- 1031 -

	1		
	2		MIKE LEDINGHAM - AFFIRMED
	3		EXAMINED BY MS JANES
	4		
	5		
	6	MS J	ANES: May it please the Commission, we have our
	7		first witness, Mr Ledingham.
	8	CHAIR	R: Mr Ledingham, good morning. In terms of the
	9		Inquiries Act 2013 under which the Royal Commission
10.08	10		is operating, I am required to seek your answer to
	11		an initial question. (Witness affirmed).
	12	Α.	I do, as I remember it.
	13	MS J	ANES:
	14	Q.	Mr Ledingham, is your full name Michael Joseph Ledingham?
	15	A.	Yes.
	16	Q.	And you have prepared a statement for the Commissioner
	17		which you have signed and dated?
	18	A.	Yes.
	19	Q.	And I understand that as we go through the evidence,
10.09	20		there will be some changes because of subsequent events
	21		after you completed this?
	22	A.	Yes, when we get to that stage I will make a note.
	23	Q.	Perfect. And just before we start on your evidence, I
	24		understand that you have been notified that our Chair is
	25		a Catholic and as a courtesy because you have experience
	26		of abuse in the Catholic Church, there has been a
	27		discussion about your comfort?
10.10	28	Α.	Yes. Not only am I representing myself here today, I am
	29		representing my two younger brothers who were also
	30		abused. Speaking to them last night, they found it odd
	31		that a member of the faith, the perpetrating faith, is in
	32		charge of this Commission. But I have been assured that
	33		you are an honourable man and you will deal with
	34		everything impartially and will advocate impartially.

- 1032 -

And on that advice, I am happy with that. And I passed that on to my two brothers.

Thank you, Mr Ledingham. I acknowledge and 3 CHAIR: respect and understand what you have said. Myself 4 and my four colleagues are New Zealanders of varied 5 6 backgrounds, Samoan, Maori, European and we come 7 from a number of faiths, Presbyterian, Catholic and I am Catholic and that is widely known and that has 8 been made clear. But we have, as assiduously as we 9 can, endeavoured to make our footprint asserting 10.11 10 independence and our willingness to listen to 11 12 everyone who comes before us, particularly those who have been survivors. So, I acknowledge what 13 you've said and can understand what you've said and 14 I will now invite you to provide the material that 15 you wish to bring to our attention. Thank you. 16

17 A. Thank you.

- 18 MS JANES:
- 19 Q. Just before we get into the evidence, can you confirm

 10.12 20 that when you speak about your brothers and a particular

 21 third party who is a friend of yours, that you have their

 22 consent?
 - 23 A. Yes, I have their full consent to use their case as part 24 of my submission.
 - Q. Mr Ledingham, can I ask you to start reading then from paragraph 2 of your statement?
- A. All right. I found it extremely difficult to formulate this address. It is hard to remain detached when you have experienced what we did. But for all the victims' sakes, here I am today, hopefully speaking for a vast silent majority also.

I have written a book about our experience called
The Catholic Boys. It was published in June this year
after taking me more than 20 years to write.

- 1033 -

I have had three other books published over the past five years after finding out later in life I did have a talent for writing but oh to have learnt this at a much younger age. My publisher Mike Smith of BMS Books
Rotorua, a Catholic himself, always knew I had this draft copy of The Catholic Boys squirrelled away and kept encouraging me to finish.

I put it aside many times before, not only because I kept becoming disgusted with recalling what had happened but also our experience of the arrogant and seemingly non-caring attitude of the Catholic Church.

- CHAIR: Mr Ledingham, I intervene only to ask you to keep your eye on the stenotyper and the people who are translating into sign language what you're saying. It's an obvious thing that having said that, I'm asking you to please moderate the pace of what you're saying.
- 18 A. Moderate?

8

9

1112

1314

15

1617

2627

28

29

31

3233

34

10.14 30

10.13 10

- 19 CHAIR: Go more slowly.
- 10.14 20 A. Okay, yep. So, I dithered around with it for 20 years
 21 but this changed suddenly late last year when the Bishop
 22 of Adelaide proclaimed words to this effect, "I would
 23 never report a priest who confessed to sexual abuse. To
 24 me, for the victim this is like having contact with God
 25 through the priest".

I was outraged at this and I thought how sick is that? I was appalled like the people like that Bishop of Adelaide were in charge of the church. So, it was exactly the motivation I needed and I finished the book ably supported by my sister Mary as the narrator because I was too close to the story to do it and my two younger brothers who have each told their side of sordid childhood.

MS JANES:

- 2 Q. You say in your next paragraph that it took you some
 3 40 years to fully disclose and later in your brief you
- 4 talk about why you didn't report. Can we move on to
- 5 paragraph 11?
- 6 A. I make no apology for the language when describing what
- 7 happened. It may offend some of you, especially
- 8 religious people, but then nobody really apologised to us
- 9 when we disclosed that Catholic Church clergy performed
- 10.15 10 deviante practices on us bewildered frightened children.
 - 11 Q. Carry on.
 - 12 A. The horrific abuse that happened can't be told and
 - understood using polite words or watered downwatered-
 - 14 down rhetoric.
 - I make the point also, I have not always been a good
 - 16 person, I was screwed up mentally for quite a few years
 - and didn't always do the right things.
 - By the same token, I don't preach or tell people to
 - follow me like churches do. I'm more like "don't follow
- 10.16 20 me I'm lost".
 - 21 We, my brothers and I, make up the numbers of
 - countless other victims of abuse in the Catholic Church
 - who are out there, and I somewhat feel we also have a
 - 24 duty to those silent, suffering people to try and bring a
 - 25 sick and self-centered institution out of the dark ages
 - to face the realisation of what they have done.
 - 27 Sexually abusing anyone is a crime.
 - 28 Sexually abusing children is abominable.
 - If any one of us did it, we would face years in
- 10.16 30 jail.
 - So, how can they be allowed to get away with it?
 - 32 Q. Mike, thank you for having the courage to come forward
 - and tell your story. Can you now describe what happened
 - 34 to you, starting at paragraph 27?
 - A. Okay. What happened. On the face of it, we grew up in a

- 1035 -

great example of a Catholic family with strong Irish roots. My family was typical of many of those who lived in the area. My father worked, my mother stayed at home, and us 8 kids were raised to respect adults and those in authority, especially priests of the Catholic Church.

10.19 30

10.18 20

10.17 10

We were regarded as what was then called a good Catholic family, and it was always impressed on us from an early age that we were privileged to be members of the one true church and had standards to uphold.

My brothers and I served as altar boys at the local Catholic Church.

We attended the local parish convent school, St Joseph's Onehunga. There were many religious visitors to our house. We became accustomed to seeing them, liked most of them and, unfortunately as it turned out, trusted them implicitly.

In our small wee eyes, the Catholic Church was all powerful, always correct and in fact infallible.

- Q. And then when did the abuse begin for you? You have that at paragraph 34.
- A. For me, my memory, the actual abuse proper began in 1958 at Father Frank Green's Aaunty's house in Mt Roskill.

 Previously when doing gymnastics or especially when riding in the front seat of his car, he would rub up and down your legs and body quite inappropriately but we didn't understand that at the time.

We'd gone up to his Aaunty's house ostensibly to redecorate the house while she was away. We worked for a while, then stopped for a break. He asked me how my training for the silver badge in gymnastics was going. I said okay except for the splits exercise which I found really hard.

Green said he could help me and got me to do the splits while he got down behind me and began rubbing the

- 1036 -

area of my crack and my balls which surprised and unsettled me.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

11

2.3

2.4

2526

27

28

29

31

10.21 30

10.20 10

After a while, he said it would be a lot easier if I took my strides off. I was unsure and unwilling to do thisthis, but he ordered me to do it. He then started stroking and massaging my whole genital area while he went quiet and strange breathing heavily with some sort of movement of his body going on. I understand now that he was masturbating himself, although again, as an 8 year old child with no idea about sexual matters, I certainly didn't understand this at the time.

- 12 Q. And you have described the effect that this abuse had on you.
- Well, yeah, you need to understand that I was only an 8 14 Α. year old. We'd had no sexual, you know we knew nothing 15 about sex. In fact it was a tabutaboo subject. 16 I was 17 totally uncomprehending, shocked, frightened and sickened. I felt very dirty. When he was 18 finished I went straight to the toilet and locked myself 19 in and just sat there in abject misery until he ordered 10.21 20 me out, dropped me home and left with not even a word 21 22 spoken.

My life had suddenly turned into a total nightmare. More especially after all the teaching about love of God, piety and purity and burning in hell fire forever if you sinned being hammered into you from an early age.

I understand now that for an 8 year old child, me, this was quite a catastrophic event in my life.

I was so traumatised by the events I never once managed to tell a soul what had happened until years later. $\$

- 32 Q. And you've also described the effects it had on your 33 health and your education.
- 34 A. I developed asthma around that time. My attention at school was sadly lacking and the nuns were pretty quick

- 1037 -

to dish out the strap or other punishments for this.

10.23 30

10.23 20

10.22 10

Green very quickly followed up the abuse with orders to the nuns for me to report to him at the presbytery after school for unspecified reasons. After just about sweating blood all day over this, sick and frightened about what might occur, I knew I could not ignore this instruction.

Once there, he would always accuse you of some sort of misdemeanour, all bullshit of course, theft, damage to the school or church or grave yard but his favourite subject was talking about masturbating. He seemed to have a fixation on this heinous sin but I didn't know what he was talking about. I became even more confused. I can still remember him asking me what colour the fluid was that came out of my penis when I played with myself. For me, an 8 year old boy, this was totally non-comprehendablecomprehendible. You know, like, I couldn't, you begin to doubt yourself. Your mind.

This type of interrogation happened on at least 4 to 6 occasions that I recall, so you can imagine my attention at school had been well and truly diverted. I spent my time with my head over my shoulders worried and after school could not get the hell out of that place quick enough.

My asthma continued to plagueying me, meaning days at home in comparative safety and I can remember the doctor telling Mum that there didn't seem to be a clinical reason for the asthma, so it must be a nervous thing. I think he was spot on.

Funnily enough, as soon as I left secondary school and went working on a farm, the asthma disappeared and I've never had it since. I gave up church at that time too.

Q. And, Mike, you also talked about the abuse at the convent

We

- 1038 -

- school but did Father Green also assert himself into your home life?
- 3 A. He did.
- 4 Q. Paragraph 54.
- 5 A. It wasn't really safe at home because Green turned up
- often because our Mum did typing for the church.
- 7 Remember this priest was God on earth to an Irish
- 8 Catholic family at that time. The intimidation continued
- on a regular basis. I remember once when mywhen my
- 10.24 10 brother Gerry had a school mate come over for the
 - 11 weekend, Green heard about this.
 - found out years later that Green had also abused this
 - 13 boy. He called us both up to the presbytery
 - 14 after school, split us up into separate rooms and told us
 - that the other boy had told him we'd all masturbated
 - ourselves around the back of our house. We
 - denied this but he kept us there until we finally
 - admitted it and then he warned us that he would tell the
 - nuns and our parents if we ever got together with that
- 10.25 20 boy again.
 - On the way home, Gerry said he hadn't done it and I
 - said I hadn't either but neither of us actually told the
 - other of the abuse that had occurred to us at that time
 - because it was a dirty, terrible, dark secret. I think
 - Green was scared we would compare notes with that other
 - boy too and I can tell you this, it was far from our
 - 27 minds. We were too scared to tell anyone about the
 - 28 nightmare we were now living.
- 29 Q. And at paragraph 59, you list a lot of the profound
- 10.25 30 effects that it had on you?
 - 31 A. Yes, like many other victim survivors we lived in
 - 32 confusion and silence, I achieved no qualifications.
 - 33 mistrusted authority. I developed a blind hatred of
 - intimidation which was to cost me a fair few good jobs.
 - I did not feel safe letting people get close to me.

- developed a drinking problem that I think I still have.
- 2 I committed a few crimes in the latter 60s which could
- 3 have had me in jail or Borstal if I'd been caught. But I
- 4 always knew when to cut and run.
- 5 After I joined the Army, I did spend time in the 6 Military prison.
- 7 Q. Up until that time, you had believed you were the only 8 one experiencing abuse but what happened to them and how
- 9 did you find out about your brothers?

19

2122

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

31

3233

34

10.27 30

10.27 20

I didn't know but obviously he tried me and because of 10.26 10 Α. 11 the asthma and he wasn't getting a chance, he must have 12 moved on to my younger brother Gerry, he's 11 months younger than me, so he could have only been 7 when this 13 14 happened. We are very similar in thinking but we have a 15 few differing personality traits. He is a very private man and I don't think he has told me the full extent of 16 17 the abuse that occurred to him but I could be wrong about this. 18

What I do know is that, like a lot of the victims, he loathes the Catholic Church and distrusts them completely which you can't blame him forgiven what happened and then the shameful way we were treated when we tried to get redress.

I asked him if he would like to say something to you today and he had this to say:

"Nothing much has changed. They (the church) go on about how sorry they are and how they now look after the victims, but you know, not once in the 17 years since we were forced to go public has anyone even rung to see how we were travelling or if we needed anything. They are full of shit and as far as I'm concerned concerned, they can shove their sick religion right up their jacksy".

Thanks for that Gerry, that gets your point across pretty succinctly, I feel.

My brother Chris was always a quieter far more gentle and more studious type than me and Gerry. He wouldn't hurt a fly. And Gerry and I used to have to fight his battles for him at school. Mum always used to say look after your little brother and so we did.

2.4

10.30 30

10.29 20

10.28 10

This part I find hard. Finding out in 2002 Green had got to him badly upset me greatly. I felt I had let him down and I cried. My sister, Mary, pointed out given the powerlessness of the situation we were in, I wouldn't have been able to do anything about it anyway. But if I had known earlier while Ggreen was still alive I'd have nailed the bastard for sure.

Chris used the money he got from the church to go to <u>Uuni</u> in Aussie. He achieved two degrees and had the education he was supposed to, that he would have got in his 20s had things been normal, with the chance of earning good money for far more years before retirement.

Like the rest of us who were abused, he hasn't got much to retire with. In fact, with the interference the abuse caused to our early education, and the subsequent loss of further education, qualifications and employment, we are a typical example of many bright intelligent children with potential to achieve and be financially independent who are now facing a meager retirement.

I asked Chris for you today and this is what he said, having read this book, Walking Towards Thunder by Peter Fox an ex-Aussie Policeman who was trying to inquire into sexual abuse within the Catholic Church, he now knows the Catholic Church did conspire to cover up sexual abuse crimes. His quote stems from the church's handling of the pedophile Priest Denis McAlinden who they knew had been abusing young girls for years. They claimed beyond transferring them what else could they do? My answer to that would be hand them over to the Police.

- 1041 -

But the church's canon law acknowledged the inherent sinfulness of clergy abusing children but only required McAlinden to be rebuked and given warnings. They tried moving him but that had failed.

Canon law also dictated that. "A priest's good name

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1112

13

14

15

1617

18

19

2122

23

34

10.31 20

10.31 10

Canon law also dictated that. "A priest's good name be protected, and secrecy demanded by Crimen Sollicitationis, a 1962 Holy Office instruction which American priests and academic Thomas Doyle would later describe as "an explicit policy to cover up cases of abuse by clergy".

So McAlinden's crimes were concealed a pontifical secret.

That gives the lie to the fact that they were conspiring to cover up sexual abuse.

Thanks, Chris, that tells a story and gives the lie to denials of organised cover ups.

Can I just explain Walking Towards Thunder? It is an Irish saying, it means in your life there will be trouble and storms, you walk towards the thunder and eventually you'll get through it and come out into the sunshine. I think you should all read this book. It is a compelling read and it is a sad read. I couldn't put it down.

- Q. Thank you, Mike. And then you talk about the life, the effect on not only your life but your brother's life and the family's life at paragraph 76?
- 27 A. Life after the abuse. My sister summed it up with her statement:

"With the abuse came our journey from a happy family
with the usual happy feisty kids to one of three troubled
teenagers who became angry, abusing and self-abusing men,
with consequences for themselves and traumatic results
for our family".

Even today, there is still things in our family that

- 1042 -

have never been sorted because of religion, this sort of thing can split families.

2.4

10.34 30

10.33 20

10.33 10

As I have said, after the abuse by Green, my attention waned in school and I stopped achieving, my health was affected. I am not a professional man, nor am I well educated. In fact you could well say that I was brought up in the school of hard knocks and arse covering, literally. It is hard enough as a young child to recognise that the better your education, the better your employment would be without having someone having designs on your arse.

I left school after the 5th form after failing School Certificate. From memory, I had asthma around the time of the exams, which didn't help, but I feel I never really regained the ground that those last miserable years at that convent cost me.

I was certainly very mixed up and confused.

When you've been brainwashed by religion, and then sexually abused by a priest at a young age, it is not a good recipe for logical thinking.

Basically, I ran wild for four to five years, had jobs on farms, had altercations with bosses, had jobs at the freezing works, had altercations with fellow workers, couldn't hold down a job very long. I had an honest pathological hatred of intimidation and wasn't a successful drinker. I got involved in brawls and vandalism, caught a few good hidings and left a couple of towns one step ahead of the Police but I always seemed to know when to cut and run.

Many of my friends in those days were Maori and this remains so today. They thought I was a mad bastard, up north they called me crazy horse and I loved their humour, willingness to stand their ground and fight and also their stoicism when suffering from racism, which

there was a lot of back then.

Doing national service in 1971, this is what I struck, the guys I was doing national service with a lot of Maori boys, camaraderie, humour. So, we decided to join the regular force, a lot of us, which was a good thing for me discipline-wise. It probably kept me out of jail. Although as mentioned, I did do time in the Military prison. I still hated intimidation and over the years had several fights with more senior people.

I did two tour up in Southeast Asia with the Infantry Battalion Station, missed Vietnam which we were brassed off about but as we got older we realised we were lucky.

In 1978 was talked into having a go the SAS selection course, surprising myself I was one of 9 candidates from about 56 who passed and I spent the next 7 years there. Nothing the SAS threw at me physically or mentally phased faced me as much as the sexual and mental abuse I suffered as a child at that convent.

Sadly, after 7 years with the SAS the old intimidation thing rose again when as a Corporal I attacked a Warrant Officer after an argument. The boys broke it up when and it became obvious I was going to mangle him.

That effectively was the end of my service after 15 years. In another 5 years I would have got a full Military pension.

I married a Maori girl I met in the Army in my 30s, although I still had closeness and trust issues. We had 5 children rapidly. Sadly, one died just before she turned 3. My wife suffered from depression after this and with my own problems, as well as grief, I wasn't too much good to her and in the end we split after 25 years.

I brought my children up as heathens with a great

10.35 10

10.35 20

10.36 30

- 1044 -

contempt for any religion but they also knew if they struck any problems, especially people problems, Dad would be there for them. You don't need a Bible in your hands to be a good person.

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

1112

13

1415

16 17

18

19

21

2223

2.4

25

2627

28

29

31

3233

34

10.38 30

10.38 20

10.37 10

I am lucky. My children all have a good work ethic, are good people with many friends from all cultures and walks of life. And I now live next_door to one daughter who has two boys and 5 minutes away from another one, a girl, my Mokos.

I often look at the 8 year old boy sometimes and wonder, he's about the age I was when I got abused. And although they are a lot more sophisticated now, he is not as street-wise as we were back then. But I'll say this, if anyone ever touched him I'd cut their throat and happily go to jail for the rest of my life. Life is good you might say but I can't help feeling it should have been better for all of the victims. We all had something snatched away from us at a very young age that you can never get back, trust and innocence. The Bishop of Auckland claimed that nobody knew anything about Green's offending in the 12 years at Onehunga parish, but I find that extremely hard to believe. I was a single soldier for 11 years before I got married, lived in the barracks for 7-8 of those years. When you live close with others like that you get to know what they are like and if they have any particular bad habits, like being bent. Fortunately, we only found a couple like that in my time in the service. With what we now understand to be Green's history of offending, the argument that nobody knew doesn't wash with me Mr Bishop of Auckland.

I firmly believe what Green did to me and others denied many of us our right and ability to determine our futures.

Q. And then, Mike, you reinforce in your following

- 1045 -

- 1 paragraphs some of the things that you've spoken about,
- about not feeling secure in your home, not being able to
- 3 trust. Can I take you to paragraph 106. You talk there
- 4 about the moment of truth coming when you found out about
- 5 your youngest brother.
- 6 A. Can I just read something from 104 first?
- 7 Q. Yes.
- 8 A. My adolescent years instead of being a time of growth and
- 9 development, instead I had to find out, to try and find
- myself which was to prove an elusive goal. I compensated
 - for lack of confidence, especially around females, by
 - drinking heavily, I lacked stability, drifting from one
 - job to the next, never staying too long in one place and
 - never being able to trust or form a close relationship
 - with anyone, thinking there was something wrong with me
 - because of what occurred, keeping my unspeakable secret
 - 17 buried deep.
 - The moment of truth came in 2002 August when our
 - 19 youngest brother Chris confided in Gerry and me about the
- abuse that he had suffered from Green and revealed that
 - 21 he had written to the church twice and they wouldn't
 - answer him.
 - So, it was then we got together and understood what
 - the abuse had cost our families and us.
 - 25 Yeah.
 - 26 Q. Carry on.
 - 27 A. Carry on?
 - 28 Q. Mm-Mmm. You've talked earlier about it took quite a
 - 29 while for you to fully disclose. This would probably be
- a good time to touch on why you hadn't reported earlier
 - and also why your brothers hadn't reported earlier, and
 - that's at paragraph 115.
 - 33 A. We, like other victims/survivors, have been asked why we
 - told no-one at the time, why has it taken so many years

to report what happened to you? The culture of that time was that we, as children, had no power at all.

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

1112

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

2122

2.3

2.4

25

26

2728

29

31

3233

34

10.43 30

10.42 20

10.41 10

If you were accused of something by a nun or priest, you were automatically assumed guilty. If you did have the temerity to protest your innocence, you are generally punished twice; once for the misdemeanour you were supposed to have committed and once for calling the nun or priest a liar. Plus, of course, as children, the abuse that occurred was way beyond our meager comprehension to actually put it into words was impossible.

I was so traumatised by the events I never once managed to tsell a soul until my brother Gerry and I finally spoke about it in 1985. I'd just been kicked out of the Army and he was heading to Perth and we had a big booze up, everyone else went home, we were sitting around talking and he said, he mentioned this Father Green and I said I think he was a fucken pedophile and Gerry said he was, he had a go at me and I said yeah, he had a go at me too. I've always been an attacking sort of a person, I said let's do the bastard. He was still alive then, but our Mum wasn't well and she believed in this all her life and we decided we couldn't take that away from her. now wish we'd done something about it. It was not until 2002, my brother Chris encouraged by his counsellor shared his abuse with us. So, then he informed us he had written to the church twice in 2002 and been ignored.

And then we knew they'd been informed of his sexual abuse and we wrote further to tell them about we other two.

So, the response of the church. What did they do? They did what they're good at, ignored, delayed, deferred, detracted, denied. They did anything, anything they could not to accept responsibility until we felt forced to go public.

We didn't know really what to expect from the church

- 1047 -

but they seemed to draw it out for as long as possible with many excuses for lack of progress.

A visible complaints process didn't seem to be in place then and they drew things out for so long, both my brothers began to have nightmares and flashbacks.

Chris, not knowing we had also been abused had first written to Bishop Dunn on 18 April 2002 explaining what had happened to him and finishing with:

"I don't know what to expect from this letter, I just know I have to write it".

Having had no answer_answer_ he wrote again on 6 of
June finishing with:

"I urge you to take some interest in this matter for our own mutual healing benefit".

He eventually got a reply from the Bishop on 1 July 2002 and the church's professional Standards Committee on 11 July 2002. By then because it was taking so long, on advice he had confided in us and was shocked to learn we had also been abused. So, he wrote to the Professional Standards Committee telling them about us and giving them his Perth telephone number for a contact.

Despite various letters back and forward over the next few months, there did seem to be a deafening silence while they fluffed around with committees, meetings, excuses, claims of an unseen letter and nobody seeming to know what to do, we made the decision to engage a New Zealand lawyer, with no perceivable progress being made over the next weeks and realised just how much the Catholic Church were messing us about, we decided to take action.

There is an old infantry adage that I've always been fond of, "The best means of defence is attack". So, we went in boots and all.

We went public in the New Zealand Herald on the 7th

10.44 10

10.44 20

10.45 30

- 1048 -

of December 2002.

10.47 30

10.46 20

10.46 10

This certainly produced some reaction with Bishop
Dunn flying over to Perth to meet us and also resulted in
various other victims coming out of the woodwork.
Certainly, it wasn't our greatest wish to have the whole
sordid business public but we needed to get some sort of
resolution while they appeared to be playing for time.

They did fly Chris and I over to Auckland for a hearing in 2003. Gerry hates them so much he couldn't stand to be near them and he couldn't take responsibility for what he might do, so he stayed where he is.

We went to their place in Ponsonby accompanied by my sister Mary and our lawyer. They had a couple of QCs with the Bishop and various other religious people present but not too much eventuated from this meeting.

They showed us a balance sheet showing there was no money and I do recall the Bishop actually stating that they didn't want to pay us too much because it might set a precedent for future cases.

The saga dragged on through 2003. There was another release in The Herald, "No joy for abused trio". Both my brothers were still having nightmares and flashbacks. So, in late 2003, when an offer was made, it was decided we accept this.

The matter was finally settled on 15 January 2004.

After the settlement, we never heard from the church again. And we only began to take - I only began to take interest after the outrageous statement from the Bishop of Adelaide which motivated me into finishing the book. I have to say, although the Bishop prattled on about closure, you don't ever feel you've got closure when you continue to wake up suddenly after having a dream about the whole sordid nightmare that was your main childhood memory.

			- 1049 -
	1		Tellingly, although I am now back living in
	2		New Zealand, both my younger brothers prefer the
	3		anonymity of living in Australia after being forced to go
	4		public with our story, although they are Kiwis at heart.
	5		Basically, they are refugees from their own country.
	6	Q.	And you set out in your evidence your belief that there
	7		are other abused -
	8	Α.	We know there are others. After my book was published,
	9		my publisher was contacted immediately by a woman, told
10.48	10		him her brother had also been abused by Green around that
	11		time and committed suicide.
	12		My publisher put this lady in contact with the
	13		Commission and I believe she's made a submission.
	14		Recently, I met and talked to an old school friend
	15		from those days. He stayed in the general vicinity all
	16		those years and he knew or suspected who the victims are
	17		when we were together at school. He shared with us his
	18		own horrific story of abuse by Green. Although he has
	19		passed away, he did speak to the Commission about 10 days
10.49	20		before he died and I have his permission to share part of
	21		his story with you.
	22		His father was killed in a car accident when he was
	23		7. Immediately after which, Green zeroed in on him and
	24		began abusing him. Soon he became pretty wild and
	25		uncontrollable, which you might well understand. His
	26		mother, with another $\frac{6 \text{ year old}}{6-\text{year-old}}$ brother and 4
	27		other young girls, the youngest a babe in arms, could no
	28		longer control him. In desperation, she eventually sent
	29		him off to stay with relatives in the country. There
10.49	30		away from the perverted attention of the predator he
	31		settled down and was happier again.

When I first spoke to him about this it was on Messenger and I'm deaf and Messenger is in and out. When we sat with Sandra, his story changed so I'm going to

32

33

tell you what happened.

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

11

12

1314

15

16

17

18

19

2122

23

2.4

2526

27

28

29

31

3233

34

10.52 30

10.51 20

10.50 10

After some months of being away, Green told the mother that he should be at home with her and he, Green, would help control him. Green went down to where the child was staying, ostensibly to pick him up. home from school, saw Green's car in the driveway, went to the back of the farm and hid. As soon as Green went, he came back and went inside. Green stayed in the general vicinity for two days looking for this boy to take him back. Eventually, Green went back but he got to the mother and within a week he'd organised for Green -Green organised for him to be brought back home within a week. Green then continued abusing him until he was 11 years old. Can you imagine that? I only remember the one instance of being abused but I do remember the mental abuse. This poor guy for 4 years at least he was abused by this priest. I think that's bloody horrific. Sadly, he has passed away but lucky he spoke to the Commissioners just before he died.

He saw our case in The Herald in 2002, got a lawyer himself and took issue with the church.

His experience of reporting to them was similar to ours. He said he had to face a board and felt very intimidated as they questioned him, seemingly looking for some sort of hole in his story that they could seize upon.

He reported the Bishop told him that the church was not responsible for the abuse because they did not employ Father Green.

So, Denis asked the Bishop, who did then? God?

This did not go down too well. The church by then had accepted responsibility for our abuse by Green, so to me this was a pathetic way to try and avoid responsibility to a single victim on his own.

- 1051 -

1 I reckon it's porky telling, although the Bishop may well differ. 2 A terminally ill man has no reason to lie you'd 3 think? I know who I believe. 4 Support and compassion from the church you'd hope? 5 This victim suffered a further trauma when he first went 6 7 to have chemo. On his hospital record he was listed as RC, Roman Catholic, even though like the rest of us he'd 8 tossed away religion years previously. A Catholic 9 liaison officer rocked up to see him but he told her he 10.53 10 was no longer a Catholic because he had been sexually 11 12 abused by a priest as a child. "Are you sure it 13 happened?", she said. "Are you sure it happened?" I'm sure I'm not allowed to repeat what he told her here but 14 she got out that door pretty quick, he said. 15 This incident highlights a problem we found in this 16 church, the denial, the denial the abuse happened, the 17 denial of responsibility and the silence of the Laity. 18 Where are they, the Laity? 19 Why are they not questioning and asking for 10.53 20 explanations from their leaders? 21 22 This is serious. These so-called leaders of faith 23 are having input into what is being taught to the children in their schools. 2.4 Many are possibly guilty of permitting crimes 25 26 against children to go unpunished. 27 I could never send my kid to any school like that. 28 My sister, who acted as conduit for us boys in dealings with the Catholic Church at the time had this to 29 10.54 30 say: "The church at the time did not handle them in the 31 way that it should have. It is my most sincere prayer 32

that those at the top will see the error of their ways

and reconcile what was, in reality, further abuse, at

33

1 that time".

9

1112

13

1415

1617

18

19

2122

2.3

2.4

2526

27

28

29

31

3233

34

10.55 30

10.55 20

10.54 10

- Q. Mike, you have some suggestions that you would like to make to the Commissioners?
- A. I do, I do. What needs to happen? Commissioners, I
 agreed to give this testimony today to give voice to the
 abuse that has happened, not just to us but many children
 in New Zealand, by church institutions, state
 institutions and in our case the Catholic Church.

So, I'm not just reporting our experience to you today, Commissioners. I'm reporting an experience that many other victims/survivors of the church in New Zealand and their families will recognise has only been too similar to their own.

The church must be held accountable.

The church cannot be trusted, in my experience, to do what must be done, so the criminal abuse of children stops.

Of the many things I think you need to look at, the confessional is one. I quote from the Heal Project's website:

"This culture of silence and shame around sex and sexuality creates a breeding ground for child sexual abuse".

This is exactly what the confessional does. It forgives these for lives the perverts of their crime, does nothing to stop them and allows them to continue on with their deviant ways.

Therefore, I strongly recommend the supposed sanctity of the confessional be totally disregarded, especially legally.

There is no doubt in my mind that many of these abusers went to confession after defiling children, got themselves back into a supposed "state of grace" then went on to reoffend, again and again.

Surely, the safety and sanctity of the children

- 1053 -

1 comes first? When the abuse occurs and is confessed, you have two 2 serious criminal offenders - the abuser and the priest 3 who heard the confession and did nothing about it. 4 This church needs to abolish this monstrosity 5 6 immediately and all alleged abusers should be 7 interrogated thoroughly as to who they confessed to and/or who knew about the offending. 8 This nonsense is what has allowed these abusers to 9 flourish. 10.56 10 The other point I'd like to make to you, 11 12 Commissioners, is the Catholic Church should in no way be allowed to handle allegations of sexual abuse in-house. 13 Serious sexual abuse is systemic right throughout 14 this organisation worldwide, ably encouraged by their 15 archaic confessional laws. 16 17 They are extremely reluctant to take ownership of the abuse suffered by my brothers and I, and were 18 extremely difficult to engage in meaningful dialogue, and 19 there were three of us. Imagine the difficulty facing a 10.57 20 single victim. 2.1 22 Sexual abuse of children, especially of children is 23 a crime after all. All allegations of sexual abuse should be handled by 2.4 the Police or, if historic, a non-aligned professional 25 26 group funded by the group themselves. 27 The Catholic Church I believe is the biggest 28 shareholder in faith-based abuse. They don't pay tax 29 anyway, so why can they not take the burden placed on our society of the hundreds if not thousands of victims of 10.57 30 abuse by their own clergy? 31 They have amply demonstrated they cannot honestly, 32

They have amply demonstrated they cannot honestly, fairly and charitably deal with the blight that affects their church. This church has a debt not only to victims

33

- 1054 -

and their families but also to the taxpayers. Talking to an old Army mate of mine who has been a prison guard for 30 years, he told me most of the prisoners he looked after had been abused in some form or other and yes, many by faith-based institutions.

2.4

10.59 30

10.59 20

10.58 10

To the church, I'd say, stop reading from the Judas book of betrayal, denial and hanging onto the 30 pieces of silver and read from the Jesus file. Do the right thing. You have the assets. Sell some of them and fund the programme for victims run by professionals. I am sure Jesus would agree with that. You preach that you and your followers are going to inherit the Kingdom of Heaven, so you should be able to afford a few of your many castles on earth, use the money as reparation for the countless victims of your clergy.

I'd also like to address the abusers and enablers out there. Many of you are getting closer and closer to the big D day, death. You may think you have been forgiven by going to confession but I really do have my doubts. Why don't you do something to break the cycle of silence and perhaps gain back some vestige of your own self respectself-respect. Simple. You are propagating a fraud and you are living a lie. Own up.

Own up for the abuse and/or its cover up.

At least have the balls to stand up and be counted, a last chance to do the decent thing before you front up to the big man.

Finally, I am a great fan of the words in Bob
Dylan's earlier protest songs, he had a great one
protesting about weapon manufacturers, while young people
died buried in the mud, called Masters of War. I have
changed one of the versus and called it Masters of Shame.
This is for all you abusers and enablers out there:

"I think that you'll find when your death takes its

- 1055 -

toll, all your money, power and confession could never
buy back your soul. And I'll tell you further, for I
know that it is true, even Jesus would never forgive what
you do.

I stand here in solidarity with all abused victims, both State and faith-based. Most of us have been walking towards thunder all our lives. Commissioners, you have an opportunity here to perhaps allow a little bit of sunshine to shine on us, please do so. Thank you very much. Can I just say after this we're going down to tie some ribbons in memory of the abused victims down at the cathedral. Thank you very much.

13 CHAIR: Thank you, Mr Ledingham.

MS JANES: Thank you, Mike, for your testimony. I have
no further questions of you but I will ask the
Chair to check if there are any from counsel or
whether there are any from the Commission.

18 **CHAIR:** Thank you, Ms Janes. Are there questions which counsel wish to address to Mr Ledingham?

11.01 20 **MS MCKECHNIE:** I appear as counsel on behalf of the
21 Bishops and Congregational Leaders of the Catholic
22 Church. I am here as counsel.

23 A. Sorry?

5

7

8

9

1112

2.4

2526

27

28

29

31

3233

34

11.02 30

11.00 10

MS McKECHNIE: My name is Sally, I am here as counsel on behalf of the Bishops and Congregational Leaders of the Catholic Church. I don't have any questions for you, Mr Ledingham, but I wanted to acknowledge your evidence. On behalf of the Bishops and the Congregational Leaders, I wanted to acknowledge what you've said today and your hurt and your anger about what happened to you when you were in care. We reiterate the Bishop of Auckland's apology to you for the wrongs that were done to you and your brothers. You should not have been harmed by

- 1056 -

Father Green. You should not have been hurt when you were in the care of the Diocese of Auckland.

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

1112

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

2122

2.3

2.4

2526

2728

34

11.03 20

11.02 10

Representatives of the Catholic Church of the Auckland Diocese are here, Mr Ledingham, and they have heard what you have to say and they have heard your anger and your concern about the redress process.

The Bishops and Congregational Leaders have a lot of lessons to learn from this Commission, and one of those lessons is going to be how to improve the redress process, how to help people like you, the survivors, with their healing.

A. I don't think you have the capacity to deal with it. I am saying it needs to be done by non-aligned, I don't think you have the capacity. You are a dinosaur, d inosaur; you are a thing of the past.

I think you have to admit that you can't handle it and contract it out to non-aligned people.

I would not trust you people as far as I could kick you, and I am sorry to have to say that but that's the way I feel.

MS McKECHNIE: Thank you, Mr Ledingham, I think we would acknowledge we haven't earned your trust and we will learn the lessons from the Royal Commission in this process when they examine the Catholic Church and try to improve and learn the lessons we will have from you and other survivors like you. Thank you for your evidence today, thank you for your courage.

29 A. No worries, I die a happy heathen.

11.04 30 **CHAIR:** I now wish to ask my colleagues if any of you have any questions yourselves that you might wish to address to Mr Ledingham. I'll start with you, Commissioner Paul Gibson.

COMMISSIONER GIBSON: No questions but thank you for your courage and testimony and to those of your

- 1057 -

- 1058 -

	1	MIKE LEDINGHAM	
	2	QUESTIONED BY COMMISSIONERS	
	3		
	4		
	5		
	6	COMMISSIONER ALOFIVAE: Thank you, Mr Ledingham, for the	
	7	courage that you spoke with this morning and for	
	8	the very clear and strong recommendations that	
	9	you've asked us to look at.	
11.04	10	Can I just ask you one question? The issue of	
	11	celibacy in the church with the priests, do you think	
	12	that is a problem that's worthy of further attention?	
	13	A. I think it stands out like a greyhound's balls.	
	14	COMMISSIONER ALOFIVAE: We can add that to the list of	
	15	recommendations?	
	16	A. Yes.	
	17	COMMISSIONER ALOFIVAE: Thank you.	
	18	COMMISSIONER ERUETI: Mr Ledingham, I appreciate that	
	19	you are giving this testimony not only on your own	
11.05	20	behalf but on behalf of your brothers. Thank you	
	21	for giving this testimony on your own behalf but	
	22	also on behalf of your brothers.	
	23	I am picturing you as a 7 or 8 year old when you	
	24	first experienced this abuse and the difficulty it must	
	25	have been, as you say, to articulate what you were	
	26	experiencing. I suppose you think about if there's	
	27	someone you're going to talk to it's likely to be your	
	28	family but of course in your case, and it seems in the	
	29	case of many other young children who have been abused	ir
11.06	30	faith-based institutions, that the family are so closel	У
	31	affiliated to the church that you really can't turn and	
	32	speak to them either; is that your experience?	
	33	A. Yeah, we knew we wouldn't be believed. You know,	
	34	everything was tipped against us. I didn't understand	

- 1059 -

1 what it was. You know what I mean? It screwed my mind, you know. And I never, it scrkewed my mind for years and 2 I never really dealt with it until I learned about my 3 younger brother. I never thought about killing myself 4 but I thought about killing other people. 5 6 something wrong with me? 7 COMMISSIONER ERUETI: And I wanted to also ask about redress and plainly for you it is important that 8 any process be with the Catholic Church, that it be 9 independent. 11.07 10 I strongly, it is the only way it will ever, the truth 11 Α. 12 will ever be got at, by them not being able to handle it. They don't deserveing to handle it because of what 13 14 they've done. Read this book, I recommend you read that, it is a brilliant read. 15 COMMISSIONER ERUETI: Thank you. There was a strong 16 17 point emphasised in the context of State based care as well, the importance of independence. In your 18 brief of evidence, you describe the tortuous 19 process trying to get information about the redress 11.07 20 scheme was difficult as well. So, in addition to 21 22 independence, are there other features that are 23 important to you that should be partssed of a redress scheme? 2.4 I wouldn't be letting priests near the kids. I'd be 25 Α. 26 certainly, you know, I wouldn't want a priest near my 27 kids. You don't trust them. Whatever it was they took from me, I will never get back. You know what I mean? 28 My kids give me love but they know I am a bit 29 stand-offish but they accept that, that's who I am. 11.08 30 know what I mean? I've never been able to give them, you 31 know, like when they get into trouble, one of them had a 32 33 partner who was a moron, I dealt to him, that sort of

thing they'll come to me but the love side I am not

- 1060 -

	1	really good at. The Aroha.
	2	COMMISSIONER ERUETI: In addition to monetary
	3	compensation, a cheque, are there any other parts
	4	that would form part of a redress for you?
	5	A. I believe a lot of victims have clogged up the health
	6	system, particularly Mental Health System, druggies,
	7	they've cost the taxpayer thousands and people in the
	8	prison, the same. Why should the government be forced to
	9	fork out for that or the tax man? They (the Church) have
11.09	10	got billions of dollars worth of assets, why can't they
	11	sell assets and fund the programme to look after these
	12	people? That is the least they could do, never
	13	mind going to confession and getting holy water thrown at
	14	you, that does nothing, and prayers, that's nothing.
	15	They need to do something constructive
	16	to show they are sorry, you know, to show they are
	17	capable of showing Aroha for what has been done. And to
	18	me, they just sidle away from it all the time.
	19	COMMISSIONER ERUETI: Kia ora, thank you.
11.09	20	COMMISSIONER SHAW: Mr Ledingham, I don't have any
	21	questions. I just want to acknowledge, first of
	22	all, the powerfulness of your account. You've said
	23	it like it is and that's deeply appreciated.
	24	I also want to acknowledge that it's because of your
	25	experiences, you find it difficult to trust people and I
	26	fully understand that. And so, your demonstration today
	27	of some trust at least in the Commission to hear you, is
	28	deeply appreciated. I know it doesn't come easily and I
	29	think that you have shown enormous integrity in doing
11.10	30	that, so I want to acknowledge that as well.
	31	And could you just pass on from the Commission to
	32	your brothers our deep gratitude for the contribution
	33	that they have allowed you to make on their behalf, it's
	34	much appreciated.

Thank you. As I said, we've been walking through the

Α.

- 1061 -

	1	thunder for a lot of years but also not only might we be
	2	coming out into the sun but the cliff face is there that
	3	we might fall off soon and die, so it needed to be done
	4	and thank you for bringing this Commission, it needed to
	5	be done before I die.
	6	COMMISSIONER SHAW: Thank you very much indeed,
	7	Mr Ledingham.
	8	CHAIR: Mr Ledingham, I have the final word. I simply
	9	want to reflect and endorse what has been said by
11.11	10	my colleagues. You are to be thanked for having
	11	the courage to speak in public about what has
	12	happened and you are to be respected for the force
	13	with which you have applied to what needs to
	14	happen. Thank you.
	15	A. Thank you very much for the opportunity.
	16	MS JANES: Thank you, Mr Ledingham. That concludes your
	17	evidence and thank you very much for your testimony
	18	today.
	19	A. Thank you.
11.11	20	CHAIR: Madam Registrar, would you please adjourn the
	21	sitting for the morning adjournment?
	22	
	23	Hearing adjourned from 11.12 a.m. until 11.30 a.m.
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	***
	28	
	29	
	30	
	31	
	32	
	33	
	34	

- 1062 -

	1	
	2	EMERITUS PROFESSOR DESMOND CAHILL - AFFIRMED
	3	DR PETER WILKINSON - AFFIRMED
	4	EXAMINED BY MS JANES
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	MS JANES: May it please the Commissioners, I call to
	9	the witness stand, they are there, Dr Peter
11.37	10	Wilkinson and Professor Des Cahill.
	11	Chair, I will leave you to administer -
	12	CHAIR: Gentlemen, as we start, the New Zealand
	13	Inquiries \underline{Aa} ct 2013 requires me to ask you both and
	14	to receive an answer to this question - (witnesses
	15	affirmed).
	16	MS JANES:
	17	Q. Before we start, could you each please state for the
	18	record your full name?
	19	DR WILKINSON: Peter Julian Wilkinson.
11.38	20	EMERITUS PROFESSOR CAHILL: Desmond Phillip Cahill.
	21	Q. Together, you have produced a comprehensive paper with
	22	appendices which have been provided to the Commission and
	23	that is to the best of your knowledge true and correct as
	24	at the time it was written?
	25	EMERITUS PROFESSOR CAHILL: Correct.
	26	DR WILKINSON: Correct, yes.
	27	Q. You have also produced a shorter summary paper which you
	28	are going to be presenting today?
	29	DR WILKINSON: Correct.
L1.39	30	EMERITUS PROFESSOR CAHILL: Correct.
	31	MS JANES: Chair, with your leave, I will produce the
	32	shorter paper as an exhibit. I am not sure what
	33	number we are up to.
	34	CHAIR: Thank you.

MS JANES:

11.41 30

11.40 20

11.39 10

Q. Professor Cahill, could you start please with the introductory remarks that you would like to make? But prior to that, can I ask you to provide background and experience and also Dr Wilkinson, so that the Commissioners understand the basis on which you are providing expert evidence today?

EMERITUS PROFESSOR CAHILL: Thank you, madam counsel.

Firstly, may I make it clear that I am not a victim. I was ordained a Catholic priest in 1970 after studying in the Melbourne seminary and then I was sent to Rome where I did my four years theology. I came back and worked for 6 years and then I decided to do a career switch. I am married and am now a very happy husband, father and grandfather. And then I embarked on an academic career and I became a trained psychologist, but I focused on the areas of immigrant and refugee movements, crosscultural communication, second language education, and then multi-cultural and multi-faith societies.

I am now the Chair of Religions Forfor Peace which is the world's largest inter-faith organisation and I am Deputy Moderator of Religions fFor Peace Asia.

My engagement with child sex abuse began really way back but it was in 2012 that I made a submission to the Victorian Parliamentary Inquiry into Institutional Responses to Child Sex Abuse and I was called up on day 2; initially, interestingly enough, to give evidence on child sex abuse in the non-Christian religions because we know that there are significant problems in countries like India, Thailand and Indonesia with the issue of child sexual abuse in ashrams, temples and boarding schools.

In 2014, I was asked to go to -

CHAIR: Professor Cahill, can I intervene for just a 2 moment, and I am sure you will realise why. When 3 you speak, the stenotyper in front of you is taking 4 it down and the signers are also keeping up with 5 6 what you're saying, so if you would be good enough 7 to moderate your pace by looking at them, you will get the notion of speaking at a rate where it can 8 all be transcribed. 9

11.42 10 **EMERITUS PROFESSOR CAHILL:** Thank you, Chair. I am too used to lecturing.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2122

23

24

2526

27

28

11.43 20

So, I went to a workshop in Spain, organised by the International Institute of Sociology and the Law that focused on sex abuse in the Catholic Church which brought together the world's leading scholars and researchers.

After that, I was asked by the Australian Royal Commission to be the senior consultant on the Catholic Church to the Commission. And soso, I worked with my colleague, Peter Wilkinson, to produce the RMIT report which, when it was released went global.

More recently, I was asked to go to London to give advice to the UK's Independent Inquiry into Child Sex Abuse, commonly known as the Jimmy Savile Inquiry and now I have been asked to come here.

I appreciate the opportunity for you to hear of our expertise and insights that we hope we bring to your considerations.

Q. Thank you, Professor Cahill. Dr Wilkinson?

DR WILKINSON: I am a Melbourne boy and at the age of 18
I was admitted to the seminary of the Missionary
Society of St Columban. I was ordained in 1961 as
a Catholic priest and did my postgraduate studies
in Rome on two occasions, first for missionology
and then a doctorate in missionology.

- 1065 -

I taught in the Columban seminary in Melbourne and also in Sydney for approximately 4 years and after receiving my doctorate I was appointed to South Korea to work as a missionary there and was there for 4 years.

Then I decided to seek laicization in order to marry and at the moment I am married and I have four adult children and four grandchildren.

My association with the subject of child sexual abuse began I think in 2010 when I was asked to join a small renewal group in Australia called Catholics of Ministry. At that time I wasn't particularly interested in church matters and my approach was to buy a copy of the Australian Catholic Directory and examine it as a piece of research, and what I found was that, looking at the evidence, there was a serious crisis within parish ministry within Australia. And looking further, it became clear that one of the causes of this crisis was child sexual abuse in the Catholic Church in Australia.

So, I had two reports on parish ministry published.

Also, at around that time, another group formed in Melbourne and we called ourselves Catholics for Renewal. One of the first actions of that group, was to write a letter to Pope Benedict XV and call the Bishops of Australia and state that the church was in crisis and that the question of child sexual abuse had to be addressed openly, if the church in Australia was to be Christ-centered.

At that time also, the Victorian Parliamentary Inquiry was setup. So, our group decided that we would make a submission to the Victorian Parliamentary Inquiry and that the subject of our submission would be good governance which we believed was lacking.

We also argued that the Victorian Government should establish mandatory criminal reporting for instances of

11.45 10

11.46 20

2.1

11.47 30

1 child sexual abuse.

11.50 30

11.49 20

11.48 10

The recommendation of the report Betrayal of Trust recommended that that action be taken by the Victorian Parliament and it did.

Des has already spoken about our work with RMIT and what we did in regard to the Australian Royal Commission. Thank you.

Q. Thank you, that provides very good context, so I now invite you to begin with your introduction.

EMERITUS PROFESSOR CAHILL: Well, I'd like to congratulate the government and the people of New Zealand for conducting this high level Inquiry into child abuse, and to have included in its Terms of Reference those government based and faith-based institutions.

As we learnt this morning, the road to this Royal Commission has been long and arduous, particularly for the victims/survivors. Their suffering must be paramount in our minds and hearts. Our focus, as we've already indicated, will be on child sex abuse in faith-based institutions but particularly the Catholic Church.

Of course, this is not New Zealand's first Royal Commission into child sexual abuse. In August 1900, a short Royal Commission investigated the Marist Brothers' Stoke residential facility outside Nelson. The Commission's report found much to criticise in its management, staff and standard of care. And the Marist Brothers eventually were forced to vacate that facility.

So, the physical, emotional and sexual abuse of children, even though it occurs more often in families and we need to keep that in mind, is a scourge. In Christian history we can trace it back to the New Testament times when the paterfamilias would abuse the slave boys and slave young women of his household. And

in our RMIT report, we document that the examples throughout history where it has happened as much as we know.

2.4

11.53 30

11.52 20

11.51 10

One outstanding example that is relevant to Australia and New Zealand, was that in 1871, Mother Mary MacKillop, Australia's first saint, whose Josephite nuns came to New Zealand in 1880, was excommunicated by the Bishop of Adelaide and one of the major reasons was because she had been a whistle blower on a parish priest in a rural parish outside Adelaide who was sexually abusing children attending the nun's school. The priest, other priests and the Bishop were all Franciscans and they ganged up on her and she was excommunicated.

The real reason for her excommunication was kept hidden from the Australian and New Zealand Catholic communities for more than 140 years and one wonders what salutary effect knowledge of this incident might have had on religious offenders in subsequent decades.

The Irish Christian Brothers who came to Dunedin in 1876, in Australia the first one was jailed in 1919, and that particular religious order had problems in every decade of the 20th Century.

The Christian Church has always condemned child sex abuse but unfortunately in recent decades has seen it as a sin and not as a crime. And so we've had, as we've heard this morning, the ravished innocence of the child abused, assaulted, violated, raped and sodomised, and that lies at the centre of this religious catastrophe.

In the Christian tradition, children are seen as close to the mystery of God. Each and every child is embraced in God's infinite tenderness, and for parents to have their patient, time-consuming and loving handiwork of raising a child, damaged and destroyed by abusing clergy and religious is at the very core of this

religious tragedy.

2.4

11.56 30

11.55 20

11.54 10

In educating our children about their own self-protection, I like to use the very useful Christian maxim, highlighting bodily ownership and integrity; no trespassing: this is MY body.

It cannot be denied that the public image of the Catholic priesthood and religious life has been irrevocably damaged. This has impacted upon the public image and self-image of countless good, committed and saintly priests who have dedicated their lives administering to the Catholic communities here in New Zealand and across the world. I suppose this has shown to us that there needs to be a thorough going renewal of the Catholic Priestley ministry, including the aggregation of mandatory celibacy so this would not be a panacea. We have just in the last two weeks seen that process begin in Rome with the findings of the Amazonian Synod driven by the desire of Pope Francis to reform the church.

I'd like to make a few reflections, madam counsel.

Child sex abuse is always about power and the abuse of power. Power corrupts and ecclesiastical power corrupts ecclesiastically. Pathologies can infect any organisation. Further, child sex abuse is always hidden, very hidden, hidden by the priest and religious perpetrator, and that is why it is so insidious. The crime takes place in secret and the victim is neutralised into silence, going back to that point. The Australian Royal Commission found very little evidence of pedophile rings in religious settings.

An additional reflection that I want to make is this. Priest and religious offenders are very great deniers, continually and determinedly protesting the denial of their own criminal behaviour. And some

1 Catholic bishops and religious superiors have found this to their great cost. And the higher up the church 2 hierarchy the offender, the more determined is the 3 denial. And so, we have to understand this within the 4 parameters of dysfunctional governance, and we will be 5 emphasising this during our presentation. 6 The lack of 7 transparency and accountability all within the culture of secretive clericalism and within flawed selection, 8 screening and training processes in previous decades and 9 centuries. 11.58 10 You've set yourself four tasks for today which you 11 Q.

outline on page 5. Perhaps you could just quickly summarise those and then we'll start moving through them?

EMERITUS PROFESSOR CAHILL: Okay. We want to bring to your attention the recommendations of the various

government-sponsored and church-sponsored inquiries because we were asked by the Australian Royal Commission to look at 27 Australian and

international Inquiries.

12

13

14

15

16

1718

19

2526

27

28

29

To present the findings, some of the findings
and recommendations of the Australian Royal
Commission which are contained in volume 16 of the
7,400 page report to give you a roadmap for
understanding why it happened.

And then to present some ways forward for you here in New Zealand.

And then we will address some special issues which Peter will do, about mandatory reporting, the religious confession redress issues.

11.59 30 Q. For this specific Inquiry, Terms of Reference 20 (d)
31 requires our Commission to have regard to information and
32 evidence from other Inquiries and reviews. You have
33 evidence about the Australian Royal Commission, I'd now
34 invite you to go through that section.

34

- 1070 -

1 EMERITUS PROFESSOR CAHILL: The Australian Royal Commission's figures show that 572 Australian 2 priests, 597 brothers, as well as 543 lay persons 3 sexually offended in Catholic settings against 4 children, usually boys, about 70%. 5 6 Comparable to the US evidence, and using the 7 weighted figures, between 1950 and 2012, 1 in 13 diocesan priests, 1 in 17 religious order priests sexually 8 offended against children under 18. 9 The offending was worst in regional diocese and the 12.00 10 least was in the archdiocese of Adelaide. 11 12 An estimated 1 in 8 religious brothers sexually offended against children, especially amongst the St John 13 of God brothers who care for mentally disabled children, 14 as well as the Christian brothers, the Marist brothers 15 and the De La Salle brothers teaching in orphanages, 16 17 day-time and boarding schools. When we look at the New Zealand context, there is 18 nothing to suggest in historical terms, based on the 19 prevalence data in comparable countries, that the 12.01 20 offending rate with New Zealand diocesan priests would 21 22 not be in the range of 5-7% and 2-5% amongst religious 23 order priests, although it may be lower because fewer male religious priests had charge of schools and youth 2.4 ministries. 25 26 New Zealand has always had a relatively high 27 proportion of religious order priests compared to 28 diocesan priests and this may have had a dampening effect of offending priests and the number of victims. 29 There's other reasons why amongst religious brothers 12.02 30 it may be less than the Australian rate. First, 31 New Zealand was less impacted by the child migration 32

scheme. And there's a long history to that.

Secondly, New Zealand moved -

31 32

33 34

- 1071 -

	1	COMMISSIONER ERUETI: Would you be able to explain the
	2	difference between a diocesan priest and a
	3	religious order priest? I don't think it would be
	4	clear to everyone.
	5	EMERITUS PROFESSOR CAHILL: A diocesan priest is one who
	6	is ordained, and incarnated is a technical term,
	7	into a particular diocese. A religious order
	8	priest, such as the Marist, they are called
	9	religious order priests because they are founded by
12.03	10	a specific founder like St Mary of the Cross.
	11	Okay?
	12	Some of those may work in parishes but others may
	13	work in schools, in universities, in welfare groups,
	14	advocacy groups, all sorts of other kinds of work that
	15	the church is engaged in across the world. Okay?
	16	The second point I was going to make is that
	17	New Zealand seems to have moved a little bit earlier than
	18	Australia and Ireland away from large scale orphanages to
	19	foster care arrangements, which again are not without
12.04	20	their problems.
	21	The exception is that Catholic boarding schools but
	22	their numbers greatly declined in the post-World War II
	23	period.
	24	The third reason we think is that through Peter's
	25	research particularly, is that the number of religious
	26	brothers in New Zealand has been relatively modest, 116
	27	at the moment, and it peaked at 385 in 1966. We also
	28	note in the post-World War II period, all the Catholic
	29	orphanages, as which understand it, were being run by
12.05	30	female religious orders.

Now, I need to talk about the nuns. The Australian figures show there was very little sexual offending by nuns. Sometimes, through sheer sexual naivety, they did permit priests and handymen to have access to children in their orphanages. However, both the relevant Australian and Irish reports have documented serious emotional and physical abuse by nuns against children in their residential care institutions, though also many former inmates regard their nun carers with love and affection.

2.4

12.08 30

12.07 20

12.06 10

Now, I think we need to explain the very high offending rate amongst religious brothers. The central factor was their lack of interface with the feminine. Whilst this has now partially changed, their contact with women was generally minimal, beginning with the fact that they were educated usually in male only schools, went to male only juniorates, novitiates and scholasticates. And then they went on, they did go to university but they were then appointed to male only schools and lived in all male religious communities. This was a recipe for a psycho-spiritual disaster.

The Irish Ryan Report has studied this best and they highlight within the Christian brothers their failure to appreciate the emotional needs of the children in their care or to pursue appropriate training in community child and youth welfare. It documented their lack of acknowledgment of congregational responsibility for what happened, the consequences of the regime of blind obedience with its humiliating punishments, their fear of change, the lack of institutional memory, and their use of government funds to cross-subsidise other activities. Their psychological fear of women were factors that operated across their structures but also right across the structures of the Catholic Church, both in its decision-making structures and in its ordained ministry.

While there is much talk, very little seems to be changing in the Catholic Church, although with the recent Amazonian Synod two weeks ago, Pope Francis will look at the issue of the female diaconate but amongst

traditionalist Catholics they have gone ballistic in their opposition.

2.4

12.11 30

12.10 20

12.10 10

Terrible as these statistics are, they must be balanced by the fact that 59% of Catholic priest offenders had only one claim of redress against them. This suggests they had only one victim who perhaps was abused many times. And from our own research, we know that the probability of a young Catholic child being abused in a religious setting was always relatively small but not low. It ranged from about 1 in 200 to 1 in 400. The risk, however, was very much higher for boys, especially if they were altar boys and choir boys, and it was very high for boys in Catholic residential institutions cared for by religious brothers.

We know from the American data that when altar girls were introduced in the 1980s, the rate of abusing of both the altar boys and girls was about equal, and this brings up the issue of access and we'll talk about that.

This brings out the issue, as we heard this morning, of the serial predator priest and brother who abused at least 10 children. And we know that the serial offender, Gerard Ridsdale in Australia abused more than 100 children.

Another offending category is the recycled seminarian; the one who began training in one seminary, was asked to leave and then was accepted by another seminary. This has happened right across the world, we now know, and so there have been Inquiries that we looked at in the US (7), Canada (2), England and Wales (2), Ireland (4), Belgium (1 but was never finished) and the Netherlands (1). But I must say that none has been as well funded or as thorough or as detailed as the Australian Royal Commission.

In September 2018, a year ago, the German Catholic

Bishops published a very useful research report. And last night the French Bishops received a draft report of their research study. The Australian methodology was built around private sessions and written accounts, and then the Prime Minister, in October last year, made a formal apology in Parliament to all survivors, as well as those who didn't surviveer through natural death or suicide.

2.3

2.4

12.14 30

12.13 20

12.13 10

The Royal Commission subpoenaed 1.2 million documents and its major other strategy was to conduct 57 case studies.

The Commission made 2250 referrals to the Police and exactly half of those related to religious settings and those are now working through Police investigation and Court Criminal Justice processes.

Q. And we will return a little later to talk about additional matters relating to the Australian Royal Commission but before moving to that, there was some myths that you thought it was important to discuss and why they should be looked at differently?

EMERITUS PROFESSOR CAHILL: Yes. In Australia as elsewhere, some Catholics have accused the various government Inquiries such as this one of conducting anti-Catholic vendettas. Anti-Catholism and anti-clericalism has always been around.

Other Catholics have accused the Inquiries, have been disapproving of the media and its journalists, alleging that they're exaggerating its scope and repeating again the same material, and certainly there has been some of this.

But it's been journalists, together with female researchers and priest and ex-priest researchers, particularly psychologists, who have brought this out into the open.

When we look at the figures, except for the <u>Jehovah</u> witnesses, no other religious group came close to the Catholic Church, <u>in the level of offending</u>, though the Anglican level was relatively high and the Jehovah Witness contain<u>sed</u> instances of family abuse that was mishandled by the elders.

2.3

12.18 30

12.17 20

12.16 10

The second myth is that clerical sex abuse occurred only after World War II and it was made worse by the sexual revolution of the 1960s, and the Second Vatican Council in the 1960s and the general climate of secular and Catholic 'libertine' liberalism which led priests and religious to experiment. Based on research evidence, we've concluded the Holy See Vatican knew right throughout the 20th Century, as we can see in the 1922 Instruction Crimen Sollicitationis, the crime of solicitation which was reissued in 1960.

Sexual soliciting by means of the confessional has always been a problem for the church and the situation was made worse in 1910 by Pope Pius X when he lowered the age of confession from 12-13 to 7-8 years of age.

Thise second myth was driven especially by two very good John Jay reports. John Jay is the John Jay Centre for Criminal Justice administration attached to the university of New York.

It was commissioned by the

New York Catholic Bishops and produced wonderful data as we will be emphasising, but unfortunately it gave credence to this myth.

It started its study in 1950 in its data, whereas it really should have gone back further. But we don't have proper data for the first half of the 20th Century but we now know but we will never be able to quantify it to compare it with later decades.

Q. Can I just confirm that in your evidence you talk about two John Jay reports, one in 2004 and another one in 2011?

12.19

12.20

12.20

34

1	EMERITUS PROFESSOR CAHILL: Mm, yes. The first one was
2	collecting data f $\underline{\text{romor}}$ all the diocese across the
3	United States, okay? And it was only focused on
4	diocese and diocese and priests, unlike the
5	Australian Royal Commission which was much broader.
6	Okay? And the second one looked at associated but
7	other issues between 2004 and 2011.
8	Q. And you set out the basis of the data available, if you
9	could go through that, that would be helpful.
10	EMERITUS PROFESSOR CAHILL: Yes. I need to mention also
11	that this second myth has been perpetuated in a
12	recent letter, a very unfortunate letter by
13	Emeritus Pope Benedict XVI unfortunately.
14	There was a rise in offending during the 1950s, into
15	the 1960s, the 1970s and the first part of the 1980s, and
16	later on we'll talk about why. But let us think about
17	why there was this rise.
18	There was greater access to vulnerable children in
19	the aftermath of the Second World War, where there were
20	increased numbers of such children in orphanages,
21	including migrant children as well and children who were
22	orphaned, abandoned and displaced as a result of the
23	Second World War and wartime dalliances, let us say.
24	There was a marked increase in the Catholic
25	population in the migrant receiving countries such as
26	Australia. So, there was pressure on the Bishops to fill
27	vacant parishes as the Catholic population expanded and
28	they sometimes ordained less than suitable priests who
29	simply should not have been ordained. And there was
30	remarkable irresponsibility in rejecting the advice of
31	seminary staff.
32	There was a changing lifestyle of the priests, the
33	proliferation of one-priest presbyteries from the 1960s

proliferation of one-priest presbyteries from the 1960s, the greater and easier mobility with access to cars from 1930s by the priests and, as a consequence, less monitoring of priestly activity and less accountability.

12.23 30

12.23 20

12.22 10

Also there was an increasingly positive image of the Catholic priesthood and that attracted young members who were prepared to accept mandatory celibacy as part of the priesthood package without fully understanding the commitment. There was greater access to children through the growth of schools, youth clubs Boy Scouts etc.

And also greater turbulence in the church immediately and during the Second Vatican Council which may have had unsettling effects upon priests. And there was the impact of sexual revolution but I think that's just one factor.

Lastly, there was a deep rejection by the Catholic faithful of the church's theology of sexuality based on the interlinking of sexuality and procreation, following the Humanae Vitae and its condemnation of artificial contraception, with the result the church was unable to offer a credible and acceptable theology of sexuality, a situation that still exists today.

- Q. To try and help us understand how and why clerical abuse of children occurred, you've actually identified what you call a constellation of features and you have five of those. You will set out (a)-(d) and then Dr Wilkinson will talk to (e).
- **EMERITUS PROFESSOR CAHILL:** Let's begin with the psychosexual abnormalities and situational factors.

Our reading of the literature points to the central conclusion that young and vulnerable Catholic children, especially boys, were in danger and at risk in the presence of psychosexually immature, psychosexually maldeveloped and sexually deprived and deeply frustrated male priests and male religious, especially those who had not satisfactorily resolved their own sexual identity.

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

2.1 22

23

2.4

25

26

27 28

29

31

32 33

34

12.27 30

12.26 20

12.25 10

- 1078 -

Psychosexual maldevelopment was usually, not always, but usually associated with the religious perpetrators themselves being sexually abused during their childhood, and this occurred to about one-third of the priest perpetrators. And the notorious Australian serial priest offender Gerard Ridsdale was abused by his uncle, his cousin and a religious offender.

This offending behaviour was also mediated, and I know this is complex, but it was mediated by a set of cognitive and emotional distortions, denial mechanisms and neutralisation techniques. And behind that, were the theological ideas of a patriarchal idea of God that lacked intimacy and closeness in their spirituality.

There was a sacrificial theology of priesthood based on their own divine calling as priests and brothers.

There was the flawed theology of sexuality that I've referred to.

And as well as that, there was a set of psychological realities, unresolved sexual identity, an ungrieved loss of sexual intimacy in their celibate commitment and overwhelming feelings of emotional loneliness.

As well as that, I'll draw on the German study that they were often in stressful situations. Firstly, a general sense of overburden with official duties or problems in their ministry, isolation and loneliness, substance abuse, alcohol, medicines, illegal drugs, inadequate social skills, particularly interacting with parishioners due that immaturity, particular stress or changes or difficulties related to their personal circumstances, such as financial problems, illness or caring for or death of relatives.

This issue of sexual identity raises the whole issue of confusion or denial about those with a homosexual

orientation and their training and operating in a profoundly homophobic church environment.

2.4

12.30 30

12.29 20

12.28 10

There's little psychological evidence that the priest and religious offenders were suffering from psychotic illnesses. In fact, most of the offenders were talented and attractive men, and they are better educated and had higher IQ than the normal child sex offender. They came from intact families. But there was evidence of a pattern where discussion of sex in the family was taboo, where the father was generally distant and aloof, perhaps alcoholic or physically abusive, while the mother was a smothering mother, perhaps vicariously covering up her own unhappiness through her son whose priesthood boosted her image and the social status of the family.

Now, the issue of homosexuality is important to raise because traditionalist Catholics are basically saying that this whole tragedy is due to homosexuality and the solution is to get rid of all gay priests.

Now, Catholic moral theology has always insisted that homosexual behaviour is intrinsically disordered, based on scripture and on natural law theory. That says that human sexuality is principally about penetration and procreation. There's no Biblical evidence to support that. Whereas, condemned in the Bible is generally about gay prostitution, particularly in temples.

Of course, the issue of homosexual behaviour was developed over centuries well before the emergence of concepts such as sexual orientation, sexual preference and same-sex attraction. The traditional Catholic tradition was not complemented by a relational ethic. And comprehensively rejects the experience of gay people. Now the church has increasingly accepted the presence of conscientious gay people but the official Catholic position is still that all gay people have to live lives

- 1080 -

of enforced celibacy without sexual expression, through the virtues of self-control and self-mastery.

The final report of the Australian Royal Commission stressed that current, "Vatican documents that link homosexuality to child sex abuse by clerics are not in keeping with current understandings about healthy human sexuality."

And this report and every other report intrinsically insists that homosexuality is not the cause of the sexual abuse of children. There are many well integrated and mature gay priests who do not abuse children.

Let us now go on and try to enter the mind of the perpetrator. This is difficult for us.

We find that the offending, the thinking of the offenders was much more distorted than those of the non-offending priests and brothers.

As well, their mechanisms of denial and their techniques of neutralising and ensuring the silence of the child victims had a religious overlay.

Now, with the "normal", if that's the right word, the child sex offender, their cognitive distortions say, "oh well, having sex with children is a good way for adults to teach them about sex". But with religious offenders there's another layer which is driven by psychospiritual and theological factors and by the offender's wilful deliberate suspension of their own moral standards.

So, the sere's rationalisations were is, "When God called me, he knew what I was like, what my needs were", "I have a right to love and affection because I spend my life doing good for others" and "God will look after this particular child and see that it comes to no harm; it will have its special protection".

Now, these distortions we find from the two best

12.33 20

12.32 10

12.33 30

studies that the offenders blamed their behaviour on things like alcoholism, mental health problems, their parents, their need for intimacy, their sexual orientation. And so, their denial leads to more denial.

Now, I want to give an example from the Queensland report which is an example of the gross misuse of spiritual power. And this is a young victim speaking, this is what he said:

"Father told me it wasn't a sin as I was one of God's chosen children and that God made boys to be special so that those who did God's work were not led into the temptation of sinning with women. I was told by him that I was to say nothing to anyone because God would be very angry with me for revealing his secret ways."

The second factor -

2.4

12.36 30

12.36 20

12.35 10

Q. On that sobering note, you then go on to talk about access to children and that situational opportunity that permits that to occur?

EMERITUS PROFESSOR CAHILL: Yes, as part of this jigsaw.

There's a fairly direct correlation between religious offending and access to children because there are some religious orders that have no access to children and the rate of offending is nil or extremely low.

But the unfortunate reality is that access to children, particularly vulnerable children, has grown immensely over the last two centuries in particular. One was the growth in educational opportunities for children, the massification of education for children and that led to the founding of many male and female teaching religious orders. It led to the formation of the Catholic schooling system which is quite extensive in many countries. But I do want to say publically publicly at this point in time, that certainly in Australia, and I am sure

it's true also in New Zealand, that Catholic schools are now very safe institutions because they have brought in proper protocols; and secondly, they are staffed almost 100% by laymen and lay women.

2.4

12.38 20

12.38 10

Alongside this schooling expansion was the development of residential schools and I think we've talked enough about that. We know from the Dutch study, that there were many orphan children after World War II. In fact during World War II and the Dutch Bishops were aware of this issue in the 1940s.

And then there was a point about some countries introducing the foster care strategy.

The John Jay report says 40% of the abuse occurred in the priest's residence. In the residential care, often the offender's bedroom was next to the dormitory of the children and so, the child would be dragged into that bedroom, often as a punishment for something the child may have done during the day.

The other thing was the Child Migration Programme, which I've already mentioned, and according to the current UK Inquiry, only 549 children were sent to New Zealand, although I'm not totally convinced of that figure. Just over 6,000 were sent to Australia. It was a well intentioned but badly monitored programme and the British Government knew its flaws in the 1950s.

Q. Then you talk about the flawed response of the Bishops and other religious leaders to the findings of sexual abuse or complaints of sexual abuse.

29 EMERITUS PROFESSOR CAHILL: Thank you, madam counsel.

One thing that strikes anyone who looks
systematically at this, is the startling uniformity
of the response of the Catholic Bishops in every
country. And any report, including the Australian
Royal Commission, have heavily criticised the

Catholic Bishops, their failures, their deliberate attempts to cover up the abuse which is all embedded in the secrecy and secretiveness at the heart of Catholic governance, and little has changed.

2.4

12.43 30

12.42 20

12.41 10

During the 20th Century, more and more secrecy provisions were imposed, beginning with the secret of the Holy Office in 1922 and later the pontifical secret because with the development during the 20th Century of radio transmissions, newspapers, television, investigative journalism, now with the social media and so on, the Holy See became increasingly fearful of public scandal because it and the diocese bishops were all fearful of scandalising the Catholic faithful.

So, they covered up to protect the image of the Catholic Church as an all holy institutions but all religious leaders do that.

Holy See was always concerned about the accused priests and religious <u>leaders</u> would not receive a fair civil trial but the Vatican showed no concern whatever for the victims of the accused priests and their famil<u>iesy</u>.

And

they used veiled speech and mental reservations, and we go into that in some detail in our longer presentation. But Bbishops even kept his priests consultorsed in the dark about why he wanted to shift this particular priest or religious brother to another parish, to another diocese, overseas or whatever, all in the good name of protecting the reputation of the priest.

Now, we've called that, using a social psychologist Albert Bandura who is trying to understand why is it good men do bad things? And why much destructive behaviour has been perpetrated by people such as bishops, and he was looking at the Vietnam War, and how that's been done in the name of righteous ideologies, religious principles

and nationalist frameworks. And we go into some detail
about that and how that process operates through very
questionable moral justification, exonerating social
comparisons and using euphemistic labels, the offending
priest is having health problems, other phrases like
that.

So, that meant the Holy See officials, the diocese and priests, the leaders of religious orders, could minimise their role in causing harm to the victims and their families through the defusion and displacement of responsibility.

And as Bandura states:

"The triumph of evil requires a lot of good people doing a bit of it in a morally disengaged way with indifference to the human suffering they have collectively caused".

MS JANES: Chair, that might be a good time to give the witness' voice a rest and also to take a break.

CHAIR: We have reached a convenient point on page 16 of the brief. I think we all agree this is a suitable time to take the luncheon adjournment. Madam Registrar, would you please adjourn the sitting?

2223

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

1617

18

19

21

12.45 20

12.44 10

Hearing adjourned from 12.45 p.m. until 2.15 p.m.

2425

26

27

28

29

MS JANES:

- Q. Professor Cahill, prior to the lunch break, you were just about to move on to the next section, which was the cultural and praxis factors on page 13 of your brief.
- 14.19 30 A. Thank you very much, madam counsel. We are onto the fourth of the five factors and Peter will deal with the fifth.

33 It is necessary to understand the cultural and 34 praxis factors operating in an institution. And the

- 1085 -

	1	Australian Royal Commission listed the following cultural
	2	risk factors in institutions caring for children, whether
	3	they're schools, orphanages or whatever residential care
	4	institutions, whether they're government based o $\underline{\mathbf{r}}\mathbf{n}$ State
	5	based.
	6	Firstly, a lack of understanding or awareness of
	7	child sexual abuse or its possibility.
	8	Secondly, failure to listen attentively and
	9	sensitively to children, especially when they are
14.20	10	attempting to disclose.
	11	Failure to educate children about healthy and
	12	appropriate sexual development.
	13	The prioritisation of institutional reputation over
	14	the safety of children and the harm done to them.
	15	Cultivation of a culture of secrecy and isolation.
	16	Failure to see prevention of child sexual abuse as a
	17	shared responsibility for all staff.
	18	Failure to address racism and prejudice in an
	19	institutional culture.
14.21	20	And lastly, normalisation of harmful practices.
	21	From the Australian report, we know that for the
	22	Anglicans, the problems were in the church of England
	23	Boys' Society and in their secondary schools,
	24	particularly boarding schools.
	25	And for the Anglican's, the Royal Commission said
	26	their risk factors included:
	27	Weaknesses in structure and governance preventing a
	28	consistent approach.
	29	The role of the bishops and failures of leadership.
14.21	30	Conflicts of interest for bishops and other
	31	office-holders.
	32	Cultural issues, including forms of clericalism.
	33	The practice of forgiveness and confession.
	34	And lastly, inadequate screening, selection,

- 1086 -

1 training and supervision of people in ministry. For the Catholic Church, the contributing factors 2 3 were: Individual pathology, factors I mentioned this 4 5 morning. Clericalism in all its facets. 6 7 Monarchical and feudal aspects of Governments governance, including lack of transparency 8 and accountability of those in leadership and the lack 9 of any meaningful participation of the laity especially 14.22 10 11 for women. 12 Lack of leadership, including poor education for 13 leadership. The failure of canon law and its secrecy provisions. 14 15 The poor selection, screening and inadequate initial formation of priests. 16 17 Celibacy and the associated sexual dysfunction as the linchpin of the clericalist system. - element 18 19 The lack of oversight, support and ongoing training of priests and religious. 14.23 20 And lastly, the practice of the sacrament of 21 22 confession and its cheap forgiveness which allowed perpetrators to minimise the impacts of their sexual 23 2.4 abusing. The Ssalvation Army were criticised for the 25 26 questionable environment of its residential institutions 27 with poor resourcing, the hierarchical leadership, the devaluing of children and its types of muscular 28 Christianity. 29 14.24 30 The Jehnovah Witnesses were criticised for the absence lack of women from their decision making 31 processes, their separateness from the world and their 32 33 two witness rule which maintains a person can only be

sanctioned if the sexual abuse has been observed by two

witnesses, this is based on Deuteronomy 19, 15.

2.4

14.26 30

14.26 20

14.25 10

And an organisation called For the Innocence Support has produced a healing strategy for the - although it's directed at the Catholic Church. And its 22 strategies incorporate a lot of things from the Royal Commission's recommendations. But it would envisage the appointment of chaplains for survivors, Gardens of Healing, particularly in the hotspot parishes where much abuse took place, and contritional eucharists.

Q. Just before you move on, are you able to confirm what the status of the Hearing Strategy is at this point in time?

EMERITUS PROFESSOR CAHILL: It has no status. It's been

sent to every Catholic Bishop twice now. We get responses from a handful. To what extent they're implementing it varies, I think, across Australia because the response is more diocesan based than nationally based, although now you do have a Catholic Professional Standards body but that's focused on redress.

Madam counsel, I would like now to move on to looking at secretive clericalism, the culture of secretive clericalism.

The term is often used and everyone says it's bad but it's not always defined. The Australian Royal Commission called upon I think the world's foremost expert in clerical sex abuse, Dr Thomas Doyle who was mentioned this morning, a Dominican priest and canon lawyer who was working in the office in 1984 in Washington. And he noticed all these cases coming across his desk and he wrote a report that went to Pope John

- 1088 -

Paul II and nothing happened, so that led.

He's identified the following elements of the culture of clericalism:

The vocational belief that all priests are unique and special because of the supernatural effects of priestly ordination and that links up with the idea that a priest upon ordination is ontologically ecclesiastically changed and that is a very questionable theoretical concept.

Secondly, consciousness of a special bond of solidarity that exists among all priests and bishops because of the sacred nature of ordination.

Priestly authority which is derived from their role as sacramental Ministers andre not necessarily connected to their office as priests.

The public perception of priests as men set apart and entitled to deference because of their special calling and they're entitled to respect and credibility because of their priesthood, not because of their personal competences.

Life as celibates in a homoe social environment where they interact with women and married people but their essential living environment is all male, withthere are no truly intimate relationships allowed.

Priests are part of a monarchical culture that is hierarchically stratified and which creates a clerical aristocracy.

Priests have a high degree of discretion in their behaviour and a very low degree of supervision and accountability.

Priests' official dress which sets them apart and when exercising their official ministry at mass and other sacramental celebrations, they are the central focus of rituals that are generally medieval in nature.

The Royal Commission then went on and talked about

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

14.27 10

25 26

27

28

31

32 33

34

29 14.29 30 similar things, and I won't go through these in the interests of time and you will appreciate that, but I just want to focus on a few things.

4

5

6

7

8

9

1112

13

14

15

1617

1819

2122

23

24

2526

14.31 20

14.30 10

One is I bring forward the concept from centuries old theology of the church as a societas perfecta, a perfect society and how damaging that was in the development of the theology of priesthood and the hierarchy.

The other point I want to point out is also the obligation of mandatory celibacy for Latin right priests.

In the very complex Catholic church, you have 23 eastern Catholic churches like the Ukrainians, the Maronites and the Melkites.

And we know from the Canadian and American data, that in these eastern churches where priests are allowed to marry, there's always been married priests in the Catholic Church but not in the Latin right. In those eastern Catholic Churches, there was virtually no offending, no offending.

And so, the Commission found that compulsory celibacy was a contributing factor but not the cause.

So, I think we'll now go on to the next part and it's time for Peter.

- Q. Dr Wilkinson, thank you, and you're going to be speaking to the Commission about the pre-service and in-service training aspects.
- DR WILKINSON: Thank you, Ms Janes. This Royal
 Commission is looking at historical sexual abuse
 and the Australian Royal Commission found that most
 of the historical abuse occurred in the period of
 the 1950s through to the 1980s.

So, in order to give you a better understanding of the formation programme, what I'm going to talk about most is the formation programme for priests being formed

2.4

14.35 30

14.34 20

14.33 10

in the period prior to that or in the period during the time when the abuse was mostly taking place.

I'll say a few words about the programs, current programs, but the essential focus will be on the formation programs for the priests who were abusing in that historic period.

The literature on the screening of candidates for the priesthood and religious life, I am making the distinction there between the priesthood, the diocesan priesthood and the religious priesthood. That's priests working in diocese that are incarnated into a diocese that don't take the vows of poverty, chastity and obedience but they do make a promise of celibacy. Whereas, the religious priests and brothers and sisters, they take a vow of poverty, a vow of chastity and a vow of obedience. But I'll talk about both of them in the same address as it were.

The literature concludes that the selection and screening processes for young people, and we're talking essentially about young people, wishing to enter a seminary or officiate or juniorate, those processes were inadequate and often defective.

The 1917 Code of canon law, this is a code of church law, and this is the first Code for the Latin Church, was put together in 1917. In that Code, there are canons that specifically talk about who should be selected and how they should be formed.

Canon 1354 says that bishops should recruit adolescents for training for the clerical state, that's for the priesthood. And that they should establish two kinds of seminaries, a minor seminary for younger boys and a major seminary for older boys.

Now, in Australia we had just one minor seminary and I understand that in New Zealand you also had one minor

14.38 30

14.37 20

14.36 10

seminary in Christchurch.

The Code's screening requirements were focused mainly on the candidate's legitimacy of birth, prior reception of the sacraments, baptism and confirmation and known adolescent life and morals. The prevailing policy was to recruit young boys, and girls if they were going to be religious sisters, showing signs of what was usually called a 'vocation'.

And to recruit them as early as possible before they were humanly and sexually mature, and to admit them to a seminary or a religious junior at or owe vitiate to "protect them from the contagion of the world". That is canon 1353.

This policy continued the policy adopted by the Council of Trent in the mid 70s which called for young boys from the age of 11-12 years, preferably young poor boys, to be trained in piety and religion before habits of vice took possession of them.

At such a young age, proper screening is nigh impossible, so the real screening was assigned to the seminary formators who, as time passed, and we're talking about considerable time, years, were expected to dismiss "the disruptive, incorrigible and unruly candidates; candidates whose lifestyle and characteristics seemed unsuitable for the ecclesiastical state; those not progressing well in their studies or likely to; and above all, those who offend against good morals and faith".

Many of the 27 Australian and international reports that we studied in our review on child sexual abuse expressed serious misgivings about the screening processes, as well as the use of personality tests which, while they might identify certain personality defects, could not provide a fully accurate assessment of a young candidate's capacity for chaste and celibate living.

Once admitted to the seminary or the religious house of formation, the candidates were placed in the hands of priest or religious formators, for their spiritual, intellectual and disciplinary formation. Prior to the 90s, there was no mention of human formation. formators were meant to be the best people available and professionally trained in their respective fields, particularly philosophy, theology, scripture, canon law, liturgy and spirituality. Sometimes they were not.

For priest candidates, the major seminary programme lasted for a minimum of 6 years, at the end of which the rector or superior of the formation house was responsible for advising the Bishop on each candidate's suitability for ordination. And occasionally, as Des mentioned, some bishops did not take that advice and ordained candidates who were unready or unsuitable.

Canon law also warned of the danger of accepting seminarians dismissed from other seminaries and Des mentioned that about the e reuncycled seminarian, and some Bishops were also lax in this area and did not take the necessary screening precautions. Iit created a problem and that problem was specifically addressed in 1996 with a Holy Seem instruction but it appears it has reemerged.

Instructions have also been issued on screening of candidates with homosexual tendencies in 2005 and Guidelines For the Use of Psychology in Seminary Admission and Formation in 2008.

The 2005 document on homosexuality was interpreted by some as suggesting that homosexuality was tied to the sexual abuse of children and Professor Cahill has addressed that in his presentation.

In many respects, priests and religious were not well served by the pre-Vatican II formation programme. The curriculum was heavily intellectualised and rationalistic with lectures often given in Latin and Latin textbooks used. The content and teaching

14.39 10

13

11 12

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

14 15

16

17 18

19

14.40 20

21

22

2.3

2.4 25

26

27

28

29

14.41 30 31

32

33

34

methodology was

scholastic and favouring the text of the 13th Century theologian St Thomas Aquinas.

The principles of competency-based and work-integrated learning were not integrated into seminary learning teaching and curriculum until recent times, and there was a serious mismatch between competencies and capabilities, particularly in respect of the knowledge, skills and attitudes required by a priest for effective parish ministry.

Until the 1970s, Catholic seminaries and religious houses of formation were usually situated in isolated rustic settings, outside urban centresareas, and often cut off from secular culture and the dangers of female interaction.

They were segregated, regimented and all celibate places, where the regime was obedience to the 'rule' and superiors, and conformity to the system.

The rules wasere essentially based on the rules drawn up by St Charles ${\tt Boromao}$ of Milan in the mid 16th Century.

Yet, they were generally happy places, filled with the natural exuberance of youth and they were places of innocence and youth infused with a spirit of generosity. And for all their shortcomings, they did produce many good competent and pastorally minded priests.

More serious were the deficiencies in the spiritual and psychological formation for healthy and mature living of a celibate life.

Candidates for the priesthood and religious life were also inculturated into a clericalist ethos. Des has spoken about that, where priestly and religious holiness were seen as superior to lay holiness. And the 1917 Code stipulated, "Clerics must lead an interior and exterior life holier than that of laity", canon 124. And the Council of Trent decreed that consecrated virginity was a

14.42 10

14.43 20

14.44 30

2.4

14.46 30

14.45 20

14.45 10

higher calling than marriage because of the sacrificing of sexual intimacy.

Preparation for celibate living was minimal and usually entrusted to priests who lacked special training. Celibacy, as Des has already mentioned, was simply part of the package and it was presented in terms of sacrifice to a higher ideal of sexual purity in imitation of the celibate Jesus Christ. Serious discussion of sexuality never happened and the psychological aspects were completely ignored.

In the pre-Vatican years and even for decades after, though much of the formation programme was the same in every seminary because it was a systemic programme set down in canon law, each seminary or house of religious formation did its own thing, resulting in much duplication, fewer resources because many of the seminaries were very small and poorer educational outcomes. It has changed however in recent years.

Following the second Vatican Council in 1962-1965, serious efforts were made to reform, update and contextualise priestly formation. Between 1965 and 2019, over 40 official documents addressing priestly formation were issued by the Holy See. The most notable being Pope John Paul II Apostolic Exhortation on Priestly Formation in the present circumstances. The Latin name of the document is Pastores dabo vobis. The other documents were the Fundamental Programme For Priestly Formation, first published in 1970 and revised in 2016 and the Directory on the Ministry of Life of Priests, first published in 1994 and revised in 2013.

These documents have been augmented by others, developed by local episcopal conferences to ensure that the fundamental programme with its key principles is suitably adapted to the local social, religious and

1 cultural context of the particular country, such as 2 Australia or New Zealand, where the programme is used.

2.4

14.49 30

14.48 20

14.47 10

In Australia, the national programme for priestly formation was developed by the Australian Catholic Bishops Conference in 2007 and revised in 2016. The New Zealand Catholic Bishops Conference has produced a similar document which I understand has recently been sent to the Holy See for approval. It includes a Ratio Studiorum curriculum, if you like, and follows the emphasis on priestly identity and formation for priesthood from the perspectives of human, spiritual, intellectual and pastoral formation. One must assume the document addresses the contemporary issues which challenge priests in New Zealand and which challenge their ministry.

CHAIR: Can I intervene to ask you to just slow down a little so that the signers, as well as the stenotyper, can keep pace with you?

DR WILKINSON: Thank you, Mr Chair. I would also assume that the prevention of child sexual abuse would have to be included in that national programme as a challenge to be included.

If I may talk now about the source of priests.

During the 19th and early 20th Century, the churches in both Australia and New Zealand relied heavily on priests and religious brothers and sisters imported from churches outside both of those countries, mainly from Ireland and from European countries. In the last two decades, certainly in Australia, that reliance on priests and religious from overseas has reemerged, except now it is mainly Asian churches that are supplying the needed priests and religious for the needs of both Australia and New Zealand.

In Australia, local seminaries and locally-born

1 seminarians did not appear in significant numbers until 2 the 1930s. At that time, there were just 7 seminaries, and then in 1940 there were 30, in 1960 there were 39. 3 And in the 1970s, they peaked at 43 seminaries and houses 4 of formation. 5 Seminarians, both diocesan and religious, number 6 7 just 200 during the 1920s, rose to 622 in 1940 and peaked at almost 1400 in the mid-1960s. 8 Since 1970, the number of seminaries has decreased 9 from 43 to 15 and seminarian numbers have fallen from 14.50 10 1400 to just 302 at the end of 2017. 11 12 Moreover, at the end of 2017, more than half of all the diocesan seminarians were born outside Australia, 13 14 mostly in Vietnam, Philippines and several African 15 nations, and an even greater proportion of religious seminarians are from overseas. 16 17 In New Zealand, the data shows there were 4 18 seminaries in the 1950s and from a low base in the 1940s seminarian numbers grew rapidly to peek at 335 in 1954. 19 Currently, there are just two seminaries remaining and a 14.52 20 total of 26 seminarians, 21 diocesan and 5 religious. 21 22 Just to confirm, that is a change in the numbers from Q. 23 what's in the document by one? 24 DR WILKINSON: Thank you. Professor Cahill, you've identified that there has been a 25 Ο. decline in sexual abuse since the 1980s and outlined ten 26 27 reasons for that. Can you summarise those now, please? 28 EMERITUS PROFESSOR CAHILL: Thank you. It has declined 29 but I want to insist that offences are still being 14.52 30 committed, as we shall see. But the reasons for the decline are, I think the 31 high and sustained public visibility given to the issue 32 33 since the 1980s, particularly through the media

attention, this has been a mitigating impact.

34

- 1097 -

1 The better child protection mechanisms now in place, including through State legislation. 2 The greater vigilance of Catholic parents and church 3 4 employees. The declining number of active priests over the past 5 6 four decades and the associated aging of the remaining 7 group. 8 The dismissal of many at-risk priests and religious 9 brothers. The almost total collapse of the altar-boy system. 14.53 10 Substantial decline in the number of Catholics who 11 12 regularly make a confession. The closure of the majority of Catholic boarding 13 14 schools, farming schools, orphanages and other residential institutions. 15 The reduced interaction of students with Catholic 16 17 priests in Catholic schools which have become much more professionalised, as I was saying earlier, and more run 18 19 by lay people. And the partial reform of the selection processes 14.54 20 that Peter has been talking about. 21 22 The Catholic Church has only, in Australia it's only 23 belatedly followed Catholic churches in other comparative countries by putting in place safeguarding mechanisms in 2.4 every parish and by setting up better monitoring and 25 26 training mechanisms, or by establishing special 27 initiatives such as hotlines and helplines, as in 28 Germany. 29 We'll come back a little later on to the precautions that have been put in place in the Ireland, UK and the 14.55 30 US. 31 Now I would like to move on to, if I may, providing 32 transformed care in faith-based institutions, and the 33

recommendations of the Australian Royal Commission.

14.58 30

14.57 20

14.56 10

- 1098 -

I'll skip over a lot of the detail but I want to emphasise the points, the points which I see as important. The Australian Royal Commission made 58 recommendations pertaining to religious institutions. And this has all happened within the establishment of the National Office of Child Safety which last year was placed in the Department of Prime Minister and Cabinet.

It recommended that all religious bodies, with all their institutions, should adopt the 10 Child Safe Standards and work closely with State and territory oversight bodies.

So, those religious agencies will have to report their compliance to the National Office, as well as to the religious institution itself, and that all religious leaders must be provided with leadership training in child safety and mechanisms in place for regularly receiving additional professional expertise. And they need to be accountable to an appropriate authority, as well as a provision for managing conflicts of interest.

Any institution with children in its care should be provided with age-appropriate prevention education for the children, including about the power and status issue. And family and community should be involved in commenting and evaluating child safety policies.

They further went on and looked at all candidates for religious ministry. They should have an external psychological testing, not in-house. And they've undergone training that equips them with the understanding of the ten standards and about ethical and boundary issues and how to work with children and understanding the impact of child sexual abuse.

And that all people in ministry should have effective management and oversight with regular appraisals and with independent professional supervision.

15.01 30

15.00 20

14.59 10

- 1099 -

They particularly focused on the point that Peter was making about priests coming from overseas and seminarians coming from overseas to work in religious ministry and there's about 2000 of those coming to Australia each year for all religious communities and that they should need to be properly screened, trained and professionally supervised and undergo regular training.

Another point they made about sacramental confession which pertains to Anglican, Catholic and orthodox churches, if a child is making a confession it should be done in an open space with a clear line of sight from another adult, as well as the priest hearing the confession.

There are other recommendations about handling of complaint and removal from ministry, including permanent removal from ministry of anyone who's convicted.

And then recommendation 16.57 deals with the situation where a person, a religious person or a lay person, has been convicted of child sex abuse, has been released from jail, if jailed, and then comes back in a normal way with the particular parish or local community, and how there needs to be a risk management plan put in place for such people, and that there should be a National Register for each religious organisation.

Five recommendations applied particularly to the Anglican Church regarding a uniform episcopal standards framework that ensures the accountability of bishops to an appropriate authority. In other words, they can't be King of their own castle.

The management of actual or perceived conflicts of interest, the amending of a Code of Conduct for lay people to incorporate offending against children. The church should adopt a national approach to the selection,

screening and training of ordination of candidates and ensure that all in pastoral ministry undergo mandatory, regular professional development, mandatory professional supervision and regular performance appraisals.

2.4

15.04 30

15.03 20

15.02 10

The Australian data highlighted that child sexual abuse and its mishandling by the Jehovah's Witnesses' elders which included some family abuse cases, was a serious problem and this has been confirmed in a recent UK study done by the Independent Inquiry over there where in a study 11% of all victims of religious perpetrators belonged to the Jehovah's Witness faith.

The Australian Commission recommended they abandon their two-witness rule in suchtwo cases, involve women in assessing allegations and no longer require its members to shun those who have basically been whistleblowers.

The Royal Commission conducted one case study of Jewish Yeshiva congregations making one recommendation that all Jewish religious institutions should ensure that their complaint handling policies explicitly state that the halachic concepts of mesirah, moser and loshon horo do not apply to the communication and reporting of allegations of child sexual abuse to Police and other civil authorities.

There were 21 recommendations in respect of the Catholic Church. 8 of those were to do with technicalities with regard to common law, and I won't go into the detail except to mention that one is asking the Vatican authorities to redefine a minor from someone aged from 14 and under, to someone aged 18 and under. The Catholic Church still allows girls of 14 and boys of 16 to enter into a marriage if their parents approve. And this is an issue about the age of marriage and child marriage which is an issue especially in the developing world.

1 Another major recommendation was for the Australian Catholic Church to conduct a national review of 2 governance and management and that has now 3 commenced, and we're impressed by its 4 membership. 5 6 The Commission expressed concern about the quality 7 of bishops and their decision-making capacity. So, it's asked the Australian Catholic bishops to 8 request the Holy See to publish its criteria for the 9 selection of bishops and establish a transparent 15.05 10 appointment process. Nothing has happened. We've heard 11 12 no word of that. The Royal Commission came to the conclusion that 13 mandatory celibacy was a contributing factor and it 14 requested the bishops to allow voluntary celibacy for 15 diocese and priests. 16 17 There was also a series of recommendations about guideline documents and current models of initial 18 19 formation. I now would like to move on to Catholic safeguarding 15.06 20 initiatives. 21 22 Just before you do that, for anyone who is interested in Q. 23 reviewing all of the recommendations, can you confirm that the 17 volumes of the Australian Royal Commission 2.4 are available online and those specifically relating to 25 religious institutions are also there? 26 27 EMERITUS PROFESSOR CAHILL: Yes, they are all there. 28 Thank you. We will move now onto safeguarding. EMERITUS PROFESSOR CAHILL: Yes. In our review across 29 15.07 30 the countries outside Australia, I think the three models of safeguarding that this Royal Commission 31 ought to look at, is the Irish, the UK and the USA, 32 and there's more detail in our document. But I 33 34 particularly want to focus on Ireland because

that's the model for the Australian one. In many

ways it's a very good one but there are other ones but that's the one I'm focussed on.

The Catholic bishops in Ireland which covers the Republic and Northern Ireland, establish the National Board for Safeguarding Children in the Catholic Church and its website is there and you can follow all this if you wish.

They establish the Towards Healing process, the counselling and support service and one principal focus has been on the auditing of diocese and religious orders which are published on the website.

By September 2015, it had completed 43 such audits and it's now begun this year another round of audits.

And an interesting new initiative was to provide training in good child safeguarding practices for those Irish missionary priests and volunteers who are going to work in overseas countries, in Africa and Asia, for example.

Its 2018 annual report notes that it received 143 new notifications against priests and religious, which were mainly of a historic nature.

It receives thousands of calls on its helpline and provides face-to-face counselling. And its advocacy service dealt with many different matters, including connecting clients to services, financial, homelessness, and educational services. And some of its clients are engaged in restorative justice processes.

It meets regularly with the Irish Police and the government child and family agency and provides training for clergy, current trainers and volunteers. And it's done two reviews also of its services.

In the UK, they have the National Catholic Safeguarding Commission, this is there on its website. In every parish, the UK has a safeguarding

15.08 10

15.08 20

15.09 30

1 representative, every parish.

2.4

15.12 30

15.11 10

Its 2018 annual report revealed that in 2017 there were 152 new allegations and that is increasing, although almost all of them are of a historic nature.

The US, which is obviously much bigger, its
Secretariat of Child and Youth Protection, you can find
it on the United States Catholic Conference of Bishops.
It's a bit hard to find but it puts out an annual report
and last year's June 2019 report, there had been a sharp
rise in allegations, 858, these were mostly historical
but there were 26 allegations made by those who are still
today minors, and 7 had been unsubstantiated.

So, I think there's a lot of material on those websites.

- Q. Thank you, Professor Cahill. Dr Wilkinson, turning to you and the microphone, there are now a matter of some special interest topics that you will address and summarise, please.
- **DR WILKINSON:** Thanks, Ms Janes. I would like to talk
 15.11 20 about mandatory reporting and the seal of
 21 confession.

Des has already pointed out the occurrence of child sexual abuse by clergy and religious and lay persons has been present in the Catholic Church since early times. It has always been condemned, it has always involved power and the abuse of power, it has usually been denied and kept secret, and in recent times, has been viewed as a sin, not a crime.

During the 20th Century, there was substantial criminal child sexual abuse in the Catholic Church in many countries, perpetrated by Catholic priests and members of Catholic religious orders. The Holy See, could I just explain the Holy See? The Holy See in Catholic legal terms means the Pope and the various

bureaucracies, it's called secastories, that serve the Pope in the work that he does.

2.4

15.15 30

15.14 20

15.14 10

So, I'll use that term the Holy See. If I refer to the Pope, that will mean specifically the Pope.

So, the Holy See, the highest authority in the church, knew about the abuse during the 20th Century and developed a range of legal instruments, including the 1917 and the revised 1983 Code of canon law, to construct a wall of secrecy around the abuse, both past and present, on the pretext that the church faithful needed to be protected from grave scandal and grave offence and that souls must not be harmed.

What the Irish and Victorian Parliamentary Inquiry found, however, was that the whole legal construct was designed essentially to keep the handling of abuse in-house and to secure a systemic coverup on an international scale in order to protect the reputation of the church and to prevent perpetrators being held accountable.

Rather than identifying and addressing the problem openly and accountably, looking for the causes of the abuse and seeking to eradicate them, the systemic coverup arrangements ensured that local communities were kept uninformed of the abuse that had happened, and was still happening, allowed the perpetrators not to be held accountable, and contributed to, even facilitated, more abuse. And this happened particularly with the movement of known abusers from one parish where the situation became too hot and the priest was moved to another parish or to overseas or to another diocese.

The arrangements were found to be derived from dysfunctional governance, a culture of secrecy and clericalism, and Des has already spoken about that.

In the church's effort to protect its own

reputation, it often either entirely overlooked or gave scant consideration to the welfare of the abused children, the effect of the abuse on them and their families, as well as their just entitlement to counselling, compensation and redress.

2.4

15.18 30

15.17 20

15.17 10

As public awareness of these horrific crimes committed by clergy and religious became widespread, essentially through the media, various responses from the Holy See emerged. One was a special grant for the statute of limitation, it was extended to 10 years, and the age of minors extended up to 18 years, first in the US in 1994 and then in Ireland in 1996 and everywhere in 2001. In 2002 a special limited dispensation from the pontifical secret was granted to the US to allow the reporting of abuse allegations to civil authorities but only in states with mandatory, civil mandatory reporting laws.

In 2010, a document entitled, "A guide to understanding basic CDF", CDF is one of the Holy See congregations, called the congregation for the doctrine and faith usually referred to as CDF, "Understanding of their procedures concerning child sexual abuse allegations".

And that guide stated, I quote, "Civil law concerning reporting of crimes to the appropriate authorities should always be followed".

So, for the first time in worldwide, the Holy See was instructing that wherever civil jurisdictions had reporting laws for child sexual abuse, allegations were to be reported.

I'd just like to say something about the duty of care. Before talking about mandatory reporting, let me say that a duty of care is a well defined legal duty placed on institutions to take reasonable steps to

prevent and minimise the risk of child abuse within their organisations. It also gives abuse survivors a clear cause of action to commence legal action against an institution for the abuse that they have suffered, even with the institution having to prove or with the institution having a prove that it did take reasonable steps to prevent the abuse.

The Victorian Parliamentary report Betrayal of Trust found that in the State of Victoria, offenders in institutions often obtained credibility, trustworthiness and easy access to children in the institution, with the abuse facilitated by the trust relationship.

But the liability for the abuse was often unclear and limited. So, the Parliamentary Inquiry recommended a clear legal obligation for the institution to implement appropriate measures to ensure the safety of children in their care with the institution liable for the abuse by any person associated with the institution.

So, where a child is found to have been abused, the institution, including a religious institution, is presumed to have breached its duty of care.

Mandatory reporting. In the Victorian Parliamentary Inquiry, there was a distinction made between two types of mandatory reporting. The first was mandatory welfare reporting to the child protection authorities, and the other is mandatory criminal reporting, the reporting of a crime or credible evidence of a crime to Police.

Welfare reporting is for the protection of a child at risk. Criminal reporting is aimed at catching, prosecuting and convicting offenders. It is meant to prevent the concealment of child sexual abusers. Child sexual abuse is a crime, or perhaps we should say is above all a crime, as well as a welfare issue.

The Catholic Church in Victoria was initially

15.19 10

2.4

15.20 20

15.21 30

2.4

15.24 30

15.23 20

15.22 10

opposed to the extension of mandatory welfare reporting laws to religious personnel but later accepted it, but it did not want mandatory criminal reporting and has consistently sought to retain-obtain the exemption for information received during the religious right of confession.

The Catholic Archdiocese of Sydney, however, has stated "sexual abuse is a crime which must be reported to the Police. The best way to investigate it is to report criminal conduct to the Police". But in Australia prior to 2015, New South Wales, of which Sydney is the capital, was the only Australian jurisdiction with a civil criminal reporting law.

In its evidence to the Victorian Parliamentary
Inquiry, the Victorian Police stated that mandatory
criminal reporting creates "a public duty to report such
suspicions and send a message to everyone with the
organisation who may know such issues, but are reluctant
to become involved". The seriousness of criminal child
sexual abusing cannot be denied.

The Victorian Inquiry concluded that, "It should be a crime for any person who knows or believes that a serious offence has been committed by another person against a child, and has information that they believe might be of material assistance - it should be a crime to fail to report that information to Police". The Australian Royal Commission came to the same conclusion about the need for a failure to report offence. But it came to a different conclusion regarding an exemption for information gained in a sacramental confession.

Let me talk about mandatory reporting and the seal of confession. The Australian Royal Commission examined both mandatory reporting and the seal of confession. Its criminal justice report recommended the introduction of a

failure to report offence, such as recommendation 33, and its final report, volume 7, in respect of "Improving institutional responding and reporting recommendations" made 6 recommendations. Recommendation 7.1-7.6 on mandatory reporting, including two recommendations, 7.3 and 7.4 on religious confession. A practice used especially by the Anglican, Catholic and orthodox churches.

Recommendation 7.3 reads, "State and territory Governments should amend laws concerning mandatory reporting to child protection authorities to achieve national consistency in reporter groups. At a minimum, they should also include the following groups of individuals as mandatory reporters in every jurisdiction."

Then it listed the groups and at group (e) was: "People in religious ministry".

Recommendation 7.4 reads:

2.4

15.27 30

15.26 20

15.25 10

"Laws concerning mandatory reporting to child protection authorities should not exempt persons in religious ministry from being required to report knowledge or suspicions formed in whole or in part on the basis of information disclosed in or in connection with a religious confession."

During the public hearings for case study 50, and that case study was Institutional Review of Catholic Church Authorities held on 6-24 February 2017, the evidence given by the Catholic bishops and canon lawyers, all the Archbishops of the church in Australia gave evidence at that hearing, as well as several leading canon lawyers, they discussed the seal of confession and the responses of the bishops amongst themselves was confused and the responses of the bishops and the canon lawyers also did not line up.

And it was over two issues. One was the confession of a child who had been abused, I forget the name, the name I think used was Sally. Sally goes to confession and says, "Bless me father, I have sinned, I have been abused by a priest". The legal question was, is that Sally's sin that she is confessing? No, it's not her sin. But is that information that Sally has given in her confession, information that is covered by the seal of confession? And there was confusion amongst the lawyers and the bishops about whether that was covered.

The other question was, if a priest offender comes to you in confession and confesses that he has abused a child, what are your options? In canon law, the confessor can forgive, give absolution, he can deny absolution or defer absolution.

The question arose, is it possible for the confessor to make absolution conditional upon the offender reporting his crime of child sexual abuse to the Police?

That wasn't able to be resolved at the hearing because of the confused responses of the lawyers and the bishops.

So, the Australian Royal Commission made recommendation 16.26 and it reads, "The Australian Catholic Bishops Conference should consult the Holy See and make public any advice received in order to clarify whether (a) information received from a child during the sacrament of reconciliation, that's confession, that they have been sexually abused is covered by the seal of confession. And (b) ask the Holy See if a person confesses during the sacrament of reconciliation to perpetrating child sexual abuse, whether absolution can and should be withheld until they report themselves to civil authorities".

I made an inquiry of the General Secretary of the

15.29 10

15.30 20

15.31 30

Australian Bishops Conference before coming here to present to this Commission and I asked what had transpired with regard to these recommendations, the 7.3, 7.4 and specifically 16.26.

2.1

2.4

15.34 30

15.33 20

15.32 10

I was advised that all the recommendations of the Royal Commission had been sent to the Holy See and that there had been consultation between the Australian Bishops Conference and the Holy See concerning the specific recommendations. That the consultation is ongoing and that during the Australian bishops visits to home, they are going to visit in June of this year, further consultation had taken place.

I was also advised that on the 29th of June of this year, the Holy See's Apostolic Penitentiary, one of the departments of the Holy See, had issued a document titled, "Note of the Apostolic Penitentiary on the Importance of the Internal Forum and the Inviolability of the Sacramental Seal". It was published on the website and approved by Pope Francis and that note is significant for this Royal Commission for it contains very strict interpretations of the seal of confession, including the following:

The sacramental seal is indispensable. No human power has jurisdiction over it, nor can any human power lay claim to it. It is indispensable for the sanctity of the sacrament and for the freedom of conscience of the penitent, who must be certain at any time that the sacramental conversation will remain within the secrecy of the confession.

Second point, the inviable secrecy comes directly from the revealed divine right. It does not omit of any exception in the ecclesiastical sphere, nor least of all in the civil one.

Point 3. The church has always taught that priests,

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

1112

13

14

15

1617

18

19

2122

23

2.4

2526

27

28

29

31

32

33

34

15.37 30

15.36 20

15.35 10

- 1111 -

in the celebration of the sacraments, acts in the very person of Christ the head.

Point 4. The confessor must defend the sacramental seal if necessary "to the spilling of his own blood".

The seal is regulated by canon law and explained in the Catechism of the Catholic Church which states "every priest who hears confessions is bound under very severe penalties to keep absolute secrecy regarding the sins that his penitents have confessed to him".

Point 6. The confessor is never allowed for any reason whatsoever "to betray in any way a penitent in words or in any manner" just as "a confessor is prohibited completely from using knowledge acquired from confession to the detriment of the penitent even when any danger of revelation is excluded".

Point 7. The sacramental seal includes "all the sins of both the penitent and others known from the penitent's confession, both mortal and venial, both occult and public, as manifested with regard to absolution and therefore known to the confessor by virtue of sacramental knowledge"

Point 8. The sacramental seal concerns everything the penitent has admitted, even in the event that the confessor does not grant absolution. If the confession is invalid or for some reason the absolution is not given, the seal must be maintained in any case.

Point 9. The priest becomes aware of the sins of the penitent "not as man but as God". To such an extent that he simply "does not know" what he was told during confession because he did not listen to the penitent as a man but precisely in the name of God.

Point 10. The confessor can therefore "swear" without any prejudice to his conscience to "not know" what he knows only as a Minister of God.

- 1112 -

1		Point 11. In the presence of sins that involve
2		criminal offences, it is never permissible, as a
3		condition for absolution, to place on the penitent the
4		obligation to turn himself in to civil justice. Where a
5		penitent has been a victim of the evil of others, the
6		confessor must instruct the penitent regarding his rights
7		and the practical juridical instruments to refer to, in
8		order to report the fact in a civil and/or ecclesiastical
9		forum to invoke justice.
10	Q.	Peter, may I just stop you there and check with the
11		Chair? I am very conscious that we have gone past the
12		adjournment, that would be a convenient point to stop and
13		pick up.
14	CHAIR	R: Yes, I think this would be a suitable time for
15		the afternoon adjournment to be taken.
16		
17		Hearing adjourned from 3.40 p.m. until 3.55 p.m.
18		
19	MS JA	ANES: With the indulgence of the Commission, we are
20		very conscious of the time. So, if we could move
21		very briefly to redress and civil litigation.
22		I foreshadow the comments that this is a
23		summary of the Australian Royal Commission
24		findings, so they are available to the Commission
25		and anyone else who is interested, and we also have
26		the written long brief and summary, so without any
27		disadvantage to the information available to the
28		Commission.
29	Q.	Dr Wilkinson, can I ask you to summarise what the Royal
30		Commission found was an appropriate redress framework?
31	DR WI	ELKINSON: In essence, what the Commission insisted
32		was appropriate redress for survivors who were

looking for justice, that the redress should

include three elements: direct personal response;

15.38

15.58

15.59

33

34