# Abuse in Care Royal Commission of Inquiry Contextual Hearing on Friday, 8 November 2019 at the Rydges Hotel, Auckland

## Commission Members:

Commissioner C Shaw

Sir Anand Satyanand - Chair Commissioner S Alofivae Commissioner A Erueti Commissioner P Gibson

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

1	
2	

#### OPENING ADDRESSES

10.06 30

10.05 20

5 CHAIR: Mr Mount, good morning.

6 MR MOUNT: Good morning, Mr Chair, good morning
7 Commissioners. Day 9 of this hearing and we have
8 three witnesses scheduled. The first will be
9 Mr Mike Ledingham and after Mr Ledingham we have
10.04 10 Professor Des Cahill and Dr Peter Wilson, led by
11 Hanne Janes.

I will say a couple of words if I may about the evidence today. The focus today is obviously on faith-based institutions and abuse in that context. But it would be wrong to think of abuse in the care of State, the State, and abuse in the care of faith-based institutions as two completely separate categories. What we know is that, in fact, many people experienced both forms of abuse and many people transitioned through both State care and the care of faith-based institutions through the course of their lives.

It's also not the case that this final day of the hearings is the only day which we are addressing abuse in the care of faith-based institutions. By my count, at least 8 witnesses have already discussed abuse in the care of faith-based institutions.

As we have explained previously, the way we are approaching this Inquiry is to divide the very broad Terms of Reference into a series of investigations. We will very soon be commencing the process of investigations into faith-based institutions and that process will be a searching and exacting process, which will take some time but it will reflect the seriousness with which this Inquiry views abuse in the care of the

	1	churches and other such institutions.
	2	I also want to emphasise that the survivors of abuse
	3	in the care of the churches and faith-based institutions
	4	are very welcome at the Inquiry's private sessions and
	5	large numbers of such stories have already been heard by
	6	the Commissioners. So, there is no prioritising or
	7	ranking of experiences of abuse and, Mr Chair, we will
	8	recall the very clear message you gave to the government
	9	as part of their consultation process, where you
10.07	10	reflected the message from those you spoke to that abuse
	11	is abuse and we do not differentiate between different
	12	forms of abuse in this Inquiry.
	13	We will hear today about overseas Inquiries and what
	14	they have learned about abuse in the care of faith-based
	15	institutions, particularly in Australia, and that is a
	16	topic that the Royal Commission clearly will take very
	17	seriously and will examine very closely.
	18	That's all I wanted to say by way of introduction,
	19	as I say, Ms Janes will deal with the witnesses today.
10.07	20	CHAIR: Thank you, Mr Mount, for explaining landscape of
	21	the Royal Commission, particularly insofar as those
	22	people who are of a faith-based background.
	23	Ms Janes, good morning. Please proceed.
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	***
	28	
	29	
	30	
	31	

33 34

- 1031 -

	1		
	2		MIKE LEDINGHAM - AFFIRMED
	3		EXAMINED BY MS JANES
	4		
	5		
	6	MS J	ANES: May it please the Commission, we have our
	7		first witness, Mr Ledingham.
	8	CHAI	R: Mr Ledingham, good morning. In terms of the
	9		Inquiries Act 2013 under which the Royal Commission
10.08	10		is operating, I am required to seek your answer to
	11		an initial question. (Witness affirmed).
	12	Α.	I do, as I remember it.
	13	MS J	ANES:
	14	Q.	Mr Ledingham, is your full name Michael Joseph Ledingham?
	15	A.	Yes.
	16	Q.	And you have prepared a statement for the Commissioner
	17		which you have signed and dated?
	18	Α.	Yes.
	19	Q.	And I understand that as we go through the evidence,
10.09	20		there will be some changes because of subsequent events
	21		after you completed this?
	22	Α.	Yes, when we get to that stage I will make a note.
	23	Q.	Perfect. And just before we start on your evidence, I
	24		understand that you have been notified that our Chair is
	25		a Catholic and as a courtesy because you have experience
	26		of abuse in the Catholic Church, there has been a
	27		discussion about your comfort?
	28	Α.	Yes. Not only am I representing myself here today, I am
	29		representing my two younger brothers who were also
10.10	30		abused. Speaking to them last night, they found it odd
	31		that a member of the faith, the perpetrating faith, is in
	32		charge of this Commission. But I have been assured that
	33		you are an honourable man and you will deal with
	34		everything impartially and will advocate impartially.

#### - 1032 -

- And on that advice, I am happy with that. And I passed that on to my two brothers.
- 3 CHAIR: Thank you, Mr Ledingham. I acknowledge and
- 4 respect and understand what you have said. Myself
- 5 and my four colleagues are New Zealanders of varied
- 6 backgrounds, Samoan, Maori, European and we come
- from a number of faiths, Presbyterian, Catholic and
- 8 I am Catholic and that is widely known and that has
- 9 been made clear. But we have, as assiduously as we
- 10.11 10 can, endeavoured to make our footprint asserting
  - independence and our willingness to listen to
  - 12 everyone who comes before us, particularly those
  - who have been survivors. So, I acknowledge what
  - 14 you've said and can understand what you've said and
  - 15 I will now invite you to provide the material that
  - 16 you wish to bring to our attention. Thank you.
  - 17 A. Thank you.

#### 18 MS JANES:

- 19 Q. Just before we get into the evidence, can you confirm
- 10.12 20 that when you speak about your brothers and a particular
  - 21 third party who is a friend of yours, that you have their
  - 22 consent?
  - 23 A. Yes, I have their full consent to use their case as part
  - of my submission.
  - 25 Q. Mr Ledingham, can I ask you to start reading then from
  - 26 paragraph 2 of your statement?
  - 27 A. All right. I found it extremely difficult to formulate
  - 28 this address. It is hard to remain detached when you
  - 29 have experienced what we did. But for all the victims'
- 10.12 30 sakes, here I am today, hopefully speaking for a vast
  - 31 silent majority also.
  - 32 I have written a book about our experience called
  - 33 The Catholic Boys. It was published in June this year
  - 34 after taking me more than 20 years to write.

#### - 1033 -

I have had three other books published over the past
five years after finding out later in life I did have a
talent for writing but oh to have learnt this at a much
younger age. My publisher Mike Smith of BMS Books
Rotorua, a Catholic himself, always knew I had this draft
copy of The Catholic Boys squirrelled away and kept
encouraging me to finish.

I put it aside many times before, not only because I kept becoming disgusted with recalling what had happened but also our experience of the arrogant and seemingly non-caring attitude of the Catholic Church.

CHAIR: Mr Ledingham, I intervene only to ask you to keep your eye on the stenotyper and the people who are translating into sign language what you're saying. It's an obvious thing that having said that, I'm asking you to please moderate the pace of what you're saying.

18 A. Moderate?

8

1112

13

1415

16

17

10.13 10

19 CHAIR: Go more slowly.

10.14 20 A. Okay, yep. So, I dithered around with it for 20 years
21 but this changed suddenly late last year when the Bishop
22 of Adelaide proclaimed words to this effect, "I would
23 never report a priest who confessed to sexual abuse. To
24 me, for the victim this is like having contact with God
25 through the priest".

I was outraged at this and I thought how sick is that? I was appalled like the people like that Bishop of Adelaide were in charge of the church. So, it was exactly the motivation I needed and I finished the book ably supported by my sister Mary as the narrator because I was too close to the story to do it and my two younger brothers who have each told their side of sordid childhood.

3334

26

27

28

29

31

32

10.14 30

#### 1 MS JANES:

- 2 Q. You say in your next paragraph that it took you some
- 3 40 years to fully disclose and later in your brief you
- 4 talk about why you didn't report. Can we move on to
- 5 paragraph 11?
- 6 A. I make no apology for the language when describing what
- 7 happened. It may offend some of you, especially
- 8 religious people, but then nobody really apologised to us
- 9 when we disclosed that Catholic Church clergy performed
- deviant practices on us bewildered frightened children.
  - 11 Q. Carry on.
  - 12 A. The horrific abuse that happened can't be told and
  - understood using polite words or watered-down rhetoric.
  - I make the point also, I have not always been a good
  - person, I was screwed up mentally for quite a few years
  - and didn't always do the right things.
  - By the same token, I don't preach or tell people to
  - 18 follow me like churches do. I'm more like "don't follow
  - me I'm lost".
- 10.16 20 We, my brothers and I, make up the numbers of
  - 21 countless other victims of abuse in the Catholic Church
  - 22 who are out there, and I somewhat feel we also have a
  - 23 duty to those silent, suffering people to try and bring a
  - 24 sick and self-centered institution out of the dark ages
  - to face the realisation of what they have done.
  - 26 Sexually abusing anyone is a crime.
  - 27 Sexually abusing children is abominable.
  - If any one of us did it, we would face years in
  - 29 jail.
- 10.16 30 So, how can they be allowed to get away with it?
  - 31 Q. Mike, thank you for having the courage to come forward
  - 32 and tell your story. Can you now describe what happened
  - 33 to you, starting at paragraph 27?
  - 34 A. Okay. What happened. On the face of it, we grew up in a

## - 1035 -

great example of a Catholic family with strong Irish
roots. My family was typical of many of those who lived
in the area. My father worked, my mother stayed at home,
and us 8 kids were raised to respect adults and those in
authority, especially priests of the Catholic Church.

6 7

8

1112

13

1415

16

17

18

27

28

29

31

32

33

34

10.19 30

10.17 10

We were regarded as what was then called a good Catholic family, and it was always impressed on us from an early age that we were privileged to be members of the one true church and had standards to uphold.

My brothers and I served as altar boys at the local Catholic Church.

We attended the local parish convent school, St Joseph's Onehunga. There were many religious visitors to our house. We became accustomed to seeing them, liked most of them and, unfortunately as it turned out, trusted them implicitly.

In our small wee eyes, the Catholic Church was all powerful, always correct and in fact infallible.

- 19 Q. And then when did the abuse begin for you? You have that at paragraph 34.
  - A. For me, my memory, the actual abuse proper began in 1958 at Father Frank Green's Aunty's house in Mt Roskill.

    Previously when doing gymnastics or especially when riding in the front seat of his car, he would rub up and down your legs and body quite inappropriately but we didn't understand that at the time.

We'd gone up to his Aunty's house ostensibly to redecorate the house while she was away. We worked for a while, then stopped for a break. He asked me how my training for the silver badge in gymnastics was going. I said okay except for the splits exercise which I found really hard.

Green said he could help me and got me to do the splits while he got down behind me and began rubbing the

## - 1036 -

area of my crack and my balls which surprised and unsettled me.

3

45

67

8

11

22

23

24

25

26

27

2829

10.21 30

10.20 10

After a while, he said it would be a lot easier if I took my strides off. I was unsure and unwilling to do this, but he ordered me to do it. He then started stroking and massaging my whole genital area while he went quiet and strange breathing heavily with some sort of movement of his body going on. I understand now that he was masturbating himself, although again, as an 8 year old child with no idea about sexual matters, I certainly didn't understand this at the time.

- 12 Q. And you have described the effect that this abuse had on you.
- 14 Well, yeah, you need to understand that I was only an 8 Α. 15 year old. We'd had no sexual, you know we knew nothing 16 about sex. In fact it was a taboo subject. I was totally uncomprehending, shocked, frightened and sickened. 17 felt very dirty. When he was finished I went straight to 18 19 the toilet and locked myself in and just sat there in abject misery until he ordered me out, dropped me home 10.21 20 21 and left with not even a word spoken.

My life had suddenly turned into a total nightmare. More especially after all the teaching about love of God, piety and purity and burning in hell fire forever if you sinned being hammered into you from an early age.

I understand now that for an 8 year old child, me, this was quite a catastrophic event in my life.

I was so traumatised by the events I never once managed to tell a soul what had happened until years later.

- 31 Q. And you've also described the effects it had on your 32 health and your education.
- 33 A. I developed asthma around that time. My attention at 34 school was sadly lacking and the nuns were pretty quick

## - 1037 -

to dish out the strap or other punishments for this.

1 2

10.23 30

10.23 20

10.22 10

Green very quickly followed up the abuse with orders to the nuns for me to report to him at the presbytery after school for unspecified reasons. After just about sweating blood all day over this, sick and frightened about what might occur, I knew I could not ignore this instruction.

Once there, he would always accuse you of some sort of misdemeanour, all bullshit of course, theft, damage to the school or church or grave yard but his favourite subject was talking about masturbating. He seemed to have a fixation on this heinous sin but I didn't know what he was talking about. I became even more confused. I can still remember him asking me what colour the fluid was that came out of my penis when I played with myself. For me, an 8 year old boy, this was totally non-comprehendible. You know, like, I couldn't, you begin to doubt yourself. Your mind.

This type of interrogation happened on at least 4 to 6 occasions that I recall, so you can imagine my attention at school had been well and truly diverted. I spent my time with my head over my shoulders worried and after school could not get the hell out of that place quick enough.

My asthma continued to plague me, meaning days at home in comparative safety and I can remember the doctor telling Mum that there didn't seem to be a clinical reason for the asthma, so it must be a nervous thing. I think he was spot on.

Funnily enough, as soon as I left secondary school and went working on a farm, the asthma disappeared and I've never had it since. I gave up church at that time too.

Q. And, Mike, you also talked about the abuse at the convent

- 1038 -

- school but did Father Green also assert himself into your home life?
- 3 A. He did.
- 4 Q. Paragraph 54.
- 5 A. It wasn't really safe at home because Green turned up
- often because our Mum did typing for the church.
- 7 Remember this priest was God on earth to an Irish
- 8 Catholic family at that time. The intimidation continued
- 9 on a regular basis. I remember once when my brother Gerry
- 10.24 10 had a school mate come over for the weekend, Green heard
  - about this. We found out years later that Green
  - 12 had also abused this boy. He called us both up to the
  - presbytery after school, split us up into separate rooms
  - and told us that the other boy had told him we'd all
  - 15 masturbated ourselves around the back of our house. We
  - denied this but he kept us there until we finally
  - admitted it and then he warned us that he would tell the
  - nuns and our parents if we ever got together with that
  - 19 boy again.
- 10.25 20 On the way home, Gerry said he hadn't done it and I
  - 21 said I hadn't either but neither of us actually told the
  - other of the abuse that had occurred to us at that time
  - because it was a dirty, terrible, dark secret. I think
  - Green was scared we would compare notes with that other
  - boy too and I can tell you this, it was far from our
  - 26 minds. We were too scared to tell anyone about the
  - 27 nightmare we were now living.
  - 28 Q. And at paragraph 59, you list a lot of the profound
  - effects that it had on you?
- 10.25 30 A. Yes, like many other victim survivors we lived in
  - 31 confusion and silence, I achieved no qualifications. I
  - 32 mistrusted authority. I developed a blind hatred of
  - intimidation which was to cost me a fair few good jobs.
  - I did not feel safe letting people get close to me.

#### - 1039 -

- developed a drinking problem that I think I still have. 1
- 2 I committed a few crimes in the latter 60s which could
- 3 have had me in jail or Borstal if I'd been caught. But I
- always knew when to cut and run. 4
- 5 After I joined the Army, I did spend time in the 6 Military prison.
- Up until that time, you had believed you were the only 7 Q.
- one experiencing abuse but what happened to them and how 8
- 9 did you find out about your brothers?
- 10.26 10 Α. I didn't know but obviously he tried me and because of
  - the asthma and he wasn't getting a chance, he must have 11
  - 12 moved on to my younger brother Gerry, he's 11 months
  - younger than me, so he could have only been 7 when this 13
  - happened. We are very similar in thinking but we have a 14
  - 15 few differing personality traits. He is a very private
  - man and I don't think he has told me the full extent of 16
  - the abuse that occurred to him but I could be wrong about 17
  - this. 18

- 19 What I do know is that, like a lot of the victims, he loathes the Catholic Church and distrusts them 10.27 20
  - completely which you can't blame him for forgiven what 21
  - 22 happened and then the shameful way we were treated when
  - 23 we tried to get redress.
  - 24 I asked him if he would like to say something to you 25 today and he had this to say:
  - 26
  - "Nothing much has changed. They (the church) go on
  - 27 about how sorry they are and how they now look after the
  - victims, but you know, not once in the 17 years since we 29 were forced to go public has anyone even rung to see how
- 10.27 30 we were travelling or if we needed anything.
  - 31 full of shit and as far as I'm concerned, they can shove
  - 32 their sick religion right up their jacksy".
  - Thanks for that Gerry, that gets your point across 33
  - 34 pretty succinctly, I feel.

My brother Chris was always a quieter far more gentle and more studious type than me and Gerry. He wouldn't hurt a fly. And Gerry and I used to have to fight his battles for him at school. Mum always used to say look after your little brother and so we did.

This part I find hard. Finding out in 2002 Green had got to him badly upset me greatly. I felt I had let him down and I cried. My sister, Mary, pointed out given the powerlessness of the situation we were in, I wouldn't have been able to do anything about it anyway. But if I had known earlier while Green was still alive I'd have nailed the bastard for sure.

Chris used the money he got from the church to go to Uni in Aussie. He achieved two degrees and had the education he was supposed to, that he would have got in his 20s had things been normal, with the chance of earning good money for far more years before retirement.

Like the rest of us who were abused, he hasn't got much to retire with. In fact, with the interference the abuse caused to our early education, and the subsequent loss of further education, qualifications and employment, we are a typical example of many bright intelligent children with potential to achieve and be financially independent who are now facing a meager retirement.

I asked Chris for you today and this is what he said, having read this book, Walking Towards Thunder by Peter Fox an ex-Aussie Policeman who was trying to inquire into sexual abuse within the Catholic Church, he now knows the Catholic Church did conspire to cover up sexual abuse crimes. His quote stems from the church's handling of the pedophile Priest Denis McAlinden who they knew had been abusing young girls for years. They claimed beyond transferring them what else could they do? My answer to that would be hand them over to the Police.

1 2

10.28 10

# - 1041 -

1		But the church's canon law acknowledged the inherent
2		sinfulness of clergy abusing children but only required
3		McAlinden to be rebuked and given warnings. They tried
4		moving him but that had failed.
5		Canon law also dictated that. "A priest's good name
6		be protected, and secrecy demanded by Crimen
7		Sollicitationis, a 1962 Holy Office instruction which
8		American priests and academic Thomas Doyle would later
9		describe as "an explicit policy to cover up cases of
10		abuse by clergy".
11		So McAlinden's crimes were concealed a pontifical
12		secret.
13		That gives the lie to the fact that they were
14		conspiring to cover up sexual abuse.
15		Thanks, Chris, that tells a story and gives the lie
16		to denials of organised cover ups.
17		Can I just explain Walking Towards Thunder? It is
18		an Irish saying, it means in your life there will be
19		trouble and storms, you walk towards the thunder and
20		eventually you'll get through it and come out into the
21		sunshine. I think you should all read this book. It is
22		a compelling read and it is a sad read. I couldn't put
23		it down.
24	Q.	Thank you, Mike. And then you talk about the life, the
25		effect on not only your life but your brother's life and
26		the family's life at paragraph 76?
27	Α.	Life after the abuse. My sister summed it up with her
28		statement:
29		"With the abuse came our journey from a happy family
30		with the usual happy feisty kids to one of three troubled
31		teenagers who became angry, abusing and self-abusing men,
32		with consequences for themselves and traumatic results
33		for our family".

Even today, there is still things in our family that

10.31

10.31

10.32

#### - 1042 -

have never been sorted because of religion, this sort of thing can split families.

10.34 30

10.33 20

10.33 10

As I have said, after the abuse by Green, my attention waned in school and I stopped achieving, my health was affected. I am not a professional man, nor am I well educated. In fact you could well say that I was brought up in the school of hard knocks and arse covering, literally. It is hard enough as a young child to recognise that the better your education, the better your employment would be without having someone having designs on your arse.

I left school after the 5th form after failing School Certificate. From memory, I had asthma around the time of the exams, which didn't help, but I feel I never really regained the ground that those last miserable years at that convent cost me.

I was certainly very mixed up and confused.

When you've been brainwashed by religion, and then sexually abused by a priest at a young age, it is not a good recipe for logical thinking.

Basically, I ran wild for four to five years, had jobs on farms, had altercations with bosses, had jobs at the freezing works, had altercations with fellow workers, couldn't hold down a job very long. I had an honest pathological hatred of intimidation and wasn't a successful drinker. I got involved in brawls and vandalism, caught a few good hidings and left a couple of towns one step ahead of the Police but I always seemed to know when to cut and run.

Many of my friends in those days were Maori and this remains so today. They thought I was a mad bastard, up north they called me crazy horse and I loved their humour, willingness to stand their ground and fight and also their stoicism when suffering from racism, which

#### - 1043 -

1 there was a lot of back then.

10.36 30

10.35 20

10.35 10

Doing national service in 1971, this is what I struck, the guys I was doing national service with a lot of Maori boys, camaraderie, humour. So, we decided to join the regular force, a lot of us, which was a good thing for me discipline-wise. It probably kept me out of jail. Although as mentioned, I did do time in the Military prison. I still hated intimidation and over the years had several fights with more senior people.

I did two tour up in Southeast Asia with the Infantry Battalion Station, missed Vietnam which we were brassed off about but as we got older we realised we were lucky.

In 1978 was talked into having a go the SAS selection course, surprising myself I was one of 9 candidates from about 56 who passed and I spent the next 7 years there. Nothing the SAS threw at me physically or mentally phased me as much as the sexual and mental abuse I suffered as a child at that convent.

Sadly, after 7 years with the SAS the old intimidation thing rose again when as a Corporal I attacked a Warrant Officer after an argument. The boys broke it up when it became obvious I was going to mangle him.

That effectively was the end of my service after 15 years. In another 5 years I would have got a full Military pension.

I married a Maori girl I met in the Army in my 30s, although I still had closeness and trust issues. We had 5 children rapidly. Sadly, one died just before she turned 3. My wife suffered from depression after this and with my own problems, as well as grief, I wasn't too much good to her and in the end we split after 25 years.

I brought my children up as heathens with a great

#### - 1044 -

contempt for any religion but they also knew if they struck any problems, especially people problems, Dad would be there for them. You don't need a Bible in your hands to be a good person.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

1112

13

14

1516

17

1819

2122

23

24

25

2627

2829

31

32

33

10.38 30

10.38 20

10.37 10

I am lucky. My children all have a good work ethic, are good people with many friends from all cultures and walks of life. And I now live next door to one daughter who has two boys and 5 minutes away from another one, a girl, my Mokos.

I often look at the 8 year old boy sometimes and wonder, he's about the age I was when I got abused. And although they are a lot more sophisticated now, he is not as street-wise as we were back then. But I'll say this, if anyone ever touched him I'd cut their throat and happily go to jail for the rest of my life. Life is good you might say but I can't help feeling it should have been better for all of the victims. We all had something snatched away from us at a very young age that you can never get back, trust and innocence. The Bishop of Auckland claimed that nobody knew anything about Green's offending in the 12 years at Onehunga Parish, but I find that extremely hard to believe. I was a single soldier for 11 years before I got married, lived in the barracks for 7-8 of those years. When you live close with others like that you get to know what they are like and if they have any particular bad habits, like being bent. Fortunately, we only found a couple like that in my time in the service. With what we now understand to be Green's history of offending, the argument that nobody knew doesn't wash with me Mr Bishop of Auckland.

I firmly believe what Green did to me and others denied many of us our right and ability to determine our futures.

34 Q. And then, Mike, you reinforce in your following

#### - 1045 -

- 1 paragraphs some of the things that you've spoken about,
- about not feeling secure in your home, not being able to
- 3 trust. Can I take you to paragraph 106. You talk there
- 4 about the moment of truth coming when you found out about
- 5 your youngest brother.
- 6 A. Can I just read something from 104 first?
- 7 Q. Yes.
- 8 A. My adolescent years instead of being a time of growth and
- 9 development, instead I had to find out, to try and find
- 10.39 10 myself which was to prove an elusive goal. I compensated
  - for lack of confidence, especially around females, by
  - drinking heavily, I lacked stability, drifting from one
  - job to the next, never staying too long in one place and
  - never being able to trust or form a close relationship
  - with anyone, thinking there was something wrong with me
  - 16 because of what occurred, keeping my unspeakable secret
  - 17 buried deep.
  - The moment of truth came in 2002 August when our
  - 19 youngest brother Chris confided in Gerry and me about the
- abuse that he had suffered from Green and revealed that
  - 21 he had written to the church twice and they wouldn't
  - 22 answer him.
  - So, it was then we got together and understood what
  - the abuse had cost our families and us.
  - 25 Yeah.
  - 26 Q. Carry on.
  - 27 A. Carry on?
  - 28 Q. Mm-Mmm. You've talked earlier about it took quite a
  - 29 while for you to fully disclose. This would probably be
- a good time to touch on why you hadn't reported earlier
  - 31 and also why your brothers hadn't reported earlier, and
  - that's at paragraph 115.
  - 33 A. We, like other victims/survivors, have been asked why we
  - told no-one at the time, why has it taken so many years

## - 1046 -

to report what happened to you? The culture of that time was that we, as children, had no power at all.

1

2

3

45

6

7

8

1112

13

14

1516

17

1819

2122

23

24

25

26

2728

29

31

32

3334

10.43 30

10.42 20

10.41 10

If you were accused of something by a nun or priest, you were automatically assumed guilty. If you did have the temerity to protest your innocence, you are generally punished twice; once for the misdemeanour you were supposed to have committed and once for calling the nun or priest a liar. Plus, of course, as children, the abuse that occurred was way beyond our meager comprehension to actually put it into words was impossible.

I was so traumatised by the events I never once managed to tell a soul until my brother Gerry and I finally spoke about it in 1985. I'd just been kicked out of the Army and he was heading to Perth and we had a big booze up, everyone else went home, we were sitting around talking and he said, he mentioned this Father Green and I said I think he was a fucken pedophile and Gerry said he was, he had a go at me and I said yeah, he had a go at me too. I've always been an attacking sort of a person, I said let's do the bastard. He was still alive then, but our Mum wasn't well and she believed in this all her life and we decided we couldn't take that away from her. now wish we'd done something about it. It was not until 2002, my brother Chris encouraged by his counsellor shared his abuse with us. So, then he informed us he had written to the church twice in 2002 and been ignored.

And then we knew they'd been informed of his sexual abuse and we wrote further to tell them about we other two.

So, the response of the church. What did they do? They did what they're good at, ignored, delayed, deferred, detracted, denied. They did anything, anything they could not to accept responsibility until we felt forced to go public.

We didn't know really what to expect from the church

#### - 1047 -

but they seemed to draw it out for as long as possible with many excuses for lack of progress.

10.45 30

10.44 20

10.44 10

A visible complaints process didn't seem to be in place then and they drew things out for so long, both my brothers began to have nightmares and flashbacks.

Chris, not knowing we had also been abused had first written to Bishop Dunn on 18 April 2002 explaining what had happened to him and finishing with:

"I don't know what to expect from this letter, I just know I have to write it".

Having had no answer, he wrote again on 6 of June finishing with:

"I urge you to take some interest in this matter for our own mutual healing benefit".

He eventually got a reply from the Bishop on 1 July 2002 and the church's professional Standards Committee on 11 July 2002. By then because it was taking so long, on advice he had confided in us and was shocked to learn we had also been abused. So, he wrote to the Professional Standards Committee telling them about us and giving them his Perth telephone number for a contact.

Despite various letters back and forward over the next few months, there did seem to be a deafening silence while they fluffed around with committees, meetings, excuses, claims of an unseen letter and nobody seeming to know what to do, we made the decision to engage a New Zealand lawyer, with no perceivable progress being made over the next weeks and realised just how much the Catholic Church were messing us about, we decided to take action.

There is an old infantry adage that I've always been fond of, "The best means of defence is attack". So, we went in boots and all.

We went public in the New Zealand Herald on the 7th

of December 2002.

This certainly produced some reaction with Bishop
Dunn flying over to Perth to meet us and also resulted in
various other victims coming out of the woodwork.
Certainly, it wasn't our greatest wish to have the whole
sordid business public but we needed to get some sort of
resolution while they appeared to be playing for time.

They did fly Chris and I over to Auckland for a hearing in 2003. Gerry hates them so much he couldn't stand to be near them and he couldn't take responsibility for what he might do, so he stayed where he is.

We went to their place in Ponsonby accompanied by my sister Mary and our lawyer. They had a couple of QCs with the Bishop and various other religious people present but not too much eventuated from this meeting.

They showed us a balance sheet showing there was no money and I do recall the Bishop actually stating that they didn't want to pay us too much because it might set a precedent for future cases.

The saga dragged on through 2003. There was another release in The Herald, "No joy for abused trio". Both my brothers were still having nightmares and flashbacks. So, in late 2003, when an offer was made, it was decided we accept this.

The matter was finally settled on 15 January 2004.

After the settlement, we never heard from the church again. And we only began to take - I only began to take interest after the outrageous statement from the Bishop of Adelaide which motivated me into finishing the book. I have to say, although the Bishop prattled on about closure, you don't ever feel you've got closure when you continue to wake up suddenly after having a dream about the whole sordid nightmare that was your main childhood memory.

10.46 10 

10.46 20

10.47 30

# - 1049 -

	1		Tellingly, although I am now back living in
	2		New Zealand, both my younger brothers prefer the
	3		anonymity of living in Australia after being forced to go
	4		public with our story, although they are Kiwis at heart.
	5		Basically, they are refugees from their own country.
	6	Q.	And you set out in your evidence your belief that there
	7		are other abused -
	8	A.	We know there are others. After my book was published,
	9		my publisher was contacted immediately by a woman, told
10.48	10		him her brother had also been abused by Green around that
	11		time and committed suicide.
	12		My publisher put this lady in contact with the
	13		Commission and I believe she's made a submission.
	14		Recently, I met and talked to an old school friend
	15		from those days. He stayed in the general vicinity all
	16		those years and he knew or suspected who the victims are
	17		when we were together at school. He shared with us his
	18		own horrific story of abuse by Green. Although he has
	19		passed away, he did speak to the Commission about 10 days
10.49	20		before he died and I have his permission to share part of
	21		his story with you.
	22		His father was killed in a car accident when he was
	23		7. Immediately after which, Green zeroed in on him and
	24		began abusing him. Soon he became pretty wild and
	25		uncontrollable, which you might well understand. His
	26		mother, with another 6-year-old brother and 4 other young
	27		girls, the youngest a babe in arms, could no longer
	28		control him. In desperation, she eventually sent him off
	29		to stay with relatives in the country. There away from
10.49	30		the perverted attention of the predator he settled down
	31		and was happier again.
	32		When I first spoke to him about this it was on

Messenger and I'm deaf and Messenger is in and out. When

we sat with Sandra, his story changed so I'm going to

33

tell you what happened.

1

2

3

45

67

8

11

12

13

14

1516

17

18

19

2122

23

24

2526

27

2829

31

32

33

34

10.52 30

10.51 20

10.50 10

After some months of being away, Green told the mother that he should be at home with her and he, Green, would help control him. Green went down to where the child was staying, ostensibly to pick him up. Denis came home from school, saw Green's car in the driveway, went to the back of the farm and hid. As soon as Green went, he came back and went inside. Green stayed in the general vicinity for two days looking for this boy to take him back. Eventually, Green went back but he got to the mother and within a week he'd organised for Green -Green organised for him to be brought back home within a Green then continued abusing him until he was 11 years old. Can you imagine that? I only remember the one instance of being abused but I do remember the mental abuse. This poor guy for 4 years at least he was abused by this priest. I think that's bloody horrific. he has passed away but lucky he spoke to the Commissioners just before he died.

He saw our case in The Herald in 2002, got a lawyer himself and took issue with the church.

His experience of reporting to them was similar to ours. He said he had to face a board and felt very intimidated as they questioned him, seemingly looking for some sort of hole in his story that they could seize upon.

He reported the Bishop told him that the church was not responsible for the abuse because they did not employ Father Green.

So, Denis asked the Bishop, who did then? God?

This did not go down too well. The church by then had accepted responsibility for our abuse by Green, so to me this was a pathetic way to try and avoid responsibility to a single victim on his own.

#### - 1051 -

1 I reckon it's porky telling, although the Bishop may 2 well differ. 3 A terminally ill man has no reason to lie you'd I know who I believe. 4 5 Support and compassion from the church you'd hope? This victim suffered a further trauma when he first went 6 7 to have chemo. On his hospital record he was listed as RC, Roman Catholic, even though like the rest of us he'd 8 9 tossed away religion years previously. A Catholic liaison officer rocked up to see him but he told her he 10.53 10 was no longer a Catholic because he had been sexually 11 12 abused by a priest as a child. "Are you sure it happened?", she said. "Are you sure it happened?" I'm 13 sure I'm not allowed to repeat what he told her here but 14 15 she got out that door pretty quick, he said. 16 This incident highlights a problem we found in this 17 church, the denial, the denial the abuse happened, the denial of responsibility and the silence of the Laity. 18 19 Where are they, the Laity? Why are they not questioning and asking for 10.53 20 explanations from their leaders? 21 22 This is serious. These so-called leaders of faith are having input into what is being taught to the 23 children in their schools. 24 Many are possibly guilty of permitting crimes 25 26 against children to go unpunished. 27 I could never send my kid to any school like that. 28 My sister, who acted as conduit for us boys in 29 dealings with the Catholic Church at the time had this to 10.54 30 say: 31 "The church at the time did not handle them in the 32 way that it should have. It is my most sincere prayer that those at the top will see the error of their ways 33 34 and reconcile what was, in reality, further abuse, at

# - 1052 -

1		that time".
2	Q.	Mike, you have some suggestions that you would like to
3		make to the Commissioners?
4	A.	I do, I do. What needs to happen? Commissioners, I
5		agreed to give this testimony today to give voice to the
6		abuse that has happened, not just to us but many children
7		in New Zealand, by church institutions, state
8		institutions and in our case the Catholic Church.
9		So, I'm not just reporting our experience to you
10		today, Commissioners. I'm reporting an experience that
11		many other victims/survivors of the church in New Zealand
12		and their families will recognise has only been too
13		similar to their own.
14		The church must be held accountable.
15		The church cannot be trusted, in my experience, to
16		do what must be done, so the criminal abuse of children
17		stops.
18		Of the many things I think you need to look at, the
19		confessional is one. I quote from the Heal Project's
20		website:
21		"This culture of silence and shame around sex and
22		sexuality creates a breeding ground for child sexual
23		abuse".
24		This is exactly what the confessional does. It
25		forgives these perverts of their crime, does nothing
26		to stop them and allows them to continue on with their
27		deviant ways.
28		Therefore, I strongly recommend the supposed
29		sanctity of the confessional be totally disregarded,
30		especially legally.
31		There is no doubt in my mind that many of these

10.54

10.55

10.55

32 33

34

Surely, the safety and sanctity of the children

abusers went to confession after defiling children, got

themselves back into a supposed "state of grace" then

went on to reoffend, again and again.

#### - 1053 -

comes first? 1 2 When the abuse occurs and is confessed, you have two 3 serious criminal offenders - the abuser and the priest who heard the confession and did nothing about it. 4 5 This church needs to abolish this monstrosity immediately and all alleged abusers should be 6 7 interrogated thoroughly as to who they confessed to and/or who knew about the offending. 8 9 This nonsense is what has allowed these abusers to 10.56 10 flourish. The other point I'd like to make to you, 11 12 Commissioners, is the Catholic Church should in no way be allowed to handle allegations of sexual abuse in-house. 13 Serious sexual abuse is systemic right throughout 14 this organisation worldwide, ably encouraged by their 15 16 archaic confessional laws. 17 They are extremely reluctant to take ownership of the abuse suffered by my brothers and I, and were 18 19 extremely difficult to engage in meaningful dialogue, and 10.57 20 there were three of us. Imagine the difficulty facing a single victim. 21 22 Sexual abuse of children, especially of children is 23 a crime after all. 24 All allegations of sexual abuse should be handled by 25 the Police or, if historic, a non-aligned professional group funded by the group themselves. 26 27 The Catholic Church I believe is the biggest 28 shareholder in faith-based abuse. They don't pay tax 29 anyway, so why can they not take the burden placed on our 10.57 30 society of the hundreds if not thousands of victims of 31 abuse by their own clergy? 32 They have amply demonstrated they cannot honestly, fairly and charitably deal with the blight that affects 33

their church. This church has a debt not only to victims

#### - 1054 -

and their families but also to the taxpayers. Talking to an old Army mate of mine who has been a prison guard for 30 years, he told me most of the prisoners he looked after had been abused in some form or other and yes, many by faith-based institutions.

10.59 30

10.59 20

10.58 10

To the church, I'd say, stop reading from the Judas book of betrayal, denial and hanging onto the 30 pieces of silver and read from the Jesus file. Do the right thing. You have the assets. Sell some of them and fund the programme for victims run by professionals. I am sure Jesus would agree with that. You preach that you and your followers are going to inherit the Kingdom of Heaven, so you should be able to afford a few of your many castles on earth, use the money as reparation for the countless victims of your clergy.

I'd also like to address the abusers and enablers out there. Many of you are getting closer and closer to the big D day, death. You may think you have been forgiven by going to confession but I really do have my doubts. Why don't you do something to break the cycle of silence and perhaps gain back some vestige of your own self-respect. Simple. You are propagating a fraud and you are living a lie. Own up. Own up for the abuse and/or its cover up.

At least have the balls to stand up and be counted, a last chance to do the decent thing before you front up to the big man.

Finally, I am a great fan of the words in Bob
Dylan's earlier protest songs, he had a great one
protesting about weapon manufacturers, while young people
died buried in the mud, called Masters of War. I have
changed one of the versus and called it Masters of Shame.
This is for all you abusers and enablers out there:

"I think that you'll find when your death takes its

## - 1055 -

toll, all your money, power and confession could never
buy back your soul. And I'll tell you further, for I
know that it is true, even Jesus would never forgive what
you do.

I stand here in solidarity with all abused victims, both State and faith-based. Most of us have been walking towards thunder all our lives. Commissioners, you have an opportunity here to perhaps allow a little bit of sunshine to shine on us, please do so. Thank you very much. Can I just say after this we're going down to tie some ribbons in memory of the abused victims down at the cathedral. Thank you very much.

13 CHAIR: Thank you, Mr Ledingham.

MS JANES: Thank you, Mike, for your testimony. I have
no further questions of you but I will ask the
Chair to check if there are any from counsel or
whether there are any from the Commission.

18 **CHAIR:** Thank you, Ms Janes. Are there questions which counsel wish to address to Mr Ledingham?

11.01 20 **MS MCKECHNIE:** I appear as counsel on behalf of the
21 Bishops and Congregational Leaders of the Catholic
22 Church. I am here as counsel.

23 A. Sorry?

5

6

7

8

1112

24

25

26

27

28

29

31

32

33

34

11.02 30

11.00 10

MS McKECHNIE: My name is Sally, I am here as counsel on behalf of the Bishops and Congregational Leaders of the Catholic Church. I don't have any questions for you, Mr Ledingham, but I wanted to acknowledge your evidence. On behalf of the Bishops and the Congregational Leaders, I wanted to acknowledge what you've said today and your hurt and your anger about what happened to you when you were in care. We reiterate the Bishop of Auckland's apology to you for the wrongs that were done to you and your

brothers. You should not have been harmed by

#### - 1056 -

1	Fath	ner G	reer	ì.	You	sho	oul	d no	ot	have	been	hurt	when
2	you	were	in	the	car	re c	of t	the	Di	ocese	of	Auckla	and.

3

45

6 7

8

1112

13

1415

16

17

18

19

11.02 10

Representatives of the Catholic Church of the Auckland Diocese are here, Mr Ledingham, and they have heard what you have to say and they have heard your anger and your concern about the redress process.

The Bishops and Congregational Leaders have a lot of lessons to learn from this Commission, and one of those lessons is going to be how to improve the redress process, how to help people like you, the survivors, with their healing.

- A. I don't think you have the capacity to deal with it. I am saying it needs to be done by non-aligned, I don't think you have the capacity. You are a d inosaur; you are a thing of the past. I think you have to admit that you can't handle it and contract it out to non-aligned people. I would not trust you people as far as I could kick you, and I am sorry to have to say that but that's the way I feel.
- MS McKECHNIE: Thank you, Mr Ledingham, I think we would 11.03 20 acknowledge we haven't earned your trust and we 2.1 22 will learn the lessons from the Royal Commission in 23 this process when they examine the Catholic Church 24 and try to improve and learn the lessons we will have from you and other survivors like you. Thank 25 you for your evidence today, thank you for your 26 27 courage.
  - 28 A. No worries, I die a happy heathen.
- 29 **CHAIR:** I now wish to ask my colleagues if any of you
  11.04 30 have any questions yourselves that you might wish
  31 to address to Mr Ledingham. I'll start with you,
  32 Commissioner Paul Gibson.
  - 33 **COMMISSIONER GIBSON:** No questions but thank you for your courage and testimony and to those of your

- 1057 -

- 1058 -

	1	MIKE LEDINGHAM
	2	QUESTIONED BY COMMISSIONERS
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	COMMISSIONER ALOFIVAE: Thank you, Mr Ledingham, for the
	7	courage that you spoke with this morning and for
	8	the very clear and strong recommendations that
	9	you've asked us to look at.
11.04	10	Can I just ask you one question? The issue of
	11	celibacy in the church with the priests, do you think
	12	that is a problem that's worthy of further attention?
	13	A. I think it stands out like a greyhound's balls.
	14	COMMISSIONER ALOFIVAE: We can add that to the list of
	15	recommendations?
	16	A. Yes.
	17	COMMISSIONER ALOFIVAE: Thank you.
	18	COMMISSIONER ERUETI: Mr Ledingham, I appreciate that
	19	you are giving this testimony not only on your own
11.05	20	behalf but on behalf of your brothers. Thank you
	21	for giving this testimony on your own behalf but
	22	also on behalf of your brothers.
	23	I am picturing you as a 7 or 8 year old when you
	24	first experienced this abuse and the difficulty it must
	25	have been, as you say, to articulate what you were
	26	experiencing. I suppose you think about if there's
	27	someone you're going to talk to it's likely to be your
	28	family but of course in your case, and it seems in the
	29	case of many other young children who have been abused in
11.06	30	faith-based institutions, that the family are so closely
	31	affiliated to the church that you really can't turn and
	32	speak to them either; is that your experience?
	33	A. Yeah, we knew we wouldn't be believed. You know,
	34	everything was tipped against us. I didn't understand

# - 1059 -

1		what it was. You know what I mean? It screwed my mind,
2		you know. And I never, it screwed my mind for years and
3		I never really dealt with it until I learned about my
4		younger brother. I never thought about killing myself
5		but I thought about killing other people. Is there
6		something wrong with me?
7	COMM	ISSIONER ERUETI: And I wanted to also ask about
8		redress and plainly for you it is important that
9		any process be with the Catholic Church, that it be
10		independent.
11	A.	I strongly, it is the only way it will ever, the truth
12		will ever be got at, by them not being able to handle it.
13		They don't deserve to handle it because of what they've
14		done. Read this book, I recommend you read that, it is a
15		brilliant read.
16	COMM	ISSIONER ERUETI: Thank you. There was a strong
17		point emphasised in the context of State based care
18		as well, the importance of independence. In your
19		brief of evidence, you describe the tortuous
20		process trying to get information about the redress
21		scheme was difficult as well. So, in addition to
22		independence, are there other features that are
23		important to you that should be part of a redress
24		scheme?
25	A.	I wouldn't be letting priests near the kids. I'd be
26		certainly, you know, I wouldn't want a priest near my
27		kids. You don't trust them. Whatever it was they took
28		from me, I will never get back. You know what I mean?
29		Yeah. My kids give me love but they know I am a bit
30		stand-offish but they accept that, that's who I am. You
31		know what I mean? I've never been able to give them, you
32		know, like when they get into trouble, one of them had a
33		partner who was a moron, I dealt to him, that sort of

thing they'll come to me but the love side I am not

11.07

11.07

11.08

- 1060 -

	1	really good at. The Aroha.
	2	COMMISSIONER ERUETI: In addition to monetary
	3	compensation, a cheque, are there any other parts
	4	that would form part of a redress for you?
	5	A. I believe a lot of victims have clogged up the health
	6	system, particularly Mental Health System, druggies,
	7	they've cost the taxpayer thousands and people in the
	8	prison, the same. Why should the government be forced to
	9	fork out for that or the tax man? They (the Church) have
11.09	10	got billions of dollars worth of assets, why can't they
	11	sell assets and fund the programme to look after these
	12	people? That is the least they could do, never
	13	mind going to confession and getting holy water thrown at
	14	you, that does nothing, and prayers, that's nothing.
	15	They need to do something constructive
	16	to show they are sorry, you know, to show they are
	17	capable of showing Aroha for what has been done. And to
	18	me, they just sidle away from it all the time.
	19	COMMISSIONER ERUETI: Kia ora, thank you.
11.09	20	COMMISSIONER SHAW: Mr Ledingham, I don't have any
	21	questions. I just want to acknowledge, first of
	22	all, the powerfulness of your account. You've said
	23	it like it is and that's deeply appreciated.
	24	I also want to acknowledge that it's because of your
	25	experiences, you find it difficult to trust people and I
	26	fully understand that. And so, your demonstration today
	27	of some trust at least in the Commission to hear you, is
	28	deeply appreciated. I know it doesn't come easily and I
	29	think that you have shown enormous integrity in doing
11.10	30	that, so I want to acknowledge that as well.
	31	And could you just pass on from the Commission to
	32	your brothers our deep gratitude for the contribution
	33	that they have allowed you to make on their behalf, it's
	34	much appreciated.

A. Thank you. As I said, we've been walking through the

# - 1061 -

	1	thunder for a lot of years but also not only might we be
	2	coming out into the sun but the cliff face is there that
	3	we might fall off soon and die, so it needed to be done
	4	and thank you for bringing this Commission, it needed to
	5	be done before I die.
	6	COMMISSIONER SHAW: Thank you very much indeed,
	7	Mr Ledingham.
	8	CHAIR: Mr Ledingham, I have the final word. I simply
	9	want to reflect and endorse what has been said by
11.11	10	my colleagues. You are to be thanked for having
	11	the courage to speak in public about what has
	12	happened and you are to be respected for the force
	13	with which you have applied to what needs to
	14	happen. Thank you.
	15	A. Thank you very much for the opportunity.
	16	MS JANES: Thank you, Mr Ledingham. That concludes your
	17	evidence and thank you very much for your testimony
	18	today.
	19	A. Thank you.
11.11	20	CHAIR: Madam Registrar, would you please adjourn the
	21	sitting for the morning adjournment?
	22	
	23	Hearing adjourned from 11.12 a.m. until 11.30 a.m.
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	***
	28	
	29	
	30	
	31	
	32	
	33	
	34	

- 1062 -

	1	
	2	EMERITUS PROFESSOR DESMOND CAHILL - AFFIRMED
	3	DR PETER WILKINSON - AFFIRMED
	4	EXAMINED BY MS JANES
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	MS JANES: May it please the Commissioners, I call to
	9	the witness stand, they are there, Dr Peter
11.37	10	Wilkinson and Professor Des Cahill.
	11	Chair, I will leave you to administer -
	12	CHAIR: Gentlemen, as we start, the New Zealand
	13	Inquiries Act 2013 requires me to ask you both and
	14	to receive an answer to this question - (witnesses
	15	affirmed).
	16	MS JANES:
	17	Q. Before we start, could you each please state for the
	18	record your full name?
	19	DR WILKINSON: Peter Julian Wilkinson.
11.38	20	EMERITUS PROFESSOR CAHILL: Desmond Phillip Cahill.
	21	Q. Together, you have produced a comprehensive paper with
	22	appendices which have been provided to the Commission and
	23	that is to the best of your knowledge true and correct as
	24	at the time it was written?
	25	EMERITUS PROFESSOR CAHILL: Correct.
	26	DR WILKINSON: Correct, yes.
	27	Q. You have also produced a shorter summary paper which you
	28	are going to be presenting today?
	29	DR WILKINSON: Correct.
11.39	30	EMERITUS PROFESSOR CAHILL: Correct.
	31	MS JANES: Chair, with your leave, I will produce the
	32	shorter paper as an exhibit. I am not sure what
	33	number we are up to.
	34	CHAIR: Thank you.

- 1063 -

1	MS	<b>JANES</b>	•

8

9

1112

13

1415

16

17

18

19

2122

23

24

25

26

2728

29

31

32

3334

11.41 30

11.40 20

11.39 10

Q. Professor Cahill, could you start please with the introductory remarks that you would like to make? But prior to that, can I ask you to provide background and experience and also Dr Wilkinson, so that the Commissioners understand the basis on which you are providing expert evidence today?

EMERITUS PROFESSOR CAHILL: Thank you, madam counsel.

Firstly, may I make it clear that I am not a victim. I was ordained a Catholic priest in 1970 after studying in the Melbourne seminary and then I was sent to Rome where I did my four years theology. I came back and worked for 6 years and then I decided to do a career switch. I am married and am now a very happy husband, father and grandfather. And then I embarked on an academic career and I became a trained psychologist, but I focused on the areas of immigrant and refugee movements, cross-cultural communication, second language education, and then multi-cultural and multi-faith societies.

I am now the Chair of Religions for Peace which is the world's largest inter-faith organisation and I am Deputy Moderator of Religions for Peace Asia.

My engagement with child sex abuse began really way back but it was in 2012 that I made a submission to the Victorian Parliamentary Inquiry into Institutional Responses to Child Sex Abuse and I was called up on day 2; initially, interestingly enough, to give evidence on child sex abuse in the non-Christian religions because we know that there are significant problems in countries like India, Thailand and Indonesia with the issue of child sexual abuse in ashrams, temples and boarding schools.

- 1064 -

1	In 2014, I was asked to go to -
2	CHAIR: Professor Cahill, can I intervene for just a
3	moment, and I am sure you will realise why. When
4	you speak, the stenotyper in front of you is taking
5	it down and the signers are also keeping up with
6	what you're saying, so if you would be good enough
7	to moderate your pace by looking at them, you will
8	get the notion of speaking at a rate where it can
9	all be transcribed.
10	EMERITUS PROFESSOR CAHILL: Thank you, Chair. I am too
11	used to lecturing.
12	So, I went to a workshop in Spain, organised by the
13	International Institute of Sociology and the Law that
14	focused on sex abuse in the Catholic Church which brought
15	together the world's leading scholars and researchers.
16	After that, I was asked by the Australian Royal
17	Commission to be the senior consultant on the Catholic
18	Church to the Commission. And so, I worked with my
19	colleague, Peter Wilkinson, to produce the RMIT report
20	which, when it was released went global.
21	More recently, I was asked to go to London to give
22	advice to the UK's Independent Inquiry into Child Sex
23	Abuse, commonly known as the Jimmy Savile Inquiry and now
24	I have been asked to come here.
25	I appreciate the opportunity for you to hear of our
26	expertise and insights that we hope we bring to your
27	considerations.
28	Q. Thank you, Professor Cahill. Dr Wilkinson?
29	DR WILKINSON: I am a Melbourne boy and at the age of 18
30	I was admitted to the seminary of the Missionary
31	Society of St Columban. I was ordained in 1961 as
32	a Catholic priest and did my postgraduate studies
33	in Rome on two occasions, first for missionology
34	and then a doctorate in missionology.

11.42

11.43

11.44

### - 1065 -

I taught in the Columban seminary in Melbourne and also in Sydney for approximately 4 years and after receiving my doctorate I was appointed to South Korea to work as a missionary there and was there for 4 years.

Then I decided to seek laicization in order to marry and at the moment I am married and I have four adult children and four grandchildren.

My association with the subject of child sexual abuse began I think in 2010 when I was asked to join a small renewal group in Australia called Catholics of Ministry. At that time I wasn't particularly interested in church matters and my approach was to buy a copy of the Australian Catholic Directory and examine it as a piece of research, and what I found was that, looking at the evidence, there was a serious crisis within parish ministry within Australia. And looking further, it became clear that one of the causes of this crisis was child sexual abuse in the Catholic Church in Australia.

So, I had two reports on parish ministry published.

Also, at around that time, another group formed in Melbourne and we called ourselves Catholics for Renewal. One of the first actions of that group, was to write a letter to Pope Benedict XV and call the Bishops of Australia and state that the church was in crisis and that the question of child sexual abuse had to be addressed openly, if the church in Australia was to be Christ-centered.

At that time also, the Victorian Parliamentary Inquiry was setup. So, our group decided that we would make a submission to the Victorian Parliamentary Inquiry and that the subject of our submission would be good governance which we believed was lacking.

We also argued that the Victorian Government should establish mandatory criminal reporting for instances of

11.46 20

1 2

3

4 5

67

8

1112

13

1415

16

17

1819

2.1

11.45 10

272829

31

32

3334

11.47 30

- 1066 -

1 child sexual abuse.

11.50 30

11.49 20

The recommendation of the report Betrayal of Trust recommended that that action be taken by the Victorian Parliament and it did.

Des has already spoken about our work with RMIT and what we did in regard to the Australian Royal Commission.

Thank you.

8 Q. Thank you, that provides very good context, so I now invite you to begin with your introduction.

11.48 10 EMERITUS PROFESSOR CAHILL: Well, I'd like to
11 congratulate the government and the people of
12 New Zealand for conducting this high level Inquiry
13 into child abuse, and to have included in its Terms
14 of Reference those government based and faith-based
15 institutions.

As we learnt this morning, the road to this Royal Commission has been long and arduous, particularly for the victims/survivors. Their suffering must be paramount in our minds and hearts.Our focus, as we've already indicated, will be on child sex abuse in faith-based institutions but particularly the Catholic Church.

Of course, this is not New Zealand's first Royal Commission into child sexual abuse. In August 1900, a short Royal Commission investigated the Marist Brothers' Stoke residential facility outside Nelson. The Commission's report found much to criticise in its management, staff and standard of care. And the Marist Brothers eventually were forced to vacate that facility.

So, the physical, emotional and sexual abuse of children, even though it occurs more often in families and we need to keep that in mind, is a scourge. In Christian history we can trace it back to the New Testament times when the paterfamilias would abuse the slave boys and slave young women of his household. And

11.53 30

11.52 20

11.51 10

- 1067 -

in our RMIT report, we document that the examples throughout history where it has happened as much as we know.

One outstanding example that is relevant to Australia and New Zealand, was that in 1871, Mother Mary MacKillop, Australia's first saint, whose Josephite nuns came to New Zealand in 1880, was excommunicated by the Bishop of Adelaide and one of the major reasons was because she had been a whistle blower on a parish priest in a rural parish outside Adelaide who was sexually abusing children attending the nun's school. The priest, other priests and the Bishop were all Franciscans and they ganged up on her and she was excommunicated.

The real reason for her excommunication was kept hidden from the Australian and New Zealand Catholic communities for more than 140 years and one wonders what salutary effect knowledge of this incident might have had on religious offenders in subsequent decades.

The Irish Christian Brothers who came to Dunedin in 1876, in Australia the first one was jailed in 1919, and that particular religious order had problems in every decade of the 20th Century.

The Christian Church has always condemned child sex abuse but unfortunately in recent decades has seen it as a sin and not as a crime. And so we've had, as we've heard this morning, the ravished innocence of the child abused, assaulted, violated, raped and sodomised, and that lies at the centre of this religious catastrophe.

In the Christian tradition, children are seen as close to the mystery of God. Each and every child is embraced in God's infinite tenderness, and for parents to have their patient, time-consuming and loving handiwork of raising a child, damaged and destroyed by abusing clergy and religious is at the very core of this

- 1068 -

1 religious tragedy.

11.56 30

11.55 20

11.54 10

In educating our children about their own self-protection, I like to use the very useful Christian maxim, highlighting bodily ownership and integrity; no trespassing: this is MY body.

It cannot be denied that the public image of the Catholic priesthood and religious life has been irrevocably damaged. This has impacted upon the public image and self-image of countless good, committed and saintly priests who have dedicated their lives administering to the Catholic communities here in New Zealand and across the world. I suppose this has shown to us that there needs to be a thorough going renewal of the Catholic Priestley ministry, including the aggregation of mandatory celibacy so this would not be a panacea. We have just in the last two weeks seen that process begin in Rome with the findings of the Amazonian Synod driven by the desire of Pope Francis to reform the church.

I'd like to make a few reflections, madam counsel.

Child sex abuse is always about power and the abuse of power. Power corrupts and ecclesiastical power corrupts ecclesiastically. Pathologies can infect any organisation. Further, child sex abuse is always hidden, very hidden, hidden by the priest and religious perpetrator, and that is why it is so insidious. The crime takes place in secret and the victim is neutralised into silence, going back to that point. The Australian Royal Commission found very little evidence of pedophile rings in religious settings.

An additional reflection that I want to make is this. Priest and religious offenders are very great deniers, continually and determinedly protesting the denial of their own criminal behaviour. And some

- 1069 -

	1		Catholic bishops and religious superiors have found this
	2		to their great cost. And the higher up the church
	3		hierarchy the offender, the more determined is the
	4		denial. And so, we have to understand this within the
	5		parameters of dysfunctional governance, and we will be
	6		emphasising this during our presentation. The lack of
	7		transparency and accountability all within the culture of
	8		secretive clericalism and within flawed selection,
	9		screening and training processes in previous decades and
11.58	10		centuries.
	11	Q.	You've set yourself four tasks for today which you
	12		outline on page 5. Perhaps you could just quickly
	13		summarise those and then we'll start moving through them?
	14	EMER	ITUS PROFESSOR CAHILL: Okay. We want to bring to
	15		your attention the recommendations of the various
	16		government-sponsored and church-sponsored inquiries
	17		because we were asked by the Australian Royal
	18		Commission to look at 27 Australian and
	19		international Inquiries.
11.58	20		To present the findings, some of the findings
	21		and recommendations of the Australian Royal
	22		Commission which are contained in volume 16 of the
	23		7,400 page report to give you a roadmap for
	24		understanding why it happened.
	25		And then to present some ways forward for you here
	26		in New Zealand.
	27		And then we will address some special issues which
	28		Peter will do, about mandatory reporting, the religious
	29		confession redress issues.
11.59	30	Q.	For this specific Inquiry, Terms of Reference 20 (d)
	31		requires our Commission to have regard to information and
	32		evidence from other Inquiries and reviews. You have
	33		evidence about the Australian Royal Commission, I'd now
	34		invite you to go through that section.

- 1070 -

EMERITUS PROFESSOR CAHILL: The Australian Royal 1 2 Commission's figures show that 572 Australian 3 priests, 597 brothers, as well as 543 lay persons sexually offended in Catholic settings against 4 children, usually boys, about 70%. 5 Comparable to the US evidence, and using the 6 7 weighted figures, between 1950 and 2012, 1 in 13 diocesan priests, 1 in 17 religious order priests sexually 8 9 offended against children under 18. The offending was worst in regional diocese and the 12.00 10 least was in the archdiocese of Adelaide. 11 12 An estimated 1 in 8 religious brothers sexually offended against children, especially amongst the St John 13 of God brothers who care for mentally disabled children, 14 15 as well as the Christian brothers, the Marist brothers 16 and the De La Salle brothers teaching in orphanages, 17 day-time and boarding schools. When we look at the New Zealand context, there is 18 19 nothing to suggest in historical terms, based on the prevalence data in comparable countries, that the 12.01 20 offending rate with New Zealand diocesan priests would 2.1 22 not be in the range of 5-7% and 2-5% amongst religious 23 order priests, although it may be lower because fewer 24 male religious priests had charge of schools and youth 25 ministries. New Zealand has always had a relatively high 26 27 proportion of religious order priests compared to 28 diocesan priests and this may have had a dampening effect 29 of offending priests and the number of victims. 12.02 30 There's other reasons why amongst religious brothers 31 it may be less than the Australian rate. First, 32 New Zealand was less impacted by the child migration scheme. And there's a long history to that. 33

Secondly, New Zealand moved -

# - 1071 -

	1	COMMISSIONER ERUETI: Would you be able to explain the
	2	difference between a diocesan priest and a
	3	religious order priest? I don't think it would be
	4	clear to everyone.
	5	EMERITUS PROFESSOR CAHILL: A diocesan priest is one who
	6	is ordained, and incarnated is a technical term,
	7	into a particular diocese. A religious order
	8	priest, such as the Marist, they are called
	9	religious order priests because they are founded by
12.03	10	a specific founder like St Mary of the Cross.
	11	Okay?
	12	Some of those may work in parishes but others may
	13	work in schools, in universities, in welfare groups,
	14	advocacy groups, all sorts of other kinds of work that
	15	the church is engaged in across the world. Okay?
	16	The second point I was going to make is that
	17	New Zealand seems to have moved a little bit earlier than
	18	Australia and Ireland away from large scale orphanages to
	19	foster care arrangements, which again are not without
12.04	20	their problems.
	21	The exception is that Catholic boarding schools but
	22	their numbers greatly declined in the post-World War II
	23	period.
	24	The third reason we think is that through Peter's
	25	research particularly, is that the number of religious
	26	brothers in New Zealand has been relatively modest, 116
	27	at the moment, and it peaked at 385 in 1966. We also
	28	note in the post-World War II period, all the Catholic
	29	orphanages, as which understand it, were being run by
12.05	30	female religious orders.
	31	Now, I need to talk about the nuns. The Australian
	32	figures show there was very little sexual offending by
	33	nuns. Sometimes, through sheer sexual naivety, they did
	34	permit priests and handymen to have access to children in

3

4

5

6 7

8 9

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

31

32

33 34

12.08 30

12.07 20

12.06 10

their orphanages. However, both the relevant Australian and Irish reports have documented serious emotional and physical abuse by nuns against children in their residential care institutions, though also many former inmates regard their nun carers with love and affection.

Now, I think we need to explain the very high offending rate amongst religious brothers. The central factor was their lack of interface with the feminine. Whilst this has now partially changed, their contact with women was generally minimal, beginning with the fact that they were educated usually in male only schools, went to male only juniorates, novitiates and scholasticates. then they went on, they did go to university but they were then appointed to male only schools and lived in all male religious communities. This was a recipe for a psycho-spiritual disaster.

The Irish Ryan Report has studied this best and they highlight within the Christian brothers their failure to appreciate the emotional needs of the children in their care or to pursue appropriate training in community child and youth welfare. It documented their lack of acknowledgment of congregational responsibility for what happened, the consequences of the regime of blind obedience with its humiliating punishments, their fear of change, the lack of institutional memory, and their use of government funds to cross-subsidise other activities. Their psychological fear of women were factors that operated across their structures but also right across the structures of the Catholic Church, both in its decision-making structures and in its ordained ministry.

While there is much talk, very little seems to be changing in the Catholic Church, although with the recent Amazonian Synod two weeks ago, Pope Francis will look at the issue of the female diaconate but amongst

### - 1073 -

traditionalist Catholics they have gone ballistic in their opposition.

12.11 30

12.10 20

12.10 10

Terrible as these statistics are, they must be balanced by the fact that 59% of Catholic priest offenders had only one claim of redress against them. This suggests they had only one victim who perhaps was abused many times. And from our own research, we know that the probability of a young Catholic child being abused in a religious setting was always relatively small but not low. It ranged from about 1 in 200 to 1 in 400. The risk, however, was very much higher for boys, especially if they were altar boys and choir boys, and it was very high for boys in Catholic residential institutions cared for by religious brothers.

We know from the American data that when altar girls were introduced in the 1980s, the rate of abusing of both the altar boys and girls was about equal, and this brings up the issue of access and we'll talk about that.

This brings out the issue, as we heard this morning, of the serial predator priest and brother who abused at least 10 children. And we know that the serial offender, Gerard Ridsdale in Australia abused more than 100 children.

Another offending category is the recycled seminarian; the one who began training in one seminary, was asked to leave and then was accepted by another seminary. This has happened right across the world, we now know, and so there have been Inquiries that we looked at in the US (7), Canada (2), England and Wales (2), Ireland (4), Belgium (1 but was never finished) and the Netherlands (1). But I must say that none has been as well funded or as thorough or as detailed as the Australian Royal Commission.

In September 2018, a year ago, the German Catholic

12.13

12.13

12.14

33 34

into the open.

1		Bishops published a very useful research report. And
2		last night the French Bishops received a draft report of
3		their research study. The Australian methodology was
4		built around private sessions and written accounts, and
5		then the Prime Minister, in October last year, made a
6		formal apology in Parliament to all survivors, as well as
7		those who didn't survive through natural death or
8		suicide.
9		The Royal Commission subpoenaed 1.2 million
10		documents and its major other strategy was to conduct
11		57 case studies.
12		The Commission made 2250 referrals to the Police and
13		exactly half of those related to religious settings and
14		those are now working through Police investigation and
15		Court Criminal Justice processes.
16	Q.	And we will return a little later to talk about
17		additional matters relating to the Australian Royal
18		Commission but before moving to that, there was some
19		myths that you thought it was important to discuss and
20		why they should be looked at differently?
21	EMER:	ITUS PROFESSOR CAHILL: Yes. In Australia as
22		elsewhere, some Catholics have accused the various
23		government Inquiries such as this one of conducting
24		anti-Catholic vendettas. Anti-Catholism and
25		anti-clericalism has always been around.
26		Other Catholics have accused the Inquiries, have
27		been disapproving of the media and its journalists,
28		alleging that they're exaggerating its scope and
29		repeating again the same material, and certainly there
30		has been some of this.
31		But it's been journalists, together with female
32		researchers and priest and ex-priest researchers,

particularly psychologists, who have brought this out

### - 1075 -

When we look at the figures, except for the Jehovah witnesses, no other religious group came close to the Catholic Church, in the level of offending, though the Anglican level was relatively high and the Jehovah Witness contains instances of family abuse that was mishandled by the elder.

1 2

3

4

5

67

8

1112

13

1415

1617

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

2627

28

29

31

32

12.18 30

12.17 20

12.16 10

The second myth is that clerical sex abuse occurred only after World War II and it was made worse by the sexual revolution of the 1960s, and the Second Vatican Council in the 1960s and the general climate of secular and Catholic 'libertine' liberalism which led priests and religious to experiment. Based on research evidence, we've concluded the Holy See Vatican knew right throughout the 20th Century, as we can see in the 1922 Instruction Crimen Sollicitationis, the crime of solicitation which was reissued in 1960.

Sexual soliciting by means of the confessional has always been a problem for the church and the situation was made worse in 1910 by Pope Pius X when he lowered the age of confession from 12-13 to 7-8 years of age.

This second myth was driven especially by two very good John Jay reports. John Jay is the John Jay Centre for Criminal Justice administration attached to the university of New York. It was commissioned by the New York Catholic Bishops and produced wonderful data as we will be emphasising, but unfortunately it gave credence to this myth.

It started its study in 1950 in its data, whereas it really should have gone back further. But we don't have proper data for the first half of the 20th Century but we now know but we will never be able to quantify it to compare it with later decades.

33 Q. Can I just confirm that in your evidence you talk about 34 two John Jay reports, one in 2004 and another one in 2011? 12.19

12.20

12.20

# - 1076 -

1	EMERITUS PROFESSOR CAHILL: Mm, yes. The first one was
2	collecting data from all the diocese across the
3	United States, okay? And it was only focused on
4	diocese and diocese and priests, unlike the
5	Australian Royal Commission which was much broader.
6	Okay? And the second one looked at associated but
7	other issues between 2004 and 2011.
8	Q. And you set out the basis of the data available, if you
9	could go through that, that would be helpful.
10	EMERITUS PROFESSOR CAHILL: Yes. I need to mention also
11	that this second myth has been perpetuated in a
12	recent letter, a very unfortunate letter by
13	Emeritus Pope Benedict XVI unfortunately.
14	There was a rise in offending during the 1950s, into
15	the 1960s, the 1970s and the first part of the 1980s, and
16	later on we'll talk about why. But let us think about
17	why there was this rise.
18	There was greater access to vulnerable children in
19	the aftermath of the Second World War, where there were
20	increased numbers of such children in orphanages,
21	including migrant children as well and children who were
22	orphaned, abandoned and displaced as a result of the
23	Second World War and wartime dalliances, let us say.
24	There was a marked increase in the Catholic
25	population in the migrant receiving countries such as
26	Australia. So, there was pressure on the Bishops to fill
27	vacant parishes as the Catholic population expanded and
28	they sometimes ordained less than suitable priests who
29	simply should not have been ordained. And there was
30	remarkable irresponsibility in rejecting the advice of
31	seminary staff.
32	There was a changing lifestyle of the priests, the
33	proliferation of one-priest presbyteries from the 1960s,
34	the greater and easier mobility with access to cars from

1 1930s by the priests and, as a consequence, less 2 monitoring of priestly activity and less accountability.

3

45

6 7

8

1112

13

1415

16

17

1819

21

22

23

2425

2627

28

29

31

32

3334

12.23 30

12.23 20

12.22 10

Also there was an increasingly positive image of the Catholic priesthood and that attracted young members who were prepared to accept mandatory celibacy as part of the priesthood package without fully understanding the commitment. There was greater access to children through the growth of schools, youth clubs Boy Scouts etc.

And also greater turbulence in the church immediately and during the Second Vatican Council which may have had unsettling effects upon priests. And there was the impact of sexual revolution but I think that's just one factor.

Lastly, there was a deep rejection by the Catholic faithful of the church's theology of sexuality based on the interlinking of sexuality and procreation, following the Humanae Vitae and its condemnation of artificial contraception, with the result the church was unable to offer a credible and acceptable theology of sexuality, a situation that still exists today.

- Q. To try and help us understand how and why clerical abuse of children occurred, you've actually identified what you call a constellation of features and you have five of those. You will set out (a)-(d) and then Dr Wilkinson will talk to (e).
- **EMERITUS PROFESSOR CAHILL:** Let's begin with the psychosexual abnormalities and situational factors.

Our reading of the literature points to the central conclusion that young and vulnerable Catholic children, especially boys, were in danger and at risk in the presence of psychosexually immature, psychosexually maldeveloped and sexually deprived and deeply frustrated male priests and male religious, especially those who had not satisfactorily resolved their own sexual identity.

12.27 30

12.26 20

12.25 10

### - 1078 -

Psychosexual maldevelopment was usually, not always, but usually associated with the religious perpetrators themselves being sexually abused during their childhood, and this occurred to about one-third of the priest perpetrators. And the notorious Australian serial priest offender Gerard Ridsdale was abused by his uncle, his cousin and a religious offender.

This offending behaviour was also mediated, and I know this is complex, but it was mediated by a set of cognitive and emotional distortions, denial mechanisms and neutralisation techniques. And behind that, were the theological ideas of a patriarchal idea of God that lacked intimacy and closeness in their spirituality.

There was a sacrificial theology of priesthood based on their own divine calling as priests and brothers.

There was the flawed theology of sexuality that I've referred to.

And as well as that, there was a set of psychological realities, unresolved sexual identity, an ungrieved loss of sexual intimacy in their celibate commitment and overwhelming feelings of emotional loneliness.

As well as that, I'll draw on the German study that they were often in stressful situations. Firstly, a general sense of overburden with official duties or problems in their ministry, isolation and loneliness, substance abuse, alcohol, medicines, illegal drugs, inadequate social skills, particularly interacting with parishioners due that immaturity, particular stress or changes or difficulties related to their personal circumstances, such as financial problems, illness or caring for or death of relatives.

This issue of sexual identity raises the whole issue of confusion or denial about those with a homosexual

orientation and their training and operating in a profoundly homophobic church environment.

12.30 30

12.29 20

12.28 10

There's little psychological evidence that the priest and religious offenders were suffering from psychotic illnesses. In fact, most of the offenders were talented and attractive men, and they are better educated and had higher IQ than the normal child sex offender. They came from intact families. But there was evidence of a pattern where discussion of sex in the family was taboo, where the father was generally distant and aloof, perhaps alcoholic or physically abusive, while the mother was a smothering mother, perhaps vicariously covering up her own unhappiness through her son whose priesthood boosted her image and the social status of the family.

Now, the issue of homosexuality is important to raise because traditionalist Catholics are basically saying that this whole tragedy is due to homosexuality and the solution is to get rid of all gay priests.

Now, Catholic moral theology has always insisted that homosexual behaviour is intrinsically disordered, based on scripture and on natural law theory. That says that human sexuality is principally about penetration and procreation. There's no Biblical evidence to support that. Whereas, condemned in the Bible is generally about gay prostitution, particularly in temples.

Of course, the issue of homosexual behaviour was developed over centuries well before the emergence of concepts such as sexual orientation, sexual preference and same-sex attraction. The traditional Catholic tradition was not complemented by a relational ethic. And comprehensively rejects the experience of gay people. Now the church has increasingly accepted the presence of conscientious gay people but the official Catholic position is still that all gay people have to live lives

3

4 5

6 7

8

1112

13

1415

16

17

18

19

2122

23

24

25

26

2728

29

31

32

3334

12.33 30

12.33 20

12.32 10

of enforced celibacy without sexual expression, through the virtues of self-control and self-mastery.

The final report of the Australian Royal Commission stressed that current, "Vatican documents that link homosexuality to child sex abuse by clerics are not in keeping with current understandings about healthy human sexuality."

And this report and every other report intrinsically insists that homosexuality is not the cause of the sexual abuse of children. There are many well integrated and mature gay priests who do not abuse children.

Let us now go on and try to enter the mind of the perpetrator. This is difficult for us.

We find that the offending, the thinking of the offenders was much more distorted than those of the non-offending priests and brothers.

As well, their mechanisms of denial and their techniques of neutralising and ensuring the silence of the child victims had a religious overlay.

Now, with the "normal", if that's the right word, the child sex offender, their cognitive distortions say, "oh well, having sex with children is a good way for adults to teach them about sex". But with religious offenders there's another layer which is driven by psychospiritual and theological factors and by the offender's wilful deliberate suspension of their own moral standards.

So, these rationalisations were, "When God called me, he knew what I was like, what my needs were", "I have a right to love and affection because I spend my life doing good for others" and "God will look after this particular child and see that it comes to no harm; it will have its special protection".

Now, these distortions we find from the two best

### - 1081 -

studies that the offenders blamed their behaviour on things like alcoholism, mental health problems, their parents, their need for intimacy, their sexual orientation. And so, their denial leads to more denial.

Now, I want to give an example from the Queensland report which is an example of the gross misuse of spiritual power. And this is a young victim speaking, this is what he said:

"Father told me it wasn't a sin as I was one of God's chosen children and that God made boys to be special so that those who did God's work were not led into the temptation of sinning with women. I was told by him that I was to say nothing to anyone because God would be very angry with me for revealing his secret ways."

The second factor -

5

6

7

8

9

1112

13

14

1516

17

18

19

25

2627

28

29

31

32

33

34

12.36 30

12.35 10

- Q. On that sobering note, you then go on to talk about access to children and that situational opportunity that permits that to occur?
- **EMERITUS PROFESSOR CAHILL:** Yes, as part of this jigsaw.
- There's a fairly direct correlation between
  religious offending and access to children because
  there are some religious orders that have no access
  to children and the rate of offending is nil or
  extremely low.

But the unfortunate reality is that access to children, particularly vulnerable children, has grown immensely over the last two centuries in particular. One was the growth in educational opportunities for children, the massification of education for children and that led to the founding of many male and female teaching religious orders. It led to the formation of the Catholic schooling system which is quite extensive in many countries.

But I do want to say publicly at this point in time, that certainly in Australia, and I am sure

### - 1082 -

it's true also in New Zealand, that Catholic schools are now very safe institutions because they have brought in proper protocols; and secondly, they are staffed almost 100% by laymen and lay women.

5

67

8

1112

13

1415

16

17

1819

2122

23

24

25

2627

2829

12.38 20

12.38 10

Alongside this schooling expansion was the development of residential schools and I think we've talked enough about that. We know from the Dutch study, that there were many orphan children after World War II. In fact during World War II and the Dutch Bishops were aware of this issue in the 1940s.

And then there was a point about some countries introducing the foster care strategy.

The John Jay report says 40% of the abuse occurred in the priest's residence. In the residential care, often the offender's bedroom was next to the dormitory of the children and so, the child would be dragged into that bedroom, often as a punishment for something the child may have done during the day.

The other thing was the Child Migration Programme, which I've already mentioned, and according to the current UK Inquiry, only 549 children were sent to New Zealand, although I'm not totally convinced of that figure. Just over 6,000 were sent to Australia. It was a well intentioned but badly monitored programme and the British Government knew its flaws in the 1950s.

Q. Then you talk about the flawed response of the Bishops and other religious leaders to the findings of sexual abuse or complaints of sexual abuse.

EMERITUS PROFESSOR CAHILL: Thank you, madam counsel.

One thing that strikes anyone who looks
systematically at this, is the startling uniformity
of the response of the Catholic Bishops in every
country. And any report, including the Australian
Royal Commission, have heavily criticised the

- 1083 -

Catholic Bishops, their failures, their deliberate attempts to cover up the abuse which is all embedded in the secrecy and secretiveness at the heart of Catholic governance, and little has changed.

1 2

12.43 30

12.42 20

12.41 10

During the 20th Century, more and more secrecy provisions were imposed, beginning with the secret of the Holy Office in 1922 and later the pontifical secret because with the development during the 20th Century of radio transmissions, newspapers, television, investigative journalism, now with the social media and so on, the Holy See became increasingly fearful of public scandal because it and the diocese bishops were all fearful of scandalising the Catholic faithful.

So, they covered up to protect the image of the Catholic Church as an all holy institutions but all religious leaders do that.

Holy See was always concerned about the accused priests and religious leaders would not receive a fair civil trial but the Vatican showed no concern whatever for the victims of the accused priests and their families.

And they used veiled speech and mental reservations, and we go into that in some detail in our longer presentation. But Bishops even kept his priest consultors in the dark about why he wanted to shift this particular priest or religious brother to another parish, to another diocese, overseas or whatever, all in the good name of protecting the reputation of the priest.

Now, we've called that, using a social psychologist Albert Bandura who is trying to understand why is it good men do bad things? And why much destructive behaviour has been perpetrated by people such as bishops, and he was looking at the Vietnam War, and how that's been done in the name of righteous ideologies, religious principles

### - 1084 -

	1	and nationalist frameworks. And we go into some detail
	2	about that and how that process operates through very
	3	questionable moral justification, exonerating social
	4	comparisons and using euphemistic labels, the offending
	5	priest is having health problems, other phrases like
	6	that.
	7	So, that meant the Holy See officials, the diocese
	8	and priests, the leaders of religious orders, could
	9	minimise their role in causing harm to the victims and
12.44	10	their families through the defusion and displacement of
	11	responsibility.
	12	And as Bandura states:
	13	"The triumph of evil requires a lot of good people
	14	doing a bit of it in a morally disengaged way with
	15	indifference to the human suffering they have
	16	collectively caused".
	17	MS JANES: Chair, that might be a good time to give the
	18	witness' voice a rest and also to take a break.
	19	CHAIR: We have reached a convenient point on page 16 of
12.45	20	the brief. I think we all agree this is a suitable
	21	time to take the luncheon adjournment. Madam
	22	Registrar, would you please adjourn the sitting?
	23	
	24	Hearing adjourned from 12.45 p.m. until 2.15 p.m.
	25	
	26	MS JANES:
	27	Q. Professor Cahill, prior to the lunch break, you were jus
	28	about to move on to the next section, which was the
	29	cultural and praxis factors on page 13 of your brief.
14.19	30	A. Thank you very much, madam counsel. We are onto the
	31	fourth of the five factors and Peter will deal with the
	32	fifth.
	33	It is necessary to understand the cultural and

praxis factors operating in an institution. And the

34

## - 1085 -

	1	Australian Royal Commission listed the following cultural
	2	risk factors in institutions caring for children, whether
	3	they're schools, orphanages or whatever residential care
	4	institutions, whether they're government based or State
	5	based.
	6	Firstly, a lack of understanding or awareness of
	7	child sexual abuse or its possibility.
	8	Secondly, failure to listen attentively and
	9	sensitively to children, especially when they are
14.20	10	attempting to disclose.
	11	Failure to educate children about healthy and
	12	appropriate sexual development.
	13	The prioritisation of institutional reputation over
	14	the safety of children and the harm done to them.
	15	Cultivation of a culture of secrecy and isolation.
	16	Failure to see prevention of child sexual abuse as a
	17	shared responsibility for all staff.
	18	Failure to address racism and prejudice in an
	19	institutional culture.
14.21	20	And lastly, normalisation of harmful practices.
	21	From the Australian report, we know that for the
	22	Anglicans, the problems were in the church of England
	23	Boys' Society and in their secondary schools,
	24	particularly boarding schools.
	25	And for the Anglican's, the Royal Commission said
	26	their risk factors included:
	27	Weaknesses in structure and governance preventing a
	28	consistent approach.
	29	The role of the bishops and failures of leadership.
14.21	30	Conflicts of interest for bishops and other
	31	office-holders.
	32	Cultural issues, including forms of clericalism.
	33	The practice of forgiveness and confession.
	34	And lastly, inadequate screening, selection,

## - 1086 -

	1	training and supervision of people in ministry.
	2	For the Catholic Church, the contributing factors
	3	were:
	4	Individual pathology, factors I mentioned this
	5	morning.
	6	Clericalism in all its facets.
	7	Monarchical and feudal aspects of governance,
	8	including lack of transparency and accountability of
	9	those in leadership and the lack of any meaningful
14.22	10	participation of the laity especially for women.
	11	Lack of leadership, including poor education for
	12	leadership.
	13	The failure of canon law and its secrecy provisions.
	14	The poor selection, screening and inadequate initial
	15	formation of priests.
	16	Celibacy and the associated sexual dysfunction as
	17	the linchpin of the clericalist system.
	18	The lack of oversight, support and ongoing training
	19	of priests and religious.
14.23	20	And lastly, the practice of the sacrament of
	21	confession and its cheap forgiveness which allowed
	22	perpetrators to minimise the impacts of their sexual
	23	abusing.
	24	The Salvation Army were criticised for the
	25	questionable environment of its residential institutions
	26	with poor resourcing, the hierarchical leadership, the
	27	devaluing of children and its type of muscular
	28	Christianity.
	29	The Jehovah Witnesses were criticised for the
14.24	30	absence of women from their decision making processes,
	31	their separateness from the world and their two witness
	32	rule which maintains a person can only be sanctioned if
	33	the sexual abuse has been observed by two witnesses, this
	34	is based on Deuteronomy 19, 15.

### - 1087 -

1 As we look at the report, I think it should have 2 given more attention to whistle blowing. It showed 3 not much interest in restorative justice, which is not And a failure to 4 strong in Australia. advocate for a healing strategy. 5 6 And an organisation called For the Innocence Support 7 has produced a healing strategy for the - although it's directed at the Catholic Church. And its 22 strategies 8 9 incorporate a lot of things from the Royal Commission's recommendations. But it would envisage the appointment 14.25 10 of chaplains for survivors, Gardens of Healing, 11 12 particularly in the hotspot parishes where much abuse 13 took place, and contritional eucharists. Just before you move on, are you able to confirm what the 14 Q. 15 status of the Hearing Strategy is at this point in time? 16 EMERITUS PROFESSOR CAHILL: It has no status. It's been 17 sent to every Catholic Bishop twice now. We get responses from a handful. To what extent they're 18 implementing it varies, I think, across Australia 19 because the response is more diocesan based than 14.26 20 21 nationally based, although now you do have a 22 Catholic Professional Standards body but that's focused on redress. 23 24 Madam counsel, I would like now to move on to looking at secretive clericalism, the culture of 25 secretive clericalism. 26 27 The term is often used and everyone says it's bad 28 but it's not always defined. The Australian Royal 29 Commission called upon I think the world's foremost expert in clerical sex abuse, Dr Thomas Doyle who was 14.26 30 31 mentioned this morning, a Dominican priest and canon 32 lawyer who was working in the office in 1984 in

Washington. And he noticed all these cases coming across

his desk and he wrote a report that went to Pope John

3334

### - 1088 -

Paul II and nothing happened, so that led. 1 2 He's identified the following elements of the 3 culture of clericalism: The vocational belief that all priests are unique 4 5 and special because of the supernatural effects of priestly ordination and that links up with the idea that 6 7 a priest upon ordination is ontologically changed and that is a very questionable theoretical concept. 8 9 Secondly, consciousness of a special bond of 14.27 10 solidarity that exists among all priests and bishops because of the sacred nature of ordination. 11 12 Priestly authority which is derived from their role as sacramental Ministers and not necessarily connected to 13 their office as priests. 14 15 The public perception of priests as men set apart 16 and entitled to deference because of their special 17 calling and they're entitled to respect and credibility because of their priesthood, not because of their 18 19 personal competences. Life as celibates in a homo social environment where 14.28 20 they interact with women and married people but their 2.1 22 essential living environment is all male, with no truly 23 intimate relationships allowed. 24 Priests are part of a monarchical culture that is 25 hierarchically stratified and which creates a clerical 26 aristocracy. 27 Priests have a high degree of discretion in their behaviour and a very low degree of supervision and 28 29 accountability. 14.29 30 Priests' official dress which sets them apart and 31 when exercising their official ministry at mass and 32 other sacramental celebrations, they are the central focus of rituals that are generally medieval in nature. 33 34 The Royal Commission then went on and talked about

### - 1089 -

similar things, and I won't go through these in the 1 2 interests of time and you will appreciate that, but I 3 just want to focus on a few things. One is I bring forward the concept from centuries 4 5 old theology of the church as a societas perfecta, a perfect society and how damaging that was in the 6 7 development of the theology of priesthood and the hierarchy. 8 9 The other point I want to point out is also the 14.30 10 obligation of mandatory celibacy for Latin right priests. In the very complex Catholic church, you have 23 11 12 eastern Catholic churches like the Ukrainians, the Maronites and the Melkites. 13 And we know from the Canadian and American data, 14 that in these eastern churches where priests are allowed 15 16 to marry, there's always been married priests in the Catholic Church but not in the Latin right. In those 17 eastern Catholic Churches, there was virtually no 18 offending, no offending. 19 And so, the Commission found that compulsory 14.31 20 celibacy was a contributing factor but not the cause. 2.1 22 So, I think we'll now go on to the next part and 23 it's time for Peter. 24 Dr Wilkinson, thank you, and you're going to be speaking 25 to the Commission about the pre-service and in-service training aspects. 26 27 DR WILKINSON: Thank you, Ms Janes. This Royal 28 Commission is looking at historical sexual abuse 29 and the Australian Royal Commission found that most of the historical abuse occurred in the period of 14.32 30 31 the 1950s through to the 1980s. 32 So, in order to give you a better understanding of

the formation programme, what I'm going to talk about

most is the formation programme for priests being formed

3334

4 5

14.35 30

14.34 20

14.33 10

in the period prior to that or in the period during the time when the abuse was mostly taking place.

I'll say a few words about the programs, current programs, but the essential focus will be on the formation programs for the priests who were abusing in that historic period.

The literature on the screening of candidates for the priesthood and religious life, I am making the distinction there between the priesthood, the diocesan priesthood and the religious priesthood. That's priests working in diocese that are incarnated into a diocese that don't take the vows of poverty, chastity and obedience but they do make a promise of celibacy. Whereas, the religious priests and brothers and sisters, they take a vow of poverty, a vow of chastity and a vow of obedience. But I'll talk about both of them in the same address as it were.

The literature concludes that the selection and screening processes for young people, and we're talking essentially about young people, wishing to enter a seminary or officiate or juniorate, those processes were inadequate and often defective.

The 1917 Code of canon law, this is a code of church law, and this is the first Code for the Latin Church, was put together in 1917. In that Code, there are canons that specifically talk about who should be selected and how they should be formed.

Canon 1354 says that bishops should recruit adolescents for training for the clerical state, that's for the priesthood. And that they should establish two kinds of seminaries, a minor seminary for younger boys and a major seminary for older boys.

Now, in Australia we had just one minor seminary and I understand that in New Zealand you also had one minor

- 1091 -

seminary in Christchurch.

The Code's screening requirements were focused mainly on the candidate's legitimacy of birth, prior reception of the sacraments, baptism and confirmation and known adolescent life and morals. The prevailing policy was to recruit young boys, and girls if they were going to be religious sisters, showing signs of what was usually called a 'vocation'.

And to recruit them as early as possible before they were humanly and sexually mature, and to admit them to a seminary or a religious junior at or owe vitiate to "protect them from the contagion of the world". That is canon 1353.

This policy continued the policy adopted by the Council of Trent in the mid 70s which called for young boys from the age of 11-12 years, preferably young poor boys, to be trained in piety and religion before habits of vice took possession of them.

At such a young age, proper screening is nigh impossible, so the real screening was assigned to the seminary formators who, as time passed, and we're talking about considerable time, years, were expected to dismiss "the disruptive, incorrigible and unruly candidates; candidates whose lifestyle and characteristics seemed unsuitable for the ecclesiastical state; those not progressing well in their studies or likely to; and above all, those who offend against good morals and faith".

Many of the 27 Australian and international reports that we studied in our review on child sexual abuse expressed serious misgivings about the screening processes, as well as the use of personality tests which, while they might identify certain personality defects, could not provide a fully accurate assessment of a young candidate's capacity for chaste and celibate living.

9 14.36 10

14.37 20

14.38 30

### - 1092 -

Once admitted to the seminary or the religious house of formation, the candidates were placed in the hands of priest or religious formators, for their spiritual, intellectual and disciplinary formation. Prior to the 90s, there was no mention of human formation. The formators were meant to be the best people available and professionally trained in their respective fields, particularly philosophy, theology, scripture, canon law, liturgy and spirituality. Sometimes they were not.

For priest candidates, the major seminary programme lasted for a minimum of 6 years, at the end of which the rector or superior of the formation house was responsible for advising the Bishop on each candidate's suitability for ordination. And occasionally, as Des mentioned, some bishops did not take that advice and ordained candidates who were unready or unsuitable.

Canon law also warned of the danger of accepting seminarians dismissed from other seminaries and Des mentioned that about the recycled seminarian, and some Bishops were also lax in this area and did not take the necessary screening precautions. It created a problem and that problem was specifically addressed in 1996 with a Holy See instruction but it appears it has reemerged.

Instructions have also been issued on screening of candidates with homosexual tendencies in 2005 and Guidelines For the Use of Psychology in Seminary Admission and Formation in 2008.

The 2005 document on homosexuality was interpreted by some as suggesting that homosexuality was tied to the sexual abuse of children and Professor Cahill has addressed that in his presentation.

In many respects, priests and religious were not well served by the pre-Vatican II formation programme. The curriculum was heavily intellectualised and rationalistic with lectures often given in Latin and Latin textbooks used. The content and teaching methodology was

14.39 10

1 2

14.40 20

14.41 30

3

4 5

67

8

1112

13

1415

16

17

1819

2122

23

24

25

2627

28

29

31

32

33

34

14.44 30

14.43 20

14.42 10

scholastic and favouring the text of the 13th Century theologian St Thomas Aquinas.

The principles of competency-based and work-integrated learning were not integrated into seminary teaching and curriculum until recent times, and there was a serious mismatch between competencies and capabilities, particularly in respect of the knowledge, skills and attitudes required by a priest for effective parish ministry.

Until the 1970s, Catholic seminaries and religious houses of formation were usually situated in isolated rustic settings, outside urban areas, and often cut off from secular culture and the dangers of female interaction.

They were segregated, regimented and all celibate places, where the regime was obedience to the 'rule' and superiors, and conformity to the system.

The rule was essentially based on the rules drawn up by St Charles Boromao of Milan in the mid 16th Century.

Yet, they were generally happy places, filled with the natural exuberance of youth and they were places of innocence and youth infused with a spirit of generosity. And for all their shortcomings, they did produce many good competent and pastorally minded priests.

More serious were the deficiencies in the spiritual and psychological formation for healthy and mature living of a celibate life.

Candidates for the priesthood and religious life were also inculturated into a clericalist ethos. Des has spoken about that, where priestly and religious holiness were seen as superior to lay holiness. And the 1917 Code stipulated, "Clerics must lead an interior and exterior life holier than that of laity", canon 124. And the Council of Trent decreed that consecrated virginity was a

higher calling than marriage because of the sacrificing of sexual intimacy.

14.46 30

14.45 20

14.45 10

Preparation for celibate living was minimal and usually entrusted to priests who lacked special training. Celibacy, as Des has already mentioned, was simply part of the package and it was presented in terms of sacrifice to a higher ideal of sexual purity in imitation of the celibate Jesus Christ. Serious discussion of sexuality never happened and the psychological aspects were completely ignored.

In the pre-Vatican years and even for decades after, though much of the formation programme was the same in every seminary because it was a systemic programme set down in canon law, each seminary or house of religious formation did its own thing, resulting in much duplication, fewer resources because many of the seminaries were very small and poorer educational outcomes. It has changed however in recent years.

Following the second Vatican Council in 1962-1965, serious efforts were made to reform, update and contextualise priestly formation. Between 1965 and 2019, over 40 official documents addressing priestly formation were issued by the Holy See. The most notable being Pope John Paul II Apostolic Exhortation on Priestly Formation in the present circumstances. The Latin name of the document is Pastores dabo vobis. The other documents were the Fundamental Programme For Priestly Formation, first published in 1970 and revised in 2016 and the Directory on the Ministry of Life of Priests, first published in 1994 and revised in 2013.

These documents have been augmented by others, developed by local episcopal conferences to ensure that the fundamental programme with its key principles is suitably adapted to the local social, religious and

### - 1095 -

1 cultural context of the particular country, such as 2 Australia or New Zealand, where the programme is used. 3 In Australia, the national programme for priestly formation was developed by the Australian Catholic 4 Bishops Conference in 2007 and revised in 2016. The 5 New Zealand Catholic Bishops Conference has produced a 6 7 similar document which I understand has recently been sent to the Holy See for approval. It includes a Ratio 8 9 Studiorum curriculum, if you like, and follows the emphasis on priestly identity and formation for 14.47 10 priesthood from the perspectives of human, spiritual, 11 12 intellectual and pastoral formation. One must assume the 13 document addresses the contemporary issues which challenge priests in New Zealand and which challenge 14 their ministry. 15 16 CHAIR: Can I intervene to ask you to just slow down a 17 little so that the signers, as well as the stenotyper, can keep pace with you? 18 19 DR WILKINSON: Thank you, Mr Chair. I would also assume that the prevention of child sexual abuse would 14.48 20 21 have to be included in that national programme as a 22 challenge to be included. 23 If I may talk now about the source of priests. 24 During the 19th and early 20th Century, the churches in 25 both Australia and New Zealand relied heavily on priests and religious brothers and sisters imported from churches 26 27 outside both of those countries, mainly from Ireland and

28

29

31

32

33 34

14.49 30

from European countries. In the last two decades, certainly in Australia, that reliance on priests and religious from overseas has reemerged, except now it is mainly Asian churches that are supplying the needed priests and religious for the needs of both Australia and New Zealand.

In Australia, local seminaries and locally-born

### - 1096 -

1	seminarians did not appear in significant numbers until
2	the 1930s. At that time, there were just 7 seminaries,
3	and then in 1940 there were 30, in 1960 there were 39.
4	And in the 1970s, they peaked at 43 seminaries and houses
5	of formation.
6	Seminarians, both diocesan and religious, number
7	just 200 during the 1920s, rose to 622 in 1940 and peaked
8	at almost 1400 in the mid-1960s.
9	Since 1970, the number of seminaries has decreased
10	from 43 to 15 and seminarian numbers have fallen from
11	1400 to just 302 at the end of 2017.
12	Moreover, at the end of 2017, more than half of all
13	the diocesan seminarians were born outside Australia,
14	mostly in Vietnam, Philippines and several African
15	nations, and an even greater proportion of religious
16	seminarians are from overseas.
17	In New Zealand, the data shows there were 4
18	seminaries in the 1950s and from a low base in the 1940s
19	seminarian numbers grew rapidly to peek at 335 in 1954.
20	Currently, there are just two seminaries remaining and a
21	total of 26 seminarians, 21 diocesan and 5 religious.
22	Q. Just to confirm, that is a change in the numbers from
23	what's in the document by one?
24	DR WILKINSON: Thank you.
25	Q. Professor Cahill, you've identified that there has been a
26	decline in sexual abuse since the 1980s and outlined ten
27	reasons for that. Can you summarise those now, please?
28	EMERITUS PROFESSOR CAHILL: Thank you. It has declined
29	but I want to insist that offences are still being
30	committed, as we shall see.
31	But the reasons for the decline are, I think the
32	high and sustained public visibility given to the issue
33	since the 1980s, particularly through the media

attention, this has been a mitigating impact.

14.50

14.52

14.52

34

## - 1097 -

	1	The better child protection mechanisms now in place,
	2	including through State legislation.
	3	The greater vigilance of Catholic parents and church
	4	employees.
	5	The declining number of active priests over the past
	6	four decades and the associated aging of the remaining
	7	group.
	8	The dismissal of many at-risk priests and religious
	9	brothers.
14.53	10	The almost total collapse of the altar-boy system.
	11	Substantial decline in the number of Catholics who
	12	regularly make a confession.
	13	The closure of the majority of Catholic boarding
	14	schools, farming schools, orphanages and other
	15	residential institutions.
	16	The reduced interaction of students with Catholic
	17	priests in Catholic schools which have become much more
	18	professionalised, as I was saying earlier, and more run
	19	by lay people.
14.54	20	And the partial reform of the selection processes
	21	that Peter has been talking about.
	22	The Catholic Church has only, in Australia it's only
	23	belatedly followed Catholic churches in other comparative
	24	countries by putting in place safeguarding mechanisms in
	25	every parish and by setting up better monitoring and
	26	training mechanisms, or by establishing special
	27	initiatives such as hotlines and helplines, as in
	28	Germany.
	29	We'll come back a little later on to the precautions
14.55	30	that have been put in place in the Ireland, UK and the
	31	US.
	32	Now I would like to move on to, if I may, providing
	33	transformed care in faith-based institutions, and the
	34	recommendations of the Australian Royal Commission.

14.58 30

14.57 20

14.56 10

### - 1098 -

I'll skip over a lot of the detail but I want to emphasise the points, the points which I see as important. The Australian Royal Commission made 58 recommendations pertaining to religious institutions. And this has all happened within the establishment of the National Office of Child Safety which last year was placed in the Department of Prime Minister and Cabinet.

It recommended that all religious bodies, with all their institutions, should adopt the 10 Child Safe Standards and work closely with State and territory oversight bodies.

So, those religious agencies will have to report their compliance to the National Office, as well as to the religious institution itself, and that all religious leaders must be provided with leadership training in child safety and mechanisms in place for regularly receiving additional professional expertise. And they need to be accountable to an appropriate authority, as well as a provision for managing conflicts of interest.

Any institution with children in its care should be provided with age-appropriate prevention education for the children, including about the power and status issue. And family and community should be involved in commenting and evaluating child safety policies.

They further went on and looked at all candidates for religious ministry. They should have an external psychological testing, not in-house. And they've undergone training that equips them with the understanding of the ten standards and about ethical and boundary issues and how to work with children and understanding the impact of child sexual abuse.

And that all people in ministry should have effective management and oversight with regular appraisals and with independent professional supervision.

15.01 30

15.00 20

14.59 10

### - 1099 -

They particularly focused on the point that Peter was making about priests coming from overseas and seminarians coming from overseas to work in religious ministry and there's about 2000 of those coming to Australia each year for all religious communities and that they should need to be properly screened, trained and professionally supervised and undergo regular training.

Another point they made about sacramental confession which pertains to Anglican, Catholic and orthodox churches, if a child is making a confession it should be done in an open space with a clear line of sight from another adult, as well as the priest hearing the confession.

There are other recommendations about handling of complaint and removal from ministry, including permanent removal from ministry of anyone who's convicted.

And then recommendation 16.57 deals with the situation where a person, a religious person or a lay person, has been convicted of child sex abuse, has been released from jail, if jailed, and then comes back in a normal way with the particular parish or local community, and how there needs to be a risk management plan put in place for such people, and that there should be a National Register for each religious organisation.

Five recommendations applied particularly to the Anglican Church regarding a uniform episcopal standards framework that ensures the accountability of bishops to an appropriate authority. In other words, they can't be King of their own castle.

The management of actual or perceived conflicts of interest, the amending of a Code of Conduct for lay people to incorporate offending against children. The church should adopt a national approach to the selection,

screening and training of ordination of candidates and ensure that all in pastoral ministry undergo mandatory, regular professional development, mandatory professional supervision and regular performance appraisals.

The Australian data highlighted that child sexual abuse and its mishandling by the Jehovah's Witnesses' elders which included some family abuse cases, was a serious problem and this has been confirmed in a recent UK study done by the Independent Inquiry over there where in a study 11% of all victims of religious perpetrators belonged to the Jehovah's Witness faith.

The Australian Commission recommended they abandon their two-witness rule in such cases, involve women in assessing allegations and no longer require its members to shun those who have basically been whistleblowers.

The Royal Commission conducted one case study of Jewish Yeshiva congregations making one recommendation that all religious institutions should ensure that their complaint handling policies explicitly state that the halachic concepts of mesirah, moser and loshon horo do not apply to the communication and reporting of allegations of child sexual abuse to Police and other civil authorities.

There were 21 recommendations in respect of the Catholic Church. 8 of those were to do with technicalities with regard to common law, and I won't go into the detail except to mention that one is asking the Vatican authorities to redefine a minor from someone aged from 14 and under, to someone aged 18 and under. The Catholic Church still allows girls of 14 and boys of 16 to enter into a marriage if their parents approve. And this is an issue about the age of marriage and child marriage which is an issue especially in the developing world.

15.02 10

1 2

15.03 20

15.04 30

## - 1101 -

	1	Another major recommendation was for the Australian
	2	Catholic Church to conduct a national review of
	3	governance and management and that has now commenced,
	4	and we're impressed by its membership.
	5	The Commission expressed concern about the quality
	6	of bishops and their decision-making capacity.
	7	So, it's asked the Australian Catholic bishops to
	8	request the Holy See to publish its criteria for the
	9	selection of bishops and establish a transparent
15.05	10	appointment process. Nothing has happened. We've heard
	11	no word of that.
	12	The Royal Commission came to the conclusion that
	13	mandatory celibacy was a contributing factor and it
	14	requested the bishops to allow voluntary celibacy for
	15	diocese and priests.
	16	There was also a series of recommendations about
	17	guideline documents and current models of initial
	18	formation.
	19	I now would like to move on to Catholic safeguarding
15.06	20	initiatives.
	21	Q. Just before you do that, for anyone who is interested in
	22	reviewing all of the recommendations, can you confirm
	23	that the 17 volumes of the Australian Royal Commission
	24	are available online and those specifically relating to
	25	religious institutions are also there?
	26	EMERITUS PROFESSOR CAHILL: Yes, they are all there.
	27	Q. Thank you. We will move now onto safeguarding.
	28	EMERITUS PROFESSOR CAHILL: Yes. In our review across
	29	the countries outside Australia, I think the three
15.07	30	models of safeguarding that this Royal Commission
	31	ought to look at, is the Irish, the UK and the USA,
	32	and there's more detail in our document. But I
	33	particularly want to focus on Ireland because
	34	that's the model for the Australian one. In many

3

4

5

67

8

1112

13

1415

16

1718

19

2122

23

24

25

26

2728

29

3132

3334

15.09 30

15.08 20

15.08 10

ways it's a very good one but there are other ones but that's the one I'm focussed on.

The Catholic bishops in Ireland which covers the Republic and Northern Ireland, establish the National Board for Safeguarding Children in the Catholic Church and its website is there and you can follow all this if you wish.

They establish the Towards Healing process, the counselling and support service and one principal focus has been on the auditing of diocese and religious orders which are published on the website.

By September 2015, it had completed 43 such audits and it's now begun this year another round of audits.

And an interesting new initiative was to provide training in good child safeguarding practices for those Irish missionary priests and volunteers who are going to work in overseas countries, in Africa and Asia, for example.

Its 2018 annual report notes that it received 143 new notifications against priests and religious, which were mainly of a historic nature.

It receives thousands of calls on its helpline and provides face-to-face counselling. And its advocacy service dealt with many different matters, including connecting clients to services, financial, homelessness, and educational services. And some of its clients are engaged in restorative justice processes.

It meets regularly with the Irish Police and the government child and family agency and provides training for clergy, current trainers and volunteers. And it's done two reviews also of its services.

In the UK, they have the National Catholic Safeguarding Commission, this is there on its website. In every parish, the UK has a safeguarding

#### - 1103 -

1 representative, every parish. 2 Its 2018 annual report revealed that in 2017 there 3 were 152 new allegations and that is increasing, although almost all of them are of a historic nature. 4 5 The US, which is obviously much bigger, its Secretariat of Child and Youth Protection, you can find 6 7 it on the United States Catholic Conference of Bishops. It's a bit hard to find but it puts out an annual report 8 9 and last year's June 2019 report, there had been a sharp rise in allegations, 858, these were mostly historical 15.11 10 but there were 26 allegations made by those who are still 11 12 today minors, and 7 had been unsubstantiated. So, I think there's a lot of material on those 13 websites. 14 Thank you, Professor Cahill. Dr Wilkinson, turning to 15 16 you and the microphone, there are now a matter of some 17 special interest topics that you will address and summarise, please. 18 19 DR WILKINSON: Thanks, Ms Janes. I would like to talk 15.11 20 about mandatory reporting and the seal of 21 confession. 22 Des has already pointed out the occurrence of child 23 sexual abuse by clergy and religious and lay persons has 24 been present in the Catholic Church since early times. It has always been condemned, it has always involved 25 power and the abuse of power, it has usually been denied 26 27 and kept secret, and in recent times, has been viewed as a sin, not a crime. 28 29 During the 20th Century, there was substantial 15.12 30 criminal child sexual abuse in the Catholic Church in 31 many countries, perpetrated by Catholic priests and 32 members of Catholic religious orders. The Holy See,

could I just explain the Holy See? The Holy See in

Catholic legal terms means the Pope and the various

3334

15.15 30

15.14 20

15.14 10

### - 1104 -

bureaucracies, it's called secastories, that serve the Pope in the work that he does.

So, I'll use that term the Holy See. If I refer to the Pope, that will mean specifically the Pope.

So, the Holy See, the highest authority in the church, knew about the abuse during the 20th Century and developed a range of legal instruments, including the 1917 and the revised 1983 Code of canon law, to construct a wall of secrecy around the abuse, both past and present, on the pretext that the church faithful needed to be protected from grave scandal and grave offence and that souls must not be harmed.

What the Irish and Victorian Parliamentary Inquiry found, however, was that the whole legal construct was designed essentially to keep the handling of abuse in-house and to secure a systemic coverup on an international scale in order to protect the reputation of the church and to prevent perpetrators being held accountable.

Rather than identifying and addressing the problem openly and accountably, looking for the causes of the abuse and seeking to eradicate them, the systemic coverup arrangements ensured that local communities were kept uninformed of the abuse that had happened, and was still happening, allowed the perpetrators not to be held accountable, and contributed to, even facilitated, more abuse. And this happened particularly with the movement of known abusers from one parish where the situation became too hot and the priest was moved to another parish or to overseas or to another diocese.

The arrangements were found to be derived from dysfunctional governance, a culture of secrecy and clericalism, and Des has already spoken about that.

In the church's effort to protect its own

3

4 5

6 7

8

1112

13

1415

16

17

18

19

2122

23

24

25

2627

28

29

31

32

3334

15.18 30

15.17 20

15.17 10

reputation, it often either entirely overlooked or gave scant consideration to the welfare of the abused children, the effect of the abuse on them and their families, as well as their just entitlement to counselling, compensation and redress.

As public awareness of these horrific crimes committed by clergy and religious became widespread, essentially through the media, various responses from the Holy See emerged. One was a special grant for the statute of limitation, it was extended to 10 years, and the age of minors extended up to 18 years, first in the US in 1994 and then in Ireland in 1996 and everywhere in 2001. In 2002 a special limited dispensation from the pontifical secret was granted to the US to allow the reporting of abuse allegations to civil authorities but only in states with mandatory, civil mandatory reporting laws.

In 2010, a document entitled, "A guide to understanding basic CDF", CDF is one of the Holy See congregations, called the congregation for the doctrine and faith usually referred to as CDF, "Understanding of their procedures concerning child sexual abuse allegations".

And that guide stated, I quote, "Civil law concerning reporting of crimes to the appropriate authorities should always be followed".

So, for the first time in worldwide, the Holy See was instructing that wherever civil jurisdictions had reporting laws for child sexual abuse, allegations were to be reported.

I'd just like to say something about the duty of care. Before talking about mandatory reporting, let me say that a duty of care is a well defined legal duty placed on institutions to take reasonable steps to

15.21 30

15.20 20

15.19 10

prevent and minimise the risk of child abuse within their organisations. It also gives abuse survivors a clear cause of action to commence legal action against an institution for the abuse that they have suffered, even with the institution having to prove or with the institution having a prove that it did take reasonable steps to prevent the abuse.

The Victorian Parliamentary report Betrayal of Trust found that in the State of Victoria, offenders in institutions often obtained credibility, trustworthiness and easy access to children in the institution, with the abuse facilitated by the trust relationship.

But the liability for the abuse was often unclear and limited. So, the Parliamentary Inquiry recommended a clear legal obligation for the institution to implement appropriate measures to ensure the safety of children in their care with the institution liable for the abuse by any person associated with the institution.

So, where a child is found to have been abused, the institution, including a religious institution, is presumed to have breached its duty of care.

Mandatory reporting. In the Victorian Parliamentary Inquiry, there was a distinction made between two types of mandatory reporting. The first was mandatory welfare reporting to the child protection authorities, and the other is mandatory criminal reporting, the reporting of a crime or credible evidence of a crime to Police.

Welfare reporting is for the protection of a child at risk. Criminal reporting is aimed at catching, prosecuting and convicting offenders. It is meant to prevent the concealment of child sexual abusers. Child sexual abuse is a crime, or perhaps we should say is above all a crime, as well as a welfare issue.

The Catholic Church in Victoria was initially

#### - 1107 -

1 2

15.24 30

15.23 20

15.22 10

opposed to the extension of mandatory welfare reporting laws to religious personnel but later accepted it, but it did not want mandatory criminal reporting and has consistently sought to retain the exemption for information received during the religious right of confession.

The Catholic Archdiocese of Sydney, however, has stated "sexual abuse is a crime which must be reported to the Police. The best way to investigate it is to report criminal conduct to the Police". But in Australia prior to 2015, New South Wales, of which Sydney is the capital, was the only Australian jurisdiction with a civil criminal reporting law.

In its evidence to the Victorian Parliamentary Inquiry, the Victorian Police stated that mandatory criminal reporting creates "a public duty to report such suspicions and send a message to everyone with the organisation who may know such issues, but are reluctant to become involved". The seriousness of criminal child sexual abusing cannot be denied.

The Victorian Inquiry concluded that, "It should be a crime for any person who knows or believes that a serious offence has been committed by another person against a child, and has information that they believe might be of material assistance - it should be a crime to fail to report that information to Police". The Australian Royal Commission came to the same conclusion about the need for a failure to report offence. But it came to a different conclusion regarding an exemption for information gained in a sacramental confession.

Let me talk about mandatory reporting and the seal of confession. The Australian Royal Commission examined both mandatory reporting and the seal of confession. Its criminal justice report recommended the introduction of a

#### - 1108 -

failure to report offence, such as recommendation 33, and its final report, volume 7, in respect of "Improving institutional responding and reporting recommendations" made 6 recommendations. Recommendation 7.1-7.6 on mandatory reporting, including two recommendations, 7.3 and 7.4 on religious confession. A practice used especially by the Anglican, Catholic and orthodox churches.

Recommendation 7.3 reads, "State and territory Governments should amend laws concerning mandatory reporting to child protection authorities to achieve national consistency in reporter groups. At a minimum, they should also include the following groups of individuals as mandatory reporters in every jurisdiction."

Then it listed the groups and at group (e) was: "People in religious ministry".

Recommendation 7.4 reads:

1 2

15.27 30

15.26 20

15.25 10

"Laws concerning mandatory reporting to child protection authorities should not exempt persons in religious ministry from being required to report knowledge or suspicions formed in whole or in part on the basis of information disclosed in or in connection with a religious confession."

During the public hearings for case study 50, and that case study was Institutional Review of Catholic Church Authorities held on 6-24 February 2017, the evidence given by the Catholic bishops and canon lawyers, all the Archbishops of the church in Australia gave evidence at that hearing, as well as several leading canon lawyers, they discussed the seal of confession and the responses of the bishops amongst themselves was confused and the responses of the bishops and the canon lawyers also did not line up.

#### - 1109 -

15.31 30

15.30 20

15.29 10

And it was over two issues. One was the confession of a child who had been abused, I forget the name, the name I think used was Sally. Sally goes to confession and says, "Bless me father, I have sinned, I have been abused by a priest". The legal question was, is that Sally's sin that she is confessing? No, it's not her sin. But is that information that Sally has given in her confession, information that is covered by the seal of confession? And there was confusion amongst the lawyers and the bishops about whether that was covered.

The other question was, if a priest offender comes to you in confession and confesses that he has abused a child, what are your options? In canon law, the confessor can forgive, give absolution, he can deny absolution or defer absolution.

The question arose, is it possible for the confessor to make absolution conditional upon the offender reporting his crime of child sexual abuse to the Police?

That wasn't able to be resolved at the hearing because of the confused responses of the lawyers and the bishops.

So, the Australian Royal Commission made recommendation 16.26 and it reads, "The Australian Catholic Bishops Conference should consult the Holy See and make public any advice received in order to clarify whether (a) information received from a child during the sacrament of reconciliation, that's confession, that they have been sexually abused is covered by the seal of confession. And (b) ask the Holy See if a person confesses during the sacrament of reconciliation to perpetrating child sexual abuse, whether absolution can and should be withheld until they report themselves to civil authorities".

I made an inquiry of the General Secretary of the

- 1110 -

Australian Bishops Conference before coming here to present to this Commission and I asked what had transpired with regard to these recommendations, the 7.3, 7.4 and specifically 16.26.

1 2

15.34 30

15.33 20

15.32 10

I was advised that all the recommendations of the Royal Commission had been sent to the Holy See and that there had been consultation between the Australian Bishops Conference and the Holy See concerning the specific recommendations. That the consultation is ongoing and that during the Australian bishops visits to home, they are going to visit in June of this year, further consultation had taken place.

I was also advised that on the 29th of June of this year, the Holy See's Apostolic Penitentiary, one of the departments of the Holy See, had issued a document titled, "Note of the Apostolic Penitentiary on the Importance of the Internal Forum and the Inviolability of the Sacramental Seal". It was published on the website and approved by Pope Francis and that note is significant for this Royal Commission for it contains very strict interpretations of the seal of confession, including the following:

The sacramental seal is indispensable. No human power has jurisdiction over it, nor can any human power lay claim to it. It is indispensable for the sanctity of the sacrament and for the freedom of conscience of the penitent, who must be certain at any time that the sacramental conversation will remain within the secrecy of the confession.

Second point, the inviable secrecy comes directly from the revealed divine right. It does not omit of any exception in the ecclesiastical sphere, nor least of all in the civil one.

Point 3. The church has always taught that priests,

25

2627

28

29

31

32

3334

15.37 30

- 1111 -1 in the celebration of the sacraments, acts in the very 2 person of Christ the head. 3 Point 4. The confessor must defend the sacramental seal if necessary "to the spilling of his own blood". 4 5 The seal is regulated by canon law and explained in 6 the Catechism of the Catholic Church which states "every 7 priest who hears confessions is bound under very severe penalties to keep absolute secrecy regarding the sins 8 9 that his penitents have confessed to him". 15.35 10 Point 6. The confessor is never allowed for any reason whatsoever "to betray in any way a penitent in 11 12 words or in any manner" just as "a confessor is 13 prohibited completely from using knowledge acquired from 14 confession to the detriment of the penitent even when any danger of revelation is excluded". 15 16 Point 7. The sacramental seal includes "all the 17 sins of both the penitent and others known from the penitent's confession, both mortal and venial, both 18 19 occult and public, as manifested with regard to absolution and therefore known to the confessor by virtue 15.36 20 of sacramental knowledge" 21 22 Point 8. The sacramental seal concerns everything 23

Point 8. The sacramental seal concerns everything the penitent has admitted, even in the event that the confessor does not grant absolution. If the confession is invalid or for some reason the absolution is not given, the seal must be maintained in any case.

Point 9. The priest becomes aware of the sins of the penitent "not as man but as God". To such an extent that he simply "does not know" what he was told during confession because he did not listen to the penitent as a man but precisely in the name of God.

Point 10. The confessor can therefore "swear" without any prejudice to his conscience to "not know" what he knows only as a Minister of God.

- 1112 -

	1	Point 11. In the presence of sins that involve
	2	criminal offences, it is never permissible, as a
	3	condition for absolution, to place on the penitent the
	4	obligation to turn himself in to civil justice. Where a
	5	penitent has been a victim of the evil of others, the
	6	confessor must instruct the penitent regarding his rights
	7	and the practical juridical instruments to refer to, in
	8	order to report the fact in a civil and/or ecclesiastical
	9	forum to invoke justice.
15.38	10	Q. Peter, may I just stop you there and check with the
	11	Chair? I am very conscious that we have gone past the
	12	adjournment, that would be a convenient point to stop and
	13	pick up.
	14	CHAIR: Yes, I think this would be a suitable time for
	15	the afternoon adjournment to be taken.
	16	
	17	Hearing adjourned from 3.40 p.m. until 3.55 p.m.
	18	
	19	MS JANES: With the indulgence of the Commission, we are
15.58	20	very conscious of the time. So, if we could move
	21	very briefly to redress and civil litigation.
	22	I foreshadow the comments that this is a
	23	summary of the Australian Royal Commission
	24	findings, so they are available to the Commission
	25	and anyone else who is interested, and we also have
	26	the written long brief and summary, so without any
	27	disadvantage to the information available to the
	28	Commission.
	29	Q. Dr Wilkinson, can I ask you to summarise what the Royal
15.59	30	Commission found was an appropriate redress framework?
	31	DR WILKINSON: In essence, what the Commission insisted
	32	was appropriate redress for survivors who were
	33	looking for justice, that the redress should
	34	include three elements: direct personal response;

#### - 1113 -

- counselling and psychological care; and monetary payments. And then that's expounded on in the document you have before you.
- 4 Q. Thank you, Dr Wilkinson. Turning the microphone now to
  5 Professor Cahill, just emphasising that you're not here
  6 speaking about the New Zealand context but are there
  7 recommendations you would like to make to this Royal
  8 Commission as it embarks on investigation of this aspect
  9 that you would want them to consider?
- 16.00 10 **EMERITUS PROFESSOR CAHILL:** I think one of the key
  11 things that you would need to look at is really
  12 prevalence data and trying to collect that,
  13 particularly with regard to the Catholic Church.

I think the English Norlan Inquiry in 2000 was certainly not a failure but it would have been much more strengthened if it had had prevalence data, so that's what I would say. .

So, in conclusion, in concluding our input, I think you're going to have to deal with the issue of the governence and management of religion and religious diversity, especially when you have bad religion or bad religious practices. And connected to that is the whole issue of the right to religious freedom.

In 2011, I was a co-author of a study of the Australian Law Reform Commission on this freedom of religion. I think you need to keep in mind that the right to religious freedom is a relative, not an absolute right. And, therefore, the difficulty is where do you draw the line? And that's an issue which needs a lot of debate across the world actually by legal philosophers, moral theologians and so on. And lastly to say in all of this, let us never forget the child. Children with their openness, trust and receptiveness give us a glimpse of openness to God.

# - 1114 -

	1	And we have concluded our presentation with a quote
	2	from the Catholic Education Office from South Australia
	3	highlighting the wonder of children.
	4	Thank you very much.
	5	MS JANES: And the absence of. The Jehovah's Witness has
	6	raised some issues about findings of the Royal
	7	Commission, these two witnesses are not able to
	8	assist with that but if I may introduce through
	9	them an exhibit so that the Commissioners have
16.03	10	available the findings of the Australian Royal
	11	Commission but also the independent review about
	12	data that looks at those issues so that the
	13	Commission may make its own findings on those
	14	points.
	15	I produce as Exhibit 17 Jehovah's Witnesses and the
	16	final report of the Royal Commission into Institutional
	17	Responses to Child Sexual Abuse, 14 November 2018.
		•
	18	Exhibit 17 produced
16.04	18 19	Exhibit 17 produced
16.04	18 19	Exhibit 17 produced  I'll leave you there, we do have an indication from
16.04	18 19 20	Exhibit 17 produced  I'll leave you there, we do have an indication from counsel that there are two who would like to question
16.04	18 19 20 21	Exhibit 17 produced  I'll leave you there, we do have an indication from counsel that there are two who would like to question  Professor Cahill and Dr Wilkinson. I will just check if
16.04	18 19 20 21 22	Exhibit 17 produced  I'll leave you there, we do have an indication from counsel that there are two who would like to question  Professor Cahill and Dr Wilkinson. I will just check if
16.04	18 19 20 21 22 23	Exhibit 17 produced  I'll leave you there, we do have an indication from counsel that there are two who would like to question  Professor Cahill and Dr Wilkinson. I will just check if
16.04	18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Exhibit 17 produced  I'll leave you there, we do have an indication from counsel that there are two who would like to question  Professor Cahill and Dr Wilkinson. I will just check if
16.04	18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	Exhibit 17 produced  I'll leave you there, we do have an indication from counsel that there are two who would like to question Professor Cahill and Dr Wilkinson. I will just check if that's still correct. First, Ms Guy Kidd QC.
16.04	18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	Exhibit 17 produced  I'll leave you there, we do have an indication from counsel that there are two who would like to question Professor Cahill and Dr Wilkinson. I will just check if that's still correct. First, Ms Guy Kidd QC.
16.04	18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29	Exhibit 17 produced  I'll leave you there, we do have an indication from counsel that there are two who would like to question Professor Cahill and Dr Wilkinson. I will just check if that's still correct. First, Ms Guy Kidd QC.
16.04	18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	Exhibit 17 produced  I'll leave you there, we do have an indication from counsel that there are two who would like to question Professor Cahill and Dr Wilkinson. I will just check if that's still correct. First, Ms Guy Kidd QC.
16.04	18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31	Exhibit 17 produced  I'll leave you there, we do have an indication from counsel that there are two who would like to question Professor Cahill and Dr Wilkinson. I will just check if that's still correct. First, Ms Guy Kidd QC.
16.04	18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32	Exhibit 17 produced  I'll leave you there, we do have an indication from counsel that there are two who would like to question Professor Cahill and Dr Wilkinson. I will just check if that's still correct. First, Ms Guy Kidd QC.
16.04	18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31	Exhibit 17 produced  I'll leave you there, we do have an indication from counsel that there are two who would like to question Professor Cahill and Dr Wilkinson. I will just check if that's still correct. First, Ms Guy Kidd QC.

- 1115 -

	Τ.		
	2		EMERITUS PROFESSOR DESMOND CAHILL
	3		DR PETER WILKINSON
	4		QUESTIONED BY MS GUY KIDD QC
	5		
	6		
	7	Q.	Tena koutou, good afternoon, my name is Fiona Guy Kidd
	8		and I appear for the General Synod known as Taonga Whanui
	9		of the Anglican Church of Aotearoa New Zealand and
16.04	10		Polynesia.
	11		I just have a few questions arising out of your
	12		evidence today.
	13		Firstly, on page 14 of your shortened report, the
	14		one you've been referring to today, when dealing with the
	15		issue of cultural and praxis factors - am I correct in
	16		understanding praxis is another word for practice?
	17	EMER	ITUS PROFESSOR CAHILL: Yes.
	18	Q.	You talk about the findings of the Australian Royal
	19		Commission and you speak of the problems for the
16.05	20		Anglicans were in the Church of England Boys' Society and
	21		in their secondary schools.
	22		I am curious, what is the Church of England Boys'
	23		Society?
	24	EMER	ITUS PROFESSOR CAHILL: I'm not an expert on the
	25		Anglican Church but, as I understand it, it was a
	26		society specifically for boys, adolescent boys, to
	27		encourage them to remain in the Anglican faith.
	28		And also as a kind of youth group. And so, it
	29		didn't exist in every parish, as I understand it.
16.06	30		It was more a diocesan thing. And the problems was
	31		partly to do with clergy but it was more to do with
	32		the youth workers that were employed by the church
	33		who ended up abusing younger people, younger
	34		adolescents.

- 1116 -

	1	Q. Thank you. On page 15, this is a part that you didn't
	2	speak to orally. You write there about the culture of
	3	secretive clericalism in the Catholic Church and you
	4	comment there, "Similar features are found in the
	5	Anglican Church". And my question is, are you referring
	6	to the Anglican Church in Australia?
	7	EMERITUS PROFESSOR CAHILL: That comes from the Royal
	8	Commission, so, yes, it is referring to the
	9	Anglican Church in Australia. But evidence - may I
16.07	10	draw your attention because I think that, as a

and to the episcopacy, there's now a feeling that
the level of clericalism is much diminished in the
Anglican Church.

11

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

2627

2829

16.08 20

result of the introduction of women to ordination

And I'd like to draw your attention to the work of Bishop Alison Taylor who was the fourth woman in Australia to be consecrated as an Anglican Bishop for the Diocese of Brisbane. She is currently doing a PhD on interrogating holiness, a contribution to the ecclesiology of the Anglican Church of Australia in light of the child sex abuse scandal. She spoke last year at a conference organised by the University of Divinity in Melbourne. I think she would be a very good source for you in looking at this whole issue from an Anglican perspective. As I understand, it is complicated by the different traditions within the Anglican Church, the Anglo-Catholic, evangelical and the more liberal progressive.

16.08 30 Q. We've identified that's the Anglican Church in Australia
31 and it comes from their recommendations, and you are
32 talking here about cultural and practice factors in
33 relation to this culture of clericalism. Would you agree
34 that to determine to the extent that clericalism is

- 1117 -

1		active in the Anglican Church in New Zealand, one would
2		need to look at the composition of the people in
3		authority in the church? You're nodding. The rules,
4		governing rules? The gender makeup? And maybe even the
5		ethnic makeup of who's involved in that church; would
6		that be fair?
7	EMERI	TUS PROFESSOR CAHILL: I think that's fair but
8		anything I've said about the Anglican Church in our
9		presentation is taken directly from the Royal
10		Commission report and I cannot comment at all with
11		any knowledge about the Anglican Church in
12		New Zealand.
13		May I add also, the Anglican Church in Australia has
14		instituted a new procedure for the sacrament of
15		confession which does allow for mandatory reporting if a
16		priest comes to the knowledge of child sex abuse in the
17		confessional but I can't give you the detail.
18	Q.	And even within the Anglican Church in Australia, there
19		are some conservative parts in relation to the ordination
20		of women?
21	EMERI	TUS PROFESSOR CAHILL: Yes.
22	Q.	For instance, in Sydney, which I understand is the
23		largest diocese of the Anglican Church in Australia,
24		still does not permit the ordination of women. Whereas,
25		in New Zealand all parts of our structure permit,
26		encourage the ordination of women. And we led Australia
27		by 15 years in the ordination of women, so that is
28		another example of a different factor.
29	EMERI	TUS PROFESSOR CAHILL: Sure.
30	MS GU	Y KIDD: Thank you for your assistance.
31		Commissioners, we had sought leave to ask
32		questions but in light of the range of issues
33		raised and the focus on Australia, it now doesn't

seem the appropriate time to interrogate those.

16.09

16.10

16.11

34

- 1118 -

	1	And so while my clients don't necessarily accept
	2	all the evidence that has been given, and there is
	3	a range of perspectives that you will hear in the
	4	future, now is not the time that we will support
	5	those. Thank you.
	6	MS JANES: Thank you, Sir. I now hand the witnesses to
	7	the Commissioners.
	8	CHAIR: Thank you. I will now ask my colleagues if any
	9	of them has a wish to ask questions of Professor
16.12	10	Cahill and Dr Wilkinson?
	11	
	12	
	13	
	14	***
	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	
	28	
	29	
	30	
	31	
	32	
	33	
	34	

- 1119 -

	1	
	2	EMERITUS PROFESSOR DESMOND CAHILL
	3	DR PETER WILKINSON
	4	QUESTIONED BY COMMISSIONERS
	5	
	6	
	7	COMMISSIONER GIBSON: I think just briefly, a clarifying
	8	question about timing. The document on the
	9	viability of the sacrament of confession, that was
16.12	10	written after the Australian Royal Commission
	11	Inquiry?
	12	DR WILKINSON: Yes.
	13	<b>COMMISSIONER GIBSON:</b> Was your sense in response to some
	14	recommendations of it?
	15	DR WILKINSON: My response to the content of the note?
	16	C14MISSIONER GIBSON: Yes, the viability document was
	17	written in response to the Australian Inquiry, is
	18	that your sense of -
	19	DR WILKINSON: Yes, it was definitely written in
16.13	20	response, I think, to those questions that were
	21	posed or recommended by the Australian Royal
	22	Commission and referred to Rome for explicit
	23	clarification by the relevant authority at the Holy
	24	See.
	25	COMMISSIONER GIBSON: Thanks.
	26	COMMISSIONER ALOFIVAE: Thank you, Dr Wilkinson and
	27	Professor Cahill. Just clarifying, you were
	28	referring to the note there?
	29	DR WILKINSON: Yes. When Professor Cahill and I met
16.13	30	with the Australian Royal Commissioner, Justice
	31	Peter McClelland, one of the things he asked us
	32	was, in the recommendations that we're likely to
	33	make, what is the appropriate way for our
	34	recommendations to reach the Holy See because canon

#### - 1120 -

law is made by the Holy See and specifically by the Pope, so the Pope can change canon law as and when he wishes.

45

6 7

8

1112

13

14

1516

1718

19

2122

23

24

25

2627

28

29

31

32

3334

16.16 30

16.15 20

16.14 10

The Royal Commission was aware that it was probably going to need to recommend changes but it wasn't sure how its recommendations could reach the Holy See.

So, our response to Justice McClelland, was to say the appropriate way would be to make a recommendation to the Australian Catholic Bishops Conference, and through them the recommendation could then be forwarded to the Holy See for whatever response that you required, and that was the way the Australian Royal Commission worked.

So, they made the recommendation to the Australian Catholic Bishops Conference, that they should refer this matter to the Holy See for clarification. And subsequent to that, this note, which is only a couple of months old, has been written and is now published and available on the Vatican website.

COMMISSIONER ALOFIVAE: Thank you. So, following up, that note then is, for want of a better phrase, it's your current policy or it's the - how is that perceived in terms of influence, if it's on the website?

policy of the Holy See. Now, I'm not sure what its status would be as canon law because it's not formulated as legal decrees but it is giving an official opinion as to how the seal is now being interpreted by the Holy See, not only in respect to that question about what is covered and is conditionality acceptable, but across a wider range of concerns about what is covered by the seal of confession and how seriously it may be protected. And particularly, whether civil law has any, not

## - 1121 -

	Τ	only influence but any effect on the seal.
	2	COMMISSIONER ALOFIVAE: Okay, thank you. And so,
	3	appreciating that that would have happened at a
	4	high level, in terms of the administration -
	5	DR WILKINSON: Highest.
	6	COMMISSIONER ALOFIVAE: The highest level. If you bring
	7	it down a couple of levels, what is the discourse,
	8	what was the socialisation of the final
	9	recommendations that came out in the note amongst
16.17	10	the Australian Catholic public at least?
	11	DR WILKINSON: I would say at this stage that the
	12	Australian Catholic public are not aware of this
	13	note. It's not the sort of thing that the media
	14	have picked up on as yet. It's not the sort of
	15	thing that ordinary Catholics go hunting for.
	16	And bear in mind that the practice of
	17	sacramental confession in Australia is extremely
	18	low. I think the figure normally tossed around is
	19	maybe 1% of Catholics go to confession, maybe
16.18	20	lower, because at the moment only 10% of Catholics
	21	regularly go to mass, and the practice of going to
	22	sacramental confession is very, very low.
	23	EMERITUS PROFESSOR CAHILL: Can I just add to that? The
	24	note is a document for the universal church, okay?
	25	It's not specifically for Australia. And no doubt
	26	there may be things that came from other episcopal
	27	conferences.
	28	Now, the Australian bishops, for all the
	29	recommendations, except for the seal which they didn't
16.18	30	recommend to be followed, they sent all those off to
	31	Rome, okay? But we don't know about the supporting
	32	documentation with regard to each of the recommendations
	33	that was forwarded to Rome and that were relevant to
	34	Rome, so I think that's an important point.

16.22 30

16.21 20

16.20 10

#### - 1122 -

Secondly, every 5 years the bishops have to give a quinquennial report on their own diocese prior to their making what's called an ad limina visit to the Pope, where they meet the Pope and the heads and it's really a stocktake on each particular diocese and the national church.

Your bishops here in New Zealand have just finished theirs a week, two weeks ago. Whereas, the Australian one was in June, I think, yes, June. I think it would be very interesting if the Royal Commission subpoenaed those quinquennial reports, not only about, particularly this latest one on the issue of confession, the seal of confession, but also the prevalence data, if they have done any prevalence data within their own diocese and how truthfully their quinquennial report reflects the real situation in their particular diocese.

DR WILKINSON: The quinquennial report is mandated at canon 399.1 of the 1983 Code of Canon Law and each Bishop who is in charge of a diocese must submit that report, and there is a form that they must follow. It has 22 sections in it and section, I think, 7 relates to the life and ministry of priests, so they must report on that. And section 8 is to do with religious members of the congregations and they must report on that.

Furthermore, each Bishop in the report is urged to be objective, precise and succinct and must include his pastoral difficulties in the diocese, the causes of those difficulties, means being used to resolve them, pastoral issues yet to be resolved and confidential matters which can be sent directly to the relevant congregation. And the Bishop's personal contribution is essential.

So, they are matters that must be in those quinquennial reports. We're not sure where those

- 1123 -

quinquennial reports go to. I asked the archivest in the 1 2 Melbourne archdiocese in archives, "Are those reports in 3 the archives?" She said, "Peter, there are no reports in the archives, not even in the secret archives". I have 4 found only one and that seems to be accidental. 5 So, I'm not sure what the Australian Royal 6 7 Commission, whether they tried to get hold of those documents or whether they were unobtainable but that 8 9 might be something that you would like to take up with 16.23 10 the attorney in Australia. COMMISSIONER ALOFIVAE: Thank you. Just one final 11 12 question. In a lot of our private sessions, a 13 recurring theme or insight that's coming through is around the concept of celibacy. So, very - I 14 15 looked at your comments very carefully about what 16 came out of the Australian Royal Commission and actually, there's evidence to support why canon law 17 should be changed to revisit that? 18 19 DR WILKINSON: Yes, and it is - local bishops or local episcopal conferences cannot change that law, it is 16.24 20 21 beyond their competence, is the phrase. That is 22 something that only the Pope can change. Now, 23 there is - I don't think you'd call it pressure but 24 certainly within the Catholic community, within Australia, there are certain quarters that would 25 enthusiastically support the removal of mandatory 26 clerical celibacy. Others would say it must 27 28 remain. But that is a decision beyond the 29 competency of the Australian church or the church 16.24 30 in Australia. 31 I should imagine that at the upcoming 2020 32 Preliminary Council that is to be held in Australia, that 33 that issue will be on the agenda.

COMMISSIONER ALOFIVAE: Thank you, no further questions,

34

- 1124 -

	Τ	much appreciated.
	2	COMMISSIONER ERUETI: It seems that in Australia even
	3	though there are - if you could clarify for me -
	4	mandatory reporting laws even for confessions made
	5	in the confessional across several states; is that
	6	correct?
	7	DR WILKINSON: Yes.
	8	COMMISSIONER ERUETI: And yet, I saw recently that the
	9	Archbishop of Melbourne, that's your hometown,
16.25	10	isn't it?
	11	DR WILKINSON: Yes.
	12	COMMISSIONER ERUETI: Said that he would defy the law,
	13	is that correct?
	14	DR WILKINSON: Yes.
	15	COMMISSIONER ERUETI: So, there is this deeply
	16	entrenched culture of opposition to mandatory
	17	reporting in these Australia states?
	18	DR WILKINSON: When a Bishop is appointed, he swears, I
	19	am not sure whether it's an oath, I think it is, to
16.26	20	be obedient to the Pope, to maintain the communion
	21	within the Catholic Church. Therefore, whatever
	22	the official position of the Catholic Church is, a
	23	Bishop would be expected to observe that position.
	24	And, therefore, if there is a mandatory civil law
	25	that says you break the seal of confession, then
	26	effectively that would break the communion within
	27	the church between the Bishop and the Pope.
	28	So, I think that is the source of that response.
	29	EMERITUS PROFESSOR CAHILL: It's true that the
16.27	30	Archbishop of Melbourne basically said I would go
	31	to jail. That was very clear.
	32	Now, here in New Zealand, I suspect that it's a
	33	matter of some sensitivity because during World War II a
	34	New Zealand Columban priest was executed by Japanese

#### - 1125 -

soldiers because he refused to give any information about 1 2 the confessions of guerillas who were living up in the 3 hills and they knew that the - the Japanese soldiers knew 4 about that. 5 That priest's name is Francis Douglas and I think there is some moves to try and canonise him as a saint 6 7 because he is seen as a martyr for defending the seal of confession. 8 9 COMMISSIONER ERUETI: It seems even in your paper, that 16.28 10 you're not insisting that the church change this principle but rethink it in light of the factors 11 12 that you outline on page 27 of your paper; is that 13 right? EMERITUS PROFESSOR CAHILL: 14 Yes. 15 COMMISSIONER ERUETI: The balance is freedom of 16 religion? 17 DR WILKINSON: Our position is the child must come first. The safety of the child, we feel, is 18 greater than the sacredness of the seal, and that 19 needs more discussion and that will be something I 16.29 20 think for you as Commissioners that you will have 21 22 to look at clearly because there will be an 23 expectation, I suspect, that you say something 24 about the seal of confession in your final report. EMERITUS PROFESSOR CAHILL: There is a 2000 year history 25 to this because initially, the church only had the 26 27 practice of open confessions made publically. Then 28 by about the 5th century, it started to change to 29 private confession being heard by the confessor. 16.29 30 After the Second Vatican Council, there were other 31 forms of confession that were brought in, particularly a 32 communal penitential or reconciliation session, where the person would confess in private to God and then 33 34 absolution would be given to the whole community.

- 1126 -

	Τ	that was aprogated by Pope John Paul II.
	2	So, I think there needs to be a conversation that
	3	goes on within the Catholic Church at a universal level
	4	about whether to go back to that or to even have new
	5	forms of confession.
	6	COMMISSIONER ERUETI: Another question, this is part of
	7	the puzzle, is trying to, there's plainly a man or
	8	boy issue here of sexual abuse in the context of
	9	the church and you seem to provide some explanation
16.31	10	referring to psychosexual issues and also location.
	11	At the same time, I think you said with nuns,
	12	religious nuns, even though you would, perhaps you
	13	would have some features of psychosexual and also
	14	accessibility, yet it still remains a male, older
	15	male on boy is a prominent feature. Are you able
	16	to explain, unpack that for me?
	17	EMERITUS PROFESSOR CAHILL: With regard to the priest or
	18	religious brothers or to the nuns?
	19	COMMISSIONER ERUETI: Well, the comparison is that it's
16.31	20	not so prevalent in the context of nuns and
	21	children, right?
	22	EMERITUS PROFESSOR CAHILL: Yes.
	23	COMMISSIONER ERUETI: Even though it would seem to me
	24	there would be some sort of psychosexual
	25	abnormalities as you describe them and also access,
	26	but it seems to be mostly, there is abuse but it's
	27	mostly physical and not sexual.
	28	The sexual abuse is largely men, priests on boys?
	29	EMERITUS PROFESSOR CAHILL: Yes.
16.32	30	COMMISSIONER ERUETI: Why is there this distinction, is
	31	the question fundamentally?
	32	EMERITUS PROFESSOR CAHILL: I'm not sure I have
	33	understood you fully. Don't forget that 30% of the
	34	victims were girls, females, okay, and it was

#### - 1127 -

1 partly an access issue but also of orientation. 2 So, you know, there are many factors coming into 3 this. With regard to the low offending rate among nuns, 4 5 the Australian Royal Commission, the figures are 96 and we think that's an exaggeration for various reasons but 6 7 it's quite low. But with regard to the sexual abuse by nuns of 8 9 children, the rate was extremely low and I suppose you 16.33 10 have to explain that in terms of, one is the lack of sexual knowledge of nuns during - up until the 60s and 11 12 70s, was extremely low, and that comes out in the Queensland Ford report but also I think that nuns had a 13 better appreciation of their own virginal commitment and 14 15 perhaps the level of sexual desire was not as immediate or as strong as it is with priests and brothers. 16 17 COMMISSIONER ERUETI: Thank you. COMMISSIONER SHAW: May I thank both of you for your 18 19 evidence. All of the questions that I had have already been covered by my colleagues, so I won't 16.34 20 labour the point. Thank you both very much. 21 I have one question which relates to, and I am 22 23 not going to use the language you used, but the 24 Royal Commission made its findings to the Bishops 25 Conference on the basis that the bishops would send a request or the finding to the Holy See. Was it 26 27 ever canvassed that they might simply make the recommendation to the bishops and leave it at that? 28 29 EMERITUS PROFESSOR CAHILL: Well, the Royal 16.35 30 Commissioners were very aware, they had a deep 31 knowledge of the Catholic Church. They knew that 32 on some of recommendations it was only Rome that could make the decision, do the necessary 33 recommended changes. And so, that's why the 34

#### - 1128 -

recommendations are worded that way. And so, the Australian bishops had no trouble accepting those recommendations because, in a sense, they were acting as a postbox to send it to Rome.

16.37 30

16.36 20

16.36 10

What we don't know, and it's never been revealed, is what was the covering letter and the comment by the bishops on each of those recommendations? Did they make no comment? Did they put a negative view of the particular recommendation or a positive view? We don't know.

It wasn't until 8 months later that the bishops actually came together and made an explicit decision on each of the relevant recommendations. And there's been a lot of criticism that it took them so long to get to that point of making that decision because there's a lot of division within the Australian Episcopal Conference, and that is part of the problem, that they find it very hard to get cohesion and agreement on almost anything. I think Peter would probably agree with that.

### DR WILKINSON: The Australian Catholic Bishops

Conference setup a mechanism or a structure that it called the Truth, Justice and Healing Council, that would be the liaison group between the Australian Royal Commission and the Catholic Bishops Conference. And I understand the New Zealand Catholic Bishops Conference has setup a similar structure.

I would imagine that before that mechanism that we talked about, namely sending the recommendations through the Catholic Bishops Conference, that would have been discussed with the Truth, Justice and Healing Council to sound out whether this was a process that was acceptable to the bishops.

At no stage, that I am aware of, was there any

- 1129 -

	1	discussion about it being an unsuitable process for
	2	getting the recommendations from the Commission to the
	3	Holy See.
	4	CHAIR: Thank you for clarifying that. I join my
	5	colleagues, gentlemen, in thanking you for the
	6	broad expanse of your evidence which you can be
	7	assured will be of great assistance to the Royal
	8	Commission. Thank you.
	9	DR WILKINSON: Thank you, Commissioners.
16.38	10	MS JANES: Thank you, Chair, and thank you Professor
	11	Cahill and Dr Wilkinson for your evidence, that is
	12	now concluded and you may step down.
	13	Chair and Commissioners, while they're doing that,
	14	Ms McKechnie has requested and been given leave to make a
	15	short statement.
	16	CHAIR: Thank you.
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	***
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	
	28	
	29	
	30	
	31	
	32	
	33	
	34	

## - 1130 -

	1	
	2	STATEMENT BY MS MCKECHNIE QC
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	MS McKECHNIE: Thank you, Chair, Commissioners.
	7	Following the close of this hearing, the next focus
	8	of the Royal Commission is going to be on the State
	9	and the Crown response. It's going to be some time
16.39	10	before Catholic matters come back before the
	11	Commission and, because of that, the Bishops and
	12	Congregational Leaders have sought and have been
	13	granted leave to make some remarks at this point,
	14	so thank you for leave.
	15	I make these remarks, Commissioners, on behalf of
	16	Te Ropu Tautoko which has been setup by both the Bishops
	17	and Congregational Leaders of the Church of Aotearoa
	18	New Zealand.
	19	At this Contextual Hearing, there has been evidence
16.39	20	which sets the scene for the work that you will do in the
	21	coming months and today there has been extensive evidence
	22	about Catholic matters. Representatives of Te Ropu
	23	Tautoko and the Auckland diocese, as we are here in their
	24	diocese, have attended the hearing, each day of hearing
	25	and listened to the evidence, both State and faith-based.
	26	And have learned much from the witnesses. Their presence
	27	here, I want to say to you and to those who are listening
	28	on the livestream, is part of the commitment by the
	29	Bishops and Congregational Leaders of the Catholic Church
16.40	30	to listen, to learn from and to support the survivors.
	31	Our clients are very conscious that there may well
	32	be survivors listening to this hearing who have not
	33	approached the Catholic entities for the civil

authorities about their experiences and they are

34

#### - 1131 -

encouraged to do that, to approach the National Office of Professional Standards which represents the Catholic entities here or to approach the Police, so I say that to those of you who may be listening who have not yet come forward.

We also encourage them to approach you and share their experiences with the Royal Commission.

Turning to the evidence that this Royal Commission will hear in the future about the Catholic Church and the entities of that church in New Zealand, the Catholic Bishops and Congregational Leaders are very aware that dark chapters of their history will be examined.

Some of that evidence has been heard in this hearing already.

Sadly, it is very clear that many things have happened within the Catholic diocese and congregations in New Zealand which should not have happened. All forms of abuse are unacceptable and indefensible. And all people should have been safe in the care of Catholic entities in New Zealand.

The Bishops and Congregational Leaders are committed to working with the Royal Commission in this Inquiry and have asked me to publicly reiterate that both to you and to those who are listening this afternoon.

They are committed to their errors and omissions being examined transparently and openly.

They acknowledge that as part of a global church, there will be a significant number of - there have been a significant number of Inquiries and investigations into entities around the word, including extensively today in Australia, many of these Inquiries have revealed events that should never have happened and to which the Pope and Catholic leaders in those areas expressed great regret. The evidence of Professor Cahill and Dr Wilkinson at this hearing

9 16.41 10

16.41 20 

16.42 30

16.44 30

16.43 20

16.43 10

### - 1132 -

have discussed some of that context in other countries and has sought to draw parallels in New Zealand and make a number of global generalisations around what you may find here.

Now, while our clients do not agree with all of the evidence given by Professor Cahill and Dr Wilkinson, and as you have heard there is a range of perspectives amongst Catholics and Catholic entities around the world and indeed in New Zealand, they accept and acknowledge that there are very serious issues to consider.

There will be parallels which you can draw from the global experience but because of the size and the structure and the nature of the Catholic community and leadership here in Aotearoa, there are significant differences that will need your exploration. Professor Cahill and Dr Wilkinson highlighted some of those differences in their evidence this afternoon.

The history of care provided by Catholic entities in New Zealand is also complex. As you will hear in evidence in your future Inquiries I am sure, it is a history of both significant societal good and times of intense shame.

As you embark on your work, Commissioners, the Catholic Bishops and Congregational Leaders ask that you consider the entities within the Catholic Church and Aotearoa New Zealand in their full context and in the context of Aotearoa New Zealand, its work, its errors, the lessons they have learned and the lessons that they are still to learn.

We anticipate, and Counsel Assisting indicated this morning, that investigations into faith-based churches will begin shortly. We anticipate there will be an investigation into care by Catholic entities here. The Catholic Bishops and Congregational Leaders welcome that

- 1133 -

	1	opportunity. They are committed to accepting
	2	responsibility and their responsibility to act to stop
	3	future abuse in the Catholic Church and to learn the
	4	lessons of how to respond to what has already happened
	5	and should not have happened in the care of the Catholic
	6	entities in Aotearoa New Zealand. They are committed to
	7	learning the lessons that will come from your work.
	8	Thank you.
	9	CHAIR: Thank you.
16.45	10	MR MOUNT: Mr Chair, Commissioners, as we draw towards
	11	the close of this Contextual Hearing, may I seek
	12	your indulgence to make some closing remarks?
	13	CHAIR: Certainly.
	14	
	15	
	16	***
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	
	28	
	29	
	30	
	31	
	32	
	33	
	34	

	1	CLOSING REMARKS
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	MR MOUNT: I begin by acknowledging mana whenua Ngati
	6	Whatua Orakei for generously hosting us, for
	7	opening each day and for closing each day. Your
	8	support and your welcome are very much appreciated.
	9	I also acknowledge survivors and members of our
16.45	10	Survivor Advisory Group and our ambassadors who have
	11	either joined us here in person or who have watched on
	12	the livestream or who have otherwise been involved in
	13	this hearing.
	14	I also acknowledge the witnesses who have
	15	participated and in many cases have very generously
	16	shared some of the most important aspects of their lives
	17	with us over the last two weeks.
	18	And I also acknowledge the other participants who
	19	have contributed to this hearing and of course the
16.46	20	members of the Secretariat and others who have made this
	21	complicated process possible.
	22	As you know, this is New Zealand's largest ever
	23	Royal Commission. No doubt there are many different ways
	24	in which we could measure size, but what we have seen in
	25	the last two weeks is that perhaps the most appropriate
	26	measure is to say that this is perhaps the largest in
	27	terms of the number of people affected and the largest in
	28	terms of the impact on New Zealanders who have been
	29	abused or neglected in care, as well as their families,
16.47	30	their communities and also the generations over time.
	31	We've heard from 29 witnesses in this room. But in
	32	many cases they have spoken on behalf of large numbers of
	33	others, from Judge Henwood who spoke on behalf of 1100

#### - 1135 -

1 2

16.49 30

16.49 20

16.48 10

people who came forward to the Confidential Listening and Assistance Service, to Sonja Cooper and Amanda Hill who represented more than 1,000 clients of their firm.

Survivors such as Rawiri Waretini-Karena and Arthur Taylor who represented the thousands of people in prison and the generations before them. If nothing else, it is obvious that this Inquiry is a lot bigger than any one person. But, Mr Chair, we won't see you at another public hearing and so it is appropriate to acknowledge at this time that you are not only the first among equals at the Commissioner table but you were also the first to take on the responsibility of this important work.

It was early last year when you accepted the request of the government to lead public consultation on the Terms of Reference for this Inquiry. It was the first time that had been done in New Zealand, to lead a fully public consultation process for the Terms of Reference of the Royal Commission. And you brought to that task your mana, and the respect in which you are held as our former Governor-General and the holder of several high public offices. I know that the many people that you met with very much respected and appreciated the way you approached that task.

It was then you who recommended to the government that the scope of this Inquiry be broadened from State care to include non-State care, particularly faith-based institutions.

And importantly, you recommended that Te Tiriti o Waitangi be built into the Terms of Reference and woven into the fabric of the Royal Commission. Those were your suggestions which were accepted by the government and they form a very important part of the work of the Inquiry.

Late last year, you were joined by your four

## - 1136 -

	1	colleagues and, having helped to design the ship, you
	2	have captained it to its first waypoint, the start of
	3	the private sessions earlier this year, and now to this
	4	significant waypoint, the end of the first substantive
	5	contextual public hearing.
	6	And if I may say, what you have shown throughout the
	7	time since you took on this important work, is an
	8	uncompromising commitment to the substance of the work, a
	9	steady resolve to do the work in a principled, inclusive
16.50	10	and sensitive way and a deep compassion for the
	11	New Zealanders of all backgrounds who are at the centre
	12	of this work.
	13	Very soon you will be passing on the responsibility
	14	of captaining the ship to a new Chair and what we have
	15	seen, if I may say so, in the last two weeks, is that
	16	this is an Inquiry in good health and one with real grass
	17	roots support. And for that, Mr Chair, we thank you.
	18	There will, I hope, be other opportunities to thank
	19	you and to acknowledge you for what you have done but I
16.51	20	do know that I speak on behalf of a very large number of
	21	people in wanting to acknowledge you today on this public
	22	occasion.
	23	Now, Madam Registrar, I believe that you may have a
	24	further exhibit that you may be able to present directly
	25	to the Chair? (Bouquet of flowers presented to the
	26	Chair).
	27	And on that note, for the final time in this public
	28	hearing, may it please the Commission, kia ora tatou
	29	katoa.
16.51	30 <b>CHA</b>	AIR: Thank you, Mr Mount.
	31 <b>MS</b>	McCartney QC: I am speaking on behalf of all counsel in
	32	addressing you, Sir Anand, because we wish to
	33	acknowledge your retirement as Chair. And,

speaking on behalf of all counsel, they have all

34

#### - 1137 -

asked me to convey to you our thanks for the mana that you have brought to these hearings. And such was the mana that you have brought, that in the nine days we have been here, we have seen survivors of the abuse, witnesses who have been prepared to speak publicly about their most personal experiences. And of those survivor witnesses, there are survivors who, while they started out in terrible circumstances, they were able to tell us how they went on to get high education degrees, some of them having been gang leaders went on to do this, another is a United Nations representative and there were quite a number who have written books.

1

2

3

4

5

67

8

1112

13

1415

16

17

1819

2122

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

31

32

3334

16.55 30

16.54 20

16.53 10

The indictment on New Zealand is that so many of them said there were times when they felt they were not human and every one of them said that they lost trust in authority. Yet, before this Royal Commission they were able to stand up and speak of their experiences.

You, Sir Anand, spoke directly to each one of them. You provided a personal and sincere thanks to each one. You conveyed respect and empowerment. And those who are here of the survivors, they saw that. Your dignified leadership has really set the course of this Royal Commission.

If I may turn and just speak to the survivors who are here. As counsel, we hope that having seen these last 9 days, that you can be optimistic that this Royal Commission may bring about the transformational change that you are seeking.

We, as counsel, are optimistic of that. And we wish to convey to the survivors that in the time that you have spoken in the time of these hearings, the hope that we have that many more will come forward has in fact been

#### - 1138 -

expressed to us already. We are already hearing that this is happening.

16.58 30

16.57 20

16.56 10

Sir Anand, counsel appearing here have a great affection for you. It has been a privilege to be here for your final sitting.

CHAIR: Ladies and gentlemen, I am going to exercise a right of reply and I'm conscious as I start of needing to be brief and to say what I ought in the words of one Corinthians 40, that is decently and in order.

I first acknowledge, as I ought, mana whenua Ngati Whatua Orakei whose presence has indeed been a central point of reference in the last fortnight. The connection is appropriate for a number of reasons. I have had many associations with Ngati Whatua, ranging from standing with the late Takutai 'Doc' Wikiriwhi at many public occasions, through seeking and obtaining the help of Kahurangi Naida Glavish on many topics, including how this Royal Commission should work when I was doing the public consultation last year.

And lastly, I recall, when having the privilege of being Governor-General and the equal privilege of hosting Prince William at Government House in Epsom, the centre-piece was a magnificent hangi put down by the Hall family, to all of you greetings.

The whakapapa element of my speech is to reflect on a life that has been steeped in the law and the community. All of that has been relevant to the setting up of the Royal Commission and it's been a real professional thrill to be part of this Contextual Hearing and to observe the lawyers applying their skills in the course of this hearing.

On the basis of doing the right thing, I want at this point, Mr Mount, to return to you, through the

Registrar, the wonderful book called The Conduct of Public Inquiries by Ed Ratushny that you gave me when we started the work together. It has never been far away from me at work and at home, and I have made frequent reference to it.

17.01 30

17.00 20

16.59 10

The whaikorero element of my speech is to reflect that today is Friday the 8th day of November 2019, the 312th day of our 2019 year. We're just two days short of an anniversary of the 10th of November in 1942, which incidentally was a Tuesday. On that day, 77 years ago, in the midst of World War II, in a memorable speech at Mansion House in London, speaking at a crucial turn of events in that war, the Prime Minister of the UK, the Rt Hon Winston Churchill, said in the context of things that had occurred, now this is not the end. It is not even the beginning of the end but it is perhaps the end of the beginning.

That statement about the end of the beginning characterises, to my mind, the positioning today of where we all are in mid-2019 in the life of the Royal Commission into Abuse in Care. We are truly here in New Zealand at the end of another beginning and the successful completion of this Contextual Hearing has provided that.

A considerable amount to date has been done and spoken about since I started in office as Chair of the Royal Commission, appointed on 1 February 2018, into a role which was to galvanise the purpose of the Royal Commission.

Looking at you and acknowledging you, makes a number of things clear. As I see you, may I say in a nutshell, the contribution that everyone has made is made up of a number of little things, all of which point to making two important points.

#### - 1140 -

Number one, in a country like New Zealand, we support whatever is the game and its followers. The work of the Royal Commission is important and vital as a number of the nearly 30 witnesses over these two weeks have said in a variety of ways.

1 2

17.04 30

17.03 20

17.02 10

Number two, in the same setting, we support those people who have put in time and effort to ensure that we have done well. I refer, of course, and principally, to the survivors, to the survivors and their advisers and families. I refer to the academic community, to the media representatives and the staff that the Royal Commission has amassed, the logistics staff, the wellbeing staff, the people who have made sure that things over a lengthy time have started on time and ended in the same way. Everyone has provided a professional input to make things go as well as they have.

This brings me to the wiri element and the challenge to the Royal Commission that has been laid down by many witnesses. One is the work which is unique. Another is the breadth of what's involved. And another yet, is the people who service it. It is inherently difficult for a government department whose natural territory is libraries, raffles and passports, to take on the servicing of the largest Royal Commission that the country has known but the Department of Internal Affairs has us and we have them.

Everyone deserves encouragement in what lies ahead and I offer mine. It has been a privilege to be a central part of the build up and delivery of what lies ahead. I will now go to the sideline and watch with interest how it all plays out.

I am grateful, of course, for the kind remarks that you, Mr Mount, and you, Ms McKechnie, have made. I wish everyone well.

# - 1141 -

	1	The second of th
	1	Tena koutou tena koutou tena koutou katoa. Kia ora.
	2	MR MERRICK: (Address in Te Reo Maori).
	3	REPRESENTATIVE FROM NGATI WHATUA: I would like to say
	4	on behalf of us, thank you for the very kind words.
	5	The next time I go, I must talk to Uncle Doc that
	6	we were here on the last day of this with you, Sir
	7	Anand.
	8	I would like to thank everybody for welcoming
	9	us here, we have enjoyed it, it's been brilliant.
17.08	10	I only have one other thing, and that has to
	11	do with my father making me read The Herald from
	12	5 years old, which is 65 years ago now, so that
	13	every opportunity I see your name in the paper, I
	14	read The Herald and I've known these things.
	15	When you leave tonight, and you and your Lady
	16	get on your charges, don't ride away too far
	17	because your mana, your understanding, and your
	18	love is still needed here.
	19	Tena koutou tena koutou tena koutou katoa.
17.11	20	
	21	
	22	Hearing adjourned at 5.15 p.m.
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	
	28	
	29	
	30	
	31	
	32	
	33	
	34	