being idle or disorderly or being a rowing 27 and vagabond. Well, 56 children between the ages of 10 and 13 would face Court, 45% of them were Maori and they 28 29 were being charged with being a roque and vagabond or being idle and disorderly. 10.29 30

31 It was, I think, the figures were extraordinary.
32 Nobody was looking at those figures in the 70s but when
33 you see them they jump out from the statistics.
34 And I just want to mention, if I might, the impact

- 14 -

on Maori in particular. It doesn't really matter what statistics you look at, whether it's who was in remand in Social Welfare custody, the majority certainly of the 14 year olds and even of the 15 year olds, the majority were Maori and it was up to 73% of 14 year old girls.

Look at the adult prisons on remand. I mentioned
the figures there. They were up to 60% of those children
held in adult prisons on remand were Maori.

The sentencing gets even worse.

9

Just to note, I think you're currently at page 8 of your 10.30 10 Q. brief, just in terms of the numbers you are referring to. 11 12 Yes, the tables are the figures. The heavier sentences, Α. 13 as I mentioned before, were either being sentenced to prison, Borstal or detention centre. And again, Maori 14 15 children in general were the majority in those cases but particularly more Maori girls, and there were figures, 16 17 the 15 year old Maori girls in the period 1974-1976, 15 18 year olds, 100% of those Maori girls, 100% of the girls sent to Borstal were Maori, not one Pakeha in that whole 19 group. The figures were extraordinary. And I think they 10.30 20 21 were profoundly disturbing because what it meant was, and if you take the totality of what I've been talking about, 22 23 any Maori who got into trouble at the beginning of the 24 pipeline and then was brought before the Court was much more likely to be taken away from home, much more likely 25 to be locked up if he or she was Maori. 26

And the disparities got worse for the younger ones and worse for the girls. So, that, I hope, gives you a bit of a picture of just the totality of what was happening to children in the Courts where nobody was looking except the Social Welfare officers, nobody was looking when the 8 year olds were dragged through the Courts to face some charge or another.

34 Q. In terms of the statistics, Dr Sutherland, it's clear

- 15 -

	1		from what you've told us the extreme disproportionality
	2		in terms of Maori children. I know the statistics don't
	3		go into this in great detail but what was your experience
	4		in terms of looking at the numbers for Pasifika children
	5		going through during that same time period?
	6	Α.	Yeah, that was the trouble with the statistics, the
	7		Pacific children were just added in with the Pakeha
	8		children, so it was Maori and non-Maori. So, there was
	9		no real data at all on that. The feeling we had was that
10.32	10		they would be over-represented but I think not to the
	11		same extent as the Maori children.
	12	Q.	And we might come to some of the particular stories in
	13		terms of children that you have recounted shortly.
	14		Before we move on, is there anything else you want
	15		to share with the Commission in terms of the statistics
	16		during that period?
	17	Α.	No, I think it's time to have a look at what was
	18		happening to the children when they got into the clutches
	19		of the Justice Department and when they got into the
10.32	20		clutches of the Social Welfare, we need to focus on that.
	21	Q.	Yes. Should we begin, Dr Sutherland, perhaps by looking
	22		at the Police cells which I understand was the first work
	23		that you were involved with, visiting children held in
	24		the cells. Can you tell us about that?
	25	A.	When I was on the Nelson Maori Committee, we took
	26		testimony from a number of children who appeared in the
	27		Police cells. We were given the right to go in and talk
	28		to those children and arrange lawyers for them. So, we
	29		found out a little bit about what was happening to them.
10.33	30		I just want to highlight a couple of cases. One was
	31		a boy who was 16 years old, he was arrested, taken to the
	32		Nelson Police cells and then he was told by the Police
	33		Officer to take a shower before he went to Court. When
	34		he was stark naked standing in the showers, he was

- 16 -

1 questioned about the charge of having an offensive 2 weapon. There was no lawyer, there was no welfare 3 officer, there was nobody. There was a Police Officer 4 who jabbed him with a baton and the boy was standing He gave a confession. He said that he had done 5 naked. 6 it. It later transpired the confession was false but he 7 went to Court and was initially convicted.

Then when he'd been convicted, before they could 8 9 decide on the sentence, he was sent back to the Police cells again for another 4 days. And the feeling we had 10.34 10 at the time, and we said so to the Justice Minister, was 11 12 that the questioning by Police by a boy naked and alone 13 in the Nelson police cell blocks was inhuman and uncivilised and that we couldn't believe that a 14 15 confession obtained in such circumstances would be accepted by the Court, let alone to be the sole basis for 16 17 his conviction but it was.

18 Just a few days later, there was another boy, he was 13, he'd just left primary school, he'd come up to Nelson 19 with some mates and was arrested on burglary and being 10.34 20 21 idle and disorderly. Again, when I saw him in the Nelson Police cells, he'd already been questioned by the Police, 22 23 no lawyer, no Social Welfare officer and because he was so young I tried to get him out on bail but it was 24 25 refused. When we got him a lawyer, the charges were changed and he was not facing such a serious charge. 26

27 But 13 year olds were being held in the Police cells 28 at the mercy of the Police then and so those two 29 examples. It will be happening throughout the country in 10.35 30 every town where there was a Court but where there was no 31 a welfare home or a prison for the children to be 32 remanded to in custody, they had to be held in Police 33 cells, from Kaitaia down to Bluff.

34 COMMISSIONER SHAW: There's a detail that I think should

- 17 -

	1		be spoken rather than just left in your brief of
	2		evidence, Dr Sutherland. The nature of the - the
	3		matters that led the Police to pick these children
	4		up in the first place, could you put those on the
	5		record for us, please? For example, the boy Victor
	6		T, what was he actually arrested for?
	7	A.	Yeah, he was arrested because they found him in a cricket
	8		pavilion, an open cricket pavilion. He was just in
	9		Nelson passing through with a couple of older mates and
10.36	10		they found him in a cricket pavilion. When we got him a
	11		lawyer, they changed the charge because of course they
	12		couldn't sustain the original one. So, without a lawyer
	13		it would have all just appeared before the Court, the
	14		Magistrate would have believed it and the boy would have
	15		been dealt with accordingly.
	16	COMM	IISSIONER SHAW: Thank you.
	17	A.	You took that out of my main submission.
	18	COMM	IISSIONER SHAW: I did. I just think it needs to be
	19		stated publically so people can hear.
10.37	20	A.	That was the case of that first boy, the 16 year old who
	21		was questioned naked. I mean, again in that case if we
	22		hadn't got him a lawyer, the original charge would
	23		probably have stood, in which case he would have been
	24		charged with having an offensive weapon which was a more
	25		serious charge.
	25 26		serious charge. So, I just - and thank you Judge for sounding me out
			-
	26	COMM	So, I just - and thank you Judge for sounding me out
	26 27	COMM	So, I just - and thank you Judge for sounding me out on that.
10.37	26 27 28 29	COMM A.	So, I just - and thank you Judge for sounding me out on that. IISSIONER SHAW: I just think these details add much
10.37	26 27 28 29		So, I just - and thank you Judge for sounding me out on that. IISSIONER SHAW: I just think these details add much to the force of your submission?
10.37	26 27 28 29 30		So, I just - and thank you Judge for sounding me out on that. IISSIONER SHAW: I just think these details add much to the force of your submission? Really what they showed was what was happening to
10.37	26 27 28 29 30 31		So, I just - and thank you Judge for sounding me out on that. IISSIONER SHAW: I just think these details add much to the force of your submission? Really what they showed was what was happening to children who got into trouble in smaller towns, and
10.37	26 27 28 29 30 31 32		So, I just - and thank you Judge for sounding me out on that. IISSIONER SHAW: I just think these details add much to the force of your submission? Really what they showed was what was happening to children who got into trouble in smaller towns, and Nelson was an example. What happened to them in

- 18 -

1I guess we're moving on to the Social Welfare homes?2MS SPELMAN:

3 Q. Yes, thank you.

So, now we get on to the Social Welfare homes, such as in 4 Α. Auckland or Owairaka, Bollard and Wesleydale. During the 5 6 70s, there were 20 homes throughout New Zealand, 20 of 7 these Social Welfare homes. The following details I am going to give you are about experiences of children held 8 9 in some of these homes. There were thousands of children 10.38 10 who went through them every year. For example, in 1978, this is in answer to a Parliamentary question, there were 11 12 4,225 children admitted to Social Welfare homes in 13 New Zealand, including over 1,000 to Owairaka here in 14 Auckland.

So, Owairaka, Dr Sutherland, what sort of home was that? 15 Ο. 16 Owairaka was a home for boys aged 14-17 years. We made Α. 17 our first complaint to the Minister of Social Welfare 18 set, Bert Walker, in April 1978. And detailed the case of a particular boy, perhaps one of the very first cases 19 that was brought to our attention. Kevin in January 1978 10.39 20 21 was held for 10 days in a secure cell at Owairaka and his parents and the boy outlined his story to me. He was 22 23 never charged with anything, he was a State ward. So, I 24 want to quote from what I wrote to the Minister, "He was 25 immediately placed in what the Department of Social Welfare termed secure care which is in fact solitary 26 27 confinement, in a cell about 3 metres by 2 metres. The only clothes he was allowed to wear was a t-shirt and 28 29 football shorts, no underpants, no singlet, no socks, no 10.40 30 shoes. For the first four days he had to wear his t-shirt and football shorts at night as well as during 31 32 the day. He was issued with pyjamas on the 5th day. In the secure cells all meals were taken in the cell, 33 34 sitting on the bed beside his toilet. And the only time

- 19 -

1 he mixed with the other boys was at physical training, 2 PT, three times a day which totalled one hour. And even 3 then the boys were not permitted to talk to each other. 4 So, he was locked alone in his cell for 23 hours a day for 10 days. He and other boys in solitary were very 5 6 embarrassed by the lack of underpants during PT and 7 because he was only allowed shoes for one of the three PT sessions during the day, he got badly blistered feet. 8 Ιt 9 seems so horrific to us because the boy suffered this for 10 days, that we wrote to the Minister and demanded that 10.41 10 he suspend the principal and staff of the home and have a 11 12 full and public Inquiry into what was going on at 13 Owairaka".

14The routine practices at Owairaka started in secure15on. On admission, every child had to strip in front of16staff, get deloused and then given a t-shirt and shorts.17They would be sent straight to secure for days, weeks or,18as I'll mention later, months in secure.23 hours a day19in solitary confinement with one little window.

There was the toilet in the cell, all meals were eaten in the cell. And a rag and a cleaner was passed from cell to cell, single rag, single bottle of cleaner, for them to clean out their toilets, flushing toilet that they had in the cell, and then they cleaned that out with a rag and then handed it onto the boy in the next cell. There was no concept of cleaning.

27 The boys were not permitted to speak to each other 28 but they were not permitted to speak to staff, all of 29 whose communications were conveyed to the boys by nods of 10.42 30 the head. And I'll come back to the nodding system 31 shortly.

32 So, I'm going to mention one or two cases. I want 33 to start first of all with a 9 year old boy, Craig. 34 Q. This is at paragraph 43 of your brief?

1 ∩

- 20 -

1 Α. Paragraph 43. After persistent truancy because he was 2 running away from school and there were problems at home, 3 he was incarcerated, initially for three months in ward 4 12 of Auckland Public Hospital. This was principally an observation ward for adult psychiatric patients run by 5 6 the Auckland Hospital Board. It had no special or 7 separate facilities for children and especially not for a 9 year old. He was there for 3 months but the ward 8 admitted children because there was nowhere else for them 9 to go into Auckland. According to his mother, while he 10.43 10 was in ward 12 he had a lot of drugs pumped into him and 11 12 he became very lethargic and fat and didn't want to do 13 anything. When he was discharged from 3 months in ward 12, he was sent to Owairaka, 10 year old at this stage. 14 15 They weren't supposed to take anyone under the age of 14 but perhaps Wesleydale was full, who knows. Of course, 16 17 he'd been admitted to Owairaka through the same process 18 of secure cells.

He spent 5 weeks at Owairaka and of those 5 weeks, 3 19 weeks were in secure. He was 10. He couldn't do pushups 10.44 20 because he was so fat and lethargic from the drugs he had 21 been given, so his mother explained the PT instructor 22 23 decided he would help him along, 'he took his sandshoe and really belted my son's buttocks until you couldn't 24 get a pin between the massive bulk bruising'. Taken out 25 26 of secure he ran away immediately, he ran home. His 27 mother complained to Arthur Ricketts who was the principal of Owairaka who was apologetic and said it 28 29 shouldn't have happened.

10.4530There was another boy, Cruise, who spent three days31in secure before sentencing three days after. He said on32arrival he was deloused and stripped. "I was too scared33to say I didn't want to get undressed in front of them".34Then he described the nodding system and I want to detail

- 21 -

1 that because no vocal communication was allowed between 2 staff and boys, let alone between the boys. And so, what 3 Cruise said was 'When you have a shower he comes to the 4 door and after you finish your shower, he looks at you, then he nods his head. You say thank you Sir. 5 Then you 6 shake your towel out and you go like this (and he pulled 7 the waste band of his shorts forward) and he checks you. And then you stand outside the door and he goes like that 8 9 again, gives you a nod, and you say thank you, Sir. And then you go back to your room and you stand outside your 10.45 10 door while he does that again, he gives you a nod and you 11 12 say thank you Sir and then you're allowed back into your 13 cell for the next 23 hours'.

14 Q. Dr Sutherland, I'm just getting a message from our 15 stenographer if when reading those quotes if you could do 16 them a little slower.

17 I will. I spoke to a mother who went and visited her boy Α. 18 at Owairaka. She said it's the coldest place I've ever been into for a parent who's already distressed because 19 her son has done something wrong and I'm shown into a 10.46 20 21 visiting room and my son comes in bare footed, shorts and single and we sit down. You are not allowed to take 22 23 fruit or sweets or food, you're only allowed to take 24 comics or readable things. One day he was upset and 25 crying. I'd never seen him cry before. I felt he had been too long in secure. He asked me to see about him 26 going up to the main part of the home. He was only 14. 27 28 In fact, after running away, as a punishment he was 29 incarcerated in secure continuously for two months before 10.47 30 he was sent to Kohitere. During that two months he saw no teacher, no welfare officer, nor was he allowed to see 31 32 his sister and brother, you were only allowed to see your 33 parents, you weren't allowed to see your siblings. The 34 mother said, she spoke to staff and one of them said to

- 22 -

her it's a wonder your boy hasn't gone up the wall, he's
 been there too long.

3

4

I could go on. I want to talk a bit about some of the other punishments.

There was a boy called Raymond who had been a State 5 6 ward and he had been in Owairaka. He said it's all quite 7 true about the ill-treatment, the PT etc. "We used to be waked at 2.00 a.m. in the morning to do press-ups. 8 This 9 would be if another boy had absconded. All boys had to be punished if a boy had absconded. I hadn't committed 10.48 10 any crime except being a State Ward but because I had a 11 12 brother there, I was singled out for humiliation. I 13 remember having to kneel and cut the lawn with shears. Ι 14 was hit across the small of the back with a cane for 15 being too slow. On one occasion an innocuous comment had 16 been interpreted by staff as being smart, I had to run 17 around outside until I dropped and then I was put in 18 solitary."

I just want to refer, it's not in my evidence but 19 you've been given a copy of it, an ex-staff member called 10.48 20 21 Frank Ryan gave evidence later on to Archbishop Johnston who was looking into this stuff. He had been a House 22 23 Master at Owairaka and he gave corroborating detail. Не 24 said, "Punishment was not restricted from", I am quoting from page 1, "Punishment was not restricted to the cane. 25 It consisted also very frequently of PT. There was a boy 26 27 who had been caned by the House Master and then received PT from 6.00 p.m. until 10.30 p.m. and on several 28 29 occasions the boy had faulted and then he had been slithered by the staff member who later on apparently was 10.49 30 reprimanded for it. Slithering was a term used when a 31 32 large rubber squeegy of the type found in milking sheds 33 was applied to the boy's buttocks at the whim of a staff 34 member".

- 23 -

I want to summarise the Owairaka situation, if I can. All meals were given in the cell, sitting beside the toilet. If there was sometimes over crowding, there could be two and sometimes there were more boys put in a cell. And they all had to sit there with a single toilet between them and eat their meals sitting on the edge of their bunks which were on either side of the toilet.

Bed wetting was common, inevitably bed wetting was 8 9 common amongst the traumatised children and for John, one boy who told his story to the Evening Standard, he said, 10.50 10 11 "I was the youngest and I got in trouble from everyone". 12 He couldn't stop wetting his bed so he had to wear an 13 electrified cattle arrangement attached to his penis, 14 "even a drop of urine in the cap would trigger the senses 15 and gave me an electric shock. One day they must have got fed up with me, the dial on the belt was meant to be 16 17 set at a maximum of 3 for just a mild shock but one night 18 the dial was turned up to 10 and I got a hell of a shock" 19 and he remembers a staff member rubbing his nose in the bed that he wet which he tried to hide. 10.51 20

There was one cell at Owairaka called Cell 7. It was the punishment cell, in a secure block, as if secure wasn't bad enough, Cell 7 was worse, you couldn't look at all out the window. The mattress was taken out during the day and so you were left to spend all day sitting or lying on the bare bed springs or on the concrete floor and that could last for up to a week.

Q. I am conscious, Dr Sutherland, there's some more you'd
 like to share in terms of other children's homes. Could
 we perhaps move on to Wesleydale, if you want to
 summarise firstly what sort of home that was?

A. Two things in Wesleydale I want to stress. Was the
punishments, they had the same secure cells and that sort
of thing but these were younger boys. One staff member

- 24 -

1 gave evidence to the Human Rights Commission Inquiry 2 later. It was common for staff to hold a boy down while 3 a senior House Master strapped him repeatedly on the 4 body. One 11 year old boy would not bend over after receiving 6 strokes on the buttocks, 3 staff held him 5 6 down while the fourth administered further strokes until 7 he was severely bruised on the thighs the buttocks and the jaw. 8

9 That witness who was a staff member, an ex-staff 10.52 10 member said he'd seen boys receive 15-20 strokes with the 11 strap. On other occasions a cricket bat had been used 12 instead of the strap. One boy spoke to the Human Rights 13 Commission and said that he had, after he'd absconded, 14 he'd been hit with 12 strokes on the backside with a 15 cricket bat until his buttocks bled.

And then the staff member said to the Human Rights 16 17 Commission, "When you get a lot of absconding and 18 strapping doesn't work, you try the boxing match." And he said he thought it was the cruelest thing he'd ever 19 seen done. It was called the golden fist. We thought it 10.53 20 was the most barbaric practice of what happened at any 21 home, punishment metered out to one boy who was the 22 23 absconder by another boy chosen by the staff to administer the punish. it was an arranged boxing match. 24 When a boy ran away all the boys in Wesleydale were taken 25 26 off privileges and had to build up a negative feeling 27 towards the absconder. When the absconder was brought back, the boxing match was arranged and everybody stood 28 29 around and the boy was beaten until he couldn't stand up or got a fat lip or was crying uncontrollably. 10.54 30

That happened regularly in Wesleydale.

31

The last thing I will say about Wesleydale, and I'll quote again from Frank Ryan, an ex-staff member, he gave me a - are you going to put it up on the screen? It was

- 25 -

1 a memo that was sent around the night staff at Wesleydale 2 by the Deputy Principal. 3 Dr Sutherland, what we can see on the screen is the memo Q. 4 you are referring to, obviously the handwritten memo but you're going to read that? 5 6 Α. This had to be signed off, as you can see by the 7 different night staff. "It seems a number of boys are being allowed to go to the toilet during the night. This 8 9 should not happen. An earlier memo pointed out we would prefer a boy to wet his bed rather than be allowed to go 10.55 10 to the toilet. All sorts of trouble starts from this 11 12 kind of thing, smoking, absconding, stealing. Please 13 ensure the boys are kept in their beds until the day staff arrive at 7.00 a.m.". 14 So, this was an official directive to the staff? 15 Q. 16 Yes, it was. We talk about the girls homes? Α. 17 Yes, thank you. I note you are at paragraph 53 of your Q. brief at this stage. 18 In some respects, things were much the same at the Girls' 19 Α. Home. There was a secure block and all admission was 10.55 20 21 through secure but I want to quote from a girl called Tina who described the admission procedures. 22 23 "You were stripped of your clothes, stripped of your privacy when you arrived, you were deloused, you had 24 nit goo and a Dettol bath and then you were put in a cell 25 with very small bed, rubber mattress and a toilet. You 26 27 were given 4 squares of toilet paper for the day. We wore pyjamas all day even for cleaning out our cells. 28 29 They often didn't fit too well which was demoralising. Worse was the compulsory venereal disease check. You 10.56 30 were moved into another cell and told to take everything 31 32 off except your top. Then you were put onto a bed and 33 into stirrups like when you have a baby. The old bag, 34 that's the doctor, the old bag shoves your legs around

- 26 -

wherever she likes. She didn't say thank you, please, 1 2 just undress, get up there, spread your legs out. Tina 3 noted that some girls who were kicking and struggling 4 were held down by straps. It was a procedure described by all the ex-inmates of the girls homes. One girl who 5 6 was 13 wouldn't take the test. I was put in secure and I 7 wouldn't agree to it. In the end 3 or 4 staff came and took me and I was strapped down for it. 8

9 I just want to read a submission that was made by one of the house mistresses of Bollard Girls' Home, 10.57 10 particularly about the VD testing. "If a girl refused", 11 12 this is from Linda B -

That is the image that we have on the screen, the 13 Q. 14 statement of Linda B.

"It a girl refused the test, she was to refer the girl to 15 Α. 16 a senior house mistress who would talk to the girl. If 17 the girl still refused, she was not allowed any 18 privileges so she was not allowed to work in the kitchen, 19 play softball with the other girls or go on outings such as to the beach. If a girl was adamant that she had no 10.58 20 21 sexual contact whatsoever, she was a virgin, they were still given the test and persuaded in the same manner. 22 23 Girls as young as 11 years old were subjected to an internal examination." 24

It mostly happened at Bollard. Van loads of girls 25 from the Weymouth Girls' Home, Allendale Girls' Home, 26 27 were often brought to Bollard for the test. They were all herded into one room and left to wait for the test. 28 29 There was no preparation given to the girls in terms of what to expect. And girls often told how the test 10.58 30 frightened them, upset them. The doctor was cold and 31 32 clinical and barely said hello. And if a girl's test was 33 positive, she was confined to her bed for 6-14 days. VD checks were also given to any girl who ran away and to 34

- 27 -

any girl who was allowed out on some sort of day leave 1 2 and the girls saw them as punishment and they hated them. 3 In terms of the girls homes you have been referring to, Q. 4 can I just clarify this is Bollard Girls' Home, Allendale Girls' Home, Stratmore and Weymouth? 5 6 Α. That's right. 7 This was homes for girls aged between 10-17 years? Q. Yes. Well, Bollard was 14-16, I think. Allendale was 8 Α. for the younger ones but I think Stratmore in 9 Christchurch took all age groups. 10.59 10 What emerged, a lot of this got publicity at the 11 12 time. We made sure it did. So, stories kept coming out 13 from Christchurch, from Wellington, from Epuni, from children who wanted to reveal their stories. So, the 14 15 pattern was clear across the country in terms of the secure cells, the VD testing, punishments and so on, 16 17 although I think Wesleydale was the only home that had the boxing matches. 18 Dr Sutherland, I know you want to speak to us about the 19 Q. particular Human Rights Commission report and findings. 11.00 20 21 Before we move to that, was there anything else you wanted to mention in terms of the girls homes? 22 23 Well, just about the use of secure. You see, it was a Α. punishment within a punishment. The staff of the homes 24 had the power to incarcerate children, this was not 25 something ordered by the Courts. This was something that 26 was a routine practice done by staff and yet the children 27 could be taken from the open part of the institution and 28 put into the secure, 23 hours solitary confinement, with 29 no judicial oversight. No oversight of any sort 11.01 30 actually. Nobody from the Social Welfare Department. 31 32 And there was an ex-staff member from Weymouth who 33 said that the length of incarceration as a punishment in secure was determined by the Senior House Master. And I 34

- 28 -

quote, "There are sorts of 'sentences' of different times 1 2 in secure. For a hostel misdemeanour, three days; 3 absconding, one week; absconding a second time, two 4 weeks. They are in their cells most of the day, one or two hours out. Physical assaults occurred: I have seen 5 6 girls struck in the home, and I have slapped them myself. 7 Tensions build up in institutions and it does happen". Thank you. I understand that ACORD made a complaint to 8 Q. the Human Rights Commission about these practices in 9 1979, could you tell us a bit about that? 11.02 10 Yeah. What had happened, we'd held our own Inquiry in 11 Α. 12 '78. We had asked repeatedly for the government to hold 13 Inquiries into these revelations, so we decided to hold 14 our own Inquiry, together with Nga Tamatoa in 1978 and we 15 had 30 or so witnesses come along, many of the cases I've talked about. 16 17 And we felt we were getting nowhere. It didn't 18 matter which government was in power, it didn't matter who was the Minister of Social Welfare or Justice, they 19 rejected the complaints. So, we thought, in 1977 the 11.02 20 Human Rights Commission was established, and we saw there 21 was perhaps an opportunity to go to that body and lodge a 22 23 complaint of breaches of the international covenants by the inhuman treatment, the degrading treatment, the 24 shocking treatment of those children. 25 26 So, we made a complaint to the Human Rights 27 Commission that the State was in breach of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights and 28 the International Covenant on Economic, Social and 29 Cultural Rights in relation to the Treatment of Children 11.03 30 by the Department of Social Welfare. 31 32 The Human Rights Commission held hearings throughout 33 1980, and I will refer you to their report of which 34 you've got a copy. After they listened to all the

- 29 -

1 witnesses, and they listened to the children from 2 whatever homes and ex-staff members and current staff 3 members, and they listened to the current apologists for 4 the Department. After considering all the information put before them during the Inquiry they wrote, my 5 6 paragraph 59, "The Commission is of the opinion that some 7 practices and some procedures are of such a nature that they raise serious and substantial questions relating to 8 9 this country's better compliance with the standards set out in the Articles of the UN Covenants on Human Rights." 11.04 10

And then the three Commissioners, Pat Downey, who 11 12 was the original Commissioner, Peg Hutchinson and Hiwi 13 Tauroa, they spelt out the allegations that were of 14 particular concern. They didn't find breaches. They 15 couldn't find breaches but they went as far as they could and they listed the allegations of particular concern for 16 17 the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, 18 Article 7, which refers to cruel or inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment. They noted the nodding system. 19 They noted the arranged boxing matches. The physical 11.05 20 21 exercising and the physical treatment. The long-term punitive use of Cell 7 at Owairaka Boys' Home. 22

23 Article 9 which referred to the right of liberty and 24 security.

They referred to the confinement of children and young people secure blocks in the absence of legal rules, regulations, covering the grounds for or the duration of that detention. Coupled with the lack of any practical means of seeking independent judicial review of that confinement in secure block.

31Then article 10 which refers to the humane treatment32and respect for the inherent dignity of the person.33They listed all those practices that they'd already34listed and then also the admission procedures at

- 30 -

Owairaka, the venereal disease testing procedures at
 Bollard, the toilet facilities in the secure cells,
 particularly when shared, and the isolation in secure
 block cells.

5

6

7

12

13

14

15

16

Then they referred to the rights of minorities, the lack of any recognition at all of differing cultures and ethnic backgrounds in the administration of the homes.

8 Then they listed allegations of concern relating to 9 the International Covenant on Economic and Social and 11.06 10 Cultural Rights, the standards of the physical and mental 11 environment in the secure blocks at the children's homes.

> Then they referred to the lack of education facilities because I haven't really mentioned it but there were no teachers or if there were teachers they never went anywhere near the secure blocks where children could be held for up to two months.

That list of allegations of concern that I have
 mentioned was comprehensive and it left absolutely no
 doubt that Pat Downey, Peg Hutchinson and Hiwi Tauroa had
 been really impacted by the evidence that had been put
 before them.

In the end the Human Rights Commission concluded, I 22 23 think rather sadly that things had improved. They talked to the staff and if they were right, we were pleased and 24 things had perhaps improved. But they also said the 25 Department had embarked on a programme of innovative 26 27 change. We never saw it but the Commission was told about it. "The Commission was gratified by the 28 29 seriousness with which the Department accorded the 11.08 30 Inquiry". That was all very well but when the report was made public, it was rejected by the government as being 31 32 exaggerated.

33The Minister of Social Welfare accepted that there34was some pretty hair raising stuff but he criticised the

- 31 -

Department of Social Welfare in Auckland, he rejected the

process of the Inquiry. Robin Wilson, who was Head of

report entirely as being based on false complaints.

1

2

3

4 Arthur Ricketts, who was the principal of Owairaka, stated the report was untrue, unfair, untrue and biased. 5 6 So, what the government decided to do, was to have 7 another look at what was going on right there and then and setup an Inquiry into Archbishop Johnson and Merimeri 8 Penfold to look at the current state of affairs in the 9 11.09 10 homes. Before we turn to look at that Inquiry, I am wondering 11 Q. 12 what the view of ACORD was at that time? You had done 13 your own Inquiry which you said hadn't received much traction and then we had this response from the 14 15 government effectively rejecting the findings from the 16 human rights position; what was the position of ACORD in 17 response to that? 18 More of the same. We felt really pleased that the Human Α. 19 Rights Commission had acknowledged these allegations of concern but we didn't get any - all we got was a 11.09 20 21 defensive comment from the politicians and from the 22 Department. The Department was rejecting it. Robin 23 Wilson said it was untrue. Well, what he was saying was what we were saying was untrue, the children were untrue. 24 And so, I think we were pretty disillusioned at that 25 26 stage. So, then when the government took on retired 27 Archbishop Johnston, who as a retired agent Pakeha male couldn't be more different and distant from the children 28 that he was talking about, he had Merimeri Penfold as 29 well, they did find and were convinced that there had 11.10 30 been some changes but they were not convinced about 31 32 solitary confinement and they were concerned at the 33 continual use of secure. What they said was solitary 34 confinement cannot be acknowledged as a suitable form of

- 32 -

punishment in the homes. They said if it's going to be 1 2 used therapeutically no-one should be kept in secure for 3 longer than two days unless gratified by a Committee 4 consisting of a non-departmental person and psychologist. Then they recommended a series of rules setting out the 5 6 rights of children in detention and that was good, that 7 was good, they listened to what Pauline Tapp had said, she was a lawyer, she made submissions to them, and this 8 led to the drafting of the Children's Young Persons and 9 Their Families (Residential Care) Regulations in 1986 and 11.11 10 11 1987. I think at last, we felt that after a 7 year 12 campaign by ACORD, Tamatoa, that the worse abuses in 13 punishments were over.

14 And just as a rather rye note, would say when Robin 15 Wilson was interviewed a few years later by Bronwyn Dalley who was writing a history of the department, for 16 17 years Robin Wilson had rejected every statement I ever 18 made, said I was making stuff up, in the end he was 19 quoted by Bronwyn as saying, "some of it was pretty indefensible. I guess the Department shouldn't have 11.11 20 21 allowed it to happen. With hindsight, a lot of what ACORD said was right". 22

23 So, I suppose that was in 1999 a sort of blatant 24 admission but offered no comfort to the thousands of 25 children who had gone through and suffered those 26 conditions.

I understand, Dr Sutherland, that ACORD was also made 27 Q. aware of what was happening at Lake Alice at that time 28 29 and you also had some involvement in advocacy in relation to those cases. Could we turn, this is at paragraph 66, 11.12 30 just to tell us about your involvement with that work? 31 The Adolescent Unit at Lake Alice, which was for the 32 Α. 33 criminal insane adults, it had an Adolescent Unit opened 34 in 72 administered by the Palmerston North Hospital

- 33 -

1 board, not far from Whanganui.

2

3

4

5

6

7

12

13

14

We first learnt of the existence of it and the psychiatrist Dr Leeks who ran it when a Department of Education psychologist, Lynn Fry, came to us and approached us about the case of Hake. Hake is here, he is here today and he knows I'm going to talk about his case.

8 A Commission of Inquiry eventually was setup into 9 his case and it led to major investigations of Dr Leeks' 11.13 10 unit and the use and misuse of electroconvulsive 11 instrumentation and electroconvulsive therapy.

> So, I want to talk about Hake's case. It was the case that blew open the whole dreadful story of what was happening at Lake Alice,

15 Hake came to New Zealand aged 6 from Niue with his grandmother. He got into a bit of trouble for 16 17 shoplifting leading to Children's Court and he was made a 18 State Ward and placed in the Owairaka Boys' Home. And from there, he was sent to the Lake Alice Adolescent Unit 19 11.14 20 when he was 13. Within a week, he had received three 21 electroconvulsive therapy treatments within the first week and over the next 8 months he received a further 22 23 five treatments. Hake later described the ECT treatments 24 to me, he explained that while sometimes he was sedated, given an anaesthetic before the shock, on several 25 occasions he had it straight, that is the word that was 26 27 used when you have it without any anaesthetic. He wrote to his mother and said 'It hurts when I have it. Dr 28 29 Leeks said you get this for having done this and that 11.15 30 wrong. They did this to punish me'.

At the time, nobody explained to Hake's primary caregiver, his grandmother, who needed a Niue interpreter, or to his parents where he was being taken to. They never knew he went to Lake Alice or what might

- 34 -

happen to him when he got there. The first they knew was when he wrote a letter home written in Niue and it said, "I have been given electric shock by the people Mum. The pain is very bad."

So, we publicised the case, front page news it was 5 6 at the time, because nobody knew that this stuff was 7 happening. And a Magistrate William Mitchell was appointed to undertake an Inquiry. And during that 8 Inquiry, there was a teacher at Lake Alice called Anna 9 Natusch and she gave evidence. She taught Hake for a 11.16 10 year at Lake Alice and, as I wrote to Sir Guy Powles, she 11 12 really blew the whole thing wide open. We had heard the 13 psychiatrist Dr Leeks give a super smooth story about the place, and that was really his story about the 14 15 therapeutic use the ECT, the value of it. On the other hand, Ms Natusch gave details with names of ECT used for 16 17 punishment and she gave as an example Hake, he got six 18 Ds, you got Ds for bad behaviour, six Ds for bad behaviour earnt an ECT. This wasn't therapy, this was 19 punishment. And injections were used as threats of 11.17 20 punishment or they might be locked because Lake Alice 21 22 unit had a solitary confinement cell that the children 23 could be locked up there.

In Mitchell's report, Robert Ludbrook who is here 24 today was our lawyer and he focused on the issue of 25 26 consent but there was no consent. And in the end, 27 Mitchell in his report equivocated, the question was whether the shocks were administered with or without 28 29 authority. Mitchell said it's not easy to find out in a straightforward way whether ECT was administered with or 11.17 30 without authority in the first period. When the boy had 31 more ECT later, Mitchell did say there was no express 32 33 authority given by the family or by the Social Welfare officer for ECT to be administered. 34

- 35 -

But then Mitchell went on to say, to let them off the hook by saying I consider the hospital was entitled to imply in all the circumstances that the treatment should continue if the need arose for it.

Looking back on it from a distance, Hake's case 5 6 exemplified everything that was worst of institutional 7 racism prevalent in the 1960s and 1970s. Hake and his grandmother who had formally adopted him at birth, they 8 came in 67, they couldn't speak English, they weren't 9 familiar with the social and government processes, they 11.18 10 11 went to Court. There were no interpreters in those days. 12 From the moment of their arrival in New Zealand from 13 Niue, their encounters with the education, Police, Social Welfare, were all characterised by misunderstanding and a 14 15 lack of understanding which culminated in Mitchell's report which demonstrated the glaring failure of various 16 17 institutions that dealt with Hake and his grandmother. 18 Those institutions, their failure to understand the cultural divide between themselves of this troubled 19 Niuean family. Later on Anna Natusch wrote about this in 11.19 20 21 a memoir. She taught at Lake Alice for a year, "By the 22 time I had seen out my teaching term at Lake Alice, I was 23 to gain an insight into Nazism. It is one thing to call an episode in medical history 'a medical experiment' and 24 another thing to tolerate downright cruelty, such as I 25 26 saw occurring in the psychiatric situation at the Lake 27 Alice Adolescent Unit. ECT to be administered without anaesthetic upon children as a form of aversion therapy, 28 29 is a horrifying episode in New Zealand medical history". Thank you, Dr Sutherland. I just wonder, turning to our 11.20 30 Q. Chair, I know we're slightly ahead of time but if this 31 32 might be an appropriate moment to take the morning 33 adjournment?

34 CHAIR: Yes, I think this is a good time to do that

- 36 -

because there are other specific instances in the
 brief. Madam Registrar, can we take the morning
 adjournment, please?

Hearing adjourned from 11.20 a.m. until 11.40 a.m.

7 MS SPELMAN:

4

5

6

8 Q. Dr Sutherland, just before the break you had shared with 9 us the powerful testimony in relation to your work. I am 11.39 10 conscious you have some other case studies in your brief 11 but in the interests of time and the other material we 12 are going to cover, can you pull out some of the key 13 points you want to make in relation to some of the other 14 case studies?

15 Yes, sure. The publicity that was given to Hake's case Α. 16 brought forward other parents and children to talk to me. 17 There were two boys who I spoke to and I'll summarise the 18 most significant information that they gave me, and it related, they both were given ECT. In neither case, were 19 the parents consulted. But Hake had had that. 11.39 20 What these boys told me was the use of the ECT equipment for 21 punishment. I will just describe what one boy said. He 22 23 said, "The nurses used to put us all in the dayroom after 24 school on Fridays. They called out the boys whose names were written on a bit of paper. They were the kids who 25 had played up or been naughty, like not listening to the 26 27 House Masters. They were taken to the medical room and the electrodes were placed on either side of their knees. 28 29 They were given a shock as punishment. We could hear 11.40 30 them scream. I knew two to three boys who had it."

This is the electrodes which are used on the temples
for the therapeutic delivery of ECT were being used on
the legs to give shocks for absconding or whatever.
A second boy said that that had happened to him. He

- 37 -

1 had had the shocks as punishment. He was one of the ones 2 who have had it on the legs. His account to me was the 3 first time that it had been expressed in detail. His name was called out and he was taken to the medical room. 4 "They sat me on a chair. I watched them plug in the 5 6 machine. They put the electrodes, one on each side of my 7 knee. They gave me a shock, turned it off and on. Ιt jolts you out of the chair. The chair fell over and I 8 rolled around on the floor until they turned it off. I 9 got it twice on the knee, once for whistling at one of 11.41 10 the nurses and once for smoking". 11

That boy also had ECT on the head as a punishment. 12 13 Now, according to his account, it was administered straight by the nurses without any medical supervision, 14 15 "I was fighting with another boy, play fighting. The nurses took me to their office to talk to me. They took 16 17 me the medical room. They told me they were going to 18 give it to me for fighting. It was on Good Friday, I remember it was a holiday and Dr Leeks wasn't there. No 19 doctor was there". 11.42 20

> So, what it shows is there was no medical supervision, there was no pretense that this was therapy. It was just the use of some shocks for punishment.

Later on, we complained to Dr Mirams who was the 24 Director of Health and he got an investigation underway 25 26 with an Auckland lawyer with Gordon Vial who was the 27 inspector of the mental hospitals. They did believe that possibly there was some criminal behaviour involved in 28 what we called torture of the children. But in the end 29 the Police investigated and nothing came of it, though I 11.43 30 think, and I hope, it's still an ongoing story. 31

32 Meanwhile, Sir Guy Powles, he launched an 33 investigation into another boy's case and I won't go 34 through that but simply say that what Sir Guy said was

⊥⊥.

21

22 23

- 38 -

"there is considerable evidence that both medical and 1 2 psychiatric procedures were imposed on the by against his 3 will without his consent or consent of his parents or the social workers responsible for his guardianship". 4

Taking in all of the boy's circumstances, Sir Guy concluded, paragraph 94, "the cumulative effect of a 7 number of the actions and decisions of officers of the Departments of Health and Social Welfare was, in my opinion, to cause the boy a grave injustice."

5 6

8 9

Now, that report was never made public. You got it 11.44 10 because I got it and I've passed it on to you but it was 11 12 never made public, although Sir Guy, his summary of his 13 report was made public. But again it was denied by the Minister of Social Welfare or Health at the time. 14 By 15 1978, enough publicity had come out for the unit to be closed and Dr Leeks in 1977 packed up and went to 16 17 Australia.

18 I just want to finish my story about Lake Alice by referring to Sir Rodney Gallen's report. In 1999, there 19 was a class action, Hake was part of it, and Helen Clark 11.44 20 21 gave an apology. What Gallen wrote in his report, which again I don't know if it was made public, he said he 22 23 could have just dolled out the money but rather he read the stories and listened to the stories of the children 24 who had been through Lake Alice. He heard "statement 25 26 after statement of the pain associated with the 27 administration of ECT, of the screaming which was plainly audible to other children in the unit when ECT was being 28 administered and the sight of those who were to receive 29 11.45 30 the treatment being dragged screaming and struggling upstairs to the room where the treatment was carried 31 out." 32

33 I wrote Gallen was left aghast because he said, "ECT 34 delivered in circumstances such as I've described could

- 39 -

1 not possibly be referred to as therapy. And when 2 administered to defenceless children can only be 3 described as outrageous in the extreme. The best summary I can make is the children lived in a state of extreme 4 5 fair and hopelessness". 6 It was, if ever there was to be a judgement on what 7 happened at Lake Alice between 1972 and 1978, that was it. 8 I am conscious, Chair, just for the benefit of those 9 Q. watching, that the Lake Alice evidence we're hearing 11.46 10 today from Dr Sutherland is fairly limited but given we 11 12 are at our Contextual Hearing, just to note this is 13 something the Commission will be returned to in later 14 investigations. CHAIR: Yes, we know that, thank you. 15 16 MS SPELMAN: Thank you, Sir. 17 Dr Sutherland, I know you also wanted to share with us Q. 18 today the work that you did in relation to children who were remanded into adult prisons but I will just check 19 with you if there was anything else in relation that Lake 11.46 20 21 Alice before we move on to the next topic? 22 Α. No. Okay. Turning then to the practice of children being 23 Q. 24 remanded into adult prisons, I understand that was 25 another area ACORD worked. Can you tell us how you came to be involved? 26 27 Α. As soon as we got involved with children in the Courts in 28 Auckland particularly they were being remanded in Police 29 custody, and if they were then they ended up in Mt Eden 11.47 30 prison in the remand wing. Not everybody would be able to remember how dreadful Mt Eden Prison was in that way. 31 32 The remand wing was probably the worse. And I publicised 33 a case at the time of a boy called Arapeta, 15 year old arrested on several charges of house breaking. When he 34

- 40 -

1 was finally sentenced, he'd been remanded and re-remanded 2 to Mt Eden prison on four successive occasions by four 3 different Magistrates for a total of almost four weeks. 4 He shared a cell with a 19 year old alleged rapist, mixed 5 freely in the showers and lavatory block with remand and 6 sentence prisoners and mixed with prisoners under the age 7 of 21. There were no separate facilities for children.

8 And we thought, we drew that case to the Royal 9 Commission sitting at the time Sir Justice Beattie and we 11.48 10 challenged anyone to deny this boy was not subject to 11 cruel and unusual punishment.

Guy Powles started an Inquiry into what was 12 13 happening to the children in prison. There were many 14 cases that were made public but in the end, he didn't 15 make it public because he retired and the Ombudsman who came after him wouldn't but we did release a draft report 16 17 that we were given in confidence because it contained 18 factual material that we thought was important people should know what Sir Guy had found. 19

In particular, we were interested in the figures that he gave in relation to the remanding of children, Maori children to Mt Eden prison.

23 The Justice Department picked up on this and then 24 they published their own report written by Mel Smith who went on to become Secretary of Justice and Ombudsman. 25 He 26 gave some more revealing statistics. I won't go into his 27 report other than to say that he showed one boy was held on remand in an adult prison for 44 days and another one 28 29 for 71 days. The boy held for 44 days was ultimately sentenced to probation. He didn't get a custodial 11.50 30 sentence. And when Mel Smith investigated the figures, 31 the number of children incarcerated in the prisons had 32 33 gone up to 356, 63% being Maori.

34 I want to just conclude this section by talking

- 41 -

about some cases that we drew to attention of the new
 Minister of Justice Geoffrey Palmer because we thought
 that Palmer might do what Dr Finlay had never done, which
 was to address this issue squarely and sensitively.

So, there were four cases. I will skim through them 5 6 quickly. One was a boy in Kaitaia, a distant Court from 7 Auckland with a Judge who went round every month. He was in Court for - a State ward, he was in Court for a 8 relatively minor offence, remanded for 4.5 weeks, given 9 bail, except his mother couldn't come up with the bail, 11.51 10 so nobody did, so he was shipped off to Mt Eden from 11 12 Kaitaia for the 4.5 weeks that he would have to wait 13 until the Judge went back to Kaitaia and he went go back 14 up to the Kaitaia for the case to be heard.

I found him in Mt Eden Prison. By this stage I was
allowed into Mt Eden, the superintendent would let me go
in and see any of the boys in there from the Children's
Court because they didn't particularly want the children
in Mt Eden anymore. So, I went in and saw the boy,
George, I found him a lawyer and we got him out on bail
straight away.

There was another boy, Robert, I saw a week later. 22 23 We got a lawyer, Ross France, who represented him. I 24 wanted to quote from what Ross France wrote in an affidavit, "Robert told me he had been on remand in 25 Mt Eden for the previous week. He was most upset about 26 27 the possibility of having to return there. He said he had been stood over by a number of older inmates who had 28 tried to force him to commit sexual acts on him and then 29 assaulted him on a number of occasions when he refused to 11.52 30 comply with their demands. They took his clothing, 31 32 leaving him without enough to keep warm. He was agitated 33 and threatened to commit suicide. He said if he had to 34 return to Mt Eden he would get another razor blade and

- 42 -

1 would kill himself".

2

3

4

There was another boy called Paul. I will use his example to exemplify how disgusting the situations were that these children were held in.

He was not able to wash his clothes, so by the time 5 6 he had his second Court appearance he spent two weeks in 7 the same underwear, jeans, sweatshirt but it was worse than the cell. Aged 15, he was considerably younger than 8 9 the cell mates that he shared with, two of whom were 18 and two were 19. Being so much younger he "hated the 11.53 10 toilet bucket and held onto his shit during the 14.5 11 12 period he was locked in his cell from 4.30 p.m. to 6.00 13 a.m. and then he went to the lavatory in the exercise yard with adult remand prisoners because I didn't like 14 15 doing it in front of my cellmate".

16 And they had to eat in the cells, they had a plastic
17 bucket that they shared.

18 The last case was a boy called Spencer. He suffered all those same things but he got into a fight and Mt Eden 19 Prison had a punishment cell for remand prisoners, mostly 11.53 20 21 for adult remand prisoners, it was called The Well. It was under the floor. It entailed 23 hours solitary 22 23 confinement in one day. So, 23 hours solitary confinement but to add to the punishment his mattress was 24 taken from the cell each morning and given back at 4.00 25 in the afternoon, leaving him to lie or sit on the bare 26 bed or floor all day with one comic to read, he was 27 allowed no visitors. 28

29 And we wrote to the Minister and said this 11.54 30 constituted barbaric and intolerable punishment, 31 especially for a boy of his age.

32 Within a week, Geoffrey Palmer setup an Inquiry and 33 requested Judge Augusta Wallace inquired into the four 34 cases and others, she went to the prison, she looked at

- 43 -

the punishment cell, The Well, and in the end she 1 2 concluded, she accepted the evidence given by the boys. 3 She was critical of the failure of Social Welfare, I mean these were State wards, they were supposed to come under 4 the purview of the Department of Social Welfare. 5 Thev 6 never saw a social worker. 7 Can I ask, Dr Sutherland, in your time when you were Q. 8 visiting these boys and effectively facilitating legal 9 representation, were there any social workers that you saw or knew of going into the prison? 11.55 10 None. They didn't see the Chaplin, they didn't see the 11 Α. 12 social worker, Maori Affairs, I don't know whether they 13 had social workers, Ngati Whangai might have assisted 14 then, they saw nobody, they saw nobody. In fact, I 15 arranged bail for some. They were bailable, one of them was, I got him out because I signed the surety. That's 16 17 what Judge Wallace was very critical of, the Department 18 of Social Welfare's failure to assist the boys even though three of them were State wards. She singled out 19 the toilet facilities with particular criticism. Every 11.56 20 21 boy told her how much they hated to use a plastic bucket 22 in a shared cell. For the adolescents, she wrote, "The 23 use of a potty is an embarrassing and degrading experience". She noted there was no running water in the 24 cells in the remand cells at Mt Eden, nowhere for the 25 26 children or the remand prisoners, but these were 27 children, to wash their hands. And then of course, she accepted Robert's evidence 28 that he had been sexually harassed and assaulted. She 29 went on to say new inmates were subject to a degree of 11.56 30 intimidation or stand-over tactics by the older more 31 32 experienced remandees. She went on to say in Mel Smith's 33 report, he found in his statistics that there were

34 children of the age of 13, boys and girls aged 13 who

- 44 -

were remanded in one or other of the country's prisons, 1 2 perhaps not Mt Eden but maybe Mt Crawford or Addington. 3 Judge Wallace said she agreed with everybody that 4 children should not be remanded in Mt Eden anymore and she suggested from that moment they should be held at the 5 6 secure facility at Weymouth Girl's Home and Geoffrey 7 Palmer agreed with her recommendations and passed them 8 on.

But it would be another 5 years until, that was in 9 84, justice Wallace's report, not until 1989, with the 11.57 10 passage of the Oranga Tamariki Act that the detention of 11 12 under 17 year olds actually came to an end, was statutory 13 ended. 17 years after John Hippolite and me of the Nelson Maori Committee had started our campaign in 1970. 14

15 I want to conclude, and I am concluding now, with something that was brought to my attention just a week 16 17 ago. You are not the first Royal Commission to look into 18 the mistreatment of children. There was a Royal Commission in 1900 into the Stoke Industrial School in 19 Nelson, turangawaewae. It was run by the Catholic 11.58 20 21 Church. 224 boys from aged 9-15 years. Now, a Royal Commission was established because some people from the 22 23 Nelson community complained about the treatment of the children in the Stoke institution. And particularly they 24 complained about the flogging which was administered as 25 26 thrashing on the hands with a piece of supplejack. In 27 some cases the Commission said with great severity, up to 40 strokes, 20 on each hand. Previously, there had been 28 29 flogging on the body which verged on cruelty. Then there was the flogging. Then the cell punishment, the children 11.59 30 were locked in solitary confinement in a totally bare 31 32 tiny dingy cell with just a tin potty for periods ranging 33 from 3 days to 3 months. Does it sound familiar? This 34 was 1900. And then during this confinement, the

- 45 -

thrashing on the hand would continue. Then there were 1 2 lengthy periods of painful kneeling. We've heard about 3 lengthy periods of cutting the lawns of the Owairaka Boys' Home with a pair of shears and there was inadequate 4 clothing. So, 75 years later, that was 1900, 75 years 5 6 later I am reminded of the canings, strappings and the 7 flogging at the Owairaka Boys' Home and the solitary confinement. I am reminded of the fact that nothing 8 changed; it was the Catholic Church in 1900 and it was 9 the State in 1975. 11.59 10

Il I leave all this with you. I just hope that my submission will provide a backdrop against which the testimonies that you are going to hear from the children that I have been talking about, incarcerated in these institutions in the 70s and 80s and before, I want that to be a backdrop which you can view them.

17 I've given a bigger picture, I hope, which I hope 18 will validate their stories and just give an indication of the scale of the injustices and the scale of the abuse 19 and the scale of the racism that was the hallmark of 12.00 20 those institutions in the 70s and 80s. Kia ora. 21 Kia ora, Dr Sutherland. Chair, I don't have any further 22 Q. 23 questions for Dr Sutherland. I have had some discussion with my learned friend Ms Skyes who may have some 24 25 questions.

26 CHAIR: Thank you. Ms Skyes, do you wish to ask 27 Dr Sutherland some questions?

MS SKYES: Since Mr Sutherland's evidence was made available to us, there's a number here from Nga Tamatoa who were involved that contacted me. So, when we got the brief on Sunday we were fortunate to have the assistance of Professor Galsy who was also very much involved in the report and I have now managed to get some original documents, some of

- 46 -

which were referred to by the witness, some haven't 1 2 been. What we would like to do is have those 3 placed on the record of Inquiry for your future use 4 and your leisure. They are quite fragile, they are originals, so we thank the Commission staff for 5 6 photographing them for us. They haven't been made 7 available for other counsel yet but if we are going to use them, that's an appropriate first step. 8

I will turn to, we can't thank Oliver enough 9 for the work he's done for Maori. He has been 12.02 10 fearless and courageous ever since he and John 11 12 Hippolite began their journey for justice and we 13 hope the information he's brought will be assisted by the information that others have collated for 14 15 this Commission. He is an inspiration for the 16 kinds of justices that Nga Tamatoa, certainly today 17 we are represented by Rebecca Evans and Hilda 18 Tarawira and Donna Matahaere-Atariki, they have come here to stand in solidity with him and we 19 can't again say enough for his efforts to ensure 12.02 20 that Maori children are treated with respect and as 21 the taonga that they are. If I could make that 22 23 statement at this time and ask, there is a yellow 24 folder which will ultimately be placed on the record for everybody's perusal. 25 Thank you. Ms Spelman, do you have a view about 26 CHAIR: 27 the proposal made by Ms Skyes that you would like to offer? 28 MS SPELMAN: Yes, Sir. I support that and have had some 29 discussions with Ms Skyes about those documents 12.03 30 which I have now handed to Madam Registrar and I 31 can make those available electronically to the 32

33 other counsel, Sir.

34 CHAIR: Thank you. Are there any other counsel wishing

- 47 -

to cross-examine? No. There being nothing, may I then ask my colleagues, are there any questions any would like to have of Dr Sutherland? * * *

- 48 -

	1		
	2		DR OLIVER SUTHERLAND
	3		QUESTIONED BY COMMISSIONERS
	4		
	5		
	6	COMM	ISSIONER ERUETI: I have a couple of brief
	7		questions. One, I wanted to ask about Lake Alice
	8		and the work that ACORD carried out, the
	9		investigative work they carried out during that
12.04	10		time. It seemed from your brief of evidence that a
	11		large number of the children that ended up in Lake
	12		Alice were coming from residences, social homes in
	13		the area. I think you speak of Hokio and
	14		Holdsworth and Owairaka. Was that a pattern that
	15		you saw through your investigation?
	16	Α.	It was. I didn't highlight it too much in what I said
	17		but certainly from, I mean they came from Owairaka, the
	18		psychiatric hospitals in Auckland but they sent them down
	19		to that unit at Lake Alice, and from Hokio, Owairaka,
12.05	20		Epuni, I am sure if you talked to children from some of
	21		those other institutions, they knew that going to Lake
	22		Alice was a possibility. In fact, I think it's in my
	23		main evidence. Dr Leeks visited some of the homes and
	24		saw some children and I think that was a bit of a pathway
	25		for them through to his unit.
	26	COMM	ISSIONER ERUETI: Yes, you do refer to that in your
	27		evidence. I wondered whether, in your experience
12.05	28		in your work with ACORD, whether these children
	29		were sent to Lake Alice specifically because they
	30		were difficult, the homes characterise them as
	31		being too difficult to handle, to control, and the
	32		move was to punish them?
	33	Α.	I think that could have been the case. I mean, most
	34		children did not go to Lake Alice, the naughty ones, the

- 49 -

1 ones alleged to be naughty. So that, there was something 2 about the behaviour of the ones who ended up at Lake 3 Alice. Maybe they were just too difficult to control, 4 maybe they ran away, they just ran away too often. It's hard to know what was going through the minds of the 5 6 managers of those welfare homes and their interaction 7 with the Health Department but I think it must have been the case that the staff at Owairaka, they would know, 8 well, if he's that bad let's send him down to Lake Alice 9 and Dr Leeks will fix them. I mean, I think it was 12.06 10 probably as crude as that. 11

12 COMMISSIONER ERUETI: Kia ora. A question about the -13 it's at the beginning of the pipeline as you describe it, and your work is mostly from the 1970s 14 on until mid 1980s, it seemed from your experience 15 it was mostly that first point of contact where 16 17 children are being apprehended is by the Police. I 18 am trying to get a sense of the context. So, you have emphasised that disproportionate with the 19 majority cases of Maori being apprehended and 12.07 20 21 brought before the Courts. The context is Maori in 22 an urban setting after being shifted from the 23 tribal area. In an urban setting, there seems that there is a vigilant Social Welfare service in 24 apprehending children in the 1960s. And then in 25 26 the 70s and 80s we see a shift towards the Police 27 apprehending children. Is that how you would characterise the context? 28

A. A bit of a complicated question, I think. What was
happening, was children could end up at Owairaka any time
day or night. If the cops picked them up because they
were wandering around town, they might deliver them there
if they were a State Ward. Or if they thought they were
into some misbehaviour, then they would pick them up and

- 50 -

1 then they would make that decision whether to prosecute
2 them or not.

3 I mean, so I think there was always the option for children to end up in the Social Welfare homes in the 50s 4 and 60s. But you're saying in the 70s, maybe there was 5 6 more, it was more a result of the Police and sort of 7 misdemeanour pathway. That might be the case, I don't know. You'd need to talk to people who knew more about 8 9 what was happening actually in terms of the entrance into the Social Welfare because a lot of the children in those 12.08 10 homes, they were not there because they were young and 11 12 criminal, they were there because they were alleged to be 13 not under proper care and protection, NUPC or whatever it 14 was. Perhaps the majority were like that. They were all 15 jumbled in together. It didn't matter. This is the 1900 Royal Commission and that was one of the issues back then 16 17 that was being complained about, was that at that Stoke 18 school kids from the Courts and kids whose parents had died or something were all jumbled in together and all 19 12.09 20 got the same treatment. COMMISSIONER ERUETI: You have a clear mixing of 21

22 children from the Care and Protection and those 23 with the Justice background in homes?

24 A. There were, yes.

25 COMMISSIONER ERUETI: Can I also ask about ACORD's 26 investigation into the homes about - you explained 27 to us about abuse and neglect that you recorded but 28 the circumstances that allowed this to happen. For 29 example, oversight, accountability.

A. What I haven't talked about today or in my main submission much, was for instance at Owairaka, the majority of the staff were ex-Army and we found advertisements for staff for House Masters at Owairaka that said a Military background would be a benefit. And

- 51 -

	1		the whole place was run on Military lines. They got
	2		these people who were probably thrown out of the Army and
	3		they became a House Master at Owairaka, so that there was
	4		a culture amongst the House Masters of punitive
	5		Militaristic ways of dealing with children. These were
	6		children who were distressed. It was the last, sort of,
	7		treatment that they needed and the nodding system was
	8		probably the ultimate example of it. Speech was not
	9		allowed.
12.10	10	COMM	ISSIONER ERUETI: Kia ora. It seems also that
	11		throughout the 1970s that you have a shortage of
	12		beds in homes in Auckland?
	13	Α.	Yes.
	14	COMM	ISSIONER ERUETI: Vast numbers of children coming in
	15		and high turn over.
	16	A.	Yes.
	17	COMM	ISSIONER ERUETI: Can you explain the circumstances
	18		that were leading to this?
	19	Α.	Well, there were just too many children coming in. I
12.11	20		mean, again I can't remember if it's included in my main
	21		evidence but at the Girls' Home, they ran out of secure
	22		cells and so they used the art room and they would cram
	23		up to 7 or 8, they just dragged in mattresses. The art
	24		room could be locked, so it was a sort of standard, it
	25		was an extra secure cell but there could be 6 girls in
	26		there and one toilet bucket because it was an art room,
	27		it didn't have a built in lavatory or anything, so they
	28		just had a bucket and they would be kept in there.
	29		So, the homes were swamped because what was
12.11	30		happening, I suppose, was that the Courts were busy
	31		channelling the children off to the welfare homes and the
	32		Social Welfare just had to take them. They couldn't -
	33		unless they were bailed but if they were State wards and
	34		there was nowhere for them to go, they had to go to the

- 52 -

homes. So, if it was crowded, it was simply because the numbers were too great and the facilities were too limited. If you were going to lock up kids, I don't advocate that at all but, I mean, if you're going to, you need enough cells I suppose and they just ran out of cells.
COMMISSIONER ERUETI: Kia ora.

8 CHAIR: Thank you, Dr Erueti.

Thank you, Dr Sutherland, you've 9 COMMISSIONER GIBSON: 12.12 10 painted a pretty comprehensive picture of what's gone on over the years and how, I suppose, 11 12 underwhelming the response has been at times when 13 the issues have come to light. The challenge about 14 some Inquiries which exonerated people, Inquiries 15 that have become weak kneed and it is a challenge for us to act on this. 16

17 You made the comment, I think, around Lake Alice's justice issues still would be resolved. In the mix of 18 things, a failure of a number of individuals, systems 19 failures, practice of the day, what kind of things do you 12.13 20 21 still think need to come out of this and be resolved? For Lake Alice, there's got to be an Inquiry. There's 22 Α. 23 never been an Inquiry. Into this case or that case or 24 whatever, the comprehensive picture of what happened, how were staff allowed to give shock treatment to children 25 with no doctor there? Use the electrodes on the head? 26 27 How did that happen? These are the unanswered questions. Where are the bloody staff? They're still alive, some of 28 29 them, these people. I think Dr Leeks is still alive. Ι think Dr Morims might be. Some of the nurses, they were 12.14 30 called. That's what needs to happen. I mean, it's not 31 32 your job to do it, it's the job of a specific Inquiry 33 into a terrible, terrible period in the treatment of children in the country. 34

- 53 -

1 COMMISSIONER GIBSON: Thank you.

		2
	2	COMMISSIONER ALOFIVAE: Dr Sutherland, thank you very,
	3	very much for the meticulous way in which you've
	4	outlined some of those examples and the details
	5	around numbers, in particular around Maori. I'd
	6	like to ask you some questions around the Pasifika
	7	numbers if that's possible. You made the comment
	8	earlier that actually they weren't well recorded or
	9	they might have been lumped in with Tauiwi and
12.15	10	maybe with Maori as well?
	11	A. Yes, when you look at the Justice Department's
	12	statistics, I have a copy here, there is no mention of
	13	Pacific children at all, absolutely none. So, you can't,
	14	we weren't able to get any picture of the scale of the
	15	impact of these punishments or treatments on Pacific
	16	children.
	17	COMMISSIONER ALOFIVAE: Okay. But the fact that you had
	18	the fabulous support of both Nga Tamatoa and
	19	Polynesian Panthers give us a great sense of hope
12.15	20	there was a lot of activity going on back in the
	21	day. Because you said they were also monitoring
	22	the work around what was going on?
	23	A. Yeah, that's right. We did and I don't know, sort of,
	24	where all of that detail ended up. What I do know is in
	25	a number of the case histories there are Rarotongan
	26	children, Samoan children, Pakeha, Nuean, plenty of
	27	Pacific children in the system at that time. But in
	28	terms of you're asking me to quantify it, you know I
	29	can't do that and that would be a whole research topic in
12.16	30	itself, probably something that should be done.
	31	COMMISSIONER ALOFIVAE: Thank you. And Hake and his
	32	family, at para 73, you've summed it up really well
	33	and it's my sense actually that that was the
	34	experience of many Pacific early arrivers in

- 54 -

New Zealand around the disjointed system and lack 1 2 of appreciation around the cultural divide between 3 things Pakeha and things Pacific. Was that your 4 sense also of the young Pacific people that were coming through into your purview? 5 6 Α. Well, it was and particularly for their parents. The 7 '70s, as you know, was a time of arrival from the Pacific and the Pacific communities were growing but there was no 8 9 evidence that any of the systems wanted to acknowledge that or understand the particular needs, so there were no 12.17 10 interpreters in the Courts. Interpreters didn't come in, 11 12 you will see that in my main submission, we did a big 13 sort of campaign to get interpreters in the Courts but 14 that didn't come in until the late 70s. So, with cases 15 like Hake's, I used that as an example because the education system didn't understand him. He wasn't 16 17 stupid, far from it. And none of the systems understood 18 him and they couldn't communicate with his main caregiver who was his grandmother. Mitchell spoke to his parents 19 and said there's no need, and complained I was making a 12.17 20 fuss about interpreters and Mitchell said no need because 21 I can talk to the parents. The point was Hake's 22 23 caregiver was his grandmother, there was no understanding 24 of that. COMMISSIONER ALOFIVAE: Thank you. I want to refer to 25

26 your table in your para 71 which is where you 27 highlighted the number of 116,595 of which 41% of those children were Maori. This might be a 28 29 sensitive question and please feel free you don't have to answer but can I flip it? Had those 12.18 30 children, because you made the comment that 31 32 irrespective of who was in government it seemed 33 that nobody really cared or wanted to take up the 34 travesty of the statistics you were putting before

- 55 -

	1	them. Had those kids been of what I would refer to
	2	as Palangi decent or European decent, do you think
	3	you might have had a different response?
	4	A. I'm sure we would have, I'm sure we would have. The
	5	point was, these figures were not, stark as they are,
	6	they were not a secret. They were published by the
	7	government themselves. Anybody could have bloody well
	8	analysed them and done the analysis we did and thought
	9	what are the implications of this? So, you're right, if
12.19	10	the thing was flipped the other way, I'm sure there would
	11	have been an outcry.
	12	COMMISSIONER ALOFIVAE: Thank you, Dr Sutherland, no
	13	further questions.
	14	COMMISSIONER SHAW: Dr Sutherland, I have no question
	15	for you but I was struck by the fact that you said
	16	at the beginning of your evidence that no-one is
	17	looking and I want to thank you for looking. Thank
	18	you.
	19	A. Thank you.
12.19	20	CHAIR: Thank you, Dr Sutherland. The example shown by
	21	your tenacity over the years is remarkable. Thank
	22	you.
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	* * *
	27	
	28	
	29	
	30	
	31	
	32	
	33	
	34	

- 56 -

	1		
	2		SIR KIM WORKMAN - AFFIRMED
	3		EXAMINED BY MS SPELMAN
	4		
	5		
	6	CHAI	R: Good afternoon, Sir Kim. In terms of the
	7		Inquiries Act, I'm required on behalf of the
	8		Commission to ask you (witness affirmed).
	9	MS S	PELMAN:
12.21	10	Q.	Sir Kim, before we begin, if I could just ask you to
	11		check in the folder before you, we have a copy of the
	12		brief that you've prepared for the Commission which I
	13		understand is signed on the last page?
	14	A.	Yes, I do.
	15	Q.	And could you confirm the statement is correct, to the
	16		best of your knowledge and belief?
	17	Α.	Yes.
	18	Q.	Thank you. Sir Kim, if we could start, I know you will
	19		be well-known to some here, but just with some details
12.21	20		about yourself?
	21	Α.	(Speaks in Te Reo Maori). Now, well I've had the good
	22		fortune to wander about the public sector for many years
	23		in a range of occupations, each of which has provided a
	24		different perspective.
	25		I joined the Police in 1958 as a Police Cadet and
	26		apart from a 3 year absence between 1962 and 1965, I
	27		remained in the Police until 1976. For 8 of those years
	28		I was a Youth Aid Officer and in 1972 I was appointed as
	29		a Deputy National Director of Youth Aid, responsible for
12.24	30		training and development.
	31		Between 1976 and 1983, I was a Senior Investigator
	32		in the Ombudsman's office with the primary responsibility
	33		for dealing with complaints from prisoners, psychiatric
	34		patients and complaints against Police.

- 57 -

	1		In 1983, as Manager of the Social Services branch in
	2		the State services Commission, I worked with the late
	3		Rangitihi (John) Rangihau on a review of Matua Whangai
	4		and later as an adviser to the Puao-te-Ata-Tu Committee.
	5		Subsequent positions in the Department of Maori
	6		Affairs between 1986 and 1989, and as Assistant
	7		Secretary, Penal Institutions from 1989 to 1993 provided
	8		further insight into the treatment of children and young
	9		people, their removal into, and abuse, while in
12.25	10		institutional care.
	11	Q.	I want to start, Sir Kim, by asking you about your time
	12		in the Police. As you know, the Police are within the
	13		scope of this Inquiry in terms of abuse in care?
	14	A.	Yes.
	15	Q.	And I understand some of your first experiences were when
	16		you were a Youth Aid Officer visiting Kohitere. Can you
	17		tell us about what you saw and witnessed there?
	18	Α.	Yes, I started visiting Kohitere in 1971 when I was a
	19		Youth Aid Officer. I would spend 3 days a month there
12.26	20		doing group work with the boys who were due for release.
	21		Nothing I had encountered up until that time
	22		prepared me for it. It had been established in 1950 to
	23		rehabilitate male offenders aged between 14 and 17 and
	24		its programme had a strong work focus, mostly centered on
	25		farming and forestry. Some secondary schooling was
	26		available for selective participants but the number of
	27		resident beds had increased from a maximum of 55 in the
	28		1950s to 110 in the early 1970s with about the same
	29		number of staff.
12.27	30		On my first visit, I spent time talking with staff
	31		and noticed immediately a distinct split in philosophy
	32		and approach between the residential social workers and
	33		caregivers, and the farming and forestry instructors.
	34		While it was clear that only a few of the residential

- 58 -

staff were adequately trained, they did talk about their efforts to do so. There were no such pretensions from the farming and forestry staff. They were there to instill good work habits into the offenders, and if that meant "kicking arse" so be it. I wondered why they were so open about their approach and I thought that it might be because I was wearing a uniform.

While officially only the principal could administer 8 9 punishment, I learned that farming and forestry instructors would punish regularly, from booting back 12.28 10 sides and cuffing ears to punching, through to a thorough 11 12 beating. Forestry staff were particularly prone to deal 13 with residents in that way and a forestry camp, which was 14 some distance from the centre, had developed a very macho culture. 15

16 The secure unit operated as a remand prison for 17 those awaiting trial; for those who needed protection, 18 either from self-harm or other residents; or as a 19 punishment facility for bad conduct. But no distinction 12.28 20 was made when it came to their treatment, and some staff 21 regarded the unit primarily as a punishment facility. 22 And its design made that obvious.

Purpose-built in 1967, it accommodated up to 12 boys in individual locked cells, each of which had their own toilet, with a separate staffroom, showers and dining-room. Made of concrete blocks, it was extremely cold and bare, and compared unfavorably with similar facilities in adult prisons.

Boys were regularly left locked in their cells without staff in attendance, and while staff were evasive about the length of time spent in the cells, I was reliably informed that it could be for as long as three months. Undercurrent criteria, the time spent in locked in a secure unit cell would count as solitary

- .

- 59 -

confinement, and the psychological impact would be
 extremely damaging.

3 Sir Kim, in terms of the abuse you have said you were Q. 4 aware of when you were visiting Kohitere and there was some differences between the staff, how widespread was 5 6 the knowledge of such abuse, from your perspective? 7 Oh, it was very widely known. In the evenings when I was Α. 8 there, after the evening meal, I would socialise with the 9 boys and they would tell me their experiences, as would some staff members. It was during that period when there 12.30 10 was very few supervisory staff, that probably, it seemed 11 12 to me, the most unsafe time of the day, in that there was 13 a hierarchy and there was a lot of bullying and stuff 14 going on. You know, I've been in a lot of institutions 15 with adults and it was one of the few times that actually I did feel unsafe. What concerned me in the discussion, 16 17 not only was there considerable difference in the size of 18 the boys, there was some very violent boys there, but that have some of them were sent there for serious 19 crimes, others were minor offenders, while others were 12.31 20 21 sent there for sleeping rough for years and for indulging in what I would call survival related crime, stealing 22 23 food or being unlawfully on enclosed premises. It was 24 clear that some had diagnosable mental illness, while some were locked in the secure unit because they were 25 26 considered to be dangerous. Others misbehaved so they 27 could be sent there to protect themselves from other 28 residents.

29 Q. And other than secure, is it right that the boys you are 12.32 30 talking about, with the different backgrounds, were all 31 in together? There was no separation in terms of how 32 they'd come to be at Kohitere?

A. No, there was no separation. Some were sent there undernot being under properly care or control of their

- 60 -

parents, others were sent there for minor offending. And there was no effort to distinguish between the two groups.

- Q. So, as a young Police Officer visiting this residence and
 witnessing what was going on there, what was your
 reaction to what you saw?
- 7 I became very angry. I was angry that the State could Α. allow such conditions. The conditions were so inhumane 8 9 that they were almost guaranteed to turn vulnerable children and youth into distrusting and sometimes 12.33 10 dangerous adults. Angry that senior public servants and 11 12 policy advisers could have allowed these conditions to 13 continue for so long. Knowing that they were the parties and accomplishes to the creation of criminals. 14 Angry 15 that no-one was concerned that the offenders of today were almost always the victims of yesterday but that the 16 17 moment they were old enough to be held accountable for a 18 criminal act, their history of victimisation and neglect became of no account. It was almost as though the State, 19 having neglected the welfare and needs of children in the 12.34 20 first 12 years of their life, was able, once the child 21 inevitably progressed to committing a criminal act, to 22 23 breathe the collective sigh of relief, reclassify the child as a young offender, and quickly transfer any 24 corporate accountability away from themselves by 25 redesignating it as a personal responsibility and laying 26 27 it on an accountable individual.

Disbelief that the judiciary could send increasing
 numbers of Maori youth to facilities of this kind on the
 grounds it would make a difference.

31 Disbelief that successive Governments have failed to 32 monitor and correct conditions in those same 33 institutions, which were eventually to become a matter of 34 national disgrace and shame.

- 61 -

The other emotion that I felt was guilt. Was there 1 2 anything that I personally could have done to make any 3 difference? And I really didn't realise until that time 4 that what we were doing as individual police officers and Social Welfare workers was contributing to a situation of 5 6 institutional racism. I mean, there were very few Maori 7 staff at Kohitere, I think 5% of the total, whereas about 80-90% of the boys were Maori. And in that institution, 8 there was a total absence of any cultural input into the 9 lives of young Maori people. 12.36 10

11 Secondly, it was very much the situation that it is 12 today in prisons, in the adult prisons, that is you 13 deliver the programme and that was it. Residents were 14 discharged without any ongoing support, often returning 15 home to the same conditions that had contributed to their 16 entry in the first place.

17 And so, during your time visiting Kohitere, what were Q. 18 some of the things that you tried to implement? Well, after visiting for about two or three months, my 19 Α. role was to work in group situations with the young -12.37 20 21 with the boys who were due for release. And so, we had 22 group sessions with these young men, facilitating 23 discussion about the challenges they would face and what resources were available to them in their efforts to stay 24 out of trouble. 25

I had sufficient details about each of them to be
able to refer them to key resources in the communities to
which they returned.

If they were in agreement, I used to hook them up
with a Youth Aid Officer in the area that they were
returning to but only when I considered that the match
was likely to be positive.

33 Part of the pre-release programme involved helping34 Maori youth to cope with what would happen if they become

- 62 -

targets of ethnic profiling and harassment by the Police, 1 2 of which there was sufficient anecdotal evidence at the 3 Those known to have an offending history were time. 4 especially vulnerable and their encounters with the Police often triggered more serious offending, so I used 5 6 to wear a uniform and we role played situations and 7 discussed how they could respond to Police questioning in a civil and respectful way, how to deal with taunts and 8 badgering and how to exercise their civil rights in 9 relation to unreasonable questioning, stop and search 12.39 10 procedures, and unlawful behaviour by officers. The guys 11 12 seemed to enjoy the experience and I made contact with 13 people that I still know and still meet with.

Gary Hermansson was Kohitere's counsellor and he was 14 15 very good at identifying which boys were wanting to 16 change and working with them on those issues. However, 17 introducing tikanga Maori and Te Reo into the institution 18 was much more difficult. I didn't have the necessary 19 skills and Kohitere was strapped for cash. But I did have some contacts within Nga Tamatoa and I contacted, in 12.40 20 21 particular, Rangitihi (John) Tahuparae and his mate Eruera (Ted) Nia and they would come with me to the 22 23 institution and take the boys for sessions in Te Reo and 24 tikanga. John, in particular, was amazing and he would 25 always be able to trace a boy's whakapapa and tribe just by knowing his name. 26

27 The Kohitere staff, however, were less receptive. 28 They were convinced that when the boys practised Te Reo, 29 they were taking the opportunity to make disparaging 12.41 30 remarks about them. There were muttering about evil 31 Maori influences and the presence of radical Maori 32 activists who were, in fact, unpaid volunteers.

The teaching continued until, I think, the end of 1974 and while it may not have helped reduce reoffending,

- 63 -

1 it brought some affirmation, encouragement, into an 2 otherwise drab and grey existence.

3

4

5 6

7

Youth institutions were singularly unsuccessful in reducing youth crime. In fact, they were primarily an intermediary step between the boys' homes and Borstal, and the great majority of those who passed through them ended up in adult prison.

In later years, I thought about why I didn't do more 8 9 to address the situation, to blow the whistle, report on what I knew and call for an investigation. 12.42 10

If it did occur to me, I would have put the idea 11 12 quickly out of contention. The culture was such that I 13 would not have been supported. Moreover, I would have been branded as a "stirrer" and secured my place as an 14 15 outlier within the Police organisation. I was 32 years 16 old, ambitious and not prepared to jeopardise my future. 17 In this regard, I was probably no different from most 18 other police officers and public servants at that time. 19 In later years, I summoned the courage to speak up on issues of injustice and came to know what a 12.43 20 21 self-fulfilling prophecy looks like.

Kia ora. I'd like to return to the topic of Police 22 Q. 23 culture a little later but I just wanted to ask you a bit more about what you've just said in terms of individual 24 police officers and Social Welfare officers contributing 25 to the situation. And just to ask you about what role 26 27 Police played in terms of transporting or facilitating 28 the uplift of children who were taken to these homes. 29 Could you tell us a little bit about the Police role in that situation? 12.44 30

Well, I mean, I think one of the important points here, 31 Α. 32 is that in 1950 there was only one Maori Police Officer 33 in the whole of the Police force. That was Bill Carren 34 who joined the Police in 1920 and was hired as an

- 64 -

1Assistant Commissioner but in order to survive, he was2compelled to put to one side his Maori origins.

3

4

12

13

14

When I joined in 1958, there were 26 Maori police officers and 10 years later there was something like 62.

5 So, there was two things here that were going on. 6 One was the lack of cultural understanding within the 7 Police, and Social Welfare was in the same place. The 8 lack of - Child Welfare Officers often had insufficient 9 training to adequately respond to the issues of child 12.45 10 abuse and neglect and had very little departmental 11 resource.

> The common response was to deal with the issue through a criminal justice paradigm, rather than take a welfare orientated approach.

15 In doing so, they often attempted to involve Police, 16 youth aid officers and uniformed Police in their 17 operational process, which created considerable tension 18 between the two agencies. Child Welfare Officers had a 19 tendency to portray youth aid and the Police as the 12.46 20 "enforcers" when it came time to remove a child from a 21 family, even though they may have initiated the process.

I think the other thing that happened, was that the 22 23 youth aid section's reputation was starting to grow under the single-minded and visionary leadership of inspector 24 Brian Mooney. By 1971, I think, the numbers had grown 25 26 from 15 to 46. In 1971, I was promoted to Sergeant in 27 charge of Youth Aid in Wellington and I could see that too many young people were being brought before the 28 29 Courts charged with comparatively minor offences. There was far too much reliance on an institutionalised 12.47 30 residential approach and the system did not provide for 31 32 whanau or cultural input.

33 Some of those things were pretty worrying. The 34 intent of the legislation at that time was to reduce the

- 65 -

number of referrals to the Children's Court but under the 1 2 system that existed at that time, if the CIB or frontline 3 staff arrested somebody, then they weren't entitled to be 4 considered for diversion, they had to be referred to the Court. So, the common practice was for frontline Police 5 6 and the CIB to arrest children, especially Maori 7 children, so that youth aid couldn't have any part in influencing the direction that they took. 8

9 The other thing that happened, was that the focus was entirely on the individual behaviour of the young 12.48 10 offender and the whanau didn't actually figure in the 11 12 process. The idea of holding a hui with whanau to 13 discuss what happened and work out how to prevent future 14 offending was anathema to most Pakeha police officers. 15 They feared losing total control of the process, failing to realise that the locus for behavioural change did not 16 17 necessarily reside within the individual but with the 18 ongoing commitment of whanau.

And I recall calling a hui in relation to a Samoan 19 offender, a young man, persuading Social Welfare that 12.49 20 21 this was an appropriate thing to do. I knew quite a bit about Maori culture but nothing really about Samoan 22 23 culture. And when we held the hui, 32 people turned up and I found out that the father of the offender was not 24 the main spokesperson for the whanau. And that really 25 put everyone off doing it more in the future. So, you 26 27 know, we had a lot of learning to do.

I'd like to just point out one other feature which I
 think Oliver Sutherland, Dr Sutherland, referred to about
 the Militarism that existed within the residential homes.

31 When I joined the Police in 1958, the Police 32 training school was run by a Brigadier General fresh from 33 the Second World War and we had a Sergeant Major in 34 charge of physical training and we marched every morning

- 66 -

for an hour. The language of the Police culture was very Military. It was held in a Trentham Military Camp. And any idea really that this Police force or this Police organisation was committed to the principles of Robert Pennel and his understanding of what civilian Police should do actually had disappeared.

7 And I think some of that was due to the corruption the Police encountered in the early '50s with 8 9 Commissioner Compton. It was a very low point in Police culture. And as the culture regenerated at the time, 12.52 10 there was an interesting focus on professionalism of 11 12 intolerance of any adverse behaviour, for a while, but 13 the biggest issue was that we believed that we were 14 fighting a war, we were fighting a war on crime. And it 15 was true to say that during that period, the crime rate 16 was increasing rapidly.

17 So, the whole culture of the Police was geared 18 towards enforcement, catching people doing stuff and punishing them in the naive belief that that would 19 prevent them from doing it again. And that culture held 12.53 20 fast, I think, until probably the 1970s when some of the 21 Police visionaries attempted to introduce the concept of 22 23 community development and working with iwi and, you know, 24 working with the community and moving back to the ideas of Robert Peel and there was a constant struggle in that 25 26 vision until even today. We see the same conflict 27 arising constantly. In the 70s, they introduced team policing, you know, this group of professionals who would 28 29 go into a community and enforce the liquor laws, 12.54 30 something of that kind, no connection with the community, no understanding of the whanau, no understanding of the 31 32 children. And a great deal of harm was done through that 33 approach.

34

When the Youth Aid Section was developed, the intent

- 67 -

1 was to change that thinking. Well, it was difficult 2 because the Youth Aid Officers were recruited from the 3 Police and they often came into that role just wanting to 4 enforce the law but with another age group. And it was really difficult to challenge and change that, to the 5 6 extent that as the Youth Aid Section grew, it widened its 7 net, so rather than less people going before the Court, 8 more people went before the Court. And I think that was 9 one of the failures.

- 12.55 10 Q. Sir Kim, you've told us about the culture in the Police 11 at that time with the focus on enforcement and this war 12 on crime. I am just wondering if you could tell us from 13 your experience whether that translated at all into what 14 extent in terms of Police abuse of people in Police 15 custody which is something this Commission is also 16 inquiring into?
- 17 Yes. I know that there was abuse, individual cases of Α. 18 abuse, but when I reflect back on that, I think that it certainly wasn't just a case of having, you know, a few 19 bad apples in the basket. There was a culture which 12.56 20 condoned not so much extreme abuse but continual 21 harassment. During those years when we had the Maori 22 23 urban migration in the late 1950s and it moved into the 24 1960s, what I experienced and I was really uncomfortable about, was the extent to which young Maori were targeted 25 26 by the Police, using stop and search processes and so 27 forth. And there's no doubt in my mind that there was 28 for some police officers, Maori were considered to be a 29 dangerous under-class. And if a group of young Maori children were skylarking down the street, often singing 12.57 30 and so on, they became a target for Police attention. If 31 32 it was a group of young Pakeha children doing the same thing, they were just kids having fun. 33

34 And the research that was done around that time in

- 68 -

	1	the 1970s by Victoria University, I can't think of her
	2	name, but actually reinforced that, that there was you
	3	know this view of Maori that meant that Maori were
	4	becoming a focus of Police attention, which often led to
	5	arrests for obstruction or obscene language or something
	6	of that kind and started that journey into the Criminal
	7	Justice System. We all knew at that time that one of the
	8	things about keeping anybody out of trouble was to avoid
	9	feeding them into the formal Criminal Justice System but
12.58	10	it was not something that the Police acted on.
	11	MS SPELMAN: Thank you. Chair, I am conscious that
	12	we're at 1.00 now and this might be a good time for
	13	the break?
	14	CHAIR: I agree. This seems to be a suitable time, Sir
	15	Kim, for us to take the luncheon adjournment.
	16	Madam Registrar, would you please adjourn the Royal
	17	Commission sitting?
	18	
	19	
12.59	20	Hearing adjourned from 1.00 p.m. until 2.15 p.m.
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	CHAIR: Ms Spelman, and Sir Kim, please continue.
	25	MS SPELMAN: Thank you, Sir.
	26	Q. Sir Kim, before the break we were discussing Police
	27	mainly and Police culture. If I could move now just to
	28	paragraph 44 of your brief of evidence, I want to ask you
	29	about the institutionalisation of Maori children in
14.16	30	particular and if you could give us your perspective why
	31	it was during that period why so many Maori children in
	32	particular were put into homes?
	33	A. Thank you. The Department of Social Welfare during that
	34	period developed a comprehensive network of foster
	32	particular were put into homes?

- 69 -

parents, family homes and Social Welfare institutions to 1 2 accommodate children who were removed from their homes, 3 forming a range of optional settings in which children could be located. However, it failed to identify 4 sufficient foster parents within the Maori community, 5 6 instead sending Maori children to live with Pakeha 7 parents, who did not know how to connect culturally with them. As a result, Maori children were often dealt with 8 9 swiftly, by way of supervision or removal to an institution, rather than through constructive engagement 14.17 10 with their whanau. 11

12 One of the continuing problems was that the future 13 of Maori and Pasifika children was left largely in the hands of Pakeha officials. In 1982, only 15% of all 14 15 field social workers were Maori at a time when 60% of all Court reports related to Maori and Pasifika children. 16 17 Pakeha Magistrates dominated the Courts and cultural 18 ignorance and racism was allowed to flourish. By 1985, the Department recorded a 78% Maori population across six 19 Auckland institutions. 14.18 20

Maori Police Officers, as I mentioned before, were 21 very much in the minority, and in 1965 Commissioner 22 23 Urguhard declared the Chinese, Hindu, I think he meant Indians, and Pacific Islanders were unsuited to policing 24 and would not be recruited. He stated that apart from 25 26 Maori policing should only be done by the white races. Sir Kim, I understand that prior to your time in Police 27 Q. when you were still living in the Wairarapa, that you had 28 29 some experience of similar attitude of distrust of the Pakeha Social Welfare officials. Could you tell us a 14.19 30 little about that, this is picking up at paragraph 7 of 31 32 your brief.

33 A. When Maori children were ill-treatment or neglected,34 there was a distinct reluctance to report such abuse to

- 70 -

1 Child Welfare Officers or the Police, given that their 2 response was to remove children from their homes and 3 place them understate supervision or care:

4 In the Wairarapa older children were often fostered 5 to local farmers and were expected to help with milking 6 cows or other essential farming chores. They stood out 7 in our classrooms poorly attired and prone to fall asleep 8 during the day. It was tempting not to conclude that the 9 children were fostered primarily because they provided an 14.20 10 economic benefit to the farming community.

I do recall on joining the Police the infamous 11 12 Mazengarb report issued in 1954 which gained parents for 13 giving children excessive freedom, limited discipline, too much pocket money and insufficient religious 14 15 instruction. Prior to joining the Police, I have to confess that I happily immersed myself in that period of 16 17 moral decline, embracing radical changes in clothes, hair 18 styles, taste in music and coupled with a tendency to congregate on the streets in celebration of our 19 transformed identity. We were increasingly regarded as 14.21 20 21 delinguents.

Social commentators of the time described you see as
precocious, threatening and potentially dangerous,
criminal, noxious, even so the numbers actually appearing
before the Children's Court were in decline.

I am pleased to say that joining the Police cadetssaved me from all that.

Q. We have heard from other witnesses yesterday about throughout this period the continued Maori resistance and Maori work that was done to address these issues. I wanted to ask you about the Matua Whangai programme which is at paragraph 54 of your brief, in terms of an indigenous response to what was taking place at this time.

- 71 -

Well, growing Maori concerns with the State's treatment 1 Α. 2 of children and young people came at a time when the 3 government's new devolutionary policies were being 4 debated and worked through. Tribally based initiatives escalated. New community based initiatives also 5 6 flourished and at the 1981 Hui Whakatauira, the idea of a 7 community based Maori foster parenting scheme was proposed. Matua Whangai was piloted from late 1983. 8 Ιt 9 was continued by the new government in 1984, and established under the auspices of the department of Maori 14.23 10 11 Affairs and other government agencies from 1985.

12 Matua Whangai met two Maori needs. First, it was a 13 response to the increasing demand for the tribal 14 authorities and Maori communities to control both 15 resources and delivery of services and to promote Maori 16 empowerment.

17Second, it was a Maori reaction to the State's18racist treatment of young Maori offenders.

Matua Whangai was presented by the heads of the 19 14.23 20 department of Maori Affairs, Justice and Social Welfare, 21 as a programme to de-institutionalise Maori people, to 22 prevent their entry into carceral institutions, and 23 instead to place them in the care of their whanau. With \$1 million to spend, Social Welfare would find 24 alternative care for Maori children, and Justice would 25 26 fund work done by people in the community to cared for 27 those children appearing before the Courts, to arrange alternatives to incarceration or institutionalisation. 28

But the initial intent was much more ambitious than that. Tribal networks which support whanau, hapu and iwi development, and departmental; officials from all three departments would facilitate that process. Involvement in Matua Whangai would be on the basis of a mandate from tribal representatives. Whanau, hapu and iwi would

- 72 -

1 evaluate how well the networking occurred and how the 2 ropu could need the notes of Maori.

3 In the next five years, it enjoyed a high profile, with a Roper Report on violence, Puao-te-Ata-Tu and the 4 Mason Review, calling for more resources to be invested 5 6 in it. Maori at hui inquired as to its progress, and it 7 rated a special mention in both the Criminal Justice Act 1985 and the Children, Young Persons and Their Families 8 Act 1989. It was seen as a model of devolution in 9 practice; an initiative of Maori, delivered via the 14.26 10 bureaucracy, and unusually for the time, directed at 11 12 criminal justice issues.

13 The difficulty was to persuade departmental 14 officials that the primary purpose was to develop whanau 15 networks. They tended to see whanau and other sub-tribal 16 networks as vehicles for government policy 17 implementation, rather than opportunities to provide 18 Maori with a measure of self-empowerment.

In 1984, John Rangihau, Doug Hauraki and I were 19 asked to undertake a review of Matua Whangai, with the 14.27 20 21 intention of reorienting the programme and shifting ultimately responsibility from local offices to iwi 22 23 authorities. It was fairly limited in scope but as we travelled throughout the motu, talking with whanau, with 24 departmental staff and service providers, the stories and 25 26 concerns mirrored those that emerged during 27 Puao-te-Ata-Tu, the Ministerial Review into the Department of Social Welfare. 28

29 Unfortunately, Matua Whangai failed to live up to 14.27 30 its initial expectations. This was largely because while 31 the programme was first setup within the Department of 32 Maori Affairs, it was subsequently administered and 33 implemented mostly by Pakeha staff who did not understand 34 the purpose and protection of the programme.

- 73 -

By 1991, the initial purpose of Matua Whangai was lost in the mists of bureaucratic understanding and described as a programme to assist district officers in their work with iwi in connection with Child, Youth and Their Families Act.

1 2

3

4

5

6 Matua Whangai became little more than a mechanism 7 for contracting out the delivery of State Services and added to prevailing Maori suspicions about the Crown's 8 9 motivations. The underlying concept never gained acceptance and was derailed by government departments who 14.29 10 turned the programme and the funding that accompanied it 11 12 to their own purposes. Maori paradigms were beyond the 13 comprehension of mainstream government agencies, leading to their own interpretation of responsiveness to Maori. 14

15 The other probable reason that Matua Whangai was 16 never able to realise its full potential as an 17 alternative fostering scheme, is that government 18 departments were simply unwilling to yield territory. Ιt would be dangerous to assume that government agencies do 19 not have a rangatiratanga of their own; an underlying 14.29 20 21 view about their own special place in the universe, their own set of underlying values and beliefs, accompanied by 22 23 the underlying determination to preserve their autonomy. 24 Ultimately, government departments did not want to truly devolve power to Maori in the way intended. 25

Q. Sir Kim, I know you were here yesterday when we heard some evidence from Dr Jackson commenting on, as he put it, the limitations of Kaupapa Maori programs which do not go to the heart of the power structure. I am just wondering if you want to make any further comment in terms of that idea, in relation to your reflections on Matua Whangai?

33 A. Well, over, you know, many years now, I must confess that34 I started off being incredibly optimistic about the

- 74 -

potential for the development of tikanga Maori programs, 1 2 Kaupapa Maori initiatives, within the public sector. And 3 I have to say that over recent years I have basically 4 come to the view that Dr Jackson is right, and that the underlying issue really for me is that unless there is a 5 6 substantial cultural reform within the public sector, 7 that we will never achieve the place where those kinds of programs are going to be fully effective, unless we are 8 9 prepared and are courageous enough to provide Maori with the necessary opportunity to be fully empowered and to 14.32 10 develop programs according to their own tikanga, not in 11 12 any joint relationship with Pakeha but in their own terms 13 and in their own ways, then nothing that we do is likely 14 to succeed. 15 Kia ora. If I could just continue that thread for a Q. moment, Sir Kim, and ask you the same question in 16 17 relation to your reflection on Puao-te-Ata-Tu because I 18 understand you were involved on the Advisory Committee for that report. Would you be able to tell us a little 19 about that process and your reflections on it? 14.33 20 Sure. When the Labour Government came into power in 21 Α. 22 1984, I think it was the Minister of Social Welfare, Anne 23 Hercus, who recommended to Cabinet that rather than take a piecemeal approach to child welfare legislation, it 24 establish a full review of it. 25

As a first step, a departmental working party was established to review the existing legislation and despite growing dissension by Maori to the 1974 legislation, there was no Maori representation on the working party, an act seen by Maori, given their increased concerns, as a deliberate snub.

32 Despite the exclusion of Maori from that party, it 33 was clear that Maori concerns were starting to impact on 34 government thinking. In the same year, a series of hui

- 75 -

were convened by the Department of Social Welfare to discuss concerns felt by many Maori that the Department was a racist and hierarchical institution which reflected the dominant Pakeha values of the day and failed to provide fair access to Maori to its services and to Income Support.

7 A group of Auckland staff known as the Women's 8 Anti-Racist Action Group, joined in the fray. The 9 following year, in response to the growing criticism, the Minister of Social Welfare established a Ministerial 14.35 10 Advisory Committee to advise on the most appropriate 11 12 means to achieve an approach which would meet the needs 13 of Maori in policy planning and service delivery in the 14 Department of Social Welfare.

I was then working for the State Services Commission
 and was appointed as an adviser to the Committee. The
 Puao-te-Ata-Tu Committee engaged in direct and extended
 consultation with Maori communities, social work staff,
 government agencies, the wider public, and other
 stakeholders. It was strongly supported by the
 Director-General of Social Welfare, John Grant.

Under the leadership of Tuhoe elder John Rangihau, 22 23 it be gauged in direct and extended consultation with Maori communities and other stakeholders. The members of 24 the ministerial Committee were Lena Manuel, Hori Brennan, 25 Donna Hall, Peter Boag, Tamati Reedy (represented by 26 27 Neville Baker) and John Grant. The committee attended 60 28 hui over nine months. It was an extraordinary 29 experience.

14.3630I can't recall a more comprehensive consultative31process since then. The public hui were well publicised32and well attended. They were a draw card for iwi and for33Maori. Te Rangihau's mana ensured that Maori felt safe34speaking about the Department of Social Welfare, the

- 76 -

treatment of children and young people and the hui would 1 2 be held in accordance with tikanga Maori. People were 3 free to express their frustration and anger, to shed tears and share their stories. The casual observer might 4 have concluded that it was not much more than a cathartic 5 6 opportunity for participants. But there were also 7 moments of insight, and the articulation of ideas and thoughts, which Te Rangihau and the Committee were able 8 9 to ultimately transform into workable policy.

The hui were not confined to iwi and Maori. 14.38 10 Meetings were held with departmental staff, some of whom 11 12 were openly hostile and defensive. I recall one such 13 meeting in Whanganui, where at the outset, a senior staff 14 member expressed his opposition to the Committee. Те 15 Rangihau then talked about the history of race relations in New Zealand, which reduced the staff member to tears. 16 17 He apologised, following which Te Rangihau invited him to 18 accompany the Committee to New Plymouth, where the 19 Committee was to meet with a similar group that afternoon. He did so as a converted acolyte who opened 14.38 20 21 the meeting by speaking in favour of change, to the utter astonishment of his regional colleagues. 22

Q. I know yesterday we heard some evidence about the report
itself, Puao-te-Ata-Tu, and the recommendations?
A. Yes.

26 Q. I wonder if you could comment on the impact of that 27 report in terms of subsequent legislation and whether it 28 did or didn't have the desired impact?

A. Right. Well, the case for structural reform and the
shifting of resources to Maori communities was well
argued by the report. There was clearly articulated a
greater recognition of customary Maori support structures
and a closer commitment to customary iwi forms of
conflict resolution. The writers of of Puao-te-Ata-Tu

- 77 -

was able to tap into Treaty polemics as the basis for its
 construction of both past and the present. When the
 Minister accepted the recommendations for her own
 department, a precedent was set for other departments and
 heightened Maori expectations of change.

6 The Puao-te-Ata-Tu report was released in 1987 and 7 exposed many deficiencies emerging from this report and 8 subsequent consultation with Maori groups was a strong 9 message that whanau must be at the centre of 14.40 10 decision-making processes for children.

When the draft 1986 Children, Young Persons and 11 12 Their Families Bill was presented to Parliament it was 13 the subject of extensive criticism. The billion was seen as even more likely to continue to remove Maori children 14 15 from their families, whanau, hapu, iwi and communities. The bill was in direct conflict with the findings of the 16 17 Puao-te-Ata-Tu report whose recommendations for a 18 bicultural approach had been accepted by the Department of Social Welfare but had not transitions into the 19 content of the Bill. When the Minister of Social Welfare 14.41 20 left Parliament on labour's re-election in 1987, the 1986 21 bill lost its key component. At the Select Committee 22 23 stage in 1987, the decision was made to leave the 24 original Bill with the Select Committee and a team of officials, rather than to withdraw it from the House and 25 26 start again. The Select Committee, with the benefit of a 27 Maori Advisory Group, travelled throughout the country visiting local marae to hear directly from the people 28 29 most affected. The Bill was radically overhauled, guidelines were introduced to safeguard children being 14.42 30 questioned by Police and formal Police diversion was 31 32 mandated. Importantly for Maori, the youth justice 33 provisions were exempted from the paramountcy principle 34 and it was established that young people should be

- 78 -

1 treated in the same way as adults in relation to 2 establishing culpability but that their age would be a 3 mitigating factor in determining penalty. 4 As the final stages of drafting were reached, it was clear that the Bill left represented something 5 6 internationally unique and created for New Zealand's own 7 particular national purpose. In terms of the Children, Young Persons and Their 8 Q. Families Act 1989, I note you've commented the youth 9 justice system of today is laundered internationally but 14.43 10 also that there are some shortcomings that still exist? 11 12 Α. Yes. I am looking to paragraph 87 of your brief. 13 Q. 14 Α. Yes. I wondered with the benefit of your involvement with the 15 Q. 16 system through these years, if you could give us your 17 comment on the current system and where the shortcomings may lay, if any? 18 Yes, I commented that the current youth justice system is 19 Α. frequently laundered internationally, it's one of the 14.44 20 21 most progressive and visionary in the world but plaudits these mask an ugly history and an attitude for the care 22 23 and control of young people, especially Maori, which 24 resulted in their horrendous treatment by the State and which in turn contributed to significant increases in the 25 adult offending population. 26 27 The establishment of a radical and innovative youth justice system was indeed a major achievement but it also 28 29 revealed patterns of personal and institutional racism 14.45 30 which has not entirely disappeared. Over that period and since, I have either witnessed 31 32 or become aware of unacceptable treatment of children and 33 young persons in state institutional care and in Police custody or care. The usual response is to regard such 34

- 79 -

actions as individual failures rather than existing
 within an unsafe institutional culture.

3 New Zealand's experience of common patterns of 4 colonisation and racism has created social disintegration and structural cycles of intergenerational trauma which 5 6 requires significant redress. In the context of abuse of 7 children and young people in State care, it is a particularly appalling history in terms of failing many 8 9 of our most vulnerable members of our society. Sir Kim, I know you've been involved for a long time now, 14.46 10 Q. since 1958, working in these areas and I wanted to ask 11 12 you why it was important for you to come along today to 13 this Commission to give your evidence about these topics? When I arrived yesterday, I met a couple of people in the 14 Α. 15 foyer. One who had been in Kohitere in 1972 and who I met again when I was Head of Prisons in 1989. 16 There were 17 many in that category. And for me, it was a growing 18 consciousness that we are a racist and unforgiving and even vengeful society and that too often we treat people 19 as less than human. And it seemed to me important to 14.47 20 take this opportunity to tell my story as a credible 21 witness, I guess, as an observer, in the hope that other 22 23 credible witnesses will appear, that we will start truth telling and that we will start looking beyond the 24 rhetoric of government with all the talk about responding 25 26 to Maori, about tikanga Maori programs and so on, and be 27 prepared to analyse what is actually happening in the system from the point of stops and searches, to Police 28 29 bails, to remanding in custody, to sentencing practices, 14.49 30 to the reintegration and support of people, and to start to develop a whole new paradigm. 31

I don't actually fully know what that paradigm is but on listening to Dr Jackson yesterday, and it confirmed my view that there are two things that we need

- 80 -

	1	to do. One is to somehow address the constitutional
	2	relationship between Maori and the Crown and Pakeha, and
	3	the second thing that we need to do is to actively
	4	consider how we can change the culture of the public
	5	sector and the way it deals with the least, the lost and
	6	the lonely.
	7	MS SPELMAN: Thank you for coming to share your evidence
	8	with us today, Sir Kim.
	9	CHAIR: Thank you, Ms Spelman. Are there any counsel
14.50	10	who wish to address cross-examination to Sir Kim
	11	Workman? There are not. Colleagues, are there any
	12	questions to be raised of Sir Kim by any of you?
	13	
	14	
	15	
	16	* * *
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	
	28	
	29	
	30	
	31	
	32	
	33	
	34	

- 81 -

	1		
	2		SIR KIM WORKMAN
	3		QUESTIONED BY COMMISSIONERS
	4		
	5		
	6		
	7	COMM	ISSIONER ERUETI: I just have a quick question. It
	8		is to get a sense of the role of the institutions,
14.51	9		on the one hand the Child Welfare Officers, and the
	10		other the Police and the apprehension of children
	11		in the '70s, say. And to your mind which
	12		institution played a more prominent role, was it
	13		the Police spurred on by political rhetoric about
	14		law and order or Child Welfare Officers or a
	15		combination of both?
	16	Α.	I think, you know, when we look at what's happened, I
	17		think from a Police perspective we are still grappling
	18		with the issues of what an ideal Police culture should
	19		look like. I did refer, I think, to the sort of never
14.52	20		ending conflict between the idea of policing in the
	21		traditional sense of Robert Peel as a civilian
	22		enterprise, civil enterprise, and this tendency we have,
	23		perhaps historically, to regard the Police as enforcers
	24		of the law and as being about, you know, treating the
	25		whole thing as a war against crime, if I can put it that
	26		way.
14.53	27		So, that's one aspect of it. And it seems that
	28		regardless of - the Police have done a wonderful job in
	29		recent years in trying to address those issues, more than
	30		probably any other agency within the Criminal Justice
	31		System, but it always seems that when there's an issue
	32		that arises, we revert to that underlying culture of
	33		enforcement and so on.
	34		We have just had examples of that in some of the

- 82 -

1 policies that have emerged in the last week, where the 2 tendency has been to say we have a problem and we're 3 going to put more people in and we're going to have more 4 access to firearms and so on, without understanding the 5 unintended consequences of that.

6 Yeah, I think perhaps I will leave it at that but I 7 think that really is something that's ongoing and is an 8 issue.

9 COMMISSIONER ERUETI: Kia ora, thank you.

14.54 10 COMMISSIONER GIBSON: Kia ora, thank you, Sir Kim. You 11 placed a strong emphasis on culture, as you say, 12 and I think you made the comment, something along 13 the lines that Police condoned a low level of 14 abuse, the Police culture condoned a low level of 15 abuse back in time.

You also made a lot of statements about racism and 16 17 culture. How would you describe the culture in terms of what was condoned or not condoned around racism, the 18 19 Police and other public agencies that you've worked with? I need to keep reminding ourselves that the Police 14.55 20 Α. 21 culture is probably representative of New Zealand culture by and large, and that the racism that exists within the 22 23 Police also exists within our larger society.

But, in addition, it seems to me that what happened, in my experience anyway, was that within that closed culture there was reinforcement of practices around the treatment of offenders or members of the community, that sort of scaled that level of racism up some notches.

And it's almost as though some of the policies that emerged gave Police Officers permission to be even racist than they were. And I'm thinking of, say, the 1976 over stayers and the dawn raids, I was a Senior Sergeant at that time and actually, it contributed to my resignation from the Police because we had a constitutional

- 83 -

1 arrangement where the Commissioner was, sort of, in 2 charge of operational matters and could separate himself 3 or herself from the government of the day and say to the 4 Prime Minister, or whoever, that is not an issue that you're entitled to tell us how to act. We are in charge 5 6 of Police operations. And what we saw in that case was 7 the government of the day telling the Police to do stuff that was incomprehensively racist, that resulted in 8 9 groups of Police executing raids on the homes of Pacific people without any real evidence that they had committed 14.57 10 any type of offence at all. It was horrific. 11

12

13

14 15

16

Sometimes that sort of decision that we make has serious consequences for our relationship with the wider community, with Pacific peoples, with Maori, in the same way I guess that the 2008 Operation had in terms of the relationships in that situation.

17 When that happens, it seems to me, you know, we're 18 giving people permission to behave in the worse way 19 possible.

And if I can make one comment, and I think it's 14.59 20 21 relevant to this Inquiry. When we look at the policies relating to gangs over the last 30 years, we are between 22 23 one view which says that we need to talk to gangs, that 24 we need to engage with the whanau, that there are people within gangs who desperately want to change and they can 25 26 facilitate change in their own right, to a diametrically opposed position which says I hate gangs, we will do 27 everything we can to subjugate them, probably knowing 28 29 that all that will do is make things a great deal worse.

And often, those positions will fluctuate in the political mind. And I can think of a couple of programs which were introduced to help gang communities change and which were very successful. But the problem was they had a three year timeframe and they started off with a very

- 84 -

positive we're going to support you, the agencies will 1 2 support you to make changes, and within 18 months there's 3 a new government and the new government has said we don't 4 want you see talking to gangs, we instruct the government agencies not to have anything to do with them. 5 So, the 6 client community is left stranded after having made 7 significant changes, after feeling that for the first time they may be legitimised, they may be accepted within 8 9 the wider community, to being excluded once again, to being isolated and deprived of the resources that 15.01 10 originally they thought would be available. 11

12 I don't think we can continue doing this. Every 13 election year it's the same thing. And, you know, if you look at the cartoonist they describe every three years, 14 15 get out the whip, it's election time. So, the 16 communities, they don't know where the hell they are. 17 They try to work out how they can improve, how to change, 18 and it seems to me that somehow or other we have to have a really vigorous public discussion about how we should 19 respond to marginalised communities, to communities of 15.01 20 21 poverty, to communities of need.

22 You know, I don't want to sound, I don't want to get 23 into a preaching mode here but I can't help but go back 24 to a couple of parables from the New Testament, and particularly that of the Good Samaritan when Jesus 25 26 changed the whole scenario and said, "Well, it's not 27 sufficient to only look after people from your own tribe or from your own community. Your role is to help anyone 28 29 that needs help."

And so, that changed the whole idea of who was our neighbour. We've redressed. We've gone back to a situation where we are judging our communities in a way which supports parts of it and excludes others. We're seeing this in the gang policies that are emerging at the

- 85 -

moment and I fear, you know, to deny families, some of 1 2 whom are gang members, the benefits on the basis that 3 they are assumed to be making a lot of money out of 4 drugs, requiring them to provide evidence that they don't have - that they're not earning money illegally, rather 5 6 than having the Police prove it, is a significant 7 retrograde step which is even more likely to result in even more crime. And I think we've got to stop relying 8 on rhetoric and start looking at the evidence. 9 COMMISSIONER GIBSON: Thank you. A second question, a 15.04 10 looking backwards to look forward question. You 11 12 talked about your time at Kohitere teaching young 13 men how to communicate with Police so they would avoid getting into trouble. Now today there's an 14 15 emerging discourse about diversity which at times can be desperate and at times the people themselves 16 17 use it in a self-terming and empowering way. Do we 18 now, are we equipped enough to recognise the difference in how people communicate, people can 19 understand and the global evidence that 70-80% of 15.04 20 21 people in community justice have neuro disability, and are we not just teaching people enough to 22 23 communicate with Police but how the Police 24 communicate with a diverse range of people who end 25 up as clients? I started doing that stuff in 1972-1973, after spending 26 Α. 27 four months at the Institute for Delinguency Control in the United States and saw a black Probation Officer who 28 29 was doing this very successfully in Los Angeles who managed to keep a lot of those young people out of 15.05 30 trouble and decided it was something that was worth 31 32 doing. I think today we understand better than we ever 33 have done about how best to communicate with offenders 34 but it's not necessarily valued by the Criminal Justice

- 86 -

System. Over the last 20 years, we have actually moved 1 2 from a place where relationships were significant, where 3 we understood that if justice was not relational, it was not justice. Where we started to value ideas like 4 restorative justice. Where we were developing strength 5 6 based processes for working with young people. And we 7 shifted, I think as a result of some of the neoliberal policies of the 1980s, to a situation where we valued our 8 own individual achievements and we honoured those and it 9 was important to look after oneself and not concern 15.07 10 oneself with one's neighbour, into a Criminal Justice 11 12 System which is essentially risk averse, which treats 13 people not as humans but as risks to be managed. And with that comes a view that we don't have to relate to 14 15 you, we just have to manage you. And so, I would like to 16 see more of a movement towards strength based positive 17 treatment of offenders and victims. And, you know, Maori 18 are really well equipped to do that because in tikanga Maori, you know, it's important that people's mana is 19 upheld, it's important that we don't abuse their central 15.08 20 21 humanity. It's important that we gather the whanau around people, that we encourage them to work on their 22 23 strengths, rather than their shortcomings. If we could, I think that if we were able to give 24

Maori more control over tikanga Maori programs and so on, 25 26 we would see a totally different concept which would have 27 wider implications for the Criminal Justice System. **COMMISSIONER ALOFIVAE:** Thank you also for sharing your 28 29 honesty and insights around the dawn raids because there would have been a lot of children who would 15.09 30 have been caught up in those families that were 31 32 unfairly raided.

33 My question really arises out of your comments 34 around Matua whangai because it sounds like at the time

- 87 -

it was a programme that was absolutely fit for purpose 1 2 for what was a very relevant issue at that time. And 3 then you go on to describe the structural barriers which again fit within our Terms of Reference and I really 4 loved how you framed it, every government agency likes to 5 6 build and they're not prepared to share their theory. 7 Over the years, have you seen an improvement in that 8 attitude because what I think for me was coming clearly through your evidence was the lack of visibility that any 9 one agency would have over the life of the young person 15.10 10 that was coming through the system? 11 12 I have to say that I haven't seen any significant Α. 13 improvement at all. Perhaps one of the examples I can give is the responsiveness strategies of the 1980s. 14 You 15 know, the 1980s, it was a period of considerable promise. We had the whole bicultural period that the government 16 17 was wrestling with. And there was a period in which 18 there was legislation introduced in Parliament, the 19 consequences of it for Maori had to be addressed. It was part of the reporting philosophy where the State Services 15.10 20 21 Commission instructed government agencies to address 22 within their strategic planning the commitment to the 23 Treaty of Waitangi and responsiveness to Maori strategies. It was a major industry, if I could put it 24 that way, and I have to admit that I was part of it. 25 26 What happened in the 90s, is a lot of that withered away, there wasn't the same level of commitment. But what 27 happened instead, was that government agencies were using 28 29 responsiveness strategy to convince Parliament that they were doing stuff in relation to Maori, to the point that 15.11 30 the government started reporting to the various United 31 Nations committees on racism, on human rights, on 32 33 arbitrary detention, in glowing terms the responsiveness 34 strategies that they had, new programs, tikanga Maori,

- 88 -

we've increased the number of Maori working in our 1 2 government agencies, we have committees established in 3 the districts relating to Maori. And the United Nations committees from about 2005 onwards started asking a 4 different question. They started asking government, what 5 6 are you doing about the underlying racism? What are you 7 doing about the institutional discrimination that is apparent in all your statistics? And the government 8 never answered the question. 9

If you look at the government reports, they would 15.13 10 avoid that question. And agencies would instead refer to 11 12 their wonderful responses to the strategies which 13 actually don't meet the issues that were being addressed. From 2005 to just recently, there was what I would call a 14 15 period of socially constructed silence and what happened during that decade was that the word "racism" was no 16 17 longer used or referred to. There were I think 15 18 reports addressing those issues prior to 2005, government reports, and then they dried up, they dried up and there 19 was nothing. The last report was done I think by the 15.14 20 21 Ministry of Justice in 2009, nothing else.

22 But there was another significant thing that 23 happened. Very skilled and experienced Maori researchers 24 were applying to criminal justice agencies to do research into those issues, Corrections and the Ministry of 25 26 Justice. They had the funding and they had the support, 27 they were being turned down by those government agencies on the basis that their research had no useful purpose in 28 29 terms of meeting the objectives of those departments.

15.1530So, if we look at the research history from 2005 to31the present, there was almost no research done on the32issues of racism and institutional discrimination, which33meant of course that when people said we've got a problem34with it, and they would then say to them, well where's

- 89 -

the research? Well, the research wasn't there because they made sure that it didn't happen. So, you know, if we're going to move forward, I think we need to have - we not only need to monitor what government agencies are doing but we need to monitor how much research and how much interest they have in finding out what's actually happening.

8 **COMMISSIONER ALOFIVAE:** Thank you. Final question, Sir 9 Kim, thank you for sharing the example of the hui 15.16 10 for the young Samoan boy where 32 people showed up. 11 Just in terms of Pasifika numbers that were coming 12 through in the time you were working as a Youth Aid 13 Officer and in the force, any sense?

A. We did keep statistics in the youth aid but I don't know
that they ended up in the government, in the Department
officials' statistics. And they were certainly a lot
lower than Maori offending.

18 I think the other thing that we generally understood was that Pacific Island offending stopped at a much 19 younger age and there was a lot of thoughts about why 15.16 20 that happened. And there was one view, I mean none of 21 this is empirically proven but there was one view that it 22 23 was because of the influence of the church and that people were redirected to the church. Other view was 24 25 that those naughty boys were sent back to Samoa or Tonga or whatever and their whanau sorted them out there. 26

27 But the level of understanding of Pacific culture was almost nil, within the Police certainly. And I do 28 29 recall I spent a short time at the Police College training. One of the instructors said I've got this 15.17 30 Samoan recruit who can't count, and I said what do you 31 mean can't count? He said, well, I asked him how many 32 33 brothers and sisters he had, and he said it was 7. And 34 then the second question I said, well how many are in

- 90 -

for a while and
king, you know.
n't understand
ught, you know,
was nothing done
ly done about
Sir Kim.
ony, Sir
sion is it
might take the
I know. This
itness number 3
il the end of the
il the end of the

- 91 -

	1		
	2		AARON EDWARD SMALE - AFFIRMED
	3		EXAMINED BY MS HARONGA
	4		
	5		
	6	MS H	ARONGA: If I can call the next witness, Aaron
	7		Edward Smale.
	8	CHAI	R: Please do that. Good afternoon, Mr Smale.
	9		(Witness affirmed).
	10	MS H	ARONGA :
	11	Q.	Mr Smale, some formalities for the beginning. If you
	12		look in front of you, there should be a statement. Can
	13		you confirm that's the statement you prepared and signed
	14		for the purpose of this hearing?
	15	A.	Yes, I can.
	16	Q.	Is there also a folder of relevant documents referred to
	17		in that written statement before you?
	18	Α.	Yes.
15.41	19	Q.	Do you formally produce that collection of documents for
	20		the Commission's consideration?
	21	A.	Yes, I do.
	22	Q.	Can you please introduce yourself, including any
	23		acknowledgments you would like to make at the outset,
	24		particularly in relation to the stories contained in
	25		those documents?
	26	A.	Kia ora, my name is Aaron Edward Smale. (Speaks in Te Reo
	27		Maori). I would just like to acknowledge the
	28		Commissioners, kia ora, kia ora katou. I would also like
	29		to acknowledge as a journalist my evidence is largely
15.42			based on the korero, the conversations I've had with
	31		other people and particularly survivors, and there's a
	32		couple of them who are here today and other survivors
	33		that I would like to acknowledge. Jimmy McLaughlin is
	34		here, Tyrone Marks is here, Kath Greg, Rangi, Toni.

- 92 -

1 I would like to acknowledge them. Albie Epere was 2 hoping to be here, he was unable to make it. I would 3 like to acknowledge them because my coverage of this 4 issue would not have been possible without conversations with them and many others, and I think I would like to 5 6 emphasise that the power of their stories has been, I 7 think anyway, one of the major factors in getting this Inquiry to happen. 8

I would like to also acknowledge some of the 9 previous witnesses who gave evidence, Moana Jackson, 15.43 10 Alison Green, Keith Wiffin, Judge Henwood, and 11 12 particularly today Oliver Sutherland and Sir Kim Workman. 13 Yeah, I'd like to acknowledge these people because 14 they've, as you heard, been talking about this issue and 15 fighting for this issue for in some cases longer than I've been around. So, this is how long it goes back, kia 16 17 ora.

18 Q. By way of a deeper introduction, you've outlined your
19 whakapapa but you also have a personal story in relation
15.44 20 to State intervention in your early childhood. Insofar
21 as you want to share it today, are you able to talk to us
22 a bit about your early childhood. Take your time.

23 Yes, I was born in 1971. My birthfather, for want of a Α. better term, is Maori. His mother, Kumeroa Tawhara, was 24 Ngati Porou, and then on my birth mother was Pakeha, 25 26 Irish, Scandinavian, English. And that relationship did 27 not - was practically over, I suppose, by the time I was born. And so, in 1971, as you've heard from Alison and 28 others, adoption was kind of, I won't say the only option 29 but it was the main option that young teenage single 15.45 30 mothers without any means to support themselves, that was 31 32 the option that was kind of you know "sign here".

33 I will come back to this and Alison has already 34 referred to it, the whole ranking of children in that

- 93 -

1 adoption system. In late 1960s/early 1970s, there was 2 after over-supply, if you like, which meant that the 3 predominantly Pakeha couples, middle class couples that 4 were lining up to adopt, got to pick and choose, if you 5 like. And there was a process whereby they would be 6 interviewed and their preferences, in terms of what kind 7 of child they wanted, would be noted and supply and demand kind of dictated that the children that were least 8 9 wanted were Maori boys.

15.46 10 I didn't know this until some years later and I will 11 come back to how I came across that information. It was 12 actually from Anne Else, it is in my written statement 13 anyway.

That had some impact on how my adoption scenario 14 15 played out, if you like. And one of the, as I found out again years later, the Adoption Act actually excludes 16 17 traditional Maori adoptions. By way of contrast, there's 18 a number of or several anyway, members of my whanau, older members, where they were whangaed within the wider 19 family members. Whangaed, the word whangai is often used 15.47 20 21 interchangeably with adoption. What it means is to feed or nurture which I think is actually quite a beautiful 22 23 term.

24 So, because the Adoption Act excluded that option, 25 it meant that, yes, my birth mother and father had their 26 differences and gone their separate ways, and I won't go 27 into their personal details, but as I've tried to explain 28 to my birth mother what that meant was the option of my 29 wider whanau stepping in and taking responsibility for my 15.47 30 upbringing, that option was taken out of the picture.

I will fast forward. A couple by the name of Jim and Tina Smale, you might have to forgive me if I get a little bit emotional. My adoptive mother Tina passed away in April, so a lot of the issues around my adoption,

- 94 -

1 I have had to revisit them. But they were a sharemilking 2 couple, didn't have a particularly good education and I 3 wonder whether that meant they were ranked in some way as 4 well. They were open to adopting a Maori child and so they were told, I think, that it would mean they would 5 6 jump the queue, if you like, because there was more Maori 7 babies available. And they got a phonecall that there was this child in the Hutt Valley, they were in the Bay 8 9 of Plenty, they drove down. A nurse met them at the 15.49 10 hospital waiting room, was carrying me, dropped me in Mum's lap, Mum had no experience of newborns, this nurse 11 12 went away, came back 5-10 minutes later and said, "Well, 13 do you want him or not?". And Mum always told that story with a motive disgust of the sort of callous way that it 14 15 was carried out. But I was fortunate, I was very 16 fortunate that, as a couple, they were, you know, the 17 best parents I could have asked for really. They gave me 18 everything they could but they, as I grew up they understood and realised that they couldn't give me my 19 identity, to the extent that my father said a couple of 15.49 20 21 years ago, you should never have been taken from your 22 family.

I took that, it was actually a relief in some ways, I took that as he meant it. I don't think for a minute that he meant that he didn't want me. I just think he always had my best interests at heart and he could see the damage it had done to both myself and my other sister who was adopted.

29 So, sorry to be long-winded about this but it 15.50 30 actually, my personal journey has been quite influential 31 into my professional work, so bear with me here a bit.

32 So, that growing up, knowing I was Maori, very 33 minimal information, but not having any information about 34 that, I can remember being upset about that from a very

- 95 -

young age and struggling with that. As Alison has
 referred to, you know, I concur with much of her
 experience.

4 As I said, my parents tried to give me everything they can in terms of my identity and part of that was 5 6 they sent me to St Stephens. Unfortunately, that only 7 underlined my lack of identity and alienation because 8 many of the other guys, I had mates that were tutu, they 9 had their language, everything, they knew who they were. You know, and become from the Bay of Plenty, a lot of the 15.51 10 11 guys were the same.

So, the other aspect of St Stephens that wasn't 12 13 great, it was guite a violent place. You know, I could 14 tell a few examples. I mean, I've got a scar over my 15 left eye from being stitched up after a brawl, I got 16 visited in the middle of the night by two guys that beat 17 me up in bed, you know. There's a number of other 18 occasions. We used to have a turn walking the dorm, you walked down the line, seniors could have a crack at you 19 and they did. One of the individuals that did have a 15.51 20 21 crack at me was a guy that ended up later convicted for murder. I can't say I was surprised. 22

23 So, that was, on top of my kind of lack of identity, 24 you know, by the time I hit 16, I had a few things I was 25 struggling with. I met my birth parents at that time 26 and, yeah, I won't go into the detail of that but it was 27 quite a significant day. My birth father told me I was 28 Ngati Porou, it was like a physical cloak of presence 29 being put on me.

15.52 30

So, that's kind of, I guess, my back story.

31 In terms of my work as a journalist, I had a bit of 32 a knack for writing and an irritating habit of asking 33 annoying questions. And so, I ended up in that career. 34 I'd just like to note that, yes, journalism is a job

- 96 -

1 description, the media is an industry, but I'd like to 2 put it in a wider context. It's what I call, I suppose, 3 one term I could use, public conversation. Because as 4 somebody who works in the media but somebody who's Maori, there's often a bit of a tension there. We've heard from 5 6 a number of very impressive witnesses about institutional 7 racism. I'd just like to touch on I guess what I regard as the institutional racism in journalism. 8 That's a subject all on its own that there's not the space to 9 canvass here but - from my point of view, if you look at 15.53 10 in terms of the public conversation and the role the 11 12 media plays in that, if you look at the media and then 13 you have the politicians, they're doing quite a similar 14 thing because what they're trying to do is reach the 15 biggest number of people, the biggest audience. That's just politics, it's just business, you could say, but 16 17 what tends to happen, is that the biggest audience, the 18 biggest market, the biggest electorate is white middle 19 class Pakeha. So, political messaging, journalistic coverage of any issue, even when it relates to Maori, 15.54 20 21 particularly when it relates to Maori or other ethnicities, is always filtered through that lens. And, 22 23 yeah, I just think that's worth just noting that here 24 because some of my coverage wrestles with that question. You've heard from Oliver Sutherland, this issue has 25 been out for a long time. Some people will talk about me 26 27 breaking the story and you know, well done Aaron, you 28 broke the story, I find that embarrassing because it's 29 been hiding in plain sight for decades as we've heard. I've kind of scratched my head at times be and wondered,

well, why hasn't it got the traction 20 years ago,

30 years ago, 40, 50 years ago because there's been a

number of very great individuals who fought this cause

long before I came along. There's been journalistic

34

- 97 -

1	coverage	of	it	as	well.

So, that's, I guess, a little bit about my background. I'm not sure I can talk next about the I guess the stories that are directly relevant to this topic?

- Q. That's right and also as you will recall, your brief is
 taken as read, so the Commission does have records of the
 places where you worked. But why don't we fast forward
 and you look at the category of investigative work that
 you did focusing on survivor stories and perhaps you can
 tell us a little bit about the lead-up to the article
 Justice Delayed, Justice Denied.
 - 13 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

Q. Focusing first on the research and investigative work through the experts and also highlighting the survivors story there?

17 Sure. Just a little preface to that, on the adoption Α. 18 issue again, I found a sister I didn't know about, about 10 years ago, and that raised that whole question again, 19 how did this happen? How did I miss out on this person? 15.56 20 I think you're hearing from Anne Else, I believe, she 21 canvasses that subject of Maori boys already touched on. 22 23 And that really raised some quite painful questions 24 actually initially as to why, what was wrong with Maori boys? We were only just born, you know. 25 Is there 26 something kind of, are we some kind of less human? 27 Moana's testimony yesterday was about the colonisation being about another group of people being inherently less 28 29 human. And that really struck, that got to me personally but it also really focused my work, I had worked, as you 15.57 30 will know from my written evidence, that Mana magazine 31 and I had a short-term contract at Radio New Zealand, 32 33 previously done work around the high number of Maori in 34 prisons. I had heard about welfare homes but I had no

- 98 -

1 real concept of the scale of it. And it wasn't until I 2 got a copy of Stanley's book. At first I was like, okay, 3 a lot of the stuff was similar to St Stephens in many respects. But it was the scale of it. The scale of the 4 abuse, especially the sexual abuse, that really kind of 5 6 got to me, I suppose. And I started, and it was obvious 7 that most of those victims were Maori. I started to ask, what does that do? If you say take that ballpark number 8 of 100,000 and say 70,000-80,000 of that group are Maori, 9 15.58 10 and then not only have they been damaged but then you start to escalate that and multiply it by their children 11 12 and their grandchildren. And you've got a problem, to 13 say the least.

And so, I was struggling to find my place a little 14 bit within Radio New Zealand, I'd come from investigative 15 16 background, Radio New Zealand is a very daily news focus 17 and I was trying to do a multi media sort of story around 18 this but it started initially talking to, I guess you could call them experts, Judge Henwood was one, Lizzie 19 herself was another, Sonja Cooper, Ross Newman who had 15.59 20 21 previously been at the rule rights Commission. I qot some really strong background material and that laid a 22 23 foundation and I guess to summarise that, what they were 24 saying consistently between them was that there's been this abuse but the Crown's response to that abuse has 25 26 been - it's been about, to put it colloquially, covering 27 the government's arse. It's been about minimising the State's liability, both legally and financially, and 28 29 there's a number of incidents and examples there which are in my written brief, that in my view showed quite a 16.00 30 consistent pattern of the State denying the allegations. 31

And not only that, also if you want to bring it back to an individual, if you have an individual perpetrator, particularly when we're talking about sexual crimes,

- 99 -

there's a tendency for that perpetrator to silence the victim in various ways, threats, manipulation. And if you scale that up to the State, the State was doing, I believe, the same thing.

So, that was, I guess, the general gist of - and I 5 6 tracked the - that happened over a long period of time 7 and I tracked that, aspects of that journey, if you like. But I knew that a story like this is pretty technical and 8 9 it doesn't really capture an audience unless you, I quess, humanise it, for want of a better term. And I 16.01 10 knew that, yeah, to really make this story fly, so to 11 12 speak, I needed to find somebody who had been through 13 that experience. Through a couple of contacts I have in the Mongrel Mob, I ended up being put in touch with Jimmy 14 15 McLaughlin and, this sounds a bit silly but I will always 16 be grateful to Jimmy because his quiet telling of his 17 experience was extremely moving and I had many colleagues 18 who felt the same when they read that story. He had been picked up for wagging school and I believe his parents 19 weren't home when the Police took him home. As you've 16.02 20 21 heard from Sir Kim, the next step was welfare home. And like many people, he spent several years in those homes. 22 23 There was a reference this morning to Owairaka and I 24 think Oliver put up that it was for 14-17 year olds. Т was sitting beside Jimmy and I turned to him and said, 25 26 "How old were you?" because I was pretty sure he wasn't 27 14, and he was 10. So, yeah, like I say, I just want to really give a shout out to Jimmy. He came down to the 28 29 protest on this issue and he was there and I said to him, and I meant it and I still do, you know, "You started 16.03 30 this". He was a bit embarrassed but yeah. 31

32 So, that was a long form, 6,500 words. I had 33 already interviewed Judge Henwood but at that stage when 34 I first interviewed her the government hadn't responded

- 100 -

to the CLAS report. It was kind of snuck out quietly, if
 you like, that's just my interpretation, of course.

3 Q. Are you talking about the written response from the 4 government?

Yes, yes. And I stumbled on it quite by accident. 5 Α. There 6 was no press release. When I read it, I knew immediately 7 that Judge Henwood would be furious. And then I tried to get hold of her and she was overseas and by the time I 8 9 got through to her, she gave me 20 minutes, she was in transit, and she kind of unloaded big time. I walked out 16.04 10 of that recording booth and I thought to myself, is she 11 12 allowed to do that? Because a Judge taking a crack at 13 the government, I thought that's not kind of how things 14 work or something.

15 What that did, is I had this big contextual feature, if you like, and then I had a very sharp news angle of 16 17 Judge Henwood really socking it to the government and I 18 had a very good producer who briefed. We had quite by chance Kim Hill was filling in on Morning Report and, as 19 many people will be aware, Kim has a ferocious well 16.05 20 21 earned reputation as being a pretty brutal interviewer. Anne Tolley had been avoiding giving me an interview and 22 23 it was like, okay, you don't want to talk to me, talk to 24 Kim Hill. Anne Tolley rung up a couple of minutes before the 7.30 news I think hoping to be saved by the bell. 25 The producer saw that Kim was on a roll and pushed the 26 27 news off the cliff and let her go. Yeah, that was - I knew I'd done, that the story had sort of blown up. I 28 29 came into the news room and I couldn't, I was on the train and I couldn't hear it live, but the whole news 16.06 30 room was fizzing. Not only that, it wasn't just some 31 32 journalistic thing, there was a number of survivors were 33 ringing in and walking into newsrooms. Like there was one that walked into Christchurch news room. Yeah, it's, 34

- 101 -

I guess, a combination of things, I think, we have these people who knew what they were talking about and we had Jimmy and his experience and you had this dismissive response from the Crown and, you know, the strength of and courage of Judge Henwood just going, no, that's not good enough.

And, yeah, it kind of just - I never say I broke the story but I did give it a good kick, yeah.

9 Q. One of the things that came out of that story that you
16.07 10 mention in your brief, is the human rights report. Do
11 you want to fill in some detail around that? Where did
12 that report come to you from?

7

8

Like all journalists, I cannot reveal my sources. 13 Α. 14 Somebody had gone through and requested it and passed it 15 on to me. Yeah. It didn't really matter where it came 16 from. You could see the correspondence backwards and 17 forwards between the Attorney-General, Chris Vincent, he 18 wouldn't give me an interview either, and Ros Newman. Ι got in touch with Ros and asked about the background to 19 that and her take on it was they had tried to engage with 16.07 20 21 Crown Law right through that process. Sorry, the report was focused on, you know, the State abuse and the Crown's 22 23 response to it. The report was in draft and it went 24 backwards and forwards and according to Ros and I take 25 her word for it, they went to great length to involve Crown Law and discussing what they thought of the report. 26 27 It was, you know, she thought well researched and she said the Crown didn't engage at all until the last minute 28 29 and then through a spanner in the works to try and, in her view, try and disrupt it. 16.08 30

31 Chris Finlayson, the correspondence from him was by 32 that stage with David Rutherford who had taken over from 33 Ros, and the tone was quite, in my reading anyway, quite 34 arrogant. Again, it reinforced this idea that the Crown

- 102 -

was just not going to listen, did not want to hear
 anything that contradicted its position.

3 And so, that - and the other thing that Ros kind of 4 mentioned to me that has stuck with me and continues to be a line of investigation, I am not sure if he's still 5 6 here, Michael Smith, I've done quite a lot of work with 7 Mike and one of the major focuses we've worked on, I believe Mike has a series coming out, just a promo about 8 9 it, Ros said something about I was very concerned about the original scope of this, about the 99 cutoff date, and 16.09 10 the reason I was concerned was for me the Helen Clark 11 12 government was the one that really was at the centre of 13 developing the legal response and legal strategy and that 14 - I will come back on this and touch on it here - but 15 that started really in earnest with Lake Alice. There's 16 probably earlier things that happened but that for me is 17 quite a major turning point.

18 Ros said something that has always irritated me or has been kind of a motivation to find out why, and she 19 said that the officials from Crown Law were pressuring 16.10 20 21 the Attorney-General at the time, Margaret Wilson, to "shut it down". And that to me just said, that raised 22 23 some red flags. You've got these major allegations, 24 proven allegations I believe, of some horrific abuse and, you know, I'm not a lawyer, I don't understand Crown 25 26 Law's kind of, you know, internal operations and 27 deliberations, but you know I would have thought there was a responsibility for Crown Law to investigate 28 29 criminal allegations. And you hear Crown Law is shutting it down apparently and to me there seemed to be a real 16.11 30 internal contradiction, conflict of interest, I guess is 31 32 the term that comes to mind for me.

33 So, yeah, that report never initially saw the light 34 of day until, yeah, I got hold of it and then, here you

- 103 -

1 go, here it is.

And it pretty much said what Judge Henwood said, to be honest. It said the same thing, that we need to understand what went wrong here. There needs to be an independent Inquiry. For the Attorney-General to try and say no we don't, it just seemed a bit strange.

Q. So, you've described, Aaron, that was the first story Justice Delayed, Justice Denied and you've added in some colour about the public response.

16.1210Your brief further goes on to the follow-up of that11story and that's where you touch on more the element of12gang membership coming out of the welfare homes. Do you13want to speak about that specifically?

14 A. I'd heard from a number of sources, Lizzie's book refers 15 to it, conversations I've had with people from 16 Corrections, gang members themselves, I'd sort of got 17 this very strong impression that, yeah, there was a 18 direct line between not only the welfare homes and prison 19 but welfare homes and gangs.

I was very aware that, you know, when I looked and 16.13 20 21 did a bit of a Google trawl before I did the first story, I was aware that there were a number of journalists who 22 23 had covered the story, there had been a front page 24 headline and then nothing had happened and I didn't want to join that club. I wanted to shift it and make 25 something happen. So I wanted to do a strong follow-up. 26 27 I had the great pleasure of interviewing Kim Workman 28 around this topic and he probably knows as much as 29 anybody. By that stage, I had some reasonable, you know, 16.13 30 good relationships with a couple of guys in the Mongrel Mob, including Harry Tang, and he invited me to a gang 31 hui in Featherston that he'd arranged. He was aware of 32 33 my work. And there was, I later found out there had been 34 some gang shooting and there was a bit of tension there

⊥ 2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

- 104 -

1 because I noticed there weren't any women or children, so 2 I think there was a few things in the background that I 3 wasn't aware of fortunately. But there was Mongrel Mob there, Black Power, Nomads, probably about 50 guys. 4 Ι had to drive from Levin to Featherston to think about how 5 6 am I going to sell this one? I talked about my previous 7 work and mentioned Francis and Jimmy and Edge Te Whaiti but I said to them, look, you know, the way I put it was 8 9 I talked about whakapapa. And I said, you know, the audience that I have is this white middle class Pakeha 16.15 10 and they look at you and they see a brown face and a 11 12 patch and I said, you know, I don't need to explain to 13 you the conclusions they draw from that. But I said what 14 I'm interested in is like this Powhiri process of where 15 are you from? What's your whakapapa? And I said, if 16 you've come through these welfare homes, the State is 17 your parent. And there was this very - there was silence 18 and I wasn't quite sure how it was going down because there were some pretty stony faces in front of me. 19

Anyway, we sort of wrapped up and I greeted them all. Shortly after that, there was two conversations that I will refer to. I will refer to Albie Epere first. I sat round the lunch table with a few of them and all of them pretty much had been through those places, Epuni, Kohitere, Owairaka, and it pretty much confirmed my hunch that there's something going on here.

27 So, I managed to convince Albie to go on the record I will just step back for a moment. One of 28 with that. 29 the other things that was going on at that time, was 16.16 30 Stuart Nash was in the Labour Party and was in opposition, and he was kicking up and making a song and 31 32 dance about smashing the gangs. He was the spokesperson 33 for Police. And it kind of annoyed me. I kind of, I 34 didn't mention him by name but I was essentially

- 105 -

countering the, kind of, argument that he was making.
 And I sort of angled the interview with Albie around this
 whole question of the government being responsible for
 this stuff and Albie kind of rose to the challenge of
 that question and really put it back on the government
 and the State.

It was a very, very strong interview, largely because of Albie's kind of, you know, ability to articulate his experience.

16.1710I'd just like to separate here a little bit because11now we have an opposition that's the National Party and12we've got the leader of the opposition, Simon Bridges,13saying pretty much exactly the same thing that Stuart14Nash was saying a few years ago. As Kim Workman pointed15out, it goes in a constant loop.

And permission to be frank here, Mr Chair? I'm sick 16 17 and tired of it, listening to these politicians, and it seems to me that the politicians that most like to dump 18 19 on Maori, are Maori. Now, Winston Peters is going to hate this but Simon Bridges has learnt it from Winston. 16.18 20 21 Paula Benefit - Bennett sorry - Shane Jones, they've all learnt the trick from Winston. Coming back to the public 22 23 conversation I mentioned and getting the votes and 24 getting the clicks and selling the papers, they've learnt that the best way to get that white middle class vote is 25 to shit on their own people. 26

27 Now, Simon Bridges has got no idea what some of 28 these individuals have been through. And it infuriates 29 He wants to talk about, and not just him, he's part me. of a whole pattern, about being tough on crime. The only 16.19 30 problem with that, is he's very selective about the 31 32 criminals, he's very selective about the crimes. You 33 know, I've sat and listened to individuals, including gang members, who have been raped multiple times. Simon 34

7

8 9

- 106 -

Bridges was in government for 9 years. When did he ever 1 2 talk about those crimes? When did he ever talk about 3 getting tough on those criminals? And let's go to 4 Winston Peters. We've heard from Oliver Sutherland, you know this stuff has been hiding in plain sight for 5 6 decades. Why has Winston Peters not kicked up about 7 that? It infuriates me. They use people who have already been abused to further their political careers. 8 9 Shane Jones, he made a statement, he wanted to nuke gang members, put them off the face of the earth. He wants to 16.20 10 portray himself as this Maori boy from the north. What 11 12 about all those other Maori boys from the north that 13 ended up in those places? What about them? I am sick of I am sick of listening to it. The crimes that have 14 it. 15 been committed against these individuals, men and women, 16 are horrific. I don't know if anyone noticed during 17 Oliver's testimony, there were two women who had to bolt 18 out of this women when he was describing the stuff that went on at those girls homes. I know one of them, 19 another one I introduced myself to. They had to get out 16.21 20 21 of here because it was too traumatic for them. Whv haven't these politicians like Simon Bridges spoken up 22 23 and stood up for that, you know, being hard on that 24 crime? I'm sorry, I'm just, I've had enough of listening 25 to this message and the media has got a responsibility to 26 knock that over. They don't because the victims are the 27 gang members. Duncan Gunn he made a public statement that gang members don't have any human rights. 28 Before 29 they were a gang member, before they had their patch on, 16.22 30 before they masked up with the tattoos they were little boys. They had their human rights stripped when they 31 32 were kids and violated then. What about that, Mr Gunn? 33 I am sick of the political figures, media figures, who 34 want to shit on these people who never met them, never

- 107 -

- 1 taken an interest.
- Q. We probably might just take a break there to catch our
 breath a little.
- 4 A. Sorry.
- 5 Q. I don't want to curtail your evidence on that point.
- 6 A. I'm done.
- 7 Q. It was always going to be an important point, so I do
 8 thank you for your points there. We probably don't need
 9 to rehash the actual journalistic article that you put
 16.23 10 forward because it was essentially that argument.
 - 11 A. Yep.
 - 12 Q. Are you fine to continue?
 - 13 A. Yes, I'm fine.
 - 14 Q. Yesterday we heard some significant evidence from Moana 15 Jackson about the parallels with indigenous experience in 16 other colonised countries. You also wrote an article 17 about that, that centered on a survivor story. Do you 18 want to briefly cover that as well?
- 19 Yeah. I'm honoured that Tyrone Marcus is here today. Α. I've got to know Tyrone and I'm honoured to call him a 16.23 20 21 friend. His story is one of the worse I've heard, you know, and yet he's a remarkable individual who's just, 22 23 I'm astonished at times how he's survived what he has. Ι 24 had always thought there was a parallel with the Stolen Generations and that originally was based on my 25 experience of adoption. But, yeah, certainly when I 26 27 looked into this issue, there's just such strong parallels. One example of that, is if you take the 28 Canadian report, which I refer to, and Lizzie's book and 29 16.24 30 you put them side by side, and it's like reading the same kind of document in places. 31

I think there's some differences in terminology and methods, if you like, but the pattern is the same, you know. You take the land, you sort of take the culture

- 108 -

1 and then, you guys are still here? Okay, we'll take your 2 kids and knock them into shape. I think in New Zealand, 3 if you look at Canada, the residential schools, were residential boarding schools for over 100 years, I think 4 in New Zealand it was kind of played out differently. 5 6 You had the native schools which weren't boarding schools 7 but they stripped the language. And then there's those native schools were winding down, the welfare homes were 8 9 winding up as the urbanisation and that shift happened.

16.2510So, one question that I raised with some of the11people I talked to, Wilton Littlechild who is a native12American, Canadian, has played huge roles in the UN etc.13and was a residential school survivor.

14 Q. Is he also a Commissioner?

A. He's also a Commissioner. He was fantastic to talk to.
He wasn't really familiar with the New Zealand situation
but what he described in both his own experience and in
the bigger picture, was very similar.

19I also talked to Peter Read from Australia who16.262021length about those guys because they were fantastic.

22 One thing that struck me was they talked about how 23 it took a long time for what you might want to call the 24 narrative to kind of sink in with not only the general 25 public, the white public, but even survivors themselves. 26 Peter Read talked very clearly about this, many just did 27 not understand the bigger context of what had happened to 28 them. And likewise with Canada.

When they were telling me this, I was actually, it kind of scared me a bit because it's like, well, we were about 20 years behind that and we're not even at square one, you know you're shit house when you're lagging behind Australia. So, that, you know, and I felt then a responsibility to, okay, put that narrative in place.

- 109 -

Like, I'm not going to do it on my own but I'm certainly
 going to try and contribute to it.

3 So, yeah, for me, and then I guess if you look at, 4 say, for example, the Maori language claim, there's kind of a famous moment where I don't know who it was but 5 6 asked Sir James Henere, how there's no actual specific 7 legislation here that says the Maori language shall be abolished, Sir James said there's not legislation but 8 9 there's certainly a gentlemen's agreement. I put that whole question about specific legislation to Vicky Tauli 16.28 10 Corpuz, I think she was the UN Indigenous representative, 11 12 she said it doesn't matter, if you have the same methods, 13 the same outcomes, it's the same thing, you don't need the legislation. If you look at Australia and Canada, 14 15 there is specific legislation and I think that needs to 16 be kept in mind that although we didn't have the specific 17 edit to take Maori children, all these other policies 18 that were going on added up to the same result.

19I'd also like that just make, if I can, a16.2820distinction between legislation and policy/practice.

21 I know you are all very learned Judges and lawyers but, for example, with adoption, that legislation has 22 23 been in place for over 60 years now. It hasn't changed significantly but the policy has swung all over the place 24 and from when I was adopted, and even earlier, things 25 26 were quite different. Whereas, now I mean I have two 27 nieces that have been adopted from the Philippines. My birth father has adopted two girls and that was open. 28 29 And I guess the point I'm trying to make, is that, you know, you can have the legislation but there's always 16.29 30 these unelected sort of bureaucrats and officials and 31 32 practitioners who can kind of make it up as they go along 33 in some cases. And it can be, I don't know, just, you 34 know, the latest fashion. And somebody gets an idea in

- 110 -

their head or it's the public pressure or the public, the social environment. I mean, it was a shameful thing for a girl to get pregnant when she was a teenager back in the 1960s. It's not that - that social attitude is not there now.

6 I guess, I'm just trying to highlight there can be 7 quite - the legislation is not going to tell you a whole lot sometimes. It's actually at that other level that 8 9 things operate. You probably know that but anyway. So, we've covered your investigative work and some of 16.30 10 Q. your arguments around this issue. If we can move now to, 11 12 if we can frame it around your article you've referenced 13 on page 14, paragraph 61, if you want to catch up to 14 where we are in the brief.

15 A. Sorry, page 14?

16 Q. Yes, top of page 14. This section of your brief covers 17 another argument that you made in an article about the 18 behaviour of the State which again you can take the brief 19 as read and the article as read. But are there some key 16.31 20 aspects of the brief that you'd like to highlight for the 21 record today?

I guess, journalism is often about explaining 22 Α. Yeah. 23 quite complex things in a way that the average 24 intelligent lay person can understand. You know, a lot of this information, and you're going to hear more of it 25 than I am, is quite complex. And I guess when I looked 26 27 at the State's behaviour over a long period of time, you 28 know, it's a bit of a struggle to explain it in a way 29 that's succinct.

But the more I thought about it, the more I thought, Well, the State is a legal person and if I was to try and describe that person, how would I do that? This person has been accused of committing some horrendous crimes, what's been their response? When I broke it down like

- 111 -

that, the analogy, and it is an analogy that I came up with, is the State is a psychopath. And if you go through the list, tick all the boxes of psychopath. No remorse, no insight into their offending, manipulative, lying, etc., etc.

Now, I'm not saying that, you know, people who work at Crown Law are psychopath individually. I mean, I've met a couple, they're quite pleasant people. But the behaviour of the Crown overall, if you add it up, it's about looking after itself.

It hink you've heard the evidence from many people and the causes of some of that original abuse and you could say is it negligence? You know you could not explain it away but you could explain it. But that's one thing. I think the Crown's response to that, it hasn't been some kind of oversight or negligence. It's been very sophisticated and it's been very deliberate.

18 Just as an aside, I get all sorts of tid bits of information and then sometimes I can verify it, sometimes 19 I can't, but I've heard now recently that Crown Law has 16.33 20 21 got more funding to respond to this Royal Commission than the Royal Commission has got itself. And like I say, I 22 23 don't know if that's correct and I'm just raising it because I'd be interested to know. I'm getting the 24 impression from the demeanour of one Commissioner at 25 least, that that's not - they are not aware of that. 26

Now, for me it's about if I was to, for example, 27 I've made a lot of Official Information requests and 28 29 often it's not MSD that you're dealing with. It's sitting behind MSD is Crown Law whenever you're talking 16.34 30 about this issue in particular. And for me it's been 31 32 Crown Law all the way through that has, I referred 33 earlier to Ros saying Crown Law was trying to shut it down. And, you know, there's a whole lot of events that 34

16.33 10

6

7

8 9

- 112 -

	1		are in my statement, I don't need to cover it here
	2		exactly, but you can see this ark of the Crown's
	3		behaviour over a long period of time. I haven't got all
	4		the evidence. I've got bits and pieces but I've got
	5		enough to build an impression that the State has always
	6		been about acting in its own interests.
	7	Q.	That's your characterisation, you put it forward in that
	8		article and you have some examples in your brief about
	9		that. I just thought I might inquire with Mr Chair, if
16.35	10		we're not going to go through the examples word-for-word,
	11		if that's not necessary, would you like to take a short
	12		break or just continue with this witness because we'd be
	13		close to wrapping up?
	14	CHAI	R: I think we'll just continue.
	15	MS H	IARONGA :
	16	Q.	If that's okay with you, Mr Smale?
	17	Α.	That's fine, yes.
	18	Q.	Because I am conscious of the time. The two examples
	19		that you used, being Lake Alice and the White trial.
16.36	20	Α.	Yep.
	21	Q.	If I can just direct maybe any lagging questions that you
	22		have, that you might challenge this Commission with in
	23		relation to where your investigation ended and what you
	24		think could be learnt from what was available to you and
	25		how far you could take it?
	26	A.	Yeah, I think, I mean, I've already touched on it in a
	27		couple of ways. Again, I mean, my first story and my
	28		current work actually, has been very focused on the
	29		Crown's response. And when I say Crown, it's
16.37	30		predominantly Crown Law which spans a number of
	31		governments. It's advised several Governments now on its
	32		response and I've always found that response extremely
	33		problematic. I mean, I'll just give a little
	34		illustration. I mean, I'll touch on Lake Alice a little

- 113 -

bit again and just give another random example of which I
 have a multitude.

3 There's some serious questions around Lake Alice, in terms of - I'll start with Gallen J's report. Now, as 4 you will know from reading the evidence and you would 5 6 have already probably done some research yourself, there 7 was a civil claim in the late 90s and that was eventually settled by the Helen Clark government and a sum was paid. 8 9 Part of that process was Gallen J was asked to divvy out that amount, I think Oliver may have referred to that, 16.38 10 and that report, sorry Gallen J, that's what he was asked 11 12 to do, was to figure out who should get what, but he went 13 through and thoroughly investigated, Oliver has mentioned 14 it. That report was damning and there was a couple of 15 things.

16 One, that report was leaked and the media got hold 17 of it, I believe it was the Evening Post, and were about 18 to publish a story and there was an injunction put on 19 them.

16.38 20 Q. By who?

21 Crown, so it wouldn't be made public. And that went to Α. Court. I believe it was Justice Ryan Young, I believe, 22 23 said, no, it can be released and it was, and I have a 24 copy and I'm sure the Commission has a copy. What's curious about that is all Gallen J does is layout the 25 facts as he saw them, so what's the problem? 26 Why go to 27 that length? And who was involved in that 28 decision-making process?

29 That's just one little aspect of this case, one 16.39 30 small aspect.

31 Some of those claimants then, their allegations were 32 then forwarded to the Police. And this is where it gets 33 really, really weird and murky. I guess I have to be 34 careful I don't speculate but forgive me if I venture

- 114 -

1 there, but there's just this really strange process over 2 a good decade almost, where those allegations were never, 3 in my view, and in the view of a number of people, never 4 properly investigated. And then the Police came, I think it was in 2008-2009, and made a statement that there was 5 6 not enough evidence to prosecute which is really weird 7 when you put that statement alongside Gallen J's report. You put those two side by side. Not only that, Mike and 8 9 I have been digging around and Mike is going to launch on a big boat very shortly but there was never really a 16.40 10 Police investigation anyway. As far as we can tell, the 11 12 evidence points to I think there was one person who was 13 spoken to, it might have been Hake Haho and that was 14 taken as being representative. It wasn't. There were a 15 number of other cases that were quite different, I think.

16 There was also, I am losing my track here, sorry, 17 there was - again, Crown Law's position there is this 18 conflict of interest because they took statements from a 19 number of staff, they took those statements not to investigate them for any criminal activity, they took 16.41 20 21 them to, they were acting like their defence lawyers effectively. Because they were working for the State 22 23 they were going to defend them. This is where I start to 24 speculate because my understanding is, if anybody is a State employee is convicted of serious crimes, then the 25 Crown's liability, the Crown is liable. And that 26 conviction in a Criminal Court is rock solid evidence. 27 Now, I'm not a lawyer, I'm just kind of layman's 28 understanding here, so please forgive me if I'm kind of 29 venturing into areas I am not qualified to. 16.42 30

31 But, again, when the National Government came in, 32 just before the Police released their report, Chris 33 Finlayson, I believe, waived privilege on six of those 34 staff statements. Mike and I found out recently that

- 115 -

there's actually a substantial number that they haven't 1 2 waived privilege on. And I guess again speculating, the 3 six that were released were probably of no real risk, if 4 you like, in terms of improper behaviour. Those would be just some of the questions that you -5 Q. 6 Α. Those are just some of the questions I'd like to put out 7 there. Those other statements that were taken by the Crown from staff at Lake Alice, what's in them? Is it 8 9 incriminating? If it is incriminating, it incriminates state employees and for the State that's a big problem 16.43 10 because if those allegations are proven then, you know, 11 12 the Crown is on the hook for more than it paid out. 13 Yeah, that's an opinion but that's what it looks 14 like to me. And it looks like that to a couple of other 15 people we've spoken to. And you have similar, some questions about the White 16 Q. 17 litigation strategy as well? 18 Yeah. My brief of evidence, I mean it was hard to sort Α. of figure out how to narrow it all down. I think there's 19 a very direct link between the legal strategy that was 16.44 20 21 developed, I haven't seen it, I've tried to get a copy of 22 it and of course legal privilege kind of scuffed that 23 attempt. But I did get some documents in my OIA request. There's a report to White Creech and a lot of it is 24 redacted out and it's about the Lake Alice situation. 25 26 There's a couple of things in there that are notable. 27 One of them is that they layout some options, that's standard and something to be accepted. But one of the 28 options that they layout, in terms of the Crown's 29 response, is, I mean one of them is let it go to Court 16.45 30 and let the Courts test it. Yeah, there's several 31 32 options. 33 One of the options that they canvass but you can't 34 see the detail, is use all technical defences.

- 116 -

1 The other thing that's notable, is that you've got 2 these, in one paragraph it makes note and flags that most 3 of the kids that were in Lake Alice had come from other institutions. And so, there's this very real kind of, 4 they mention, they also make allegations about those 5 6 places. So, I think it's been flagged that we don't just 7 have to worry about Lake Alice. We've got a great big, kind of, elephant galloping down the road at us and we 8 9 need to figure out what do.

Now, that was in when was it late 90s. If you fast 16.46 10 forward to 2007 in the White trial, you can see there's, 11 12 I've read the transcript, I talked to Sonja Cooper, sorry 13 I've read the decision, some of the transcript, had 14 conversations with Sonja and I think Sonja has basically 15 been for the entire time she's been working in this area 16 fighting that strategy of using all technical defences. 17 Not only has she been fighting it, survivors have been 18 fighting it.

19And that, again I'm piecing together little16.4620fragments and I can't see the whole picture. I would be21- I would love for the Royal Commission to kind of fill22in those gaps because I think, again, it will show the23State's response and, you know, was that the adequacy or24otherwise of that response.

Q. So, that would wrap up the characterisation of the
State's behaviour and do you have some close being
comments around the treatment of Maori, particularly
Maori men, in relation to abuse in care?

29 A. Yeah. I think in some respects it's kind of -

16.47 30 Q. Sorry, in reference to the brief for the Commissioners,31 from page 21 onwards.

32 A. It's already been fairly well canvassed, that you know
33 Maori men are the biggest cohort here. I want to
34 emphasise that my focus has been on Maori men, for the

- 117 -

1 reasons I've already outlined. I don't in any way want 2 to exclude or diminish the suffering of non-Maori or 3 women at all. In fact, for many Pakeha, they actually got harder treatment because they were in the minority 4 5 and they were smaller but I guess, standing back from 6 individual stories, if you look at the big picture, it's 7 very clear who the biggest group are and they're Maori 8 men.

I'm sort of reluctant to - as a journalist I like to 9 put other people up on the platform and it's embarrassing 16.49 10 to - I don't want to claim ever to speak on behalf of 11 12 survivors. I just give them a platform. One of my jobs has always been to convince people that, you know, that 13 14 are very downtrodden and have suffered immense abuse, that they are worthy to be heard. You know, I hope the 15 Commission takes, you know, that approach. 16

I mean, I could give you multiple examples. I don't
want to speak on behalf of survivors, they can speak for
themselves and I think they do.

I guess one example, to try and illustrate some of 16.49 20 21 the stories I've heard, some of the other witnesses talk about what's been going on in the welfare homes. There's 22 one individual I've got to know, who was in, for good 23 24 reason, but had been removed from a particular situation 25 at the age of 6, probably earlier, went through welfare homes. You can see through his file there's this 26 27 constant pattern abscond, abscond, running away. 28 There's quite a negative slant put on that by the welfare 29 staff in his file.

16.5030You talk to the individual, and the reason he was31absconding and running away is because he was being32sexually abused in particular in those places, and it's a33massive pattern going on. And there's an escalation.34These kids, they had very limited choices but when they

- 118 -

1 did they took them, whether it was fighting back or 2 running away.

3 But there's this escalation that happens. It's like snakes and ladders without the ladders. And then this 4 escalates and he goes into the welfare homes, he runs 5 6 away from there. Then there's the secure, you know, 7 solitary confinement and on it goes. And each of those welfare homes, I have built up a spreadsheet of 8 9 perpetrators in these different places, and you kind of 16.51 10 overlay that onto his time through there and look at his actual Statement of Claim, and there's these perpetrators 11 12 that here's encountering all the way through.

13 And then he ends up, the escalation continues and he 14 ends up at Lake Alice by the time he's 12-13, and that's 15 just another house of horrors that's on another level all again and the ECT etc. and the sexual abuse actually and 16 17 the ECT gets a big play, talking about Lake Alice, the 18 sexual abuse was rife as well. Remember, you have 19 criminally insane adults that kids are being chucked in 16.52 20 with.

21

21 So, it doesn't take much imagination to figure out 22 some of the stuff that was going on there.

And this individual who's got what I would call a very robust sense of humour, and please forgive the language here -

26 Q. Just before you tell the story for the purpose of the 27 record, do you have the consent of the survivor?

28 A. Yes, I do, yeah.

29 Q. He's supporting you telling this story?

16.52 30 A. Yeah, I checked with him. And he once said to me, he has
31 this rather brutal sense of humour that is I guess his
32 way of coping or trying to cope, the trauma is still
33 there, it's a coping mechanism, if you like, he once said
34 to me that he had "been fucked up the arse so many times

- 119 -

1 you could park a truck up there and turn it around". And then he kind of laughs about it. And, you know, I don't 2 3 mean to be offensive or to - I suppose for many survivors 4 that would not be the way they talk about it but that's the way he talks about it. And when you hear the scale 5 6 of the abuse he's been through, I think that's probably a 7 fairly accurate summary. You know, this thing, Oliver Sutherland's just stunning evidence this morning, the 8 9 incarceration of kids, that individual was in I think it was Mt Eden by the time he was 14-15 and he was in one of 16.53 10 those solitary confinement cells there that Oliver talked 11 12 to and I believe Oliver, I'm pretty sure Oliver 13 encountered him in his work, and he was in D block by the 14 time he was 16. Since then, he's been 35 years in and 15 out of jail.

16 This is the scale of what we're talking about here 17 and he describes, you know, the suicides in D block and 18 reels off the names. And, you know, I guess people end up in D black for all sorts of reasons but I was always 19 curious did they go on the same journey as you? Yeah. 16.54 20 21 And he can tell you where he knew them as a child and 22 which home they went through, and even in some cases, you 23 know, there was individuals where, in one case, he was 24 raped by the same individual at virtually the same time. 25 And it's - you know, I've spent hours with this guy just talking, you know, and he will tell you story after story 26 and I've spent probably, I don't know, weeks, maybe 27 28 months, just chatting. And I still, I'm still, I think, 29 what he's told me is only still a small percentage of what he's been through. And the first time I met him 16.55 30 after about a 3 hour conversation, I drove from his place 31 32 down to the petrol station and I can remember very 33 distinctively just being in a fog of shaking my head and thinking how the hell does any human being go through 34

- 120 -

that much trauma and still be kind of standing? He has his challenges, don't worry about that, but it's just the fact he's still alive. And when you say survivor, people chuck that word out kind of a bit glibly sometimes. Surviving what? You listen to this guy's story and you know it's just astonishing. And he's not the only one.

And there's a lot of, this individual, I think is a
really healthy attitude in many respects and his ability
to talk about it. What concerns me though is there's a
whole lot of individual out there, and they're
predominantly Maori men, who have just closed up and
don't talk about it.

As an aside, I'm working on a number of things, I 13 14 have a PhD I've started into this very subject and a 15 documentary, but I'm working on, and have been working on 16 for some time, a story about, well it's not a story yet, 17 it's a conversation, regarding some serious allegations of sexual abuse, allegations against some very prominent 18 19 New Zealanders, and I won't say any more than that because I have a lot of due diligence to do to get that 16.57 20 21 story to a point where it will be published and it may not be published, I don't know, I hope it does. But I 22 23 find those allegations credible. One of the very, very 24 sad things about it, is that one of those individuals recently took his life and that's, I guess, the ultimate 25 silencing. There's people that won't make it here and in 26 27 the time that I've been working on this, there's probably 28 half a dozen people I know, some of them I don't know, 29 I've heard of, people I've spoken to and know them, have passed away in what I would call premature deaths. 16.58 30 That one is one of them. Suicide, drug and alcohol abuse, P, 31 32 I think there's a lot of people, people talk about gang 33 members on P, yeah well maybe a lot of them are self-medicating. There's several individuals I've heard, 34

- 121 -

1 only a couple of weeks ago there's a guy I know, one of 2 the founding members of the Nomads, there's a guy that he 3 was close to who was, you know, a feared individual in 4 his day, but was reduced to a physical wreck from P use 5 and he went through that same journey. And, yeah, people 6 want to talk about P and gangs, well, maybe that's just 7 their way of coping with some pretty ugly stuff. We're probably drawing to a close of the total evidence 8 Q. 9 briefed here, Aaron. Do you want to close with your final paragraphs on page 26 in again, if you can confirm 16.59 10 you have the support of the survivor mentioned to use 11 12 those words? Yeah. Again, I'm coming back to Tyrone. Tyrone and I 13 Α. 14 have had a lot of conversations. He has a very, very, 15 he's been through them all, including Lake Alice, and, as I say, just a remarkable individual. This is part of the 16 17 story I did on Stolen Generations and I concluded with this quote because I think it's, yes, he has a very 18 powerful story to tell and, you know, I one day want you 19 to hear it, it's up to him obviously but he gave me 17.00 20 21 permission, well I've used it in the story but he's verified he's happy for me to read it out here. He puts 22 23 it back on, it's not about, you know, he knows what his story is and it's again this point I make about the 24 behaviour of the State. And he's been fighting, well the 25 Lake Alice settlement, he's fought through that, he's 26 27 currently on Sonja Cooper's books and I think he's been 28 there for about 12 years on her books, and his remark, I 29 think, summed it up for me. I will read it out. 17.00 30 Speaking about the State he said, "They just breach every principle, ethically, morally, and they don't take 31 32 responsibility. We've had to take responsibility for 33 everything we do in life. But they don't. They haven't. 34

I know my story. But I want to hear the State tell

- 122 -

	1	its story. I want to hear them give their explanation
	2	for what they did to us".
	3	And I will leave it there.
	4	MS HARONGA: Can I just check with Mr Mount? Thank you,
	5	Mr Smale, I'll hand back to Mr Chair. There's no
	6	further questions from Counsel Assisting.
	7	CHAIR: May I ask, first of all, if any counsel wish to
	8	cross-examine Mr Smale?
	9	MS SKYES: Sir, I had intimated I did but in light of
17.02	10	his oral testimony, we won't take this opportunity
	11	at this time.
	12	CHAIR: Thank you.
	13	
	14	
	15	
	16	* * *
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20 21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	
	28	
	29	
	30	
	31	
	32	
	33	
	34	

- 123 -

	1		
	2		AARON EDWARD SMALE
	3		QUESTIONED BY COMMISSIONERS
	4		
	5		
	6		
	7	CHAI	R: Colleagues, are there any questions that any of
	8		you have?
	9	COMM	ISSIONER ERUETI: I have a few questions. The first
17.02	10		question is about the work on the residences. It's
	11		curious to me that there's a lot of academic
	12		journalistic focus on the residences, like Hokio
	13		and others, but less attention seems to be on
	14		foster care, although you've got survivors who are
	15		moving in and out of institutions into foster care.
	16		I think it's a concern for me that we know less
	17		about the family homes and other larger homes, Tower Hill
	18		for example. Even those, we heard from Keith the
	19		experience he had in the family home reminded him of the
17.03	20		experience he had at Epuni. I wondered what your
	21		thoughts were on that about this gap, if you like, blind
	22		spot?
	23	Α.	Yeah. I mean, I actually revisited that question a short
	24		while ago, looking at - I had another look at Lizzie
	25		Stanley's book and she gives a break down on the sort
	26		percentages, if you like, stats isn't my strong point
	27		unfortunately but it was quite noticeable that, for
	28		example, and I think this probably needs to be
	29		highlighted a little bit in the public conversation that
17.04	30		I mentioned, where for example the church homes accounted
	31		for in the figures, it was a survey I think that Lizzie
	32		was referring to, I haven't got the figures at hand
	33		sorry, but it was quite noticeable to me that the actual
	34		church run homes, and I don't know the definition on

- 124 -

that, but it was 4%. And then the - I think it was, yeah, I stand to be corrected but I think the State run homes was something like 12-15% or something. And then I can't remember what the family homes were, it wasn't as big but, yeah, the biggest group was actually the foster homes.

7 Yeah, I mean, sometimes you read something and you miss it the first time around and I'm going through this 8 9 at the moment with my research where I'm revisiting a lot of this stuff and I'm like, okay, the foster homes, I 17.05 10 guess, yeah, I mean, a lot of the emphasise has been on 11 12 these tangible bricks and mortar institutions because 13 there they are. I mean, I live in Levin, you know, some 14 of those old buildings are still standing, I've been down 15 there a few times and it's quite airy to see a physical 16 place but there's a couple of survivors I've spoken to 17 and those foster homes, I think, were very much, my 18 impression is that it was a lot of kids going into the foster homes were young, you know, you're talking kind of 19 5 or 6 up. And I think when, you know, a couple of the 17.05 20 21 examples of individuals I know, they get to a point where if there's - I suppose if you think about it, the abuse 22 23 that I've heard described to me in foster homes, you 24 know, I always get a little uncomfortable ranking certain 25 crimes but, yeah, some of the worse stuff I've heard has been in foster homes. And when you think about it, 26 there's just no control. I mean, those foster parents 27 had utter total control over those children. There was 28 29 no other staff who could have mitigated or modified some of the worse behaviour by other staff members. 17.06 30

31 If those foster parents were bad, they were bad, 32 that was it. And so, yeah, I take your point, I mean, 33 there is, I think, a need to, and again the children are 34 at an age where they're extremely vulnerable. And then,

- 125 -

1 as I say, there's this pattern you can see with some 2 individuals, where they bolt and run. I would suggest if 3 you are looking at files like that, that you kind of read 4 them against the grain and take that as a possible, there might be other reasons but a possible potential flag as 5 6 to there's something wrong there, there's something going 7 If a kid feels safe, but there's a little bit of a on. balancing act there because sometimes a kid has gone 8 through a whole series of foster homes where they have 9 been abused and then they get to a good one and they just 17.07 10 have been so damaged and have lost so many trust that 11 12 they can't actually settle.

13 You know, I've had conversations with individuals who say they can remember, yeah, "that one wasn't bad, 14 15 the person was all right, they beat me up but they weren't raping me". But, yeah, I think there is, you're 16 17 right, there is a huge, it's almost overlooked, I think. 18 I'd have to go back and check the survey that Lizzie is 19 referring to, but it's probably a snapshot that is indicative but it's certainly worth, I think, 17.08 20 21 considering.

And then, as I mention, from the foster homes 22 23 there's this escalation. I don't know what the controls 24 or the accountability structures or the vetting or the screening, if you like, of those foster homes, I don't 25 know what they were like. I mean, there's one example I 26 can think of where they were struggling to place a 27 28 particular child and then up pops this individual, they 29 were desperate to find somewhere to put him, and they put him in that particular foster home and he was passed 17.08 30 around what I would just almost consider a paedophile 31 32 ring. The individual, at least a couple of those foster 33 parents were known and was abusive, there's allegations 34 of abuse going on at both of them, and that kind of

- 126 -

there's a lot to dig in and try and understand. It is a 1 2 feed, I think, because once those kids start exhibiting 3 that kind of behaviour, they're running away around 4 exhibiting that, yeah, behaviour, that the welfare officers criticise, then that's it, bang, you're off to 5 6 Owairaka and that's again the next step up. 7 COMMISSIONER ERUETI: That definitely requires the 8 Committee's attention. I take your point and acknowledge the role of Maori boys and the impact 9 it's had on them. I do think again perhaps it's 17.10 10 worth looking at as well there's another untold 11 12 story that requires more recognition and space of 13 the impact on Maori women. We saw Oliver earlier today talk about the significant numbers of young 14 15 Maori women who were escalated up to Borstal in the 70s. I suspect too, that I take your point and 16 17 acknowledge it about the impact on young Maori boys 18 too but there's more work that we need to be doing 19 to explore about the impact that apprehension by Police and Child Welfare Officers has on young 17.10 20 21 Maori women. Fareham House and other Maori girls schools? 22 23 It's funny you mention that, in my research I'm looking Α. 24 at what's happening to Maori and I was astonished because 25 that's one of the few homed that was specifically setup 26 for Maori. And the rationale, it was setup I think in 27 about the 1940s and of course you had the war on and so you had a lot of sort of soldiers in camps like 28 Palmerston North etc., and the rationale for it was that 29 these Maori girls were kind of promiscuous and therefore, 17.11 30 we had to contain them in a separate kind of institution 31 32 in case they corrupted these pure little Pakeha girls. 33 That's kind of a, I won't say an anomaly but it's a kind 34 of curious example of the fact that at least in that

- 127 -

period, that Maori behaviour, if you like, or attitudes 1 2 towards Maori, whether it was Maori girls, adolescent 3 girls or whether it was Maori whanau, you know, this is 4 slightly off topic but it's not, I mean for example, you know, one of the things that I'm trying to look at in my 5 6 research is what were all these factors? We've heard 7 some, many of them, but take for example you know urbanisation. You had places like Freemans Bay, you 8 9 couldn't get any change out of a couple of million now but it was a slum in the '50s and earlier. And I can't 17.12 10 remember, the State Services Corporation or something, 11 12 there was a government department that was responsible 13 for housing. Freemans Bay, there was all this slum 14 housing there and of course Maori were coming in from the 15 urban migration was kicking off big time and the Council 16 decided, right, we're going to clear these slums out, 17 just bowl the lot, and there was these developments going 18 on in that area and you receive these references and language around the Maori problem or Maori social 19 adjustment or integration, you know, there's all sorts of 17.13 20 euthymisms used. And Maori Affairs were trying to get 21 resources to house people and not only that, the State 22 23 Services Corporation, I think that's the name of it, 24 said, no, you guys are responsible for not only Maori but all those Pacific Island people and Indians. 25 Maori Affairs pushed back and said, well, it's not that we have 26 anything against Polynesians or Indian people but this is 27 our responsibility here. 28

And so, from there you get these Maori, and many of them and other ethnicities, ended up getting dumped out at Otara. People often think of state housing as being for poor brown people. Originally it wasn't, it was for middle kind of Pakeha. And there was this resistance to letting Maori into that resource but in the end the sort

- 128 -

1 of numbers became such that they couldn't. I mean, the 2 Maori population doubled, it went from 80,000 to I think 160,000 in a 30 year period or something. You can see 3 the government departments just aren't prepared and in 4 fact are quite hostile to all these Maori turning up. 5 6 Not only that, you had Pakeha families themselves, make 7 these constant, a number of scholars refer to these, I am only just starting into the primary sources but 8 9 complaints about Maori because they're Maori. These neighbours, do strange things like have a tangi in the 17.15 10 garage or have a boil up or something, what is that? It 11 was probably a whole lot of Pakeha people freaking out, 12 and the pepper potting that Kim and others referred to. 13 14 And so, the reason I sort of sketched that out is because, you know, these youth aid welfare officers and 15 16 the like, that's the environment they're operating in. 17 You know, I think that period, I don't want to broaden your scope because it's already been, the whole 18 19 economic background is huge, you know, particularly in that period and that's where you see the escalation. 17.15 20 21 COMMISSIONER ERUETI: Kia ora. I am thinking of the 22 resumption birth, impact on white women which affects it also? 23 24 Α. Yes. 25 COMMISSIONER ERUETI: Thank you for your evidence, I 26 appreciate it. 27 COMMISSIONER SHAW: I have no questions, thank you for your evidence. 28 29 COMMISSIONER ALOFIVAE: I just want to thank you for the forthrightness and the honesty in which you shared 17.16 30 your evidence this afternoon. 31 32 COMMISSIONER GIBSON: Kia ora, Aaron, a couple of 33 acknowledgments and a question. First acknowledging the people who have generously, the 34

- 129 -

survivors who have generously shared their stories with you and acknowledging them and they share with the Commission as well, that we seek for them to have justice from this as well.

5 Secondly, you raise the issue of suicide amongst 6 many survivors and I think that is a big issue which we 7 need to deal with as well and acknowledging that many 8 people passed as a result of abuse in care.

9 An observation, and I'm keen to get your take on that, there are lots of reports which nothing may have 17.16 10 come from early on but from my reading of a lot of 11 12 documentation, there was almost no mention of sexual 13 abuse up until about reports of the mid 80s or something 14 like that, despite the evidence that we're hearing that 15 it was endemic. Any thoughts, any comments, learnings from that? 16

A. Yeah, Moana Jackson and I had this conversation, why is
this stuff coming out now? And I don't know that there's
I think the social climate and having a name for it. I
don't know that there was much awareness of it at that
time, whatever context it was happening.

I think there's also - this might seem a strange analogy but I've interviewed war veterans, for example, and there's many of those old guys would not talk about what they'd experienced until later in life when they had time to reflect, whether it's grandchildren, they knew their time was up.

And I think there's a certain degree of that with survivors. And I think one aspect is, actually, yeah, a friend in Corrections mentioned this to me, that for many of them, they don't really reflect, they charge off, the abuse sends their life off into this chaos and then there gets a point at which they may have had kids but they were kids themselves and they don't have much interaction

- 130 -

with those kids. And then it's not until they have mokopuna, grandchildren, that a bit of age and wisdom kicks in and they start to think about and reflect on their grandkids and the thought of their grandkids going through that. And that can be sometimes, I think, one trigger for people to talk.

7 One of the - I never got to meet this person but after I think it was my first story went out, the Human 8 9 Rights Commission picked up a lot of the people were calling into them, I would like to acknowledge a couple 17.19 10 of people, Susan Devoy and Christine at the Human Rights 11 12 Commission, they did a fantastic job at supporting the 13 people that came out in response to some of those 14 stories. It was overwhelming and it was certainly 15 overwhelming for me to try and, you know, I just didn't have the ability and they picked up the slack. 16

17 But anyway, I can't remember, it might have been 18 Susan mentioned to me that there was a woman who rang up, ran into them, I think she was seeking some kind of help. 19 And what had happened is her husband had broken down and 17.20 20 21 told her what had happened to him, in terms of sexual 22 abuse, and he had never disclosed that to her. Like I 23 say, I didn't meet that individual and I can't comment 24 but that's been one of the really, if there's one thing I will kind of, I don't know what the result of this Royal 25 26 Commission is going to be but if there's one thing I can 27 say that perhaps I've helped or maybe even you could even say achieved, is broken through some of that silence, and 28 29 giving people permission to talk about it. They may come and speak to the Royal Commission, they may not. 17.20 30 Ιt might be that they just talk to their family. One of the 31 32 things that just blew me away working with Tyrone, is 33 he's got four adult daughters and they read my story and 34 that was the first time that they had known what he'd

- 131 -

1 been through and that was just astonishing to me. I 2 suppose, there's a certain logic to it. Why would you 3 want to expose your children to that kind of thing? And 4 I suppose now that they're adults, maybe. But that, I just felt utterly kind of humbled by that, that somebody 5 6 finds out something that's happened to their own father 7 from me, yeah. Yeah, I don't know if I'm answering your question but it's just that silencing and that shaming is 8 9 just huge and I think perpetrators are very keen to be very manipulative and the fear, you know. There's 17.22 10 certain people that I'm dealing with that I'm trying to 11 12 talk to who there's a fear. You know, I'm trying to - I 13 think I made this statement earlier, is trying to 14 convince them that they're actually worthy to be heard 15 and, yeah, that's - I would consider that probably one of 16 the most important things I do or try to do. 17 CHAIR: That seems to me to be a helpful note which 18 might suitably bring our proceedings for today to an end and to conclude the evidence that you have 19 17.22 20 given, Mr Smale. 21 Can I join my colleagues in thanking you for the prestigious work that you have committed yourself to for 22 23 a great many years which provides landscape on which the Royal Commission is tasked with its work. Madam 24 Registrar, can we conclude today's proceedings with you 25 inviting Ngati Whatua to come forward and to do that in 26 27 the way that is appropriate? 28 MR MOUNT: Sorry, Mr Chair, just before Ngati Whatua 29 does that, I believe we are now scheduled to start tomorrow at 9.30. This is just by way of 17.23 30 communicating that to those who are here. 31 32 CHAIR: Thank you, your belief is correct, it basis 33 itself on a submission that you made earlier in the day. We have tomorrow, ladies and gentlemen, a 34

- 132 -

passage of testimony which, in order to make sure that we finish at 5.00, or very shortly thereafter, that we should start at 9.30 rather than 10.00. The general rule is 10.00 for very good reason, particularly lawyers need to prepare to get the hearing going at 10.00, but there is good reason for tomorrow for us to start at 9.30. (Closing karakia and waiata) 17.26 10 Hearing adjourned at 5.27 p.m.