1		
J	L	

2 PROFESSOR TRACEY MCINTOSH - AFFIRMED 3 EXAMINED BY MR MERRICK

4

)	MR	MERRICK:	Thank	you,	Sir.	I ' ll	call	our	next

- witness, which is our last witness for the day, 6
- 7 Professor Tracey McIntosh who's already seated.
- Tracey, welcome this afternoon. 8 Q.
- Professor, just as we start, there is a 9 CHAIR:
- requirement of the Inquiries Act 2013 that as Chair 14.20 10
 - I ask you (witness affirmed). 11

12 MR MERRICK:

- Professor McIntosh, behind tab 23 I think you've got in 13 Q.
- 14 front of you a signed copy of your brief of evidence for
- 15 this hearing?
- That's correct. 16 Α.
- 17 Q. And can you just confirm that's true and correct?
- Α. I can confirm that. 18
- 19 Thank you. With that done, just start with some Q.
- introductions? 14.21 20
 - (Speaks in Te Reo Maori). I would just like to take this 21 Α.
 - 22 opportunity to acknowledge the Commissioners, recognise
 - 23 the importance and significance of this work and wish you
 - great strength and great wisdom in what you are doing. 24
 - 25 would like to acknowledge specifically the survivors,
 - 26 through your strength, through your knowledge, through
 - your expertise, through your insight, it will help us 27
 - 28 navigate the path we need to go forward.
 - 29 I would also like to acknowledge those who did not
- 14.22 30 survive the system and with a very heavy heart recognise
 - the damage and the devastation that the system has done. 31
 - 32 I recognise those who for a range of reasons why remain
 - silent and for those that have been silenced. In terms 33
 - 34 of my own work, I want to recognise all of those who are

- 859 -

- 1 the people that have shaped and informed and enlightened
- 2 me and educated me under conditions of incarceration.
- 3 They are the experts of their own condition, they are the
- 4 experts that I will be drawing on in regards to this
- 5 brief summary.
- 6 Q. (Talks in Te Reo Maori). Those that have passed away.
- 7 To bring us back to those of us who are here today
- 8 present, I acknowledge your acknowledgments in full.
- 9 That being said, it's probably not a natural
- 14.23 10 conclusion to start, the step to start with, what some
 - would describe as a korero to talk about yourself. I'll
 - 12 lead you through that.
 - 13 A. Thank you.
 - 14 Q. Can we just confirm for those who may not know you, those
 - who are watching, for example, on the livestream, that
 - 16 you're currently a Professor of Indigenous Studies and
 - 17 Co-Head of Wanaga o Waipapa, the School of Maori and
 - 18 Pacific Studies at the University of Auckland?
 - 19 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 14.24 20 Q. Formally a Co-Director of Nga Pae o te Maramatanga,
 - New Zealand's Maori Centre of Research Excellence hosted
 - 22 by the University of Auckland?
 - 23 A. Yes, that's correct.
 - Q. Previously, you've held roles as Head of Sociology at the
 - 25 University of Auckland?
 - 26 A. Yes.
 - 27 Q. And relevant to some of the evidence that we've heard,
 - you were in 2018 and 2019 a member of the Independent
 - 29 Welfare Expert Advisory Group established by the Minister
- 14.24 30 of Social Development?
 - 31 A. That's right.
 - 32 Q. Before moving on, I wonder if we might just pause on that
 - experience that you had because we've heard over the last
 - few days around one of the core failures, being the

failure to address, I think, what some described as the antecedents to safe care, namely Powhiri House and Addiction.

Given that experience, I leave it open to you to make comment around firstly the role that the welfare system may have to play in that State care cycle, if you like.

8 A. Yes.

4

5

- 9 Q. And over the page at paragraph 8 you have talked about

 14.25 10 your role on ropu Te Uepu Hapai it te Ora, Safe and

 11 Effective Justice Advisory Group. And the reason why I'm

 12 asking this, is because you've spoken about the hui that

 13 you went to around the country for both of those kaupapa,

 14 so how has State care played out in those context, can I

 15 ask?
- 16 A. If I can just look at the Welfare Expert Advisory Group,
 17 particularly the report Whakamana Tangata: Restoring
 18 Dignity to Social Security in New Zealand which was
 19 publically released in May this year, I think that's a
 14.26 20 very important -
 - 21 **CHAIR:** Professor, can I intervene a moment to ask you as you speak, to keep your eye on the stenotyper but also to be aware of the signers. So, if you look towards both of them, you will get the sense of the pace at which you will need to keep so that they can keep up.
- 27 Aroha. So, in thinking about the report Whakamana 28 Tangata, I think that report is of great significance to this Commission, both in terms of its content but also in 29 terms of its recommendations. Largely that is because 14.27 30 when we're looking at the many people who churn through 31 our welfare system, churn seamlessly between the welfare 32 33 system and our Criminal Justice System. So, it's a 34 really important element to look at where in many parts

of this country and many parts of our State agencies we do transitions poorly, it is of great concern that that particular transition between those two systems can be so seamless.

2.4

14.29 30

14.29 20

14.28 10

So, certainly what we recognise is that when we look at our people who are living in deprivation, in scarcity, who encounter far greater levels of social marginalisation and whose contact with the State is nearly continuous but often a poor encounter, and where the operating mechanism both within the State system of the prisons and often through particularly an increasing level of sanctions within the welfare system, means that you have an operating mechanism that can often be characterised as coercive control.

What this does to those that sit within the system. So, I think that's a very significant area. As you noted, we travelled, I was a member of both the Welfare Expert Advisory Group and ropu Te Uepu Hapai it te Ora, the Justice Advisory Group, both of those groups travelled throughout the country meeting with thousands of people. We had fono, we had forum, hui, throughout the country, both in main urban areas, as well as small areas and rural and quite isolated areas.

And the overwhelming sentiment that we got, certainly out of those that we met from the Criminal Justice System, was the emotion of grief. Interestingly, probably the overwhelming emotion we got from those that we encountered as a part of the welfare group, was anger. And I think these are very powerful emotions in regards to very significant numbers of our people going through the system.

What it means to not - the need for the restoration of mana was clear in our workings, whether it was working with the welfare group or whether it was working through

- 862 -

1 the justice group.

The recommendations that we see in Whakamana Tangata are really significant, as I said, in terms of the Commission work as well, in terms of the way that we don't just uplift members of our communities but actually how we uplift the nation.

- 7 Q. In the course of those hui, fono and other forums, was 8 anything said about the State of children in care?
- So, it was probably one of the most talked about 9 Α. elements, certainly within the justice one with ropu Te 14.30 10 Uepu Hapai it te Ora but also with the welfare one. 11 12 we heard, the very first hui that we went to was in 13 Hastings and the very first person who spoke to us in a public forum spoke to us about, first talking about the 14 release from prison and the incredible difficulties that 15 they encountered but also in speaking to that, also then 16 17 spoke their history in terms of being in care. And so, 18 that was our very first encounter under the Welfare Expert Advisory Group. Throughout the country, that 19 grief that I spoke about, I talked about it that what we 14.31 20 saw was a landscape of devastation, in terms of the 21 Whangai and the intergenerational reach of the disruption 22 23 of whanau, of the loss of children and that many of us who talked about the loss of children had themselves 2.4 experienced State care. So, their anxiety was far more 25 heightened around their children because of what they had 26 experienced. 27
- 28 Q. In your brief at paragraph 11, you outline some further
 29 relevant experience about work done in the Auckland
 14.32 30 region correction facility, can you tell us a little bit
 31 about that at this stage?
 - 32 A. Yes, I've been going into the Women's Prison for well 33 over a decade now. I go in on a weekly basis. Though 34 Maori indigenous incarceration is a research area me in

terms of my professional life, it is an important area of research, this work is, while it informs my professional life, it has been, I guess, of the most significance to me personally. So, I go in as a volunteer and I run a range of programs, including a creative writing programme and education programs within the prison. But really what it is, you know, we call it these names, it's about human work. It's about what it means to be human together. And I think that is the most significant part of the work.

And without a doubt, all of my own work has been informed and shaped and enlightened by working with particularly Wahine Maori and particularly young Maori women.

I have worked with some of those women since the day they entered the prison, in some cases at the age of 16 into the adult prison, with some of those 12 years later I'm still seeing the same young women who have yet to be released.

- You alluded to it in your early acknowledgments about 14.34 20 Q. bringing that korero to us today and we are privileged to 21 22 have that. And so, at this stage I just want to flag for 23 those that have the brief of evidence, that we will depart from the order of the brief of evidence because 24 you bring real life experience of people you've worked 25 alongside and to that end, I think we could pick up our 26 27 korero at paragraph 60 where you talk about the life of 28 Stan.
- 29 A. Yes, and I'd just like to recognise and acknowledge Stan
 14.34 30 Coster in this moment. Stan and I worked together for
 31 6-7 years and Stan is unable to be here today. So, what
 32 I will be drawing on here, he gives as a koha to all of
 33 us.
 - 34 Q. By that, you've spoken with Stan?

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

1112

1314

15

1617

1819

14.33 10

- 1 A. I have spoken with Stan.
- 2 Q. He has given his approval for you to speak about his
- 3 story?
- 4 A. Yes. I am hoping that he will be watching it.
- 5 Q. If you are Stan (speaks in Maori). And you're drawing on
- 6 work that you've previously publnished also?
- 7 A. That's right.
- 8 Q. In conjunction with Stan?
- 9 A. We published together, we've actually published quite a
- 14.35 10 bit together and also with Dominic Andrae who has also
 - 11 been an author on the work that we have done together.
 - 12 And to recognise that Stan is far more than a research
 - participant. He is both author and auteur of this work.
 - 14 Q. I leave it with you.
 - 15 A. While Stan's experience is a unique experience, it is one
 - that's much more collective shared, so I speak about
 - 17 that.
 - So, Stan's most ongoing intimate relationship has
 - been with the State. I think that's a really significant
- 14.36 20 space for him to imagine the world without the State
 - absolutely at the centre is very difficult for him. When
 - I say it's the most intimate relationship he had, it
 - doesn't mean that encounter and that relationship has
 - been a good one but it's certainly been the most
 - prolonged and sustained relationship that he has had.
 - So, Stan is -
 - 27 MR MERRICK: If we can pause the hearing, please?
 - 28 CHAIR: We will take an adjournment.

14.37 30 Hearing adjourned from 2.37 p.m. until 3.13 p.m.

- 33 CHAIR: Thank you, Mr Merrick, please continue with
- 34 Professor McIntosh's evidence.

1	MR	MERRICK:	Thank	vou.
---	----	----------	-------	------

1617

18

19

2122

23

24

2526

2728

29

31

3233

34

15.15 30

15.14 20

- Q. Professor, we were beginning to talk about the narrative about Stan. I just wanted to ask a question. We have heard the different life stories of people in this hearing. Can you comment on what some of the common events in Stan's journey through State care might have been or some of the common threads to that?
- 8 A. I think some of the areas where you see really high
 9 levels of commonality for many people who have
 15.14 10 experienced State care, is that often the whanau, even
 11 prior to the birth of the child, has been under a level
 12 of scrutiny or surveillance by the State and the State
 13 has often had quite high levels of intervention already
 14 within the family.

Like many others, gang characterised, by living under conditions as I said earlier of degradation and scarcity, and that a particular event in this case in terms of the death of the mother which meant that the children, through a change of processes were then placed into State care.

As I said, there had already been the Department of Social Welfare, as it was at the time, the family was already very well-known to them, so that would not be an uncommon feature.

So, I think we've heard this morning around placement and stability, for example, and that certainly is a feature of Stan's life as well.

There were a number of children involved. In the beginning there was an attempt to keep those children together, given that they had suffered, you know, one of those most significant and profound losses that children can have, in terms of the death of their mother. So, there were some attempts made to keep those children together, though within weeks that approach was

abandoned, largely due to the difficulties of placing children into foster care together.

15.18 30

15.17 20

So, very high level of placement instability. So, in that first year he experienced, and this was 1969, and so in that first year he experienced five placements in three different geographical regions, two of those in the North Island and one in the South Island. So, that was also the level of movement that he experienced during that time.

- 15.16 10 Q. How did the progression through residential homes impact, 11 for example?
 - 12 A. It's interesting when we look at the reports. What we
 13 did to try to better understand his own story, was
 14 through the Official Information Act applied, given his
 15 very close relationship with the State, applied for all
 16 documents that had been held on him. This was a huge
 17 amount of documentation.

So, one of the things that you can really see there, and again so characteristic of this period, 1969, by 1975 he's a 15 year old/16 year old. So, if we follow that documentation through, we see this movement into foster care, sometimes into group homes, into the larger ones, Epuni, Owairaka, those homes, sometimes in foster care, and we see really this incredible constant escalation from those homes.

So, the reports are interesting because they're reports, nearly formulaic. In the beginning when there is the placement, there's usually a quite hopeful report, that this person is shy but is settling in. That's sort of the nature of the first report. Then you start to see the second and third report where there are concerns around either behaviour, a range of different things, not outgoing, not talking, not doing those sorts of things, until you start to get these final reports before

movement saying not settling in, disruptive either to the family life of the foster home or disruptive in the larger home, and then moving on.

In one case, there was documentation where a foster family, a Pakeha foster family who had been optimistic that they would be able to not so much care because that's not really the language that's used in the report but they would be able to control this young child that had been placed with them. They seemed to be optimistic that they would be able to do that.

The second report, not settling in.

2.4

15.20 30

15.19 20

15.19 10

Third report, finding it very difficult.

And the concern that they raised was, whilst they did not wish to continue with the placement, they were concerned that other people in the community in which they lived would think they were not able to control a Maori child.

And the Department of Social Welfare response to that in the report written was that they understood those concerns and that the placement would be out of the community. And so, there we got the sense that the concerns of the foster family were more important than the concerns around a 9 year old child.

And so, we have heard about the sort of dehumanising element of children not really having their rights as children to be children and cared for, and where the adults and adult needs were much more likely to be met than the needs of the children. And so, we see this movement through into different forms of care facilities and with higher levels of constraint and surveillance being a characteristic of those movements.

We've heard over the Contextual Hearing about the use of Secure Units and this is also a characteristic of Stan's story, so much so that by the time he had moved up

through into the prison system, Secure Units were by far the most familiar, and indeed - familiar places for him and indeed the places that he sought.

15.23 30

15.22 20

Q.

Α.

15.21 10

So, within the brief of evidence, it does talk about that first time going into Epuni Boys' Home, for example, into the secure unit, the types of induction practices, particularly the cleansing rituals that he went through which again has been characteristic of many of the stories that have been heard and I'm sure will be heard as the Inquiry continues.

Just one final topic, if you like, before you move on to your work with women in prison. How has that system played a role in gang affiliation, gang membership, from that narrative that you were talking about just then?

So, here particularly looking at my research, which looks

So, here particularly looking at my research, which looks at the State's role in gang formation and just how significant the role the State has played, particularly in the early formation of the gangs. So, if we think about 1975 as a particular, sort of, apex year in regards to you've got within the youth resident system 80% of the young boys are Maori during that time, you know, you see how important, particularly Epuni Boys' Home but certainly not only that boys' home, how significant that was in terms of gang formation. The very early members, the vast majority had gone through that home or through other homes. And certainly, again, with Stan's narrative, that is a significant feature as well.

The roles of being alienated, of being marginalised, of being in what, you know, were called forced association with others, in many cases completely removed from their own whakapapa, completely removed from their own place, their own whenua, and the types of solidarity that we have. There is a brief of evidence what that means in terms of the new forms of collective that were

1 formed during that period.

18

19

2122

23

24

25

26

2728

29

31

3233

34

15.26 30

15.25 20

So, I think that the State's role in gang formation, particularly in early gang formation, is incredibly significant and cannot be overstated.

- 5 Q. Can we now turn to paragraph 89 of your brief of 6 evidence, unless there was anything under that heading 7 that you wanted to touch on before you go there?
- What I guess I'd just like to stress, is around this 8 Α. transition. So, from a child who was put formally into 9 State care as a 9 year old in 1969, that the next 15.24 10 11 30 years, the next 30 years would be characterised by 12 being totally institutionalised, either through the home system or through the prison system. And in fact on the 13 day where the State extinguished their obligations as 14 guardian and as parent, was the day that he entered into 15 the adult prison system. That's how seamless that State 16 17 engagement was.

And so, this is someone who has then spent 25 years within the prison system, often for relatively short lags, though there have been some significant ones in there as well. And so, you think of that child, that 9 year old child, experiencing the most profound loss, having already suffered significant hardship prior to being put into State care, and that any aspiration that he had, in terms of the qualities that had been identified and recognised, you do see some of those in the reports, that they were quashed and they were squandered. It has completely marked the trajectory of not only his life but the broader whanau life and there has been intergenerational impact.

Q. In your brief of evidence, you talk about an intergenerational impact, particularly as we should discuss it around the role that gender has to play and the reach, and that's probably a good point to pick up

your korero about the work that you do with women in prison, and so, if we can move to that topic.

A. Yes. Just looking at the brief in paragraph 89, I just note that the really distinguishing feature of incarcerated women is this really strong common histories, common characteristics. One of those very common characteristics is around trauma, certainly much, much higher than you'd find in the general population.

Our men who are also incarcerated have extremely high levels of trauma as well, much higher than the general population but for women it's very marked. Very high levels of victimisation particularly around violence and sexual violence, that is an international trend we see. AlsoAlso, just to note that incarcerated women are much more likely, much, much more likely than the general

population to have been in State care and to have suffered abuse within the environment of State care.

In terms of the intergenerational reach, what we have seen in New Zealand is incredibly, as we know, we have a very common social statistic that we're very familiar with, which is on the one hand very high incarceration rate and particularly the gross proportionality of Maori within our prison system. And what we've seen over the last 10 years is the incredible increase in terms of Maori women's incarceration.

So, while, for example, Maori men make up around 51% of the male prison population, women make up, Maori women make up around 63% of the women's prison population. If you disaggregate that for age, particularly looking at from say 16-25, it is far higher.

So, the intergenerational reach of that, the impact of having such high numbers of Wahine Maori in prison is incredibly significant.

There is much less research done, there's quite a

15.27 10

15.27 20

15.28 30

lot of research on the impact of having a father in prison for children. There's much less research on having a mother in prison. But what research has been done, and my own research would support this, is that the impact on children is so immediate.

2.4

15.30 20

15.29 10

So, certainly it is not a good thing to have a father in prison, the damage is severe and sustained. Having your mother in prison, as I said, the impact is much more immediate. Women are much more likely to be the sole carers or the primary carers of children and so, on an arrest, for example, it is much more likely that there will be disruption for those children immediately. It's much more likely that they will be uplifted if they are unable to find family members to take them. So, you have a much more immediate impact with women being in prison.

Because I've had a particular focus on young women or young Wahine Maori in prison, many of them who have yet to be mothers, then there's some other really interesting work around what that means and the impact of those people who become mothers after they've already experienced incarceration. As I noted, in most cases they've also experienced high levels of State care.

- Q. You've talked about the impact of having a father in prison. Do you have some experience to draw on with those you have worked with, other women for example, around the disruption to internal whakapapa?
- 28 A. That has been a really significant feature, is how many
 29 of the young women I've worked with. It's an interesting
 15.31 30 thing. Most of the women I've worked with, in fact
 31 nearly all of them, they know their whakapapa, they know
 32 where they come from. Some of them actually have been
 33 quite involved in their marae life. Many of these very
 34 young women come from small town New Zealand.

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

1112

1314

15

1617

18

19

2122

23

24

2526

2728

29

31

3233

34

15.34 30

15.33 20

Q.

15.32 10

But when we have done whakapapa work, when we're sort of doing that as part of the work that we do, very often they're not able to - they don't have the same sort of access to their whakapapa through their father's side, and this is when a lot of those issues actually come out, when they realise because their father was absent, that their father was in and out of prison, that they had not really had an ongoing sustained relationship with their father.

And sometimes this was most apparent in regards to their names because when they came in, they know their name. Often had the most beautiful whakapapa names, both first names and in their last names. Often I would talk about that name and a very common response was, "Yeah, that's my Dad's name, I don't know much about that side of my family". And so, that disruption, so that part of their whakapapa has yet to be revealed to those women.

- A parallel korero about disruption, actually no it links to whakapapa because that ties you to a place. Has there been some experience that you've had around disruption of place as a result of State care and prison context?
- A. Yes, particularly for where young girls are placed. As we've seen, a vast majority of people who have been put into care have largely been young boys, often there are far less placements for young girls, so they're much more likely to be at some geographical distance. It's the same with the prisons, we only have three women's prisons, so that continues that same continuum.

So, that loss of place has come up as really significant in terms of the women's lives.

One of the things, if you will allow me to - one of the things that we often do when the young women come in, is I'll have a map of New Zealand, I tell them show me all the places that you've lived on this map. And it's an interesting one because it allows, if there is any sort of issues around whakapapa, often if they sort of say I was here, that's where my Nan was, you know, you're able to get that sort of sense, usually they know that, so they're able to show where they're from in terms of where their whakapapa lines are from and also where they've lived. In some cases, you might see a high alignment from where they live to where they whakapapa to.

One of the really interesting things, is because due to placement, State care placement, just where they are, all over the place. So, for some very young people who come to prison under 18, when you see how many places they've been placed in, nearly all of them excluded from the compulsory education system, as I note in my brief of evidence, by 13 and yet have been to up to 25 schools and yet have been excluded from the compulsory education system by 13.

The first time it happened to me, yeah, it really marked me. We were doing this particular piece of work and there was quite a number of young women who I was doing it with. We were doing it as a piece of group work. And one of the young ones was explaining all of her places that she had lived. And they were in common with many of the other girls because they'd been in the same homes together. And I noted, we were in Wiri, at the Women's Prison in Wiri, and I noted that she hadn't put Auckland or even Manukau, she hadn't put a mark on And I said to her, "You haven't put Auckland on it?" and she just looked at me and she went, "Oh no, I've never lived there" and yet here we were on that whenua in Auckland and that young girl was going to be there for quite a number of years and yet she had never lived there, and it really made me think about what it means to

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

15.35 20

2425

26

18

19

2122

23

27 28

29

31

15.36 30

15.36

32

1 live.

14

15

16

17

21

22

23

2.4

2526

27

28

29

31

3233

34

15.39 30

- 2 Q. You've also talked about some Maori women who experienced 3 abuse in State care and their thoughts to their own 4 children. Did you want to comment on that?
- 5 So, certainly one of the most pervasive narratives that Α. I've heard from the women who are incarcerated is around 6 7 their stories of abuse in State care and the level of anxiety for those that are now mothers who have, in turn, 8 their children in State care, the level of anxiety and 9 stress and ongoing trauma that that produces. And the 15.37 10 reason that it produces such a high level of trauma, is 11 12 because of their fears and their expectations that their 13 child or children will be harmed in State care.

And unfortunately unfortunately, because I've been going in there such a long time, there have been far too many cases where that has been confirmed, where their children have been harmed in State care.

- 18 Q. As part of that, what have you come to know for some
 19 about the role State care has had to play in their
 15.38 20 parents' or grandparents' lives?
 - A. As noted in the brief of evidence, in many cases their parents of the young women that I've had, their parents have experienced State care and in some cases their grandparents have experienced State care.

And so, what that means, in terms of their own expectations around family, their own understandings. It's interesting because their desire to have flourishing, beautiful family life is constantly articulated and that is constantly against the idea of the real fear that that is impossible to realise.

Just very recently, only in the last week, I spoke to a young woman who will be released some time in the relatively near future, who is hoping to be able to, from her point of view, rescue not her own children, she has

not had children yet, but that she hopes to be able to rescue, using her words, her whanau members, in one case her sister's child, in one case her first cousin's children, from State care.

Having to talk about the very significant difficulties she's likely to encounter in trying to take those children into her care was quite a difficult conversation to have.

- 9 Q. Before we I have a couple of questions left around this
 15.40 10 korero that we're having about the work you've been doing
 11 with Wahine Maori in prison. The first is, I understand
 12 you've brought a piece of creative writing that you would
 13 like to share with us?
 - 14 A. Yes.

5

7

8

27

28

29

3132

33

34

15.41 30

- 15 Q. I think this might be an appropriate time to do that 16 before I ask the last question about this subject.
- 17 Α. If I could just give some context for this work. Again, 18 I did speak to the young woman prior to coming in here, 19 saying that if the opportunity was afforded, would it be all right for me to read one of her poems, and again she 15.41 20 gave that she really would love and really wanted to be 21 22 able to bring some element of her experience to this 23 That at the moment she's not in a position to be able to speak directly to the Commissioners and to 24 others, and so that is really important to bring that 25 26 lived experience within this group.

AgainAgain, to give context of someone who entered into
the system, both the State care system and into the prison system at a very young age, who has done her growing up within that environment, so she has grown up under conditions of confinement, containment and incarceration. I've chosen one, it was very difficult to choose which one, an incredibly talented poet and this poetry has been read in a whole range of places and she

goes under the pseudonym of Maia. It was difficult for me to choose one that I thought for the Commissioners that would capture it. You can see there is a significant amount of work here. There's two lines in this one that I think are really significant for the Commission.

So, again, someone who early, real characteristics of this young woman's life, very unique and specific to her but certainly part of a much more collective experience as well, excluded very early from the compulsory education system, experienced great levels of social harm and the tragedy of then going on to perpetrate harm against others. And in no way wanting to trivialise or underestimate the harm that she recognises that she has caused herself.

So, I've chosen this poem she gave me, I've chosen this poem. The poem is entitled "Misery so pure". I also read this poem at the Maori Justice Hui Inaia Tonu Nei in Rotorua for some of the same reasons.

"Broken hearts fear the loudest.

A prisoner in tears.

A scene surround us.

Broken bones can always heel but words seep in, painful to feel.

Trapped souls struggle in the arms of hell but in this cell the walls never tell.

Broken dreams reveal a forgotten call, yet a scream doesn't seem to be heard at all.

Surrendered in the heart of hate, the Devil inside never turns up late.

Broken roads lead to a complete end, a prisoner's journey is always just around the bend.

Living life only to die inside the broken and tainted heart I hide.

15.42 10

15.43 20

15.44 30

The worse thing in life that you will never see, is being captured, having never been free.".

2.4

15.46 30

15.45 10

The deepest and the darkest places to be. Waiting for the system to release me.Killing all innocence and hope but not the pain or the mess devastation caused with only me to blame. No-one to love. No-one to hear. The passion and the addiction to fear. Awaiting for life to begin and start, this was the journey of my heart. In the end, what more is left? To live in hell, what then next? To re-create the cell whenever I'm near but I'm still breathing and I'm still here".

- Q. Kia ora. That leads me to my last question which is two things; one relating to resilience and the other talking about hope. Do you have some comment from your observation about the resilience of the people that you've worked with?
- A. An incredible level of resilience, a resilience that has
 been borne out of struggle and torment. An incredible
 potential to flourish. For me, in many ways, it is a
 social indictment that the incredible potential that I've
 been able to recognise, to see within the prison, is
 recognised, it goes behind the wire.

What types of intervention, and we have heard some of that this morning and certainly the Inquiry has heard of it, the Whakamana Tangata report speaks to it, the He Waka Roimata report speaks to it, as those early interventions, the way at the community level, at the hapu level, the types of things that we're able to do to allow lives to truly flourish.

So, the potential, certainly these women have real aspirations but they're also social realists. They recognise just how difficult their path on release will be but they have hope, and I think that we have an obligation, a cultural obligation, and a moral

- obligation, and a social obligation, and a political obligation, to ensure, through the work of the Inquiry and through the work of all sections across government, that this work is not just the work for those that have been damaged in State care, it is the work of the nation.
- Q. Whilst speaking about obligations, do you have any comment to add Te Tiriti o Waitangi as forming part of that or not?
- I think it's incredibly significant and certainly when we 9 Α. travelled up and down the country, that was also one of 15.47 10 the - we heard that wherever we went, particularly in 11 12 smaller communities, small town communities, around the 13 need to really recognise. And my brief of evidence and 14 of course Moana Jackson and Kim Workman and others have spoken to this far more eloquently than I can around the 15 ongoing impact of colonial policies, the need for a true 16 partnership, we saw that in the Inaia Tonu Nei report, 17 the really important need for that. So, I think that 18 does have to be absolutely central. The restoration of 19 mana and the ability to live life of dignity, a life of 15.48 20 knowing who you are. And, as I often say, the right to 21 not only know who you are but to know why you are, where 22 23 you are.
 - Q. Finally, did you have by way of summary any hopes to share for this Inquiry?
 - And in this one I would like to read from the brief of evidence, if I may.

29

31

3233

34

15.49 30

I believe the work of the Royal Commission of Inquiry into abuse in care is of critical importance in acknowledging the harm that was done to children and the intergenerational reach of that harm.

Recognition of that harm and the validation of the lives of those that experienced it, is needed as determining the appropriate redress.

Restoration of mana, of the people who have been harmed through emotional, physical, psychological, sexual, verbal, institutional and cultural harm is crucial.

2.3

2.4

15.51 30

15.50 20

15.50 10

While the Royal Commission of Inquiry into abuse In Care has a specific time-span, many of the young women in prison who have experienced abuse in care sit outside of this time period. There needs to be recognition of the ongoing damage that is being caused.

As noted elsewhere in the brief, in too many cases those who experience State care follow in the footsteps of their parents and even their grandparents.

In order to ensure that harm is not repeated, we need to be honest with ourselves and understand the critical role that colonisation and racism have played in establishing systems which in turn have allowed abuse in State care settings to continue.

In listening to and understanding the voice of survivors and their whanau, there must be a development of strategies and an implementation that safeguards the rights and the mana of the child, that recognises how valuable they are, that cherishes and upholds the concept of mokopuna tangata, that ensures connection to whakapapa are revealed and nurtured, that understands whanau and hapu settings and works towards collective security and flourishing of all whanau.

The abuse of our children in State care is one of the darkest, one of our darkest chapters. In bringing it to light and not turning away from the devastation that was caused, we can seek to restore those lives and ensure that future generations thrive. Whether a child is in the care of their immediate whanau or in the care of others, that child should benefit from the knowledge that they are loved, wanted and vital for our collective

	1	future as a nation.
	2	I think just one thing that I'd like to add here, is
	3	with Stan we collected his story from his own
	4	recollections obviously but also from the incredible
	5	level of documentation that was held by the State about
	6	him. When he read through those documents, he saw
	7	rationales about his placement, the shifts, his
	8	transitions, that he had never, as a child, had access to
	9	or been afforded of. He never knew why things happened
15.52	10	to him when they happened to him when he was very young.
	11	So, I think it is very important as a part of the
	12	Inquiry, that we see the absolute need for people who
	13	have been placed in State care to be able to access all
	14	of their records and that that access to those records is
	15	without financial cost and the support is in place to
	16	allow them to be able to navigate what is often very
	17	difficult systems.
	18	MR MERRICK: Thank you for that.
	19	CHAIR: Thank you, Mr Merrick, thank you, Professor.
15.53	20	Have you been given notice by any counsel?
	21	MR MERRICK: No, I haven't, Sir.
	22	CHAIR: I take it then, there is no wish to address any
	23	questions by counsel to Professor. Can I then
	24	invite my colleagues, if they wish to ask any
	25	questions of Professor McIntosh.
	26	
	27	
	28	
	29	***
	30	
	31	
	32	
	33	

1	
\perp	

2 PROFESSOR TRACEY MCINTOSH 3 QUESTIONED BY COMMISSIONERS

2.4

15.55 30

15.54 20

COMMISSIONER GIBSON: No questions, thanks for your evidence.

COMMISSIONER ALOFIVAE: I have a number of questions but you have so elegantly actually framed a lot of the responses in your brief.

If I could just ask you a question around the early interventions, what would those look like practically? I think as a nation we're very good at describing what the problem is and so to move to the next level of what could possible solutions look like, any comments on that?

A. Commissioner, I really think that the solutions are very much within our communities. I believe, having travelled around the country, I have listened to many of them. And many of them are very much place based. One of the big issues, and we have heard it in other parts of the Inquiry as well, is around what resourcing would need to look like, what the shift would need to look like.

At the moment, I think that many of our State agencies' resourcing and contracting of these things; one, often they're near colonial in terms of the particular practice of them. The sorts of KPIs that are important to the State may not actually produce really strong outcomes.

One of the really important elements of early intervention where the need is necessary, is it's ongoing engagement. I think that's a really important element. We often have contracts that are for 6 weeks, 12 weeks.

2.4

15.58 30

15.57 20

15.56 10

Mr Taito the other day talked about 501, for example, and that's an excellent example of people returning from Australia back into New Zealand, often with very, very few familial or social financial connections here and contracts that allow between 3-6 weeks of work with them. They're criminogenic. If we think about something like steps for freedom, what people are released with, \$350 if they meet the very difficult criteria, you think if you're released into Auckland, Hamilton, Wellington, but frankly if you're released into our smaller towns, again I believe they're criminogenic.

What we heard was around the types of interventions, particularly if I'm speaking within Maori settings, around the need for the hapu particularly, their ability to identify those that can make the most sustained positive engagement in their broader whanau's lives.

In some cases, certainly what we're looking at is, rather than really individualised care, the importance of collective care. But, you know, the issue of poverty, the issue of insufficient income, is a very significant one. It's not enough all on its own but it is significant. People are living lives of real desperation out there and the impact on our children is incredibly marked.

So, I do have confidence that we do actually have much of it. I think that, here I'm speaking in mucha more mywide policy sort of space, that we do look for collective impact and that's a really important element.

That we do need to recognise, we do need to truly test things and that there will be failures. I believe in a fail fastree philosophy where you have high accountability, high transferability and a high trust environment. Trust our people and resource them.

COMMISSIONER ALOFIVAE: Thank you very much,

1 Ms McIntosh.

2 CHAIR: Thank you.

9

1112

13

14

15

1617

18

19

2122

2.3

2.4

2526

27

28

29

31

3233

34

16.01 30

16.00 20

15.59 10

Α.

COMMISSIONER ERUETI: I just want to ask about the
numbers of Maori women in prison and how did it
escalate so quickly over recent decades?
Professor, Dr Jackson was here just recently
talking about crimes of poverty, are you able to
help us unpack that to explain what has happened?

Certainly what we see here does follow international trends, which is also very concerning.

And I can remember having this question asked about 12-14 years ago in the United States with a very well-known international criminologist, American criminologist, and he was explaining the incredible increase of African American women in the prison system there. Someone asked a very similar question, you know, why is this happening? And he answered very off-the-cuff, in some ways taking light, he says they're running out of men. But then he did, he said, no, there is something in that, in regards to when you have a group that is targeted and marginalised, that it's likely to expand and that there is some escalation.

I think we do have to recognise, I talked about the State's role in gang formation and to recognise that many of these young women have grown up certainly in conditions of deprivation but also often within strong gang associated whanau. Here, I am in no way doing a blame the gangs one. I am just more broadly saying about when you marginalised fathers and mothers and where the gang member becomes an important space of collectivism and then children are brought up in that, then they're likely also to experience sometimes even harder level of marginalisation that others had. So, that is another feature.

The exclusion from the compulsory education system

2.4

16.03 30

16.03 20

16.02 10

is just such an incredible feature common characteristic. So that, of all of the women that I've seen between 16 and 18 entering the prison in the last decade, I've only had one that wasn't excluded, only one that wasn't excluded by 13. Some had been excluded as young as 6 from our compulsory education system. So, that's an incredible characteristic. It shows the strength of the schools to be able to mitigate issues around poverty and marginalisation but it also shows that the exclusion from that is important.

The other thing is the incredible care to custody pipeline. So, we often talk about the soft pipeline and the hard pipeline, and the care to custody pipeline is certainly a partdon of the hard pipeline. So, 83% of all young Maori who come into prison young have been in State care. The vast majority at the time of arrest, the State was the parent. So, those sorts of features. I mean, we still have, you know, so we've got a statistical absolute blowout, you know. Overwhelmingly, our prison population is still male. Men make up 92% of the prison population. But in talking about that 8%, you know, when you think about when Moana Jackson wrote in 1988 about how many women were in prison there compared to now, it's an astonishing, astonishing increase and that they're so young, the vast majority under 30, very, very young.

commissioner erueti: Kia ora, we were struck by that exclusion from of non-compulsory education at such a young age and young women coming through the prison system. I wondered too whether because we're hearing so much about stigmatisation and stereotyping ofabout people with disabilites, Pasifika Maori and children generally and about whether you can see that having a role here with Maori women too about them being stereotyped and about them inmaternalising stereotypes and that having a role

1 the way that the State sees them, whether the schools or Police or Child Welfare Officers? 2 Certainly one of the things I mention in the brief is 3 Α. that for the women their first experience of 4 incarceration is not their first experience of 5 confinement or ofmuch the prison. So, the experience of 6 prison has largely been through other whanau members, 7 i.e. the fathers and mothers. But that experience of 8 confinement, that line in that poem which came through, 9 "The worse thing in life that you never see is being 16.04 10 captured having never been free". Incredibly high levels 11 12 around confinement and other elements.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

2.3

2.4

2526

27

28

29

31

32

3334

16.06 30

16.05 20

So, the stigmatisation at the broader whanau level and the particular stigmatisation on young women, particularly those who have experienced high levels of violence, including sexual violence, some of that violence and sexual violence being under conditions of State care.

There is a high level of internalisation and recognition of each other.

I've sat at tables when we're sitting around and people are sharing, these are young, young women, for me as an older women they're children, sharing stories of real horror and no-one reacting to them, no-one reacting to them, because these are the common stories that they've heard.

And, in fact, I remember one woman, actually she was an older woman, and in all of these times when we were working together, working on a creative piece actually, she kept talking about the terrible things that had happened to her when she was 9 years old, she kept repeating around, and in saying in some detail what had happened to her at 9 years old. And one of the other woman just became frustrated by it and she said, "We've all had a 9 years old".

You know, that experience that she

1 was saying, you think it's unique to you, it's not. So, I think that's a very significant feature when 2 you see such high levels of victimisation within the 3 group that you're working with. 4 The issue around health, healthcare, around living 5 with disability, it is also much more heightened and 6 marked with this group of women. 7 COMMISSIONER ERUETI: Kia ora, Professor. You also 8 spoke about, my colleague Sandra Alofivae asked 9 about solutions and interventions, you talked 16.07 10 about a localised response and that seemed to be a 11 12 common theme that came through the criminal justice first reports. 13 In tandem with that, there's also that high level, 14 15 Maori working in partnership with the State, in terms of the framing policy and law. 16 17 part of, do you see that as part of this package, if you like? 18 I do think this is the work of the nation, I absolutely 19 think that's an important thing. You know, the need for 16.07 20 a really, you know, about what mokopuna mana tanga means 21 22 for us as a nation. The belief that our children's children will flourish. That we have to have 2.3 confidence in believing it. I think that one of 2.4 the things that I'm sure as Commissioners that you 25 constantly come against is, you know, when I was 26 listening to Dr Sutherland's evidence last week, how 27 28 could we treat our children like this? How could we treat our children like this? Children should not be 29 vulnerable. Children should be valuable. And I think 16.08 30 there's something as a nation. 31 One of the things when I was with Professor Jonathan 32 33 Boston who Co-Chaired the Expert Advisory Group on 34 solutions to child poverty in 2012, one of the things in the forum and the hui and those other things that we did

for that work over that year, was the incredible high

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

1112

13

1415

1617

18

19

21

2223

2.4

2526

27

28

29

31

3233

34

16.11 30

16.10 20

16.09 10

tolerance we found amongst good people for children to live in poverty. That people were frightened that in supporting our Tamariki, that we would reward bad parents and that they were willing to let children suffer, rather than to address the issues of poverty because of a particular frame that they had around poor parenting.

So, there's something that we need to, in the psyche of the nation, we can't keep saying this is a great place to bring up children until every child in this country says it was a great place to grow up.

So, I think that's at that much broader level. That's why I talk about the deep profound honesty that we need to have, that this was systemic, that it has gone across decades and continues today, and that it is sustaining this incredible negative legacy. That we have the power. I believe as a nation we can be absolutely global leaders in regards to our policies in terms of our child and childcare. And the will is there and the people are good but we just, you know I used to say we have a high incarceration rate. It's not just that we are tolerant of having such a high incarceration rate but we have an enthusiasm for it.

I think that enthusiasm is waning. I think we're truly in a time where people are looking for shifts and changes, that we recognise 4.5 million people we're there an excellent pilot study for the rest of the world.

This Inquiry can show real leadership in terms of how we want to see ourselves as a nation and truly, I believe that our children, and it's not just that they're our future but it's the mark of the nation and the way that all children are treated, and particularly those children who live on the margins. Kia ora.

COMMISSIONER ERUETI: Kia ora, Professor.
COMMISSIONER SHAW: I just want to ask you about one

area of our work. I am very grateful for what you've just said about the high level systemic matters. The Commission is also required to look into redress and what we have also been referring to as restoration, and that comes to - there two levels of that, of course there's the higher level and then the individual level.

16.13 30

16.12 20

Α.

16.12 10

I'm struck deeply by your reference to the lack of access to education, the denial of education, the denial of health, the denial of security. I don't expect you to answer this right now, unless you are already on top of it, but speaking to your women, your Wahine, do you have a sense of what the State could do, even in a small way, to give some redress for the individual hurts that they have suffered and the damage that they have suffered? I mean, one, I'm always taken by the generosity of the women I've worked with given the difficulty of their lives and they truly are already thinking of that next generation. They do not want the next generation to experience the things that they've experienced. That shows the generosity of spirit.

Certainly education, without a doubt, has been - I said the work that we do is human work but it is around learning together. And whilst the women, they're excluded from schools so early, and often their schooling experience was not a good one, and yet I see that flourishing, the opportunities, when those opportunities are provided.

So, I think education is an incredibly important element of thinking about as part of the redress.

There will need to be an Inquiry as part of this, the education for the nation that this is happening. I think there is that redress.

In broader sense of compensation, whatever that

might look like, the restoration of mana seems to be central in all of the korero that I've had with people individually and in groups.

2.4

16.16 30

16.15 20

Α.

16.14 10

And in some ways, I think that compensation will probably be most beneficial at the collective level, though there will be instances where the individual redress is seen as important.

If I think about things like ACC sensitive claims, for example, I'm not saying that is the model but it is a model that could be reflected on and thought about.

COMMISSIONER SHAW: That is a model that has monetary compensation but also provides ongoing support and counselling, whatever is required?

That's right, yes. And also, and the other thing, I guess, with the ACC model, which is at the moment different than what we would see in terms of say with WINZ, is that the ACC model, in terms of injury, provides access back into workplace support for getting types of work, all of those things. So, sustainable livelihoods is a very important part of a redress system, education, sustainable livelihoods, the ability to live one's life as Maori, as Pasifika, as whatever we are, be able to live our lives as that, to live lives that allow dignity and allow full participation in your community. I think those are very significant areas and these are complex ones for us as a nation to deal with.

When I think about the \$1.2 billion that we presently spend on incarceration, we heard this morning around if you had early intervention, particularly around a range of issues, you know, what this would do for adult and adolescent engagement, and I think we can see the same things here.

We spend \$1.2 billion every year. Think about what the Treaty settlements are. You know, supposed to be

full and final. That's redress and supposed to be flourishing of an iwi. Think about what their quantum is compared to what we're spending every year in locking up our people and largely locking up Maori.

2.4

16.18 30

16.17 20

16.17 10

So, it's not that we don't have the levers. It's the need to have the courage, conviction, consciousness and the will, including the political will, to make those changes.

COMMISSIONER SHAW: I apologise for saying that you might not have been prepared for the question. You plainly are. Just to let you know that the Commission will of course be diving deeply into the issue of redress into the future and if you want to continue thinking about it, we would be very interested to hear from you perhaps at a later stage in our deliberations. Thank you very much for your evidence.

CHAIR: Professor, I am the last of the Commissioners to have an opportunity to ask you a question. I'm grateful for the wide furrow that's been created by my colleagues. I find the last five paragraphs of your statement and the poem which you read both provocative and compelling. And I have listened carefully to the answers you have given to my colleagues. And there is, surely, a huge challenge in front of the New Zealand community to deal with the problem you have laid out so eloquently.

My mind can't get over the unhappy juxtaposition that there is when one drives out of Trentham and you go past the mothball Central Institute of Technology which is not being used, a multi-storeyed education facility, and you drive on to Rimutaka Prison with its razor wire and electronica, where hundreds of people, many of them Maori, are incarcerated. That juxtaposition has, for a

- 891 -

1		long time, sat unhappily with me and I think that your
2		challenge about needing to educate those people who are
3		in care and in custody is one of the things which ought
4		to be a legacy of this Royal Commission. I hope I make
5		it obvious that I join my colleagues warmly in thanking
6		you for your evidence.
7	Α.	Thank you.
8	CHAI	R: Madam Registrar, that brings us to the end of
9		the day. I see our representatives from Ngati
10		Whatua are with us.
11		
12		
13		Hearing adjourned at 4.20 p.m.
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
29		
30		
31		
32		

16.20